## **Edith Cowan University**

# **Research Online**

Research outputs 2013

1-1-2013

# Patterns of physical and psychological development in future teenage mothers

Daniel Nettle

Thomas E. Dickins

David A. Coall Edith Cowan University

Paul de Monrnay Davis

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks2013



Part of the Maternal and Child Health Commons, and the Psychology Commons

### 10.1093/emph/eot016

Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health [2013] pp. 187–196 doi:10.1093/emph/eot016



# Patterns of physical and psychological development in future teenage mothers



Daniel Nettle<sup>1</sup>, Thomas E. Dickins\*<sup>2</sup>, David A. Coall<sup>3,4</sup> and Paul de Mornay Davies<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Behaviour and Evolution, Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK; <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Middlesex University, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Community, Culture, and Mental Health Unit, School of Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia; and <sup>4</sup>School of Medical Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Australia

\*\*Corresponding author. Department of Psychology, Middlesex University, London, UK. Tel: +44(0)2084114588; E-mail: t.dickins@mdx.ac.uk

Received 3 June 2013; revised version accepted 13 August 2013

### ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: Teenage childbearing may have childhood origins and can be viewed as the outcome of a coherent reproductive strategy associated with early environmental conditions. Life-history theory would predict that where futures are uncertain fitness can be maximized through diverting effort from somatic development into reproduction. Even before the childbearing years, future teenage mothers differ from their peers both physically and psychologically, indicating early calibration to key ecological factors. Cohort data have not been deliberately collected to test life-history hypotheses within Western populations. Nonetheless, existing data sets can be used to pursue relevant patterns using socioeconomic variables as indices of relevant ecologies.

**Methodology:** We examined the physical and psychological development of 599 young women from the National Child Development Study who became mothers before age 20, compared to 599 socioeconomically matched controls.

Results: Future young mothers were lighter than controls at birth and shorter at age 7. They had earlier menarche and accelerated breast development, earlier cessation of growth and shorter adult stature. Future young mothers had poorer emotional and behavioural adjustment than controls at age 7 and especially 11, and by age 16, idealized younger ages for marriage and parenthood than did the controls. Conclusions and implications: The developmental patterns we observed are consistent with the idea that early childbearing is a component of an accelerated reproductive strategy that is induced by early-life conditions. We discuss the implications for the kinds of interventions likely to affect the rate of teenage childbearing.

KEYWORDS: life history theory; development; early reproduction; reproductive strategy

### INTRODUCTION

Most women in Western populations delay the onset of childbearing. However, there is a small minority who become mothers before the age of 20. This 'teenage childbearing' phenomenon continues to attract public health interest and policy interventions [1-3], although the basis for considering it a major problem is debatable [4-6]. Policy makers often regard teenage childbearing as a mistake, stemming from lack of skills and knowledge surrounding contraception and sexual relationships [2, 7]. However, the contention that contraceptive behaviour or knowledge is a major causal factor is not well supported by evidence [1, 8, 9]. Moreover, programmes of intervention that provide contraceptive education to adolescents have been found to have no effect on the rate of teenage childbearing [10-12].

Policy makers have viewed this phenomenon as the outcome of 'poor' reasoning, and it is assumed that better reasoning will lead to delayed reproduction [13]. An alternative perspective holds that early childbearing is part of a coherent reproductive strategy for some women. Indeed, women's ideal age for parenthood, surveyed at age 16 in the National Child Development Study (NCDS) (see below), is generally a good predictor of their subsequent actual age at first pregnancy [14]. Such desires could be seen as indicative of peer pressure imposing a social norm within such populations, but stable pro-natal attitudes of this sort also require an explanation, and could easily be symptomatic of a reproductive strategy [13]. In addition, teenage mothers reach menarche relatively early [15], suggesting more rapid maturation.

Reproductive strategies differ between and within species. Life-history theory captures these differences [16]. A key assumption is that organisms will act to maximize their average lifetime inclusive fitness, and that selection will have led to the evolution of proximate mechanisms that enable physiological and behavioural calibration to local ecological contingencies [17]. The degree of calibration will vary across species from fixed to more plastic strategies. Those that inhabit relatively stable ecological niches are more likely to have low levels of plasticity compared with generalists or those from stochastic ecologies [18-20]. Within a species, where different ecologies are populated, we should expect to see different phenotypic responses to maximize inclusive fitness.

Whether or not an organism is high or low on plasticity, their phenotype is regarded as the outcome of selection operating within the parameters of key trade-offs. 'Trade-offs represent the costs paid in the currency of fitness when a beneficial change in one trait is linked to a detrimental change in another' [21]. One key trade-off is that between current and future reproduction. Physiologically this amounts to a decision about when to stop investing in somatic capital (growth and maintenance) and divert energy into reproduction [17, 22]. Some species have a total commitment to this decision, including Pacific salmon, whose bodies deteriorate during spawning as they divert all of their somatic capital into reproduction. They die immediately after this event. Other species, including our own, have a mixed allocation across lifespan, and in our case we have a lengthy pre- and post-reproduction life [23].

Within species variation in timing of first reproduction should be sensitive to local ecology. A resource rich ecology will enable a relatively lengthy investment in somatic capital and a consequent delay in reproduction. Where the ecology is stressed, and resource acquisition uncertain, the somatic investment should stop sooner, and reproduction will commence earlier [24]. The trade-off between quality and quantity of offspring will also provide selection pressure. Ecological stress can lead to increased reproduction, effectively as a bet-hedging strategy. Better resources allow for investment in more robust, higher quality offspring [25].

Human populations in the developed world are not uniform in their ecological niche, and do not have equal access to resources. This leads to distinct life-history differences in terms of morbidity and mortality across socioeconomic gradients [26]. There are also differences in reproductive strategy, such that low socioeconomic status neighbourhoods carry a higher risk of teenage pregnancy and motherhood [3, 13, 27-29]. Life-history theory leads us to expect key individual differences in behaviour and physical growth between those who engage in early reproduction compared with those who are relatively delayed. Thus, teenage motherhood can be seen as an extreme end of a niche-specific early fertility strategy. The average age of first birth in poorer neighbourhoods will be lower than that in wealthier boroughs, but not all reproduction will begin during teenage years in deprived areas [30]. For those who do reproduce during their teenage years we must look to additional differences between mothers, and idiosyncratic ecological issues, beyond a general socioeconomic categorization.

Belsky et al. [31] proposed that adverse early-life conditions—specifically, low parental investment and family stress-induce accelerated reproductive strategies as an adaptive response. Many studies have observed associations consistent with this hypothesis, such as those between low birthweight and early menarche [32-34], poor parent-child relationships and early menarche [35-38], or between stressful family environment and age at first sexual activity or conception [39, 40]. It is hard to separate out genetic and environmental explanations for these associations, given that there are established heritable effects on pubertal maturation [41], and there could be genetic correlations between these factors and parenting behaviours [42, 43]. However, evidence from genetically informative study designs [36], and experimental animal models [44, 45], suggests that the relationship between early-life inputs and subsequent reproductive strategies may be partly causal. Gene × Environment interactions, whereby people with some genotypes are more responsive than others to the effect of rearing conditions, are also plausible [46].

If teenage childbearing is the outcome of a coherent reproductive strategy, and if that strategy is induced by early environmental conditions, then we can predict that future teenage mothers will differ from their peers in many ways beyond their knowledge about contraception. Moreover, these differences should be evident well before the childbearing years. Physically, we should expect relatively poor growth very early in life, since growth immediately before and after birth is highly sensitive to maternal investment [47, 48]. This should however be coupled with earlier puberty, and because of the relationship between pubertal maturation and stature increase [49], also with earlier cessation of stature growth. Early puberty requires rapid weight gain in middle childhood [50, 51], and thus we might additionally predict this pattern in future young mothers.

At the psychological level, Belsky *et al.* [31] suggested that adverse rearing conditions should be reflected in increased levels of emotional and behavioural problems in childhood, and that these mediate the acceleration of reproductive strategy. Associations have been reported between teenage childbearing and conduct problems in adolescence [52], but there is a paucity of quantitative research

examining emotional and behavioural adjustment earlier in childhood in future teenage mothers. The strategic view of teenage childbearing also suggests that future teenage mothers should have a motivational orientation towards early childbearing, and this should be significantly before first conception. Consistent with this view, Maestripieri *et al.* [53] found that adolescent women from father-absent households, who are prone to show accelerated reproductive strategies, show a greater preference for images of infants than their peers.

In this article, we use longitudinal data from the NCDS to compare the developmental profiles of a group of young women who became teenage mothers with those of a control group who did not. We examine physical variables (weight and height, weight and height gain, pubertal development, timing of menarche), and psychological variables (psychological adjustment in childhood, reproductive intentions at adolescence). As outlined earlier, we predict that the future young mothers will be characterized by poorer growth very early in life. rapid weight gain in middle childhood, early menarche and pubertal maturation and the early cessation of growth. Psychologically, we would expect to see negative emotional symptoms and behavioural adjustment problems in childhood, and a motivational orientation to early parenthood that is detectable by adolescence. We also investigate exposure to contraceptive education at age 16, to test for effects of lack of knowledge.

Several of the developmental differences we predict have been found in previous research (e.g. early menarche [14], reduced adult stature [54], unhappiness in childhood [55] and idealization of early parenthood [28] are all associated with teenage childbearing). However, not all studies control rigorously for socio-economic position. This is important, as teenage childbearing is concentrated in the poorest social strata [56], and thus future teenage mothers will differ from the rest of the population in many ways that are related to poverty, but not directly related to their reproductive schedules. In this study, we compare future young mothers only to a socioeconomically matched control group to mitigate this problem, and to identify precursors that are specific to teenage childbearing. Moreover, no previous study has examined all the physical and psychological antecedents in a single investigation. The NCDS has exceptionally rich longitudinal data, including a wide variety of different measures, allowing this order of analysis. We can therefore compare the strength of association across different types of variables to investigate the relative strengths of say, depression in late childhood, early menarche and lack of contraceptive education, as individual predictors of teenage childbearing.

### **METHODS**

No separate ethical approval was required for this research, as it was based on a secondary analysis of an existing, anonymous data set. Written consent for the storage of data was given by the parents of all cohort members (CMs), and, in adulthood, by the CMs themselves.

### Study population and design

We used data from the NCDS, a longitudinal study of all children born in the UK between 3 March and 9 March 1958. Extensive medical and sociological data were gathered at the time of birth, at 7, 11, 16 and 23 years, using perinatal hospital data, physician examination and interviews with parents, teachers and the CMs themselves. The NCDS is ongoing.

We employed a case control design for the following reasons. First, it is advantageous for studying dynamic populations in which follow-up is difficult. Second, it is effective for examining outcomes with a long latency period between exposure and manifestation—in this study this is up to 20 years. Third, it can be used to examine multiple risk factors for development of the focal variable. Given that longitudinal data have not been collected with our specific hypotheses in mind we recognize that total control is impossible to achieve. To this end we regard this study as an exploratory proof of concept.

Our initial sample included all female CMs whose gestational age was known and was >259 days (term), and who were still in the study at age 23. From these 5152 women, 600 reported having a child before their 20th birthday (the 'case' group). Socioeconomic position in 1958 was primarily measured using the Registrar General's social class framework [57], a five-point scale based on occupational ranking.

To control for family socioeconomic position, we selected a set of controls such that the frequency distribution of the social class of the CM's mother's husband (variable *n*492), and the social class of CM's mother's father (variable *n*526), was the same

in the case and control groups. This included selecting controls with missing values of these variables to correspond to cases with missing values. Selection of controls where there were more than needed who met the criteria was done by lowest NCDS serial number. One case could not be matched due to a unique combination of social class variables and was excluded from the study. Thus, the 'case' and 'control' groups (n = 599) are identical in terms of their distributions of household social class at the time of birth, and social class background of the CM's mother, although they are unrepresentative of the NCDS women as a whole (see Table 1). The case and control groups do not differ in gestational age (cases: mean 283.31, SD 10.35; controls: mean 283.05, SD 9.70,  $t_{1196} = 0.46$ , n.s.).

### Measures

### Physical development

Our physical development measures include birthweight (oz), weight (kg) and height (m) measured at the ages of 7, 11, 16 and 23. We also used these variables to calculate the gains in weight and height between 7 and 11, 11 and 16 and 16 and 23. Pubertal development was assessed at 11 and 16, with physicians assessing breast development (scales 1-5 at age 11, absent/intermediate/adult at age 16) and pubic hair (scales 1-5 at age 11, absent/sparse/ intermediate/adult at age 16). We treat the age 11 pubertal development variables as continuous, and for the age 16 variables, we contrast 'adult' (the modal response) with 'non-adult' (the other options combined). Age at onset of menses is reported twice in the NCDS data: by the girl being asked during physician examination at age 16, and by mother's report in an interview at age 16. Once responses of 'Not yet started' and 'Age unknown' have been deleted from both variables, the two correlate at r = 0.72 (P < 0.001). Here, we use the mother report as it has more than 100 more complete records for our case group.

### Psychological development

At ages 7 and 11, CMs' teachers assessed their behaviour using items from the Bristol Social Adjustment Guides (BSAG) [58]. The teachers indicated whether a large number of classes of behaviour indicating poor adjustment were present (yes=1/no=0). These ratings give an overall maladjustment score (BSAG total; higher score indicates worse adjustment), and scores for

**Table 1.** Frequencies (percentages) of different social classes of mother's husband, and mother's father, in the case and control groups, and in women meeting the inclusion criteria from the NCDS cohort as a whole

Class category	Whole cohort	Cases and controls
Mother's husband		
1	229 (4.4)	3 (0.5)
II	687 (13.3)	35 (5.8)
III	3010 (58.4)	346 (57.8)
IV	601 (11.7)	105 (17.5)
V	409 (7.9)	70 (11.7)
Students	4 (0.1)	0 (0)
Single, dead, away	114 (2.2)	25 (4.2)
Retired	1 (0.01)	0 (0)
Missing data	97 (1.9)	15 (2.5)
Mother's father		
1	115 (2.2)	3 (0.5)
II	673 (13.1)	47 (7.9)
III	2266 (44.0)	236 (39.4)
IV	633 (12.3)	103 (17.2)
V	586 (11.4)	95 (15.9)
Unemployed, sick	36 (0.7)	3 (0.5)
Dead, away	394 (7.7)	52 (8.7)
Retired	60 (1.2)	6 (1.0)
Missing data	289 (7.6)	54 (9.0)

12 subscales (unforthcomingness, withdrawal, depression, anxiety about acceptance by adults, hostility towards adults, writing off adults and standards, anxiety about acceptance by children, hostility towards children, restlessness, inconsequential behaviour, miscellaneous symptoms and miscellaneous nervous symptoms). The subscale scores all had a strong mode at zero, and so we have treated them as dichotomous (zero score/non-zero score). The BSAG total scores did not have a mode at zero, but were skewed, and so we have square root transformed them for the purposes of *t*-tests.

At age 16, CMs were asked in an interview to state the ideal age to get married, and the ideal age to start a family. Responses were coded using a series of categories (16 or 17, 18 or 19, 20 or 21, 22–25, 26–30, over 30). We have reconverted these categories into ages using category mid-points (30 for 'Over 30'), but since the resulting distribution is non-normal, we use non-parametric statistics to test for differences in these variables. In the same interview, CMs were asked whether they had lessons about conception in the context of sex and

relationships education at school, and whether they felt that they had been provided with enough information about conception.

### **Analysis**

As our design controls for socioeconomic position, and the CMs do not differ in age, our statistical analyses are very simple. We compare variables between the case and control groups, reporting odds ratios (ORs) and their confidence intervals (CIs) for dichotomous variables, and t-tests or non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-tests for continuous ones. We report Cohen's d [59] as a measure of effect size where appropriate. Note that we do not use paired statistics. Since around 150 cases have a father and a maternal grandfather from class III, for example, it would be arbitrary to match each case to one particular control for statistical purposes (and there would be many thousands of equally valid matchings). Instead, our design ensures that the overall socioeconomic profiles of the case and control groups do not differ, but the comparisons are between the group means or frequencies.

### **RESULTS**

### Growth and physical development

The cases were on average significantly lighter than the controls at birth (Table 2), and tended to be lighter at age 7 (P=0.06). All differences in weight and also in weight gain were non-significant after age 7. The cases were significantly shorter than the controls at 7 and 11, and then again at 23. The height gain 7–11 and 11–16 was no different for cases and controls (data not shown). However, the height gain between 16 and 23 was significantly less for the cases than controls ( $t_{788}$ =-4.49, P<0.01, d=-0.32). The mean height gain 16–23 for the cases was 0.7 cm, compared to 1.5 cm for the controls.

There was no difference in ratings of breast or pubic hair development at age 11 between cases and controls ( $t_{946}\!=\!-0.92$ , n.s.;  $t_{945}\!=\!0.05$ , n.s.). However, at age 16, cases were more likely to be judged to have adult breasts than the controls (marginally significant: OR=1.34, 95% CI 1.00–1.81,  $P\!=\!0.05$ ). The odds of being judged to have adult pubic hair were not significantly different between cases and controls (OR=1.18, 95% CI 0.88–1.57). Menarche was significantly earlier in the cases than controls ( $t_{859}\!=\!-3.35$ ,  $P\!<\!0.01$ ,  $d\!=\!-0.23$ ; Table 2), with a mean difference of 0.29 years.

### Psychological development

At age 7, the cases had higher total BSAG scores than the controls  $(t_{1095} = 5.77, P < 0.01, d = 0.35)$ . At age 11, the difference had become more marked  $(t_{1034} = 7.25, P < 0.01, d = 0.45)$ . Table 3 shows the OR for having a non-zero score on each of the BSAG subscales. At age 7, cases were significantly more likely to have a non-zero score than controls for unforthcomingness, depression, hostility towards adults, writing off adults and standards, inconsequential behaviour, and miscellaneous symptoms. At age 11, cases were significantly more likely to have a non-zero score than controls on all subscales except for withdrawal and anxiety about acceptance by adults. Effect sizes for the BSAG subscales were generally substantial, with a mean OR of 1.82 at age 11 (Table 3).

The case group gave a significantly lower mean ideal age for marriage than the controls (Table 4; Mann–Whitney *U*-test: z = 7.77, P < 0.01). The case group also had significantly lower mean ideal ages

for starting a family than the controls (Mann–Whitney *U*-test: z = 7.07, P < 0.01). Within the case group, 15.8% reported having had no sex education lessons about conception, compared to 12.8% of the controls (difference not significant: OR 1.28, 95% CI 0.87–1.89). Asked whether they needed more information about conception, 34.3% of the cases answered 'yes' or 'maybe'. This compared to 30.7% of the matched controls (difference not significant: OR 1.12, 95% CI 0.95–1.49).

### **DISCUSSION**

Our results indicate that the differences between British women who initiate childbearing early, and their peers who do not, are apparent well before adolescence. Future young mothers in the NCDS cohort were significantly lighter than their peers at birth, and by age 7, lagged behind their peers in terms of height. Between 7 and 16, future young mothers caught up somewhat in terms of height, and particularly in terms of weight, though the difference in weight gain between 7 and 16 was not statistically significant. We note the similarity here to the growth profile of those at risk for cardiovascular and metabolic problems later in life; low weight at birth and in early childhood, followed by relatively rapid weight gain in middle childhood [60]. Thus, accelerated reproductive schedules may have similar developmental origins. Our future young mothers also showed signs of accelerated pubertal maturation, with more adult breast development at 16, and an average age at menarche around 4 months younger than the controls. They also gained very little height after 16 compared to their peers, suggesting early termination of growth and an accelerated transition from adolescence to adulthood. The effect sizes for physical differences between future young mothers and controls were generally small [59], with the difference in timing of menarche providing the largest effect.

The psychological variables reveal increased levels of emotional and behavioural disturbance at age 7 and, more strongly, at age 11. In contrast to the physical differences, the effect sizes for the psychological variables are substantial, with the odds of depression and hostility at age 11, for example, being over twice as high in the future young mothers as in the control group. Previous research has found that conduct disorder, but not affective problems such as depression, in adolescence, is predictive of teenage pregnancy [52]. However, using a psychological assessment in childhood, we found that both



Table 2. Comparison of the case and control groups for physical development variables

Measure	NCDS variable	Cases	Controls	Effect size
Birthweight (oz)	n574	114.81 (6.93)	116.81 (16.91)	-0.12*
Weight, age 7 (kg)	dvwt07	23.12 (3.46)	23.55 (3.68)	-0.12
Weight, age 11 (kg)	dvwt11	36.73 (7.69)	37.54 (7.52)	-0.11
Weight, age 16 (kg)	dvwt16	54.52 (8.83)	54.19 (8.29)	0.04
Weight, age 23 (kg)	dvwt23	58.16 (10.03)	58.37 (8.96)	-0.02
Height, age 7 (m)	dvht07	1.208 (0.057)	1.220 (0.060)	-0.21*
Height, age 11 (m)	dvht11	1.436 (0.071)	1.447 (0.073)	-0.15*
Height, age 16 (m)	dvht16	1.600 (0.061)	1.607 (0.064)	-0.11
Height, age 23 (m)	dvht23	1.605 (0.065)	1.621 (0.069)	-0.25*
Breast development, age 11	n1531	1.98 (0.93)	2.04 (0.95)	-0.06
Pubic hair, age 11	n1532	1.86 (0.93)	1.86 (0.89)	0
Breast development, age 16	From n2005	Adult 258/non-adult 111	Adult 268/non-adult 155	OR 1.34*
Pubic hair, age 16	From <i>n</i> 2006	Adult 222/non-adult 133	Adult 244/non-adult 172	OR 1.18
Age at menarche	From <i>n</i> 2648	12.57 (1.33)	12.86 (1.25)	-0.23*

Given are descriptive statistics for each group (means and standard deviations or frequencies, as appropriate), and effect size of the case–control comparison (Cohen's d or OR, as appropriate). \*P < 0.05.

**Table 3.** OR (95% CIs) for receiving a non-zero score on each of the BSAG subscales, for cases versus controls, at ages 7 and 11

Scale	Age 7	Age 11	
Unforthcomingness	1.50* (1.18–1.90)	1.30* (1.02–1.66)	
Withdrawal	1.00 (0.72–1.38)	1.34 (0.99–1.83)	
Depression	1.64* (1.29–2.09)	2.28* (1.78–2.93)	
Anxious accept. adults	1.11 (0.87–1.41)	1.29 (0.99–1.67)	
Host. adults	1.95* (1.49–2.56)	2.00* (1.52–2.62)	
Writing off adults	1.79* (1.32–2.19)	1.54* (1.20–1.97)	
Anxious children	1.11 (0.78–1.72)	1.59* (1.12–2.25)	
Host. children	1.22 (0.90–1.72)	2.62* (1.87–3.68)	
Restlessness	1.30 (0.94–1.79)	2.43* (1.67–3.34)	
Incons. behaviour	1.68* (1.32–1.85)	1.75* (1.37–2.24)	
Misc. symptoms	1.45* (1.13–1.85)	1.69* (1.31–2.17)	
Misc. nervous	1.12 (0.74–1.70)	1.97* (1.19–3.26)	

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05.

conduct problems and affective problems were more prevalent in future young mothers than in controls. In fact, increased emotional and behavioural disturbance in the future young mothers was consistent across all the subscales of the BSAG at age 11. Coupled with this was an idealization of earlier marriage and earlier childbearing by age 16. Thus, the psychological variables suggest a picture of poor adjustment and negative emotionality in mid- to late-childhood, associated with a tendency to reproduce young that is already in place by age 16. This

evidence accords with recent qualitative studies, which have suggested that unhappiness in child-hood is often a precursor to teenage motherhood, and that it is generally experienced as a positive life development [4, 5, 61].

The pattern of psychological development—unhappiness in childhood alongside a desire for parenthood—neatly mirrors the physical one of poorer childhood growth, but precocious development at and after puberty. Taken together, the physical and psychological trajectories are consistent with the

**Table 4.** Comparison of the case and control groups for psychological development variables

Variable	NCDS variable	Cases	Controls	Effect size
BSAG total score, age 7 BSAG total score, age 11	n455 n1008	9.08 (8.29) 10.17 (9.53)	6.62 (7.36) 6.43 (7.10)	0.35* 0.45*
Ideal age for marriage	From <i>n</i> 2809	20.66 (2.54)	21.81 (2.26)	-0.48*
Ideal age for family  No lessons about conception	From <i>n</i> 2810 From <i>n</i> 2825	22.67 (2.75) Yes 63/no 335	23.96 (2.55) Yes 58/no 396	-0.49* OR 1.28
Needs more info about conception	From <i>n</i> 2858	Yes 129/no 247	Yes 135/no 305	OR 1.12

Given are descriptive statistics for each group (means and standard deviations or frequencies, as appropriate), and effect size of the case–control comparison (Cohen's d or OR, as appropriate). \*P < 0.05.

idea of a facultative accelerated reproductive strategy being triggered by adverse early experience [31]. However, we note that with our current data, we can only document the different developmental trajectory of future young mothers; we cannot separate out the possible genetic and environmental influences causing it. There is good evidence for both genetic and environmental influences on, for example, age at menarche [36, 41], and Gene × Environment interactions are also likely to be important.

We should note by way of caution that the casecontrol comparisons reported here aggregate all the future young mothers together, and all the controls together. Thus, our analyses do not reflect the fact that there may be multiple pathways to teenage childbearing. Some cases of teenage childbearing may indeed reflect lack of contraceptive education; our results merely show that this is not generally the case in this cohort. Moreover, we have not discriminated the possibility that, for example, one subset of teenage conceptions is preceded by depression in childhood, while a different subset is preceded by early menarche, from the possibility that depression in childhood causes early menarche which leads to early parenthood. Our data are also relatively old, with the NCDS young mothers having their babies in the 1970s. Although the UK rate of teenage childbearing has declined since that time [28], there is no reason to believe that fundamental socioeconomic or psychosocial determinants have altered significantly in recent decades [62]. Indeed, one influential study of teenaged mothers in contemporary Britain noted that they continue to experience difficulties similar to those reported for earlier cohorts. Moffitt and E-Risk Study Team [63] reported

that mothers who gave birth at or before age 20 were more socioeconomically deprived, had reduced human and social capital and experienced significantly more mental health problems than mothers who delayed childbearing.

The current research is valuable for two reasons. First, it allows us to clearly identify individual-level developmental precursors of early childbearing, above and beyond socioeconomic background. Our results suggest that young women who physically mature earlier in comparison to their peers, and especially those whose emotional and behavioural adjustment before puberty is poor, are at substantially increased likelihood of seeking early parenthood. Second, it has implications for the design of interventions. One of the few respects in which the future young mothers did not, on aggregate, differ significantly from the controls is in their exposure to sex education lessons about conception, or their satisfaction with those lessons (cf. [1]). Moreover, the finding that future young mothers had earlier ideal ages for parenthood undermines the view that teenage pregnancy is generally caused by mistakes stemming from poor contraceptive skills. Instead, teenage childbearing generally occurs in the context of early target ages for conception, and stands at the culmination of a long developmental trajectory that begins as early as in utero. It is quite plausible that interventions that improve birthweight or early growth, or reduce emotional distress in childhood, would disrupt this developmental trajectory, and have the eventual effect of reducing teenage pregnancy rates, while merely improving knowledge of contraception is unlikely to have much effect. This suggestion is borne out by the literature on the effectiveness of different kinds of intervention

programme, which shows that interventions aimed at increasing childhood well-being do tend to have an impact [55], whereas sex education programmes aimed at adolescents do not [10–12].

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The NCDS is run by the Centre for Longitudinal Studies, Institute of Education, London (www.cls.ioe.ac.uk), and data are made available to registered researchers via the UK Data Archive (www.data-archive.ac.uk). We should like to thank two anonymous reviewers for useful comments made on an earlier draft of this paper, and also the editorial team for their useful input. Conceived the study: D.N., T.E.D. and D.A.C.; obtained and screened data: D.N. and D.A.C.; analysed data: D.N.; wrote and revised the paper: D.N., T.E.D., D.A.C. and P.D.M.D.

### REFERENCES

- Allen E, Bonell C, Strange V et al. Does the UK government's teenage pregnancy strategy deal with the correct risk factors? Findings from a secondary analysis of data from a randomised trial of sex education and their implications for policy. J Epidemiol Community Health 2007;61: 20–7.
- SEU. Teenage Pregnancy. London: Social Exclusion Unit/ HMSO, 1999.
- 3. Paranjothy S, Broughton H, Adappa R *et al.* Teenage pregnancy: who suffers? *Arch Dis Child* 2009;**94**:239–45.
- 4. Arai L. Teenage Pregnancy: The Making and Unmaking of a Problem. Policy Press: Bristol, 2009.
- Duncan S. What's the problem with teenage parents? And what's the problem with policy? Crit Soc Policy 2007;27: 307–34.
- 6. Geronimus AT, Korenman S, Hillemeier MM. Does young maternal age adversely affect child development? Evidence from cousin comparisons in the United States. Popul Dev Rev 1994;20:585–609.
- 7. Wight D, Abraham C. From psycho-social theory to sustainable classroom practice: developing a research-based teacher-delivered sex education programme. *Health Educ Res* 2000;**15**:25–38.
- Harvey N, Gaudoin M. Teenagers requesting pregnancy termination are no less responsible about contraceptive use at the time of conception than older women. BJOG 2007;114:226–9.
- Seamark C. Design or accident? The natural history of teenage pregnancy. J R Soc Med 2001;94:282–5.
- DiCenso A, Guyatt G, Willan A et al. Interventions to reduce unintended pregnancies among adolescents: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2002; 324:1426–30
- 11. Henderson M, Wight D, Raab GM et al. Impact of a theoretically based sex education programme (SHARE)

- delivered by teachers on NHS registered conceptions and terminations: final results of cluster randomised trial. *BMJ* 2007;**334**:133–6.
- 12. Stephenson J, Strange V, Allen E *et al*. The long-term effects of a peer-led sex education programme (RIPPLE): a cluster randomised trial in schools in England. *PLoS Med* 2008:5:e224.
- 13. Dickins T, Johns S, Chipman A. Teenage pregnancy in the United Kingdom: a behavioral ecological perspective. *J Soc Evol Cult Psychol* 2012;**6**:344–59.
- Nettle D, Coall DA, Dickins TE. Birthweight and paternal involvement predict early reproduction in British women: evidence from the National Child Development Study. Am J Hum Biol 2009;22:172–9.
- 15. Buston K, Williamson L, Hart G. Young women under 16 years with experience of sexual intercourse: who becomes pregnant? *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2007;**61**: 221–5
- Stearns SC. Life history evolution: successes, limitations, and prospects. Naturwissenschaften 2000;87:476–86.
- 17. Kaplan H, Gangestad S. Life history theory and evolutionary psychology. In: Buss DM (ed). The Handbook of Evolutionary Psychology. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley and Sons, 2005, 68–95.
- 18. Sol D. Revisiting the cognitive buffer hypothesis for the evolution of large brains. *Biol Lett* 2009;5:130–3.
- Stearns SC. The evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity: phenotypic sources of variation among organisms can be described by developmental switches and reaction norms. *BioScience* 1989;436:1–10.
- 20. Leimar O. Environmental and genetic cues in the evolution of phenotypic polymorphism. *Evol Ecol* 2007;**23**: 125–35.
- 21. Stearns SC. Trade-offs in life-history evolution. *Funct Ecol* 1989;3:259–68.
- 22. Chisholm JS, Ellison PT, Evans J *et al*. Death, hope, and sex. *Curr Anthropol* 1993;**34**:1–24.
- 23. Kaplan H, Hill KIM, Lancaster J et al. A theory of human life history evolution: diet, intelligence, and longevity. Evol Anthropol Issues News Rev 2000;**9**:156–85.
- 24. Lawson DW, Mace R. Parental investment and the optimization of human family size. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2011;**366**:333–43.
- Borgerhoff Mulder M. Optimizing offspring: the quantity– quality tradeoff in agropastoral Kipsigis. Evol Hum Behav 2000;21:391–410.
- Marmot M. Fair society, healthy lives. *Public Health* 2010; 126(Suppl.):S4–10.
- Johns SE. Perceived environmental risk as a predictor of teenage motherhood in a British population. *Health Place* 2011;17:122–31.
- 28. Kiernan KE. Becoming a young parent: a longitudinal study of associated factors. *Br J Sociol* 1997;**48**:406–28.
- Smith DM, Elander J. Effects of area and family deprivation on risk factors for teenage pregnancy among 13-15-yearold girls. *Psychol Health Med* 2006;11:399–410.

- 30. Nettle D. Flexibility in reproductive timing in human females: integrating ultimate and proximate explanations. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2011;**366**: 357–65.
- Belsky J, Steinberg L, Draper P. Childhood experience, interpersonal development, and reproductive strategy: an evolutionary theory of socialization. *Child Dev* 1991; 62:647–70.
- 32. Adair LS. Size at birth predicts age at menarche. *Pediatrics* 2001;**107**:e59.
- 33. Sloboda DM, Hart R, Doherty DA *et al*. Age at menarche: influences of prenatal and postnatal growth. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007;**92**:46–50.
- 34. Opdahl S, Nilsen TIL, Romundstad PR et al. Association of size at birth with adolescent hormone levels, body size and age at menarche: relevance for breast cancer risk. Br J Cancer 2008:99:201–6.
- 35. Ellis B, McFadyen-Ketchum S. Quality of early family relationships and individual differences in the timing of pubertal maturation in girls: a longitudinal test of an evolutionary model. J Pers Soc Psychol 1999;77: 387–401.
- 36. Tither JM, Ellis BJ. Impact of fathers on daughters' age at menarche: a genetically and environmentally controlled sibling study. *Dev Psychol* 2008;44.
- 37. Bogaert AF. Menarche and father absence in a national probability sample. *J Biosoc Sci* 2008;**40**:623–36.
- Alvergne A, Faurie C, Raymond M. Developmental plasticity of human reproductive development: effects of early family environment in modern-day France. *Physiol Behav* 2008;95:625–32.
- 39. Ellis BJ, Bates JE, Dodge KA *et al.* Does father absence place daughters at special risk for early sexual activity and teenage pregnancy? *Child Dev* 2003;**74**:801–21.
- 40. Chisholm J, Quinlivan J, Petersen R *et al*. Early stress predicts age at menarche and first birth, adult attachment, and expected lifespan. *Hum Nat* 2005;**16**:233–65.
- 41. Hartge P. Genetics of reproductive lifespan. *Nat Rev Genet* 2009;41:637–8.
- 42. Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Belsky J *et al.* Childhood experience and the onset of menarche: a test of a sociobiological model. *Child Dev* 1992;**63**:47–58.
- 43. Comings DE, Muhleman D, Johnson JP *et al.* Parent–daughter transmission of the androgen receptor gene as an explanation of the effect of father absence on age of menarche. *Child Dev* 2002;**73**:1046–51.
- 44. Cameron NM, Fish EW, Meaney MJ. Maternal influences on the sexual behavior and reproductive success of the female rat. *Horm Behav* 2008;54:178–84.
- 45. Cameron NM, Shahrokh D, Del Corpo A et al. Epigenetic programming of phenotypic variations in reproductive strategies in the rat through maternal care. J Neuroendocrinol 2008;20:795–801.

- Belsky J, Pluess M. Beyond diathesis stress: differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Psychol Bull* 2009;135:885–908.
- 47. Wells JCK. Maternal capital and the metabolic ghetto: an evolutionary perspective on the transgenerational basis of health inequalities. *Am J Hum Biol* 2010;**22**:1–17.
- 48. Bogin B. *Patterns of Human Growth*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1988.
- 49. Nettle D. Women's height, reproductive success and the evolution of sexual dimorphism in modern humans. *Proc Biol Sci* 2002;**269**:1919–23.
- 50. Blell M, Pollard TM, Pearce MS. Predictors of the age at first menarche in the Newcastle Thousand Families Study. *J Biosoc Sci* 2008;**40**:563–75.
- 51. Silva IdS, De Stavola BL, Mann V *et al.* Prenatal factors, childhood growth trajectories and age at menarche. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002;**31**:405–12.
- Maughan B, Lindelow M. Secular change in psychosocial risks: the case of teenage motherhood. *Psychol Med* 1997; 27:1129–44.
- 53. Maestripieri D, Roney JR, DeBias N *et al.* Father absence, menarche and interest in infants among adolescent girls. *Dev Sci* 2004;7:560–6.
- 54. Brennan L, McDonald J, Shlomowitz R. Teenage births and final adult height of mothers in India, 1998-1999. *J Biosoc Sci* 2005;**37**:185–91.
- 55. Harden A, Brunton G, Fletcher A et al. Teenage pregnancy and social disadvantage: systematic review integrating controlled trials and qualitative studies. BMJ 2009;339:b4254.
- 56. Imamura M, Tucker J, Hannaford P *et al.* Factors associated with teenage pregnancy in the European Union countries: a systematic review. *Eur J Public Health* 2007;**17**:630–6.
- Office for Population Censuses and Surveys. Classification of Occupations and Coding Index. Her Majesty's Stationery Office: London, 1980.
- Stott DH. The Social-adjustment of Children: Manual to the Bristol Social Adjustment Guides. University of London Press: London, 1965.
- Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences.
   Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Hillsbaum, NJ, 1988.
- Barker DJP, Osmond C, Forsén TJ et al. Trajectories of growth among children who have coronary events as adults. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1802–9.
- 61. Coleman L, Cater S. 'Planned' teenage pregnancy: perspectives of young women from disadvantaged backgrounds in England. *J Youth Stud* 2006;**9**:593–614.
- 62. Hobcraft J. The timing and partnership context of becoming a parent: cohort and gender commonalities and differences in childhood antecedents. *Demogr Res* 2008;**19**:1281–322.
- Moffitt TE, E-Risk Study Team. Teen-aged mothers in contemporary Britain. J Child Psychol Psychiatr 2002;43: 727–42