2012

Visual memory improvement in recognition [presentation]

Allison Prandl
Edith Cowan University

Visual memory improvement in recognition

Allison Prandl
School of Social Science and Psychology,
Edith Cowan University, Perth, Western
Australia

Rationale

• In 2008 Jaeggi and her colleagues demonstrated that fluid intelligence could be improved by training on a visual working memory n-back task.

• While improvement on a simple working memory test was noted, no improvement in working memory capacity was found.

• Preece (2011) and Palmer (2011) found that n-back training did not improve fluid intelligence. Furthermore Palmer (2011) found that training on a general knowledge/vocabulary task did improve fluid intelligence.

Purpose of this study
• To investigate whether n-back training can increase visual recognition memory.

Hypothesis
• After training using the single n-back task, participants' scores on a test of visual recognition memory will be significantly higher in comparison to participants who undergo general knowledge/vocabulary training.

Method

- Mixed factorial design

- Between-subjects factor - 2 levels (single n-back task and combined general knowledge/vocabulary task)

- Within-subjects factor - 2 levels (pre-training and post-training)

- Dependent variable - raw test scores of Test 13, Picture Recognition (WJ III)
- Initial testing

- 20 days of training over a 30 day period

- Final testing phase

Participants

- 47 participants in total completed the training task
- 21 participants in the active control group
- 26 participants in the experimental group
- Participants' ages ranged from 18 to 68 (M = 35.91) in the n-back group, and (M = 40.44) in the active control group.

Materials

- Test 13, Picture Recognition of the Woodcock-Johnson III Test of Cognitive Abilities (2001) (Fig 1)
- Experimental group - n-back training task software obtained from Brainworkshop (n.d) and modified to replicate the software used by Jaeggi et al. (2008) (Fig 2)
- Active control goup - Definetime, vocabulary task accessed via the East of the Web (n.d.) website and Who Wants to be a Millionaire accessed via the Real Player Games (n.d.) website (Fig 3)

Results

• Interaction between the training group and pre-post Test 13 scores was **non-significant** indicating that type of training did not have an influence over improvement in visual recognition memory scores, SPANOVA $F(1,42) = .016$, $p = .899$, partial $\eta^2 < .001$.

• Overall participants **significantly** improved in their Test 13 scores from pre- to post-test SPANOVA $F(1, 42) = 15.515$, $p = < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = .270$.

Follow-up Interviews

• Participants spoke about how motivating they found the Definetime task.

• Participants spontaneously described how they used shape recognition strategies to obtain high scores (Fig 1).


Figure 1. Example of shapes used by participants for recognition.

Active control groups

• Definetime - those who were higher scorers in Definetime had a **significantly higher** gain in Test 13 scores than those in the lower gain group, one-way between groups ANOVA $F(1,19) = 6.864$, $p = .017$, $\eta^2 = .265$. This suggests that high Definetime scorers increased their visual recognition memory in comparison to low Definetime scorers.

• Who wants to be a Millionaire - there was **no significant difference** in gain in Test 13 scores between the low and high Who Wants to be a Millionaire scoring groups, one-way between groups ANOVA $F(1,19) = .811$, $p = .379$, $\eta^2 = .041$. This suggests that there was no difference in visual recognition memory improvement between the low and high Who Wants to be a Millionaire scorers .

Experimental group

N-back – there was **no significant difference** in gain in Test 13 scores between the low and high n-back scoring groups, one-way between groups ANOVA $F(1,23) = .879$, $p = .358$, $\eta^2 = .037$ (Fig 6). This suggests that there was no difference in visual recognition memory improvement between the low scoring n-back group and the high scoring n-back group.

Table 1. Means and standard deviations of Test 13 gain in low and high performing groups.

	Low		High	
Training Group	M	SD	M	SD
Definetime	0.40	1.71	2.18	1.40
Millionaire	1.70	2.11	1.00	1.41
N-back	0.46	2.47	1.25	1.60

Conclusion

• Training using the single n-back task does not significantly increase visual recognition memory scores when compared with general knowledge/vocabulary training.

• Participants who obtain high scores in Definetime improve their visual recognition scores significantly more than participants who have low scores in Definetime.

• Participants who have high scores in Definetime use shape recognition strategies.

Questions for further research

- Is visual recognition memory improved through training?

- Is Definetime a better visual recognition training task than n-back training?

- Is the n-back task in the single n-back form a visual recognition training task?

- Is Definetime a visual recognition training task?

- Was Jaeggi (2008) incorrect to conclude that n-back training can improve fluid intelligence?

- Do motivational factors affect performance on cognitive training tasks?

- Is visual recognition the driving influence behind the fluid intelligence gains demonstrated by Jaeggi (2008), Preece (2011) and Palmer (2011)?

References

Brainworkshop. (n.d.). A dual n-back game. Retrieved from http://brainworkshop.sourceforge.net/download.html

East of the Web. (n.d.). Definetime [Adobe Flash Player game]. Retrieved from http://www.eastoftheweb.com/games/Definetime1.html

Jaeggi, M., Buschkuehl, M., Jonides, J., & Perrig, W. J. (2008). Improving fluid intelligence with training on working memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(19), 6829–6833. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801268105

Palmer, V. (2011) *Improving fluid intelligence (Gf) though training.* (Honours thesis, Edith Cowan University, Perth Australia).

Preece, D. (2011) *The effect of working memory (n-back) training on fluid intelligence*. (Honours thesis, Edith Cowan University, Perth Australia).

Real Player Games Directory.. (n.d.)Who wants to be a millionaire [Adobe Flash Player game]. Retrieved from http://www.box10.com/who-wants-to-be-a-millionaire.html

Woodcock, R. W., McGrew, K. S., & Mather, N. (2001). *Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Cognitive Abilities*. Itasca IL: Riverside Publishing