Edith Cowan University Research Online

School of Psychology and Social Science Presentations

School of Psychology and Social Science

2012

Working The Companion Animal in the Context of New Interpersonal Relationships

Steven Remic

Edith Cowan University

Supervisors: Dr Elizabeth Kaczmarek & Dr Deirdre Drake This Presentation is posted at Research Online. http://ro.ecu.edu.au/spsyc_pres/15

The Companion Animal in the Context of New Interpersonal Relationships

Steve Remic

School of Psychology and Social Science

Supervisors:

Dr Elizabeth Kaczmarek &

Dr Deirdre Drake

Introduction

- The National People and Pets Survey (Australian Companion Animal Council, 2010)
 - 63.3% of Australian households owned a pet
 - 92% of respondents felt "very close" to their pet
 - 86% of respondents found comfort with their pet during times of stress,
 - 62% of respondents felt their pet made for a friendlier environment and helped with conversation between humans

Rationale for Study

- Given that companion animals in our society:
 - Have high prevalence (ACAC, 2010)
 - Are often viewed as family members (Albert & Bulcroft, 1987)
 - Provide potential psychological and physiological health benefits (Barker, Knisely, McCain, Schubert, & Pandurangi, 2010; Souter & Miller, 2007)
- There remains limited psychological literature exploring the roles companion animals play within families (see Walsh 2009)

Rationale for Study

- One of the most important family adjustments is the formation of new romantic relationships (Mikulincer, Florian, Cowan P.A., & Cowan C. P., 2002).
- An important factor in satisfying interpersonal relationships includes engagement in common activities and recreation, whilst maintaining individuality and personal freedom (Gottman & Levenson, 2000; Mikulincer et al., 2002).
- If a companion animal behaves as an attachment figure to one person in the couple, then an interesting dynamic is expected to develop (Walsh, 2009).

Psychological Literature

- There are polarised views in literature:
- Some suggest companion animals are social pariahs, displacing other human relationships and taking advantage of in-built human desires to nurture to further their own evolutionary success (Archer, 1997).
- Others believe that companion animals are complementary to human families and society as a whole, and provide important social benefits (Serpell, 2009).
- Three main frameworks have emerged to describe the human-animal bond

Three Psychological Frameworks

- Attachment theory
 - Companion animals meet all the criteria for psychological attachment (Beck & Madresh, 2008; Zilcha-Mano, Mikulincer, & Shaver, 2011)
- Family Systems theory
 - Companion animals form relationship triangles within families (Cohen, 2002; Tannen, 2004)
- Biophilia hypothesis
 - Companion animal relationships fit within a 'genes eye' view of human families, even affecting oxytocin and cortisol levels in humans (Gorelik, Shackelford, & Salmon, 2010; Handlin, Nilsson, Ejdebäck, Hydbring-Sandberg, Uvnäs-Moberg, 2012; Nagasawa, Mogi, & Kikusui, 2009)

Method

- Qualitative research embedded in a social constructionist framework (see Crotty, 1998),
- Psychological attachment in humans is a subjective and intensely personal phenomenon (Smith, 2004).
- Interpretive phenomenology (IPA) has been successfully used to approach adult human attachment through narrative in past research (Meyer & Pilkonis, 2001).

Method

- There is evidence that human-companion animal attachments can be viewed similarly to interpersonal attachments (e.g. Zilcha-Mano et al., 2011) and thus;
- IPA was also chosen as the methodology to explore human-pet bonds from the perspective of another human with whom an interpersonal attachment has formed.
- Rich detail is emerging with themes emerging that correspond to theoretical frameworks.

Participants

- Eight people, four male and four female who fit the following criteria
 - Recent interpersonal relationship (approximately two years)
 - Partner had a pre-existing companion animal bond
- Age range 21-50 years
- Companion animals include five dogs and three cats
- In-depth, semi-structured interviews conducted face-to-face, with one video conference interview
- Preliminary data to date

Emergent Themes – Nurturing

- Male partners in particular felt there was an element of motherhood, where the pet will take on a child-like role:
 - "I think she... um enjoys that sort of maternal sort of caring role for Charlie (the dog), it's sort of part of her life."
 - "My partner speaks to him (the cat) in baby sometimes, 'come to mamma' she'll say.. She calls him her son."
 - "In serious discussions she's sort of acknowledged that yeah it's almost a bit of a child substitute for her."

Emergent Themes — Protection

- Participants expressed feelings of safety for their partner when they were not physically present
 - "It's like.. somebody to come home to every night I suppose, because she lives by herself."
 - "I'm really glad that he (the dog) is there, it's a protection for her when I am away with work."

Emergent Themes — Competition for Attention

- Participants noted that there were moments of conflict with the companion animal
 - "...and it was father's day. So she'd rather spend father's day with the cat.. rather than me."
 - "I felt I had to be best friends with the dog to be fully accepted in the relationship with him (partner).. I guess I struggled with that for a bit"
 - "...sometimes I get frustrated.. I'm trying to talk to him about something important and suddenly the dog takes over..."

Emergent Themes – Proximity Maintenance

- Participants both male and female noted their partners strong desire not to be away from the companion animal for long periods
 - "...she raced home 'I haven't seen him, I haven't spent time with him today' and we are due to go out..."
 - "We can't go away for too long without her (the dog) – I know he misses her and doesn't like anyone else taking care of her."

Discussion

- Themes that correspond with aspects of attachment theory are emerging (Zeifman & Hazan, 2008)
 - desiring close physical proximity,
 - use of the attachment figure for comfort and to alleviate stress (safe haven),
 - distress and anxiety if there is separation from the attachment figure

Discussion

- Themes that suggest companion animals take on roles that are described in human-like terms (anthromorphism, see Archer, 1997)
- The bond with the companion animal can provide a common interest for the interpersonal relationship
- The pet also provides moments of tension: competition for attention, as the new interpersonal relationship develops

Conclusion

- Research to date has focused on pet owners, rather than their romantic partners
- Partners spoke of themes reflecting psychological attachment to the companion animal, and spoke of the pets having human-like qualities
- Future research could investigate in quantitative terms the attachment style within the relationship, and compare with the human-animal relationship
- Participants expressed that the human-animal bond was a salient part of their interpersonal relationship, requiring negotiation.

References

- Albert, A., & Bulcroft, K. (1987). Pets, families, and the life course. Journal of Marriage & Family, 50(2), 543-552.
- Archer, J. (1997). Why do people love their pets? Evolution and Human Behavior, 18(4), 237-259. doi: 10.1016/s0162-3095(99)80001-4
- Australian Companion Animal Council. (2010). Australians and their pets: The facts. St Leonards, NSW, Australia: ACAC
- Barker, S. B., Knisely, J. S., McCain, N. L., Pandurangi, A. K., & Schubert, C. M. (2010). Exploratory study of stress-buffering response patterns from interaction with a therapy dog. *Anthrozoös*, 23(1), 79+.
- Beck, L., & Madresh, E.A. (2008). Romantic partners and four-legged friends: An extension of attachment theory to relationships with pets. Anthrozoös, 21(1), 43-56. doi: 10.2752/089279308x274056
- Cohen, S. P. (2002). Can pets function as family members? Western Journal of Nursing Research, 24(6), 621-638. doi: 10.1177/019394502320555386
- Crotty, M. (1998). The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process. St Leonards, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- Gage, M. G., & Holcomb, R. (1991). Couples' perception of stressfulness of death of the family pet. Family Relations, 40(1), 103-105. doi: 10.2307/585666
- Gorelik, G., Shackelford, T. K., & Salmon, C.A. (2010). New horizons in the evolutionary science of the human family. Review of General Psychology, 14(4), 330-339. doi: 10.1037/a0021438
- Gottman, J. M., & Levenson, R.W. (2000). The timing of divorce: Predicting when a couple will divorce over a 14-year period. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 62(3), 737-745. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-3737.2000.00737.x
- Handlin, L., Nilsson, A., Ejdebäck, M., Hydbring-Sandberg, E., & Uvnäs-Moberg, K. (2012). Associations
 between the psychological characteristics of the human-dog relationship and oxytocin and cortisol
 levels. Anthrozoös, 25(2), 215-228.

References

- Meyer, B., & Pilkonis, P.A. (2001). Attachment style. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 38(4), 466-472. doi: 10.1037/0033-3204.38.4.466
- Mikulincer, M., Florian, V., Cowan, P.A., & Cowan, C. P. (2002). Attachment security in couple relationships: a systemic model and its implications for family dynamics. *Family Process*, 41(3), 405-405.
- Nagasawa, M., Mogi, K., & Kikusui, T. (2009). Attachment between humans and dogs.
 Japanese Psychological Research, 51(3), 209-221. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-5884.2009.00402.x
- Serpell, J.A. (2009). Having Our Dogs and Eating Them Too: Why Animals Are a Social Issue. *Journal of Social Issues*, 65(3), 633-644. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01617.x
- Smith, J.A. (2004). Reflecting on the development of interpretative phenomenological analysis and its contribution to qualitative research in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 1(1), 39-54. doi: 10.1191/1478088704qp004oa
- Souter, M.A., & Miller, M. D. (2007). Do animal-assisted activities effectively treat depression? A meta-analysis. *Anthrozoös*, 20(2), 167-180. doi: 10.2752/175303707x207954
- Tannen, D. (2004). Talking the dog: framing pets as interactional resources in family discourse. Research on Language & Social Interaction, 37(4), 399-420.
- Walsh, F. (2009). Human-animal bonds I: the relational significance of companion animals. Family Process, 48(4), 462-480. doi: 10.1111/j.1545-5300.2009.01296.x
- Zeifman, D., & Hazan, C. (2008). Pair bonds as attachments: Reevaluating the evidence. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications (2nd ed.). (pp. 436-455). New York, NY US: Guilford Press.
- Zilcha-Mano, S., Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2011). An attachment perspective on human-pet relationships: Conceptualization and assessment of pet attachment orientations. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 45(4), 345-357. doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2011.04.001