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Internationally, three formats of cricket are played at the elite level: Test, One Day and Twenty20.1 All players bat and field, while only some players bowl and one person keeps wicket. Dismissing a batsman can be achieved in different ways, some specific to fielders; hence, catching and throwing are vital skills. Common requirements for these skills are speed and accuracy.2 As well as dismissing batsmen, the role of fielders includes saving runs, particularly in the shorter formats of the game. Therefore, optimising the movements and skills required to successfully field can have an important influence on the game. However, despite the adage that ‘catches win matches’, research into fielding is sparse compared with that on batting and bowling. We review the available literature in terms of technical, mental, physiological and physical factors important to fielding, to identify knowledge gaps and better understand the performance requirements of fielding in cricket.

The literature search was conducted using search engines (PubMed, SportsDiscus and ScienceDirect). The search terms ‘fielding’, ‘wicket-keeping’, ‘catching’, ‘cricket’ and other related terms were used in various combinations to search for articles. The reference lists of articles found were assessed to extend the search. As fielding was the focus of this review, articles specifically focusing on the wicket-keeper were excluded from the review. Additionally, cricket-relevant chapters from edited books were included.

Technical
In cricket, the playing field is not of fixed dimensions. According to the laws of the game, ‘the playing area shall be a minimum of 150 yards (137.16 m) from boundary to boundary square of the pitch, with the shorter of the two square boundaries being a minimum of 70 yards (64.01 m)’.3 Due to the large and varying size of the playing field, the skills of fielding in cricket will vary considerably depending on where fielders are placed. Here, the fielding positions have been categorised as close (e.g. slips and short leg), inner-circle and outer-circle (Fig. 2).

Shilbury6 researched the frequency of fielding skills for 25 defined positions, and the fielding patterns of individual players of an ‘A’-grade cricket team playing first-class multi-day cricket. The data were divided into four skill categories: fielded ball, fielded ball and throw, fielded ball and under-arm return, and catches and attempted catches. The author reported the frequency and skills required in 25 fielding positions. The positions which featured the most were cover (12%), mid-off (10%) and mid-on (9%), respectively. Cover has traditionally been considered a position that requires good attacking skills, such as being able to move towards the ball, field and throw quickly, often from unbalanced positions.8 However, only 13% of cover’s fielding contacts required attacking skills; the majority of actions were defensive

Methods
For the purpose of this review, fielding performance was divided into a number of components (Fig. 1) which were systematically reviewed. Reviewed literature included peer-reviewed articles and book chapters. The requirements of the wicket-keeper are not discussed here, as the demands of this position have been reviewed previously.4

Fig. 1. Aspects of fielding performance.
The speed was considered representative of a speed of 20 m/s over a distance of 8.4 m. Establish reaction times to balls projected at were placed on the thighs of the players to projection machine and lever micro-switches timing gates were placed in front of a ball simulated field conditions. Photoelectric catching, particularly for slip fielders in the effect of light levels and ball colour on under floodlights. Scott et al. investigated changing from red to white to be seen better played into the night, and the ball colour was under game conditions. A fielder’s ability to throw a ball over considerable distance with speed and accuracy, if aiming for a run out, requires excellent throwing technique. The requirements are specific for the different field positions. The slips mostly intercept a fast moving ball coming off the edge of the bat and reaching them below chest height. They have little need for throwing long distances. In-fielders require good reactive ability to catch a ball falling from above their heads and strong over-arm throwing ability to attempt run-outs. Outfielders often have to cover a considerable distance, so sprinting ability is vital, and they need to throw accurately over long distances. Good techniques are not only essential to win matches; they also minimise the risk of injury.

Synchronised high-speed video cameras have been used to study the biomechanics of throwing. Distinguishing different throwing techniques has led to the identification of important performance variables. The relationship between over-arm throwing velocity and accuracy in elite and sub-elite cricketers was investigated using a specifically designed throwing test. A speed-accuracy trade-off was detected. Subjects improved accuracy scores at velocities of 75 - 85% of maximal throwing velocity. Senior elite players performed better than other groups. No research into side- or under-arm throwing in cricket has been found. Using the correct technique is crucial for success; the lack of empirical data in this area limits the development of optimal training programmes.
and Goslin\cite{12} devised a set of cricket skills tests, encompassing all components of the game. With regards to fielding, the majority of run-outs occur between 10 m and 35 m,\cite{13} and these were the distances tested in the fielding test (Fig. 3).

At point A, the fielder was required to catch a thrown ball, over-arm throw at the target and then move as quickly as possible to point B. At points B, C, D, E, and F, the fielder was required to pick a ball up from the ground and over-arm throw at the target; point G required a pick up and under-arm throw at the target, followed by a final sprint to the target. The timer started when the fielder touched the first ball and ended when he had run through the target. A time penalty (3 s) was given if he dropped the balls or a throw did not go through the target at any time during the test.

The authors and coaches also used their knowledge of the game to determine, subjectively, the players’ potential success in a match.\cite{12} The validity of the fielding tests was tested by comparing the objective tests to the subjective opinion of coaches. The relationship between the objective fielding test scores and the subjective fielding evaluation was low ($r=0.47; p<0.05$); however, the sample size was relatively large ($n=155$), hence the authors decided that the lower correlation was acceptable. The diagnostic utility of this test could be questioned, given the composite nature of the test i.e. many skills assessed within one test.

**Mental**
Cricket requires inordinate physical skill and mental aptitude, including the ability to concentrate intensely for very long periods, for which a high level of physical fitness cannot fully compensate.\cite{14} Fielders have to concentrate on every ball of the innings, regardless of their positions. They have to be able to maintain concentration for the entire duration of an innings (ranging from approximately 90 minutes in a T20 innings to a total of 6 hours per day in a Test match), through changing conditions as play progresses through the day. However, studies on the mental aspect of cricket have focused on batting only;\cite{15-17} no research, to the authors’ knowledge, has addressed the mental aspects of fielding.

**Physiological**
The most prevalent approach to quantifying the physiological demands of cricket is time-motion analysis using global positioning satellite (GPS) units. Rudkin and O’Donoghue\cite{16} performed 27 observations of a fielder positioned at cover point during first-class multi-day games. They used the CAPTAIN time-motion analysis system to define seven movement classes: stationary, walking, shuffling (rapid non-running movement of the feet), jogging, running, low-intensity fielding and high-intensity fielding. It was found that the cover point fielder spends the majority (94.2%; standard deviation (SD) ±2.4) of match time in stationary activity and walking, while high-intensity activities represented just 1.6% (SD ±0.8) of movement activity. It was concluded that first-class fielding entails less high-intensity exercise than other team sports such as hockey and soccer. However, the conclusions are of limited value, as only one fielding position was analysed.

Time-motion studies could help develop knowledge of positional differences in workload between the different formats of cricket, allowing conditioning coaches to prescribe game-specific training programmes.\cite{11} Petersen et al.\cite{13,19} have conducted several studies using GPS technology, investigating physiological demands of performance in the three different formats of the game. Unlike in the study by Rudkin and O’Donoghue,\cite{16} five movement categories were established (standing/walking, jogging, running, striding and sprinting) and the three different cricket formats were investigated.

Table 1 summarises time-motion analyses for each format of cricket. In summary, it seems that fielding intensity is greatest in a T20 match and fielders covered approximately the same distances in One Day and Test cricket.

Petersen et al.\cite{19} tested the validity and reliability of three commercially available sports GPS units to monitor cricket-specific movement patterns. They found disparate and inconsistent measures for the validity and reliability of low- and high-intensity activities. They advised that conditioning coaches should be aware of the likely under-reporting of high-intensity activity and over-reporting of low-intensity efforts when using GPSs in training. All studies detailed thus far fail to document the physiological demands of the different fielding positions because, generally, fielders – without distinction – are compared with bowlers and batsmen.

**Physical**
The physical aspects of performance have been investigated with respect to injury incidence and prevention, particularly for fast bowling and throwing, but little investigation into the physical aspects of fielding...
has taken place. In this section, physical aspects such as anthropometry, strength, speed and aerobic and anaerobic fitness will be considered.

**Anthropometry**
Several studies have investigated the anthropometric profile of first-class cricketers,[21-25] mostly by comparing groups of players, such as batsmen, bowlers or all-rounders. The measures used mass and stature measurement and the sum of seven skin folds (biceps, triceps, subscapular, supra-iliac, abdominal, thigh and medial calf). Portus et al.[25] extensively researched the characteristics of Australian players. However, these findings are probably not valid globally given ethnic differences in stature. While other physical attributes would be considered when deciding where to place players in the field, certain anthropometric characteristics may make players suited to specific positions. Nevertheless, the anthropometry for specific fielding positions has not been explored.

**Aerobic and anaerobic fitness**
With modern cricket, players can be expected to tour for up to eleven months of the year; therefore, physical fitness is increasingly important. The only study[26] that focused specifically on the caloric energy demand of cricketers was performed in 1955, and its validity in representing the demands of modern players would seem problematic.

More recent research has indicated that cricketers generally rely on aerobic energy supply and that the rates of energy expenditure of cricket are relatively low; with the exception to this being fast bowlers during a bowling spell[21,26] and fielders sprinting after the ball. This generalisation is supported by the findings of time-motion analyses.[1,19,27] The multi-stage fitness test is recommended to test aerobic power as it is inexpensive, easy to administer and applicable to many team sports with respect to the stop, start and change-of-direction movement patterns.[24] One study[14] showed that cricketers had a higher shuttle run number when compared with rugby union players, with a VO\textsubscript{2max} of ~60 ml/kg/min. Johnstone and Ford[21] established physical fitness profiles of cricketers grouped into bowlers and batsmen (n=15) using this test. The authors recorded the number of completed shuttles (12.4; SD ±0.9), end heart rate (190.4 bpm; SD ±11.2) and predicted the VO\textsubscript{2max} (54.9±3.7). The researchers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable*</th>
<th>Quantifying positional movement patterns in Twenty20 cricket[20]</th>
<th>Comparison of player movement patterns between ODI and Test cricket[20]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Fielders (n=14)</td>
<td>Fielders (n=17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance per hour (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking (0 - 2.0 m/s)</td>
<td>3 286 (±726)</td>
<td>2 419 (±708)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jogging (2.0 - 3.5 m/s)</td>
<td>1 532 (±361)</td>
<td>616 (±272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running (3.5 - 4.0 m/s)</td>
<td>377 (±156)</td>
<td>147 (±62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striding (4.0 - 5.0 m/s)</td>
<td>497 (±316)</td>
<td>159 (±89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinting (≥5 m/s)</td>
<td>416 (±265)</td>
<td>90 (±73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance (m)</td>
<td>6 106 (±981)</td>
<td>3 430 (±883)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and jogging</td>
<td>3 263 (±187)</td>
<td>3 504 (±46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running, striding and sprinting</td>
<td>275 (±146)</td>
<td>91 (±45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>23 (±14)</td>
<td>6 (±4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean sprint distance (m)</td>
<td>17 (±4)</td>
<td>15 (±4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum sprint distance (m)</td>
<td>54 (±23)</td>
<td>34 (±12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum sprinting speed (m/s)</td>
<td>8.6 (±1.1)</td>
<td>7.9 (±1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-intensity efforts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>98 (±43)</td>
<td>34 (±17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort duration</td>
<td>2.8 (±0.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (±0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery between (s)</td>
<td>45 (±21)</td>
<td>134 (±73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ODI = One Day International; SD = standard deviation.

* Values are expressed as mean (±SD).
concluded that the VO₂peak results of cricketers were superior to that of the general population.[21] These results are comparable with the normative data presented for cricketers in *Physiological Tests for Elite Athletes*.[21]

Anaerobic fitness has generally been tested using repeated sprint tests. Johnstone and Ford[21] found that the running speed for each of the groups was similar, although the bowlers achieved moderately better results (1.5%) in maximal repeated sprint tests than the batsmen. Sprint tests in cricket have typically varied in distance (10 - 40 m); therefore, it is difficult to compare results between studies. Johnstone and Ford[21] recommended that the future assessment of cricket-specific speed should use short distances of 5 - 15 m, because these may be associated with higher levels of match-winning fielding performance. However, sprint testing over a large range of distances may be justified because the size of the pitch that players will have to cover varies in distance depending on fielding position.

In summary, cricket players require a high level of aerobic fitness in order to play for up to 6 hours per day, with intermittent, short bursts of high-intensity effort that contributes from the anaerobic energy system. Whether these demands differ as a function of fielding position has not been researched. It may be that a position such as slips with potentially less aerobic demands may benefit from greater doses of high-intensity reactive training. Nevertheless, given the length of the international cricket season and the tour demands of cricketers, superior aerobic fitness will assist players in recovery and sustaining performance at the highest level.

**Strength, power and speed**

**Upper body**

The results of studies on strength and power profiles of cricketers have, thus far, been ambiguous and seem to lack logical or face validity. Johnstone and Ford,[21] for example, measured upper-body strength and power using a medicine ball throw and timed press-up tests. There were marked differences between batsmen and bowlers; the batsmen were superior in the timed press-up tests, but the bowlers produced greater backward throws. However, the significance of these results and their relation to performance is unclear. There is no research on specific fielding positional demands, nor have normative data for each fielding position been established. Nevertheless, it appears that different strength requirements may be needed for different fielding positions (e.g. the throwing demands of an outfielder v. a slip fielder).

**Lower body**

Leg strength and power are important for cricket fielders as they contribute to the speed and agility required for fielding. However, there has been little research on the lower-body strength profile of cricketers. Johnstone and Ford[21] tested lower-body strength and explosive power using a counter-movement jump and repeated vertical jump test. The authors suggested that tests such as the counter-movement jump give an indication of slow stretch-shortening cycle performance, and found that there were negligible differences between bowlers and batsmen. Bourdon et al.[24] also recommended a series of tests for profiling the physical fitness of elite cricketers; the lower-body tests included a vertical double-leg jump, abdominal strength stage test, straight sprint speed (10, 20 and 40 m) and a run 3 agility test. While the abdominal stage test is lauded as particularly important for fast bowlers, the authors noted that batsmen and fielders would benefit from good abdominal strength during long periods in the field or at the batting crease.

The leg-power demands of fielding are little understood; however, a study comparing cricketers with rugby players found no significant differences in leg press, bench press and 35 m sprints.[24] For example, there is little logic in using only a vertical jump test when research and observation show that fielders need to move in all directions and consequently need multi-directional lower-leg strength and power. Correctly assessing the multi-planar movement ability of fielders should lead to better training programmes.

**Conclusion**

There is a paucity of scientific information on the performance demands of fielding across all the areas of interest discussed in this review article, i.e. technical, physical, etc. There seems to be little appreciation of the technical requirements related to different fielding positions. From the scant literature available, it is possible to deduce that cricket fielding is, in general, a low-intensity activity that requires intermittent bursts of explosive movement. However, conventional wisdom on several aspects of the game is not supported by scientific evidence. Given that fielding is an essential component to winning matches, the lack of research in this area is disconcerting. A systematic research programme covering all components of all game formats would be beneficial.

Existing knowledge could be complemented by obtaining the considered opinions and insights of coaches and players and by carrying out detailed video and notational analyses. The results would provide greater insight into the skill and movement requirements associated with the different field positions. This information would also provide a framework for the design of fielding-specific assessments, which should enable the development of more focused training, conditioning and coaching protocols. This should enhance fielding performance and contribute to the ultimate goal of winning matches.
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