### **Edith Cowan University Research Online**

**EDU-COM International Conference** 

Conferences, Symposia and Campus Events

2008

# Exploring the Use of Mathematics Manipulative Materials: Is It What We Think It Is?

Linda Marshall

Edith Cowan University, l.marshall@ecu.edu.au

Swan Paul Edith Cowan University

Originally published in the Proceedings of the EDU-COM 2008 International Conference. Sustainability in Higher Education: Directions for Change, Edith Cowan University, Perth Western Australia, 19-21 November 2008.

This Conference Proceeding is posted at Research Online.

http://ro.ecu.edu.au/ceducom/33

## Marshall, L. And Swan, P., Edith Cowan University, Australia Exploring the Use of Mathematics Manipulative Materials: Is It What We Think It Is?

Linda Marshall<sup>1</sup> and Paul Swan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Education Edith Cowan University, Australia Email: l.marshall@ecu.edu.au

<sup>2</sup> School of Education Edith Cowan University, Australia Email: p.swan@ecu.edu.au

#### **ABSTRACT**

The use of mathematics manipulative materials such as counters and Base Ten Blocks is common in many Australian classrooms. Seemingly there is an unchallenged assumption that the use of manipulative materials in the teaching of mathematics is a key to learning about mathematics concepts, and this is supported by the mathematics manipulatives industry. Teachers who are time poor and under pressure are either looking for a miracle manipulative to solve all their problems with teaching a particular topic such as fractions, or have abandoned the use of manipulatives in favour of textbooks.

This paper reports on a survey and follow-up interviews designed to explore key issues in the use of mathematics manipulative materials in the teaching of mathematics. It also reports on the collaboration between Edith Cowan University, the Independent School sector in Western Australia and R.I.C. Publications, an international publisher and supplier of mathematics manipulative materials based in Western Australia. The aim was to look into the state of play of the use of mathematics manipulative materials in primary schools in Western Australia. To this end, a survey was sent to all teachers in primary and designated middle schools in Western Australia (Pre-Primary to Year Ten; ages 4-14), providing us with an initial insight into their use. Further evidence based on a comprehensive literature review, school visits, interviews and teacher workshops is used to review the current state of play as to the use of mathematics manipulative materials in classrooms and to respond to issues raised in the surveys and interviews. This paper compares the data obtained from the survey and interviews.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In the sixties, the use of mathematics manipulatives was often justified on the basis of the ancient proverb:

I hear and I forget I see and I remember I do and I understand.

This proverb is still being used as a justification for the use of mathematics manipulatives. While this proverb has a ring of truth to it, given that the use of mathematics manipulatives is encouraged in the teaching of mathematics, particularly in the early years, research evidence is required to indicate whether the use of mathematics manipulatives is effective and if so, under what conditions. Similarly, if mathematics manipulatives are not effective, why is this the case and can adjustments be made to improve the situation or should the use of mathematics manipulatives be discouraged in some cases?

The following quote from Ball (1992) resonated with the researchers:

Whether termed manipulatives, concrete materials, or concrete objects, physical materials are widely touted as crucial to the improvement of mathematics learning (p. 16).

In their experience and from their observations of teachers, the researchers believe that teachers of children aged 5 - 11 and especially those aged 5 - 8 in Western Australia use mathematics manipulatives without questioning the appropriateness or value of the manipulative being used. There tends to be a belief that mathematics manipulatives are inherently good and that lessons that make use of mathematics manipulatives are pedagogically sound.

The researchers set out to gather evidence as to the use of mathematics manipulatives in Western Australian schools and the reasons teachers were choosing to use mathematics manipulatives or not. A comprehensive survey (see Appendix) was sent to all primary and middle schools in Western Australia and teachers in these schools were invited to respond to a series of items. A limitation of survey methodology is the quality of responses in relation to 'depth' of meaning (McMurray, Pace & Scott, 2004). Therefore follow up interviews were conducted in order to verify data collected via the survey and to probe further as to the reasons behind the answers that were provided. At the same time a comprehensive literature review was conducted so that comparisons to previous research could be made.

The initial results of the survey have been reported elsewhere (Marshall & Swan, 2007; Swan, Marshall & White, 2007; Swan, Marshall, de Jong, Mildenhall & White, 2007). In this paper selected aspects of the survey data will be reported in a more comprehensive fashion and insights gained from the interviews will be linked with the survey data to provide a richer picture of the use of mathematics manipulatives in Western Australian primary and middle schools. To better understand the data, a comprehensive literature review was undertaken. Prior to reporting the data a brief overview of the literature is provided to offer a context for the report that follows.

#### **REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

Uttal, Scudder and DeLoache (1997) noted that the literature is somewhat ambivalent about the use of mathematics manipulatives. They explained that, 'research on the effectiveness of manipulatives has failed to demonstrate a clear consistent advantage for manipulatives over more traditional methods of instruction' (p. 38). When probing deeper it appears that this statement was made in the light of studies that focus on the acquisition of traditional arithmetic skills such as using algorithms to add three-digit numbers. While the use of manipulatives such as Multibase Arithmetic Blocks (MAB) have often been linked to the development of algorithmic arithmetical skills, as the name suggests, the authors were more interested in the ways that manipulatives can promote thinking on the part of the students using them. Ineffectual use of manipulatives is often linked with the use of mathematics manipulatives as a demonstration tool. This is often the case with the use of MAB where students are taught to copy a particular procedure using the blocks in order to reach a specific goal such as the development of an algorithm for three-digit addition. The advent of Interactive Whiteboards has focussed further attention on whether mathematics manipulatives are used as a demonstration piece or as an explorative tool.

Prior to the advent of Interactive Whiteboards, Sowell (1989) performed a meta-analysis of much of the literature on the use of mathematics manipulatives to that time. The results indicated that short-term use of mathematics manipulatives was not effective and that long-term use was more effective. A critical factor, however, is the teacher. Teachers who lack conviction as to the efficacy of the use of mathematics manipulatives will be less likely to persevere with their use, and implement systems for their distribution and collection. Committed teachers still require training in how to make effective use of specific mathematics manipulatives.

The literature often refers to the use of mathematics manipulatives or concrete materials as creating a bridge from the 'concrete to the abstract' (Heddens, 1986). The issue of transferability of knowledge is an issue that causes debate in the literature. For example, does the manipulating of MAB improve a student's understanding of place value, and if so does this impact on the development of the traditional paper and pencil algorithms? (Howden, 1986). The debate in the literature tends to focus on the acquisition of traditional skills rather than the development of mathematical thinking. The teacher appears to play the key role in choosing appropriate mathematics manipulatives, sequencing their use in a particular way and structuring the learning experience. It should also be noted that the use of mathematics manipulatives should not be seen purely as a means to an end, that is the development of traditional arithmetic skills, but really as a catalyst for deepening mathematical understanding. In order to achieve this, the skilful teacher will need to encourage the students to talk about, discuss and explain their understandings gleaned from 'playing' with the mathematical manipulative. Language is the main tool in helping to make the bridge from the 'concrete to the abstract'.

This brief overview of the literature on the effectiveness of using mathematical manipulatives in the teaching of mathematics has indicated that teachers play a key role in structuring when and how mathematics manipulatives are used to support learning. Teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and profound knowledge of the particular concept come into play (Ma, 1999; Shulman, 1986, 1987). Teachers need to have a deep understanding of the mathematics they plan to teach; they need to know how the particular mathematics manipulative may be used to support the development of a specific mathematics concept; they need to know the learner and they need to be able to manage the learning environment (for example collection and distribution of materials) in order to be effective teachers of mathematics.

To gain a better understanding of how teachers use mathematics manipulatives, a survey and follow up interviews were conducted. Prior to creating the survey, the literature review was performed to examine whether previous surveys of manipulative use had been carried out and what the findings suggested.

#### SURVEYS OF THE USE OF MATHEMATICS MANIPULATIVES

Five previous examples of surveys being used to gather data on the use of mathematics manipulatives were found. Three Australian studies were carried out in primary and secondary schools in New South Wales. Howard, Perry and Lindsey (1996) presented some initial baseline data on the use of manipulatives in secondary school mathematics classrooms; Howard, Perry and Tracey (1997) compared primary and secondary school teachers' views on the use of mathematics manipulatives; and Howard, Perry, and Conroy, (1995) looked at the use of concrete material in Years K to 6. Hatfield (1994) surveyed the use of manipulative devices in elementary schools (K – 6) in Arizona; and Gilbert and Bush (1988) studied the familiarity, availability, and use of manipulative devices in mathematics at the primary level across twenty-one states in the USA. The results from these studies will be compared with the data collected in our survey.

Prior to sharing the results from the survey and follow up interviews, the researchers wish to set the context or framework chosen to support the research. A brief explanation of the construction of the survey follows along with the reasons behind the choice of questions.

#### RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

One goal of this study was to determine the state and nature of the use of mathematics manipulatives in Western Australian primary and middle schools. (In Western Australia, primary school students range from ages 4 to 12; designated middle schools' students are from ages 10 to 14). The researchers wanted to find out what, where (year levels) and how manipulatives were being used, and teachers' perceptions of their efficacy in enhancing the learning of mathematics. The survey gave the

opportunity for the researchers to gain a broad impression of the issues associated with manipulative use, and enabled the researchers to compare the data with previously published survey data.

The representative and descriptive character of the data that was being collected necessitated the creation of a descriptive survey. This form of survey 'aims to estimate as precisely as possible the nature of existing conditions' (Burns, 1997, p. 467), and 'describe some sample in terms of simple proportions and percentages of people who respond in this way or that to different questions' (Punch, 1998, p. 78). Some of the data collected were quantitative, where the state of the application of mathematics manipulatives was determined by calculating simple proportions and percentages of items such as the frequency with which a particular manipulative is used across the full range of year levels being studied. Qualitative data took the form of identifying the views and opinions of teachers on conditions such as hindrances, and advantages and disadvantages of using mathematics manipulatives in the classroom. Interviews were used to clarify some of these responses.

The descriptive survey questionnaire was designed with three considerations: Firstly, a representative sample across a large and diverse number of WA primary and middle schools was required. Many of these schools were located in regional and remote areas and were thus inaccessible to the researchers. Secondly, in view of the potentially large sample, the survey offered the most efficient and cost effective method of gathering data. Thirdly, to enhance reliability and validity of the study, a structured instrument was required to maximise standardisation of responses.

These considerations were countered by typical disadvantages of using a descriptive survey questionnaire. Although the number of returns was exceptional, with returns from 820 teachers in 250 schools, and therefore reflective of a legitimate representative sample, the qualitative data elicited by the questionnaire did not offer enough depth to explore more complex issues associated with, for instance, respondents' reasons for choosing particular mathematics manipulatives to use in their classrooms. Interview data was required in order to corroborate and explore the evidence collected via the survey instrument. Interviewees were selected from teachers who had indicated their willingness to participate in this stage of the research, and the selections were made to get as wide a range as possible of year levels taught, number of years of teaching, and urban, rural or remote locations. Comparisons were made to the literature; in particular previous data collected via survey research.

The resultant data set was huge, much larger than the researchers had imagined. In this paper the researchers will report on questions 11-13, which deal with issues related to teachers' beliefs about the use of mathematics manipulatives. Discussion of earlier findings may be found in Marshall & Swan, 2007; Swan, Marshall & White, 2007; and Swan, Marshall, de Jong, Mildenhall & White, 2007. These particular questions probed perceived advantages and disadvantages of manipulative use and hindrances to their use. Overall, the responses indicate how important the teacher is to the effective use of mathematics manipulatives in the classroom

#### **RESULTS**

The results are reported question by question. In each case the question is provided and simple numerical data is provided. Support data gleaned from written comments made on the survey document and verbal comments made during the follow up interviews are then provided. These comments help to illuminate what the teachers meant when responding to the questions. Questions are open to interpretation and as such the written and verbal responses help to clarify the data and add richness to the research.

Question 11: What are the main advantages of using manipulatives in the classroom?

Question 11 asked teachers to comment on what they perceived to be the main advantages of using manipulatives in the classroom. Most participants described more than one advantage, and responses were grouped, where possible, into a number of categories. The most common comment, from 155

participants, centred on manipulatives being a visual aid, or that they assisted in concrete visualisation. The next most common comment, from 135 participants, was that they provided hands-on learning, followed by building better understanding (from 126 participants). Many teachers felt that the use of manipulatives helped to engage students, or provided them with enjoyment or were 'fun' (from 120 participants). On a similar vein were the comments that they heighten interest or provide motivation (71 participants).

There were many comments on how the use of manipulatives can help children to grasp concepts or reinforce them (61 participants); how they can be used to introduce concepts (36); that they help the abstract become concrete, and that it is easier to go from the concrete to the abstract (33). Many comments were made on advantages other than those that were directly mathematical. These included that the use of manipulatives appealed to all styles of learning (48 participants); encouraged oral language (23); improved children's fine motor skills (12); provided opportunities for collaborative learning to occur (9); addressed multiple intelligences (6), and all learning abilities (4); and allowed for peer tutoring (4).

From a teacher's perspective, 27 participants commented that the teacher can more easily note what the child is 'thinking' through the use of manipulatives. Skemp (1986) makes exactly this point in the video 'Twice Five Plus the Wings of a Bird', when he said that the child's thinking is right there on the table before you. 'They enable a teacher to see what the children are thinking, especially when they are unable to articulate themselves.'

There were some inherent warnings included in the comments on the advantages of the use of manipulatives. One teacher made the point that 'The students sometimes misunderstand the point of the lesson if it is always explained using the same manipulatives'; another that, 'Sometimes kids will pick up a 'wrong' concept from a manipulative so their use needs guidance and supervision and follow-up, then builds better understanding and concepts'. One telling comment was, 'I would use them more often but budget constraints limit access'.

One teacher succinctly made this point, 'All children need to learn mathematics with manipulatives. A lot of children need concrete materials to aid in all maths activities for some time. As they become more adept in mental strategies they are able to dispose with the concrete materials. They are essential to all mathematical learning'. Even more succinct was the comment, 'Ask Piaget'!

Ouestion 12: What are the main disadvantages of using manipulatives in the classroom

Question 12 asked teachers to comment on what they perceived to be the main disadvantages of using manipulatives in the classroom. Many of the responses were mirrored in the list of possible impediments that were provided in question 13 that followed. In that question, which appeared on a later page, teachers were asked to rank from 1-13 the possible hindrances to using manipulatives in the classroom. However, this earlier question was included to find out what teachers perceived as the disadvantages to the use of manipulatives, even given that may still not preclude their use.

The highest ranked disadvantage, from 164 participants, was that teachers often do not have enough of the equipment to go around. This is in addition to the comment from a further 45 participants who maintained that they had a problem having the materials ready to use, with easy access, particularly when sharing with other teachers. There were an additional 50 participants who made the point that the cost of manipulatives made their use problematic.

The overall second highest disadvantage was given as children fiddling and not listening to instructions – that they were often a distraction (94 participants). On a similar vein to this, the amount of noise generated with their use was named by 50 participants; behaviour management was mentioned by a further 44 participants; messiness by 20 participants and equipment misuse by 4 participants.

The third disadvantage, with 90 teachers making mention, was storage and organisation of the materials. Another 82 participants discussed the issue of setting up and packing away, with 49 participants commenting on problems with items getting lost, mixed up or damaged; a further 11 participants wrote of not having enough space in the classroom to use them well; and 4 who made mention of the need to audit materials.

Another area of significance was that of time (64 participants); both in having enough time to teach using manipulatives, and time to organise, set up and pack them away. One teacher elaborated on the time issue and storage of materials, saying, 'Time to initially organise the materials [is a disadvantage] but if that's done at the start of the year/ materials stored efficiently it's OK. We had large plastic tubs with basic kit of Attribute/Pattern blocks, geoshapes, 4 types of toy counters, double-sided counters, slides for each class, 1-3'. The invitation was then extended to visit the school to view their storeroom organisation.

The final significant category of responses was that of the issue of 'play'. Thirteen participants made comments similar to the following, that manipulatives 'Can be used for 'play' without proper instruction or process being explored. They require teaching, but can be seen as toys'. Or that it was sometimes hard to wean children off reliance on them (11 participants).

There were many other comments that were interesting: 'Hygiene issues – keeping pieces clean'; 'Safety – putting pieces in mouth'; 'Boys – need I say more'; and conversely, 'Can be hard to get girls interested'; 'We need proof/evidence on paper of the children's learning for parents to see'; and 'Can confuse children if not used properly e.g. place value; MAB cube = 600'.

It was comforting to note that 50 participants wrote 'None' as their response to this survey question, with a number of other participants leaving this section blank. Two final similar comments were: 'Nil at Year 2 level' and a middle primary teacher who said, 'I can't see any [disadvantages] except for people with tidy rooms and low budgets'.

Question 13: Impediments to using manipulatives in the classroom.

Teachers were given a list of 13 possible impediments to the use of manipulatives in their classroom. This list was drawn from the literature (Gilbert & Bush, 1988, Howard et al., 1996; Howard et al., 1997; Hartshorn & Boren, 1990) and from incidental discussions with teachers. By far the four most common impediments were (1) money, (2) behaviour (classroom management), (3) organisation of materials (borrowing/returning, sorting, missing pieces) and (4) space (physical) to use in the classroom. By comparison, Gilbert and Bush (1988) found the main factors hindering increased classroom use of manipulatives to be (1) availability of materials and (2) lack of time. It is unclear whether the availability of materials was in terms of ease of accessing the materials, similar to this study's point (3) or if they were not in the school at all, which may be similar to this study's first point about lack of money.

Teachers in the Hatfield (1994) study were asked to list factors to consider when using manipulatives. What is interesting is that classroom control and management of manipulatives were listed. This is similar to the current research findings. It should be noted that in the current survey teachers were asked to rank hindrances and were given a list to choose from, so the two questions are not exactly the same.

Nevertheless the responses from the Hatfield survey were of interest to the research group. What is of particular interest, however, is that availability of manipulatives and teacher competence in using the manipulatives were listed as Hatfield's top two factors.

Question 14: Teacher Direction or Self-Discovery

Question 14 asked, 'When using manipulatives, will the students' experience be based on (1) Teacher direction or (2) Questions arising from self-discovery?" Of the teachers who completed this question, the majority of participants (397 teachers, or 71%) either ticked both boxes or wrote 'both' alongside the question.

Participants were then offered the opportunity to elaborate on their response. Most comments were similar to either 'Both are important, depending on the task' or 'Both – initially it may be teacher directed, but then we move on to self discovery'.

Of those who ticked only the Self Discovery box, comments included, 'We work in collaborative learning teams and many questions arise from the students' discovery activities' and 'For example, Oh look; if I join mine with ----- then we will have ----'.

Comments from the Teacher Direction teachers included, 'I find the students do not engage in 'self-discovery'. They are very dependent on the teacher direction even though I encourage self discovery', 'They don't 'discover' without direction, they throw things or build towers – nothing to with lesson outcome' and 'Due to availability and time constraints the time available does not allow for much self discovery'.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Manipulative materials are found in every primary school, albeit often in storerooms rather than in classrooms or better still in the hands of children. It is clear from the survey results that teachers believe that the use of mathematics manipulatives enhances children's learning of mathematics. It also appears that while this belief is held by over 95% of respondents, Pre-primary to Year two teachers make more use of manipulatives than teachers of older children. It is possible that textbooks play a larger role in mathematics lessons as they move from junior to middle to upper primary and lower secondary school and therefore less use of manipulatives is made. It is also appears that there is a belief by some teachers that the use of manipulatives takes too much time, particularly in upper grades where they make mention of a crowded curriculum. Another possibility is that the introduction of formal National testing (paper and pencil multiple-choice tests with no manipulatives allowed) impacts on teachers' use of manipulatives.

Survey data can disguise the real reasons behind a particular response. For example, the researchers were surprised that money was listed as the major hindrance to use manipulative materials to teach mathematics. However, recent changes to Kindergartens in Western Australia have meant that Kindergarten budgets have been reduced. Kindergarten teachers have therefore felt financial pressure for the first time, and therefore this may have been in mind when completing the survey.

Organisation of manipulatives is certainly an issue for many teachers who made comments on the survey about issues of borrowing and returning materials. It appears that in some schools the borrowing of mathematics manipulatives comes under the auspices of the library. In some cases not only is the tub of Pattern Blocks accessioned but also individual blocks are numbered. One teacher reported a school edict that any lost pieces would have to be paid for by the teacher who lost them. While the researchers were unable to test the veracity of this statement, it is understandable that if such a claim were true, teachers in that school would be reluctant to make use of manipulatives. Visits to school mathematics storerooms by the researchers would suggest that many manipulatives are in disarray, not labelled or worse still mislabelled, with pieces missing or in disrepair. There were also many examples of different sets of the same manipulatives in the storerooms, which in many instances were incompatible. It also appears that while some schools keep an up to date inventory, in others there is no record of purchases or where the manipulatives are stored. The researchers plan to collect further data on this issue because clearly while this issue is hindering the effective use of manipulatives, it is one that could be fixed with minimal effort.

A surprising finding was that despite believing manipulatives enhance children's learning, less than ten percent indicated they had engaged in professional development and only 19% indicated they would like further training in the use of manipulatives. This was considerably less than the 66% in a previous study, which indicated that teachers would like further training in the use of manipulatives (Howard et al., 1997). The previous study was carried out over ten years ago and it is possible that teachers are less likely to engage in professional development as a result of increasing pressures and general dissatisfaction with the state of the profession in Western Australia. In Western Australia a systemic mathematics professional development initiative involving eighteen hours of professional development has tended to 'swamp' teachers and fatigue them. Of particular interest is that this initiative appears to have had little impact on survey responses. Rather, one particular supportive manipulatives supplier seems to have had more impact.

What was of particular interest is the high proportion of K-2 teachers who completed the survey and also the number of teachers who had been teaching for 15 years or more who took time to complete the survey. Data from this survey and others (Gilbert & Bush, 1988) suggest that the use of manipulatives reduces as grade level increases. It would make sense, therefore, that teachers in the Kindergarten to Year two range would show more interest in manipulatives.

#### **LIMITATIONS**

There are several limitations to this research. While the response rate to the survey in terms of the number of school and the number of teachers responding was excellent, the survey was sent only to Western Australian schools. The sample was therefore restricted to one state of Australia that at the time was undergoing curriculum upheavals. These pressures would have been foremost in mind when the surveys arrived, as teachers would have been writing end of year student reports. It was interesting to note that not only did K-2 teachers make most responses, but they also made far more written clarifications outlining the reasons why they made particular choices. Typical written responses from teachers of upper primary and secondary classes were short or non-existent. This meant that not only were more surveys completed by teachers of K-2 children, but also these teachers gave far more written clarification of comments and therefore the data may be skewed by these teachers.

The researchers were also aware that teachers receive many surveys. Several Principals phoned to say that teachers regularly receive surveys, most of which end up in the bin. On the whole these Principals were supportive of the research but felt that teachers were suffering from 'survey fatigue'. The researchers were also aware that some teachers might quickly tick a few boxes or sometimes simply write what they think the researchers might like to read and therefore focus groups, teacher interviews and group interviews were also carried out to verify what the survey data suggested.

#### **CONCLUSION**

While the research is still in its infancy the data collected from the survey has started to paint a picture of manipulative use in the teaching of mathematics in primary and middle years classrooms. Previous research by Gilbert and Bush (1988) suggested that manipulative use reduces as grade level increases. The data from our survey would appear to confirm that finding. Reasons for this reduction in manipulative use may relate to increased use of textbooks, a view that using manipulatives is 'babyish', or a lack of awareness of how manipulatives may be used to develop mathematics concepts with older children. Another possible reason for this reduction may be the impact of State and National testing in Years 3, 5, 7 and 9.

Data on the most commonly used manipulatives will assist teacher educators when planning mathematics education courses. Also teacher educators will be able to assist new teachers to manage

the issues such as classroom management of manipulatives so that this no longer impedes the use of manipulatives.

As with most research, the survey raised further questions that require in-depth research. For example money was ranked as the number one impediment to the use of manipulatives. This result was surprising, given that it was not mentioned in previous survey research. Computers may be found in every primary school and require replacement every three to five years and yet there seems little concern about the money required to purchase them, maintain them, load software on to them and connect them to printers and the Internet. It is possible that computers are viewed in a different way to manipulative materials and therefore treated differently. They have become part of a carefully designed purchasing plan that is carefully managed and kept with a current inventory. This does not appear to be the case with mathematics manipulatives in many schools.

Throughout this paper, the argument has been made that manipulatives on their own do not teach – teachers do. Children can often look very busy (active) with manipulatives but that does not necessarily mean that children are learning. Clements (1999) noted that simply using manipulatives as part of a mathematics lesson does not guarantee success.

Their physicality does not carry the meaning of the mathematical idea. They can be used in a rote manner ... They need teachers who can reflect on their students' representations for mathematical ideas and help them develop increasing sophisticated and mathematical representations (p. 3).

Swan and Sparrow (2004) suggested that in the light of the report on effective teachers of numeracy by Askew, Brown, Rhodes, Johnson and William (1997) and the work of Stein and Bovalino (2001) on manipulatives it is clear that teachers play a key role in helping children construct knowledge by helping them to connect ideas. Fifty years have passed since the proverb quoted at the start of this paper was used as a rationale for the use of manipulatives. This is simply not good enough in the twenty-first century. Swan and Sparrow (2004) argued for manipulative use to be effective, a fourth line should be added to the initial proverb:

I talk about it and I connect.

The results of the present study certainly confirm that for mathematics manipulatives to be effective, they must be part of a carefully planned mathematics program. In particular, teachers' own knowledge of the mathematics, the children and the manipulatives need to be sufficient so as they can assist children to connect the use of the manipulatives to the concept being developed.

#### **REFERENCES**

Askew, M., Brown, M., Rhodes, V., Johnson, D., & Wiliam, D. (1997). Effective teachers of numeracy: Final report. London: Kings College.

Ball, D. L. (1992). Magical hopes: Manipulatives and the reform of math education. American Educator, 16, 14-18.

Burns, R.B. (1997). Introduction to Research Methods. Melbourne, Australia: Longman.

Clements, D. H. (1999). Concrete manipulatives, concrete ideas. Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood, 1(1), 45-60.

Gilbert R., & Bush, W. (1988). Familiarity, availability, and the use of manipulative devices in mathematics at the primary level. School Science and Mathematics, 94 (6), 459 – 469.

Hatfield, M.J. (1994). Use of manipulative devices: Elementary school cooperating teachers self-reporting. School science and mathematics, 94(6), 303 – 309.

Heddens, J. (1986). Bridging the gap between the concrete and the abstract. Arithmetic Teacher, 33(6), 14-17.

Howard, P., Perry, B., & Conroy, J. (1995). Concrete materials in K-6 mathematics learning and teaching. Proceedings of the Joint Conference of the Educational Research Association. (pp. 1-9). Hobart: Educational Research Association.

Howard, P., Perry, B., & Lindsey. (1996). Mathematics and manipulatives: Views from the secondary schools. Proceedings of Joint Conference of the Educational Research Association, Singapore and Australian Association for Research Association. (pp. 1-9). Singapore: Educational Research Association, Singapore and Australian Association for Research Association.

Howard, P., Perry, B., & Tracey, D. (1997). Mathematics and manipulatives: Comparing primary and secondary mathematics teacher's views. Retrieved 29 May 2007 from www.http:ericed.gov/ERICWeb.portal

Howden, H. (1986). The role of manipulatives in learning mathematics. Insights into Open Education, 19(1), 1-11.

Ma, L. (1999). Knowing and teaching elementary mathematics: Teachers' understanding of fundamental mathematics in China and the United States. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Marshall, L., & Swan, P. (2007). A re-examination of the use of mathematics manipulative material in primary and middle schools. Proceedings of the International Conference on Science and Mathematics Education. Penang: CoSMEd.

McMurray, A.J., Pace, R.W., & Scott, D. (2004). Research: a commonsense approach. Southbank, Victoria: Thomson Social Science Press.

Punch, K.P. (1998). Introduction to Social Research. Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. London: SAGE

Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who Understand, Knowledge Growth in Teaching. Educational Researcher, 15(2), 4-14.

Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and Teaching: Foundations of the New Reform. Harvard Educational Review, 57(1), 1-22.

Skemp, R. (1986) In Twice five plus the wings of a bird [Video] BBC

Stein, M.K., & Bovalino, J.W. (2001). Manipulatives: One piece of the puzzle. Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School, 6 (6).

Suydam, M.N., & Higgins, J.L. (1976). Review and synthesis of studies of activity-based approaches to mathematic teaching. Final report, NIE Contract No. 400-75-0063, September, 1976. (Also available from ERIC Information Analysis Centre for Science, Mathematics & Environmental Education, Columbus, Ohio.)

Swan, P., Marshall, L., & White, G. (2007). Mathematics manipulatives: A panacea or a Pandora's box. Proceedings of the International Conference on Science and Mathematics Education. Penang: CoSMEd.

Swan, P., Marshall, L., de Jong, T., Mildenhall, P., & White, G. (2007). Are manipulatives being used in schools? If so, how? If not, why not? Proceedings of the Australian Association for Research in Education. Fremantle: AARE.

Swan, P., & Sparrow, L. (2004). Hands on — Heads on: using manipulatives in the classroom. In B. Tadich, S. Tobias, C. Brew, B. Beatty & P. Sullivan (Eds.) Towards excellence in mathematics. (pp. 516-525) Proceedings of the 41<sup>st</sup> Annual conference of the Mathematics Association of Victoria

Uttal, D., Scudder, K., DeLoache, J. (1997). Manipulatives as symbols: A new perspective on the use of concrete objects to teach mathematics. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 18, 37-54.

#### Appendix: Manipulatives Survey

had of	Use of Manipulatives in primary and middle school mathematics classes in Western Australia
hool of lith Cow	ucation University
O Joond	up Drive
ondalup	A 6027
ear Colle	
	preciate your help. To better align our mathematics education units with the realities of classroom life we wish to ask a few questions ab anipulatives in the teaching of mathematics. This survey should only take less than ten minutes to complete. We do, however, appreciate t
achers a	busy people and therefore we have arranged for an incentive for taking the time to complete the survey. We have three \$500 school resou
	t three \$200 teacher resource vouchers available. These will be awarded on a random basis and the schools and teachers involved will one the end of the school year. In order to gain the most from the survey it is important that we gain as many individual responses as possi
	ore the end of the school year. In broad to gain the most from the screey it is important that we gain as many movidual responses as possi ariety of year levels across the school.
That will	appento the information?
ou may	oose to place your name or the name of the school on the survey or you may remain anonymous. If you wish to have the opportunity to rece
	incentives, simply place your name and school name inside a separate sealed envelope and place it in with the surveys. The envelope vi will be separated from the surveys and the details entered on our incentives list. After the incentives have been awarded this data will
destroye	will be separated from the surveys and the details entered on our intentines hist. After the six and yet been awarded this data will
All inform	tion provided will be confidential and no individual schools will be identified. All data records will be stored securely and destroyed five ve
	impletion of the study. Please note that this project has the approval of the ECU Human Research Ethics Committee.
	e expected outcomes?
mproved	se of manipulatives in West Australian primary and middle school classrooms through:
	d initial teacher education ision of appropriate professional development
	ision of quality curriculum materials.
повроп	nity
his surv	is part of a much larger research project. If you wish to have further involvement with this project we would be happy to interview you ab
	on the use of manipulatives (either by phone or personal interview). Also, we are planning to work in schools and provide some Profession tin the use of manipulatives. Should you be interested in further involvement, please note it on the survey form.
	Please note: This research is partially funded by The Association of Independent schools of Western Australia (AISWA), R.I.C publications and by Edith Cowan University.
ny questi av have :	is concerning this research study can be directed to myself, Mis Linda Marshall, on 08 6304 5954. Lam happy to discuss any questions but the questionnaire. If you have any concerns about the project or would like to talk to an independent person, please contact the Resea
hics Offi	r at the Human Research Ethics Office, Edith Cowan University (phone 08-6304 2170 or email research.ethics@ecu.edu.au).
	Thank you very much for participating in this research study.
	Please complete the questionnaire and return in the reply paid envelope provided by 9 November 2006.
gards	
nda Man	
	Mathematics Education University
	Manipulatives survey
	Please tick the appropriate box(es).
Name	
What	ar level/s do you teach?
What	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
What	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 many years have you been teaching?
What P For ho	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
What P For ho	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
What Pi For ho	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

With which year le	vel(s)?							
Do you use them in	ndividu	ally, in	small group	s and/or with t	the whole class?	(You may t	ick more t	han one box.)
Manipulative	Yes	No	Year	How often	Individually	Small	Whole	Briefly describe how you use this manipul
	H		level(s)	used		group	class	
Attribute blocks								
Base ten blocks (MAB)								
(								
Cuisenaire rods								
	H	H						
Multilink cubes								
Malchill & Cabes								
Pattern blocks								
Polydron/ Geoshapes								
Square tiles								
	$\vdash$							
		1						
Unifix cubes				l .		1		l .

) Ple	ease use the tab	ile beloi	w to ad	d any mathe	ematics manip	ulatives you use	that are not	included in	the previous list.	
Г	Manipulative	Yes	No	Year	How often	Individually	Small	Whole	Briefly describe h	ow you use this manipulation
Н				level(s)	used		group	class		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
H										
Г										
Н										
Н										
Н		-	-							
Liet	t the three man	inulativ	as that	will the	most					
List	cule tillee illan	ipuiauv	es usac	you use the	TIMBL					
H										
L										
L										
To	what extent do	you thi	nk man	ipulatives e	nhance childre	n's learning of m	athematics'	?		
Г	not at a		T	rar		somet			usually	always
n-										
Me	ease elaborate o	in your	respon	90.						
ŀ										
L										
Wh	hat do you think	are the	main	advantages	of using manip	ulatives in the c	lassroom?			
г										
Н										
Ш										
Wh	hat do you think	are the	main o	fisadvantag	es of using ma	nipulatives in th	e classroom	?		
_										
Ŀ										
Ŀ										
L										
L										
L										
L										
							he classroon	n. (Things ti	nat get in the way ol	fyour using them.) Please r
the	eir responses fro	m 1 (m	ost imp	ortant) to 13			he classroon	n. (Things ti	nat get in the way ol	fyour using them.) Please r
B	eir responses fro Jehaviour (clas	om 1 (m sroom	mana;	ortant) to 13 gement)	3 (least import	ant).		n. (Things ti	at get in the way ol	
B <sub>1</sub>	eir responses fro Jehaviour (clas Slassroom man	om 1 (m sroom ageme	mana mt issu	ortant) to 13 gement) ues (packin	3 (least import g up, lost pie	ant). ces, sorting se	ts)			<u>o</u>
Br CI Ls	eir responses fro Jehaviour (clas Glassroom man ack of knowled	om 1 (m ssroom ageme dge of	mana mana nt issu how to	ortant) to 13 gement) ues (packin use the m	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s	ant).	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
Br CI	eir responses fro Jehaviour (clas Glassroom man ack of knowled	om 1 (m sroom ageme dge of natical	mana mana nt issu how to conter	ortant) to 13 gement) ues (packin use the m	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
the CI La m	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem	om 1 (m sroom ageme dge of natical	mana mana nt issu how to conter	ortant) to 13 gement) ues (packin use the m	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
E La M	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas classroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem nathematics co	om 1 (m sroom ageme dge of natical	mana mana nt issu how to conter	ortant) to 13 gement) ues (packin use the m	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
E La La M	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas llassroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem nathematics co Money (cost)	om 1 (m ssroom ageme dge of natical oncept	mana mana nt issu how to conter s.	ortant) to 12 gement) ies (packin use the m it knowled	g up, lost pie anipulative(s ge of how ma	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar nipulatives ma	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
E La M	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas classroom man ack of knowler ack of mathern nathematics co floney (cost) loise level	om 1 (m is room ageme dge of natical oncept	mana mana nt issu how to conter s.	ortant) to 12 gement) ies (packin use the m it knowled	g up, lost pie anipulative(s ge of how ma	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar nipulatives ma	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
Example 1 Property 1 P	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem nathematics co floney (cost) loise level leganisation of arental expec	om 1 (m ssroom ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations	mana mana nt issu how to contents.	gement) gement) ges (packin use the m at knowled)	g up, lost pie anipulative(s ge of how ma	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar nipulatives ma	ts) nipulatives	are availa		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
He Bo	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas classroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem anthematics co Money (cost) loise level Irganisation of	om 1 (m ssroom ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations	mana mana nt issu how to contents.	gement) gement) ges (packin use the m at knowled)	g up, lost pie anipulative(s ge of how ma	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar nipulatives ma	ts) nipulatives	are availa		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
the Bo	eir responses fro lehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of knowler ack of mathem nathematics co floney (cost) loise level lorganisation of arental expect pace (physica storage	om 1 (m ssroom ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations I) to us	mana mana nt issu how to conter s.	ortant) to 13 gement) uses (packin use the m at knowled) us (borrowin	g up, lost pie a nipulative(s) ge of how ma	ant). ces, sorting se and what mar nipulatives ma	ts) nipulatives	are availa		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
He Ballet	ier responses fre lehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of knowler ack of mathern nathematics co floney (cost) loise level drganisation of larental expect space (physica storage	om 1 (m seroom ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations I) to us	mana mana nt issu how to conter s. ulative	gement) ues (packin use the m ut knowled us (borrowin assroom	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish	ant).  ces, sorting set and what mar nipulatives ma sorting)	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
He Book CI List mm M N Oil Sign Sign Sign Sign Te	sir responses frot hehaviour (classiassroom man ack of knowler ack of mather nathematics co- floney (cost) loise level froganisation of 'arental expec' pace (physica torage	om 1 (m ssroom ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations I) to us	mana mana nt issu how to conter s. ulative	gement) ues (packin use the m ut knowled us (borrowin assroom	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish	ant).  ces, sorting set and what mar nipulatives ma sorting)	ts) nipulatives	are availa		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
He Be CI LE LE M M N On Pre St St Te Ti	si responses fict tehaviour (classicament ack of knowlea ack of mathematics co doney (cost) loise level pace (physica translation of translation of translat	om 1 (m seroom ageme dge of natical oncept i manip tations i) to us	mana; nt issu how to conter s.  ulative	gement) ues (packin use the m ut knowled us (borrowin assroom	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish	ant).  ces, sorting set and what mar nipulatives ma sorting)	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
He Bo Cl La La m M N Out Sp St St Te Ti On	si responses fict tehaviour (classicament lassroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem ack of	som 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme dge of natical concept tations I) to us tion th s laborat	mana; mana; mt issu how to conter s.	ortant) to 13 gement) ues (packin ues (packin use the m it knowled) us (borrowin ussroom uspulatives uipulatives	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s; ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma  sorting)	ts) nipulatives	are availa		0
He Bo Cl La La m M N Out Sp St St Te Ti On	si responses fict tehaviour (classicament ack of knowlea ack of mathematics co doney (cost) loise level pace (physica translation of translation of translat	som 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme ageme dge of natical nocept manip tations tion the s laborat coulative	mana; mana; mt issu how to conter s.	gement) use (packin use the m it knowled) us (borrowin assroom iipulatives iipulatives the students	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s; ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting ser and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) nipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
He Book Book Book Book Book Book Book Boo	eir responses firchevier (classification) ack of knowles ack of mathematics (alternative losses level organisation of a rental expect pace (physical torage acknowled processes acknowled acknowl	som 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations tion the s laborat coulative Tee	mana; mana; mot issu- how to conter s.	gement) use (packin use the m nt knowled) us (borrowin assroom uipulatives nipulatives the students direction	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s; ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma  sorting)	ts) nipulatives y develop :	are availal		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
He Book Book Book Book Book Book Book Boo	si responses fict tehaviour (classicament lassroom man ack of knowled ack of mathem ack of	som 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations tion the s laborat coulative Tee	mana; mana; mot issu- how to conter s.	gement) use (packin use the m nt knowled) us (borrowin assroom uipulatives nipulatives the students direction	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting ser and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) nipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
He Book Book Book Book Book Book Book Boo	eir responses firchevier (classification) ack of knowles ack of mathematics (alternative losses level organisation of a rental expect pace (physical torage acknowled processes acknowled acknowl	som 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations tion the s laborat coulative Tee	mana; mana; mot issu- how to conter s.	gement) use (packin use the m nt knowled) us (borrowin assroom uipulatives nipulatives the students direction	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting ser and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) nipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
He Book Book Book Book Book Book Book Boo	eir responses firchevier (classification) ack of knowles ack of mathematics (alternative losses level organisation of a rental expect pace (physical torage acknowled processes acknowled acknowl	som 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations tion the s laborat coulative Tee	mana; mana; mot issu- how to conter s.	gement) use (packin use the m nt knowled) us (borrowin assroom uipulatives nipulatives the students direction	3 (least import g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting ser and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) nipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
He Branch	sir responses first characteristics and ack of knowled ack of mathern ack of foney (cost) loise level firganisation of arrental expectipace (physica torage acknowled	mm 1 (m seroom ageme dge of natical oncept tations that it is a seroom to the seroom ageme of the seroom a	mana; nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu thow to conten s.  ulative e in cl at man at man at man at man acte) respons	ortant) to 13 gement) gement) use the m it knowled is (borrowin assroom iipulatives iipulatives tipulatives tipulatives tipulatives tipulatives	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s; ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) ipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han	eir responses fire fehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of knowlen ack of mather matchematics or doney (cost) loise level frganisation of arental expecte pace (physica totrage trudent per cap eacher perce prime constraint me constraint passe elaborate or me you participas we you	ageme ageme dge of natical oncept manip tations l) to us tion the stion the coloring Teleproper ageme	mana; nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu thow to conten s.  ulative e in cl at man at man at man at man acte) respons	ortant) to 13 gement) gement) use the m it knowled is (borrowin assroom iipulatives iipulatives tipulatives tipulatives tipulatives tipulatives	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s; ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young	ant).  ces, sorting ser and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) ipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han Han Hf y	eir responses friches viewer des versies ein sein des versies eins versies ein des versies eines versies ein des versies eines versies	om 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme actical anoncepts tations the station that	mana; mana; how to conter s. e in cl at man at man te) s, will te) respon:	guent) guent (guent) guent (gu	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma are babyish are for young "experiences" experiences	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) ipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han Han Hy	eir responses fire fehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of knowlen ack of mather matchematics or doney (cost) loise level frganisation of arental expecte pace (physica totrage trudent per cap eacher perce prime constraint me constraint passe elaborate or me you participas we you	om 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme actical anoncepts tations the station that	mana; mana; how to conter s. e in cl at man at man te) s, will te) respon:	guent) guent (guent) guent (gu	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma are babyish are for young "experiences" experiences	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) ipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han Han Hf y	eir responses friches viewer des versies ein sein des versies eins versies ein des versies eines versies ein des versies eines versies	om 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme actical anoncepts tations the station that	mana; mana; how to conter s. e in cl at man at man te) s, will te) respon:	guent) guent (guent) guent (gu	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma are babyish are for young "experiences" experiences	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) ipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han Han Hf y	eir responses friches viewer des versies ein sein des versies eins versies ein des versies eines versies ein des versies eines versies	om 1 (m scroom ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme ageme actical anoncepts tations the station that	mana; mana; how to conter s. e in cl at man at man te) s, will te) respon:	guent) guent (guent) guent (gu	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma are babyish are for young "experiences" experiences	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) ipulatives y develop :	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Hean Han Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean H	sir responses fire fehaviour (class lassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of Knowlen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Manther atthematics of Goney (cost) loise level fraganisation of arental expecte (physica toorage actual expecte (physica toorage actual expecte perce pittudent per capacacher perce perce actual percentage a	om 1 (m scroom sageme dage of natical oncept imanip tations il to us to the stion the	mana,	ge ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young s' experiences welopment on	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  be based on:  O	ts) splatties questions to a construction of the construction of t	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Hean Han Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean H	sir responses fire fehaviour (class lassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of Knowlen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Manther atthematics of Goney (cost) loise level fraganisation of arental expecte (physica toorage actual expecte (physica toorage actual expecte perce pittudent per capacacher perce perce actual percentage a	om 1 (m scroom sageme dage of natical oncept imanip tations il to us to the stion the	mana,	ge ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young s' experiences welopment on	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:	ts) splatties questions to a construction of the construction of t	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Hean Han Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean H	sir responses fire fehaviour (class lassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of Knowlen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Manther atthematics of Goney (cost) loise level fraganisation of arental expecte (physica toorage actual expecte (physica toorage actual expecte perce pittudent per capacacher perce perce actual percentage a	om 1 (m scroom sageme dage of natical oncept imanip tations il to us to the stion the	mana,	ge ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young s' experiences welopment on	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  be based on:  O	ts) splatties questions to a construction of the construction of t	are availal	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
the Be CCI La La M M N Oci Pe Sp St Te Ti Ott When Pie Haan If y Wh	sir responses fire fehaviour (class lassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of Knowlen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Stephen ack of Manther atthematics of Goney (cost) loise level fraganisation of arental expecte (physica toorage actual expecte (physica toorage actual expecte perce pittudent per capacacher perce perce actual percentage a	om 1 (m scroom sageme dage of natical oncept imanip tations il to us to the stion the	mana,	ge ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma  are babyish are for young c experiences experiences welopment on spip with?	ces, sorting set and what man injulatives ma sorting) sorting) sorting) the use of manipulative manipulatives man injulatives manipulatives ma	ts) spulatives  On	are available	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
the Be CCI La La M M N Oci Pe Sp St Te Ti Ott When Pie Haan If y When Pie M Han If y When Pie M Han If y When M Han I	eir responses frei tehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowles ack of mather tehaviour (cost) loise level triganistion of ferental expecti pace (physica totrage trudent percep eacher percep trudent percep eacher percep eacher each	om 1 (m scroom segeme segeme dege of natical concept transip tations l) to us stion the stion the stion the response to ulative Tee response tripe.	ost imp mana, nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu si nt issu int issu content si nulative e in cl	ga ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young s' experiences welcoment on which with?	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma nipulatives ma sorting)  per children  be based on:  the use of manipulative the use of manipulatives manipulat	ts) ipulatives Questions Q	are available.	ising from 'self dir	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Hean Han Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean Hean H	eir responses frei tehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowles ack of mather tehaviour (cost) loise level triganistion of ferental expecti pace (physica totrage trudent percep eacher percep trudent percep eacher percep eacher each	om 1 (m scroom segeme segeme dege of natical concept transip tations l) to us stion the stion the stion the response to ulative Tee response tripe.	ost imp mana, nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu si nt issu int issu content si nulative e in cl	ga ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young s' experiences welcoment on which with?	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma nipulatives ma sorting)  per children  be based on:  the use of manipulative the use of manipulatives manipulat	ts) ipulatives Questions Q	are available.	ole,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han	eir responses frei eleaviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowles ack of mathern ack of math	and I (messroom services age me dge of actical actical announce of the services at the service	ost imp mana, mana issu how to content s.  ulative e in cl at man at man te) s, will it respons ments	ga ment) ga	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young s' experiences welcoment on which with?	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:  the use of maning t	ts)  Questions of the second o	are available provided in the state of the s	ising from 'self dir	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Han out the	eir responses firches ir responses firches vour (classiassroom man ack of Knowlen ack of Knowlen ack of mather mathematics or doney (cost) loise level loise level granisation of ferental expecipace (physics toorage attudent percepacacher percepitate construction according to the perception of the pe	om 1 (m ssroom ssroom ssroom de manip tation the ss la borat tel aborat on your Ter on your stroin the de d	ost imp mana; nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu nt issu conten s.  ulative e in cl e in cl at man at m	ga ment) use (packin use (packin use (packin use (packin use the m use the m use the m sassroom assroom assroo	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young "experiences" welcoment on welcoment on Thank you School of Educ	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  ger children  be based on:  the use of manipulatives man attended to the use of manipulative for participat attor, Edi & Coss  Use of Manip	Cultives in this sand but the s	are available provided in the state of the s	ising from 'self dis	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
BB CC LE LE MM M N O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	eir responses frei haven ein ein ein ein ein ein ein ein ein e	mn 1 (m seroom seroom ageme dge of datical antical manip tations l) to us dition th to the to the s la borat la borat lives myour meture to myour foolest stivis in foolest st	ost imp mane, mane, tissu conter s.  ullative e in cl at man at mer te) s, will acher respond sold you ments  : Linda ing info	gament) gament) use the m use the m use the m s serious s (borrowin s (borrowi	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma ng/returning, ge of how ma ng/returning, are babyish are for young separated with the separated with the separated separate	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ter children  be based on:  Ohither the use of manipulatives man are the use of manipulatives man are the use of manipulative for participate after partici	On On One of the control of the cont	are available properties assured to the state of the stat	yes No	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
BB CCI Ls Ls m M N O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	eir responses firchevolus ir responses firchevolus (classiassonom man ack of Knowlen ack of Knowlen ack of mather mathematics or doney (cost) loise level loise level granisation of ferental expecipace (physics toorage attudent percepasacher percepitate constitution and percepasacher percepitate constitution activities and perception activities and percep	om 1 (messroom esseroom essero	ost imp mane, mane, how to conter s.  e in cl  at man at man at man at me respon.  e in cl  ing info school school school school school school school school school	gament) ses (packin use the m use the m use the m ses (packin ses	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how man are babyish are for young are babyish are for young welopment on the piece of false.  Thank you school of false contact in the read and palse in this read and pal	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  be based on:  O  the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives of manipulatives of the control of the interest south y be interested for more stored	Can	are available specific suppositions as a supposition of the suppositio	Ves No	alty WA 5027  alty WA 5027  classer oras  with study and lagree to particular interview being suddotped.
the BB CC Ls Ls Ls MM N N O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	eir responses fire tehaviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowles ack of mather tathematics of toney (cost) loise level granisation of terental expeci pace (physica torage trudent percep eacher percep interestation of the percep eacher percep each	am 1 (mm seroom of material of	ost imp mane, mane, thow to conter s.  ulative e in cl at man at man at mar te) s, will the conter respond ments	ga ment) see (packin see (pack	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma  are babyish are for young  are babyish are for young  experiences  are particular  are babyish are for young  for young  for young  consent of foto  Consent to the houndestand this rese.	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  per children  be based on:  Ohio manipulatives of manipulatives of manipulatives of manipulatives of manipulatives of the content of the independent	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the suppose	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	is responses for the variety of the	with the same of t	ost imp mana, mana, mana ulative e in cl.  at man a	gament) se (packin se	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma  are babyish are for young  are babyish are for young  experiences  are particular  are babyish are for young  for young  for young  consent of foto  Consent to the houndestand this rese.	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  per children  be based on:  Ohio manipulatives of manipulatives of manipulatives of manipulatives of manipulatives of the content of the independent	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the suppose	Ves No	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
B. C.C. Lat. Lat. M. M. N. N. O. O. O. P.	is responses for the variety of variety of the variety of variety of the variety of varie	man 1 (m sageme ageme ag	ost imp mana, mana how to conter s.  ulative e in cl at man at ma	ga ment) see (packin see (pack	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma  are babyish are for young  are babyish are for young  experiences  are particular  are babyish are for young  for young  for young  consent of foto  Consent to the houndestand this rese.	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:  be based on:  the use of manighthe with	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the suppose	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	eir responses frei henvier (clas des vous classroom man ack of Knowlei ack of Knowlei ack of Knowlei ack of mathematics or doise level reganisation of farental expecipace (physics torage tudent percepace) trade of the control of th	mm 1 (m second s	ost impresses im	ga ment) ses (packin ses (pack	g up, lost pie g up, lost pie anipulative(s) g e of how ma are babyish are for young are babyish are for young experiences are participant Sc	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  per children  be based on:  the use of manight the us	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the suppose	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	eir responses frei henvier (clas lassroom man ack of Knowlei an ack of mathern atthematics or content for a conten	mm 1 (mm ageme age	mana,	ga ment) see (packin see (pack	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma pulative(s) ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this general pulative in the pulative	ces, sorting set and what men nipulatives ma sorting)  per children  be based on:  the use of manipulative manipulatives manipul	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the suppose	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	eir responses frei haviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowlea ack of Knowlea ack of mather mathematics octones (cost) (loise level larganisation of arental expections) (class level larganisation) (class level larganisation) (class level) (class larganisation) (class level) (class larganisation) (class level) (class larganisation) (class larganisatio	mm 1 (mm serious management de	mana,	ga ment) see (packin see (pack	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how man are babyish are for young are babyish are for young welopment on the properties of the second of t	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives of manipulatives are sorting in the use of manipulatives o	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the suppose	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	eir responses fire the view of	ment (im system) ageme dge of astical announcept imministrations if to us attorn the total total announcept imministration in the total announcept imministration in the total announcept imministration in your announcept imministration in your announcept imministration in the visual announcept imministration in the visual announcept imministration interviews.	mana,	ga ment) ses (packin ses (pack	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how ma pulative(s) ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this ge of how make on the pulative in this general pulative in the pulative	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives of manipulatives are sorting in the use of manipulatives o	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the state of the state	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	eir responses frei haviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowlea ack of Knowlea ack of mather mathematics octones (cost) (loise level larganisation of arental expections) (class level larganisation) (class level larganisation) (class level) (class larganisation) (class level) (class larganisation) (class level) (class larganisation) (class larganisatio	om 1 (m serious management manage	oot imp mane; mane	ga ment) ses (packin ses (pack	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how man are babyish are for young are babyish are for young welopment on the properties of the second of t	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  sorting)  the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives man sorting in the use of manipulatives of manipulatives are sorting in the use of manipulatives o	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available precific supposed to the state of the state	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.
the	eir responses frei haviour (clas lassroom man ack of Knowlea ack of Knowlea ack of mather mathematics or done y (cost) (loise level lassroom man classroom m	mm 1 (mm agement ageme	mane,	ga ment) ses (packin ses (pack	g up, lost pie anipulative(s) ge of how man are babyish are for young are babyish are for young welopment on the properties of the second of t	ces, sorting set and what man nipulatives ma sorting)  ger children  be based on:  the use of manipulative manipulatives man sorting)  the use of manipulative ma	cts) sipulatives y develop t  Qualitives? Qualitives? pulatives? qualitives in a richiesain si interieves in interieves interieves in interieves inte	are available provided in the state of the s	ising from self did  Yes No  No  Adalup Drive, Joand at middle school:  vat explains the rease d and consent to the in- withdraw at any middle withdraw at any m	alty WA 6027 clasere oras with study and lagne to particitative we help addotped.