Edith Cowan University [Research Online](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/)

[Theses: Doctorates and Masters](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses) Theses: Theses:

2017

Residence time in coastal canopies

Maryam Abdolahpour Edith Cowan University

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Ftheses%2F1997&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Marine Biology Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1126?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Ftheses%2F1997&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Oceanography and Atmospheric Sciences and](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/186?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Ftheses%2F1997&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Meteorology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/186?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Ftheses%2F1997&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Abdolahpour, M. (2017). Residence time in coastal canopies. Edith Cowan University. Retrieved from https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses/1997

This Thesis is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses/1997

Edith Cowan University

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study.

The University does not authorize you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following:

- Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright.
- A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement. Where the reproduction of such material is done without attribution of authorship, with false attribution of authorship or the authorship is treated in a derogatory manner, this may be a breach of the author's moral rights contained in Part IX of the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth).
- Courts have the power to impose a wide range of civil and criminal sanctions for infringement of copyright, infringement of moral rights and other offences under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth). Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Residence time in coastal canopies

Maryam Abdolahpour

Master of Engineering (Hydraulic Structures), 2010 Bachelor of Engineering (Water Engineering), 2003

> This thesis is presented for the degree of *Doctor of Philosophy*

The University of Western Australia (School of Civil, Environmental and Mining Engineering) and Edith Cowan University (School of Science)

2017

Abstract

Aquatic canopies provide important ecosystem services such as improved water quality, oxygen flux, sediment stabilisation and trapping and recycling of nutrients. The ecological health of coastal canopies and the significant ecosystem services they provide depends largely on the continuous exchange of dissolved and particulate materials across the canopy boundaries. In coastal environments, where flow is typically wavedominated, vertical mixing is believed to be the dominant process controlling residence time and, therefore, exchange. However, experiments have shown that wave-driven flows over rough boundaries, such as canopies, generate strong onshore mean currents (75% of the orbital velocity far above the canopy) near the canopy top. Since these currents can significantly influence canopy residence time, it is imperative to understand how the two processes of vertical mixing and horizontal advection can influence water renewal and, ultimately, residence time in wave-dominated canopy flows. This thesis presents predictive formulations for (i) vertical mixing and (ii) horizontal flushing, the two key mechanisms dictating water renewal and ultimately residence time in these environments. It is also examined how embedding realism (in the form of flexibility and buoyancy) in the model vegetation can influence flow and turbulent structure as well as residence time. Finally, through consideration of a Peclet number *Pe* (the ratio of diffusive to advective time scales), a framework for quantitative prediction of residence time in these environments is presented.

It is found that two important mechanisms dominate vertical mixing under wavedominated conditions: a shear layer that forms at the top of the canopy and wake turbulence generated by the stems. By allowing a coupled contribution of wake and shear layer mixing, a predictive formulation for the rate of vertical mixing in coastal canopies across a range of wave and canopy conditions is presented. Results also reveal that flexibility can significantly alter the hydrodynamics of the flow, shear layer characteristics and near-bed turbulent intensities. These differences ultimately lead to a significant reduction in the rate of vertical mixing in flexible canopies when compared to the rigid analogues such that vertical diffusivity in flexible vegetation was always lower than the corresponding rigid canopy (by up to 35%). A physical description of, and predictive formulation for, the mean current generated in wave-dominated flows over large benthic roughness (such as the canopies of seagrass, macroalgae and corals) is also presented. This model indicates that the magnitude of the wave-driven current increases with the above-canopy oscillatory velocity, the vertical orbital excursion at the top of the canopy and the canopy density. An extensive laboratory study, using both rigid and (dynamically-scaled) flexible model vegetation validated the accuracy of the proposed model. Results reveal that *Pe* depends heavily on wave and canopy properties and may vary significantly in real coastal canopies. Quantitative predictions for residence time in the limit of $Pe \ll 1$ (mixingdominated exchange) and $Pe \gg 1$ (advection-dominated exchange) are presented. The results of this study can have significant implications for a range of environmental, ecological and biochemical studies as well as numerical simulations. In particular, it enables an enhanced predictive capability for the residence time of ecologically-significant materials such as nutrients, seeds, pollen as well as contaminants and dredging plumes. Additionally, the greatly improved understanding in the hydrodynamics of oscillatory canopy flows achieved through this study can serve as a foundation for the numerical modelling of these environments. Ultimately, the results of this study are a step towards an improving management and protection of coastal canopies and their associated ecological communities.

Declaration

I certify that this thesis does not, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

(i) incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any institution of higher education;

(ii) contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text; or

(iii) contain any defamatory material;

Date: 5/07/2017

vi

Acknowledgements

I would, first and foremost, like to thank my supervisors for their continual support throughout this PhD project. Firstly, Marco Ghisalberti, for his endless involvement and commitment; thank you, Marco for allowing me to think freely and direct my own research, while being extremely supportive at all stages with your invaluable advice, brilliant ideas and constructive feedback. This project would have never been possible without you and your tremendous support. Secondly, Paul Lavery, for his insightful advice on the ecological significance of the project. Your exceptional enthusiasm constantly inspired and motivated me to tackle challenges with ease. I would also like to thank Kathryn McMahon for her excellent guidance for ecological implications of this project, as well as constant support and encouragement over the last four years. I thank you all for helping me shape a piece of work that has a real purpose, and thereby making this project one of my most amazing life experiences.

I have greatly benefited from visiting the Fluid Mechanics Laboratories and the research groups at Stanford University and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). In particular, I would like to express my gratitude to Heidi Nepf, Jeffrey Koseff and Stephen Monismith, for their valuable advice on my experimental results. I would also like to extend my gratitude towards all the members of their research groups, for sharing their knowledge and experiences, which made my visits extremely rewarding.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all the academics, staff, technicians and fellow students at the University of Western Australia, Edith Cowan University and the University of Melbourne. In particular, John Langan and James Hehre at the Hydraulics Laboratory, for your cooperation, encouragement and most of all, your humour, which kept things light, and me smiling. I would also like to thank Dolors Pujol, Joey Voermans, Liah Coggins, Paul Branson, Alexis Espinosa, Ken Fogden, Krystyna Haq, Natasha Ballis, Elise King, Christopher Kavazos, Taj Sarker and Lei Tian for their immense support, cooperation, and of course, friendship. I also thank Martine Hawkins, Louise Wedlock, Carolyn Oldham and Anas Ghadouani for their support throughout my joint-enrollment process between UWA and ECU.

I also gratefully acknowledge the support of the Collaborative Research Network scholarship (CRN) and a Postgraduate Research Scholarship granted by Edith Cowan University (ECUPRS) and the Australian Government. The grant from the Australian Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research's Collaborative Research Network Scheme (Grant CRN2011:05) made this research possible, and gave it its present form.

Finally, I would like to extend my special gratitude and love to my beloved family; Mum and Dad, who have been the greatest motivation in my life; my sister and brothers and their incredible families; my husband, Babak; for his constant love and support who despite the great distance, enabled me to pursue all my goals and passions.

Contents

xi

[Bibliography](#page-37-0) 100

List of Tables

List of Figures

- [1.1 Canopy-induced shear and the subsequent vortex generation in wave](#page-31-1)[dominated flows. \(a\) Vertical profiles of RMS velocities for identical](#page-31-1) waves $(U_{\infty}^{rms} = 17 \text{ cm/s})$ over a dense canopy (10% by volume, black [circles\), a sparse canopy \(1% by volume, gray circles\) and a bare bed](#page-31-1) [\(white circles\) suggest an increasing velocity attenuation with canopy](#page-31-1) density (*[Abdolahpour et al.](#page-40-0)* [2017a\). Values of the in-canopy RMS veloc](#page-31-1)ity, U_c^{rms} [, the above-canopy RMS velocity,](#page-31-1) U_{∞}^{rms} , and the velocity attenuation, ∆*U*[, are indicated for the dense canopy. The gray dashed line indi](#page-31-1)[cates the top of the canopy. \(b\) Image showing the KH-vortices generated](#page-31-1) [in an oscillatory canopy flow in the laboratory when](#page-31-1) *KC* > 5 (*[Ghisalberti](#page-44-0) [and Schlosser](#page-31-1)* [2013\)](#page-44-0). 4 [2.1 The experimental setup, dye injection system and the model canopy. \(a\)](#page--1-62) [Schematic view of the experimental configuration in the wave flume \(not](#page--1-62) [to scale\) \(b\) The dye injection tubing extended wall-to-wall \(at the top](#page--1-62)
- of a $\lambda_P = 3\%$ canopy) and the vertical arrangement of the five Cyclops 7 [fluorometers \(c\) A close-up of the two-line injection system \(in a canopy](#page--1-62) with $\lambda_p = 5\%$). The height of the central fluorometer (3) was exactly the [same as that of the center of the injection tubing so as to measure the](#page--1-62) [maximum concentration of the injected dye.](#page--1-62) 13 [2.2 The vertical growth of the dye cloud for run 3-VL \(](#page--1-61) $T = 8$ s, $H = 7$ cm)
- from $t = 0$ (the moment of injection) to $t = 8T$. Concentration values are [time-averaged over exactly one wave cycle \(indicated by markers\). For all](#page--1-61) [Gaussian fits \(indicated by the lines\),](#page--1-61) $R^2 > 0.97$, indicating that concen[tration distributions show excellent agreement with the model presented](#page--1-61) [in Equation \(2.6\).](#page--1-61) . 18
- [2.3 The growth of concentration distribution variance with time after injection](#page--1-62) [for run 3-VL \(the same run as in Figure](#page--1-62) [2.2.](#page--1-61) \ldots , 19
- [2.4 A time series of velocity in the direction of wave propagation \(](#page--1-61)*u*) above [the canopy in run 6-M. \(a\) The raw velocity signal containing the mean,](#page--1-61) [oscillatory and turbulent components \(Equation](#page--1-61) [2.12\)](#page--1-65) (b) All velocity data from 50 wave cycles as a function of phase (φ) (c) The phase-averaged [oscillatory velocity <](#page--1-61)*u*(ϕ)>. 20
- [2.5 The impact of canopy density on the in-canopy oscillatory velocity. For](#page--1-62) identical waves (with $T = 8$ s), vertical profiles of U^{rms} for a dense canopy $(\lambda_P = 10\%$, black markers), a sparse canopy $(\lambda_P = 1\%$, grey markers) and a bare bed (white markers) are shown. Values of the in-canopy (U_c^{rms}) and above-canopy (U_{∞}^{rms}) RMS velocities are indicated for the dense canopy. . 21
- 2.6 The velocity attenuation ratio (α) as a function of the dimensionless particle excursion length (U_{∞}^{rms}/S) over a wide range of canopy density (here represented, as in *[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [\(2005a\), by the ratio of the stem-to-stem](#page--1-61) [spacing to the stem diameter,](#page--1-61) S/d). Here, U_{∞}^{rms} is the RMS particle ex[cursion far above the canopy \(equal to](#page--1-61) *A*∞/ √ 2 for linear waves). Good [agreement is observed between the measured values of](#page--1-61) α (circles) and [those predicted by the theoretical model of](#page--1-61) *[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [\(2005a\)](#page-47-0) (solid lines); the average discrepancy is less than 5% 22
- 2.7 The collapse of vertical diffusivity $(D_t)_z$ data as a function of (ΔUL_D) [across the range of canopy density \(indicated in the legend\). The dashed](#page--1-61) line represents the trend at high (ΔUL_D) . Vertical bars represent the stan[dard deviation of diffusivity estimates from the 3 to 8 replications in each](#page--1-61) [run. In some cases, this variation is smaller than the marker size.](#page--1-61) 24
- [2.8 The importance of shear-layer-driven mixing at high](#page--1-62) *KC*, as indicated by the collapse of diffusivity data when plotted against (ΔUL_D) for $KC > 18$. [Canopy density is indicated in the legend. The slope of the line of best-fit](#page--1-62) [\(0.082\) provides the scaling factor](#page--1-62) α1. 25
- [2.9 The importance of wake-driven mixing in oscillatory canopy flows. When](#page--1-61) $KC < 5$, the diffusivity behaves as predicted by a steady flow model of [wake-driven mixing \(Equation \(2.16\)\) across a range of canopy density](#page--1-61) (indicated in the legend). The slope of the line of best-fit (1.1) provides [the scaling factor](#page--1-61) α2. 26
- [2.10 Mixing in oscillatory canopy flows as a combination of shear-layer- and](#page--1-62) [wake-driven mixing processes. The triangle markers represent diffusivity](#page--1-62) data in the intermediate regime $(5 < KC < 18)$, with circles representing the limiting regimes of shear-layer- and wake-dominance $(KC > 18)$ and $KC < 5$, respectively). There is a strong linear relationship between [diffusivity and the sum of shear-layer-driven \(Equation \(2.15\)\) and wake](#page--1-62)[driven \(Equation \(2.17\)\) formulations over the entire range of canopy den](#page--1-62)sity and *KC* [values examined here. The slope of the line of best-fit \(0.53\)](#page--1-62) [provides the scaling factor](#page--1-62) β in Equation [\(2.18\)](#page--1-69). 27
- [2.11 The ratio of in-canopy](#page--1-61) $(D_{t,z})_c$ to above-canopy diffusivity $(D_{t,z})_{\infty}$ as a function of *KC*[. This ratio always exceeds 1 for](#page--1-61) $KC < 5$, indicative of the [impact of wakes on the rate of vertical mixing. This ratio decreases with](#page--1-61) *KC* [such that](#page--1-61) $(D_{t,z})_c/(D_{t,z})_\infty \approx 1$ for $KC > 18$ 28
- [2.12 Comparison of observed vertical turbulent diffusivities in steady \(data](#page--1-70) [from runs A, C, D, E, F, G, H and I, \(](#page--1-70)*[Ghisalberti and Nepf](#page-43-0)* [2005\)](#page-43-0)) and [wave-dominated flows \(present study\) with the same above-canopy RMS](#page--1-70) [velocity and identical canopies. Mixing in wave-dominated flows occurs](#page--1-70) [at less than half the rate of that in the corresponding steady flow over the](#page--1-70) [same canopy.](#page--1-70) . 29
- [2.13 The variation of the characteristic canopy residence time \(](#page--1-62)*Tres*) with wave height (*H*) and canopy density (λ_P [\). There is a simple decrease of resi](#page--1-62)[dence time with increasing wave height. The variation with canopy den](#page--1-62)[sity is more complex; the residence time depends heavily on canopy den](#page--1-62)sity (λ_P) only if the canopy is sparse. In general, there is a trend of in[creasing residence time with canopy density for typical values of](#page--1-62) λ_p 31
- [2.14 The decrease of residence time with increasing wave period \(](#page--1-61)*T*). This [decrease is sharper in sparser canopies, as can be seen by contrasting the](#page--1-61) dashed $(\lambda_P = 1\%)$ and solid $(\lambda_P = 5\%)$ lines. 32
- [3.1 The behavior of model seagrass leaves \(under the wave crest\) with](#page--1-71) $B \approx 7$ [to 50 in comparison with a real](#page--1-71) *Posidonia australis* leaf. The model blade with $B \approx 12$ exhibited the most realistic motion. 40
- [3.2 Comparison of the real and model blade under different wave phases.](#page--1-62) [The real plant is on the left-hand side in all photos. Figures](#page--1-62) [3.2a](#page--1-62) to [3.2c](#page--1-62) [display the behavior of the model seagrass blade where the fluid motion](#page--1-62) [is from left to right \(in the direction of wave propagation\), while](#page--1-62) [3.2d](#page--1-62) to [3.2f display the blade behavior when the fluid motion is from right to left](#page--1-62) [\(in the offshore direction\).](#page--1-62) . 41
- [3.3 Vertical variation of frontal area in the \(a\) real](#page--1-61) *Posidonia australis* (col[lected from Shoalwater Bay, Western Australia\) and \(b\) model plant. The](#page--1-61) [lower region of the canopy has a greater frontal area than the upper region](#page--1-61) [so for \(c\) model plants, the average of the upper and lower frontal areas,](#page--1-61) *abe f f* [, was used in calculation.](#page--1-61) . 42
- [3.4 Schematic view of the experimental configuration in the wave flume \(not](#page--1-62) [to scale\). Beaches of slope 1 : 10 were constructed at both ends of the](#page--1-62) [flume to minimize wave reflection. Velocity measurements were taken by](#page--1-62) [an Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter \(ADV\) in the geometric center of the](#page--1-62) [canopy.](#page--1-62) . 43
- [3.5 A typical velocity profile taken in run 18-FM showing raw and filtered](#page--1-61) data. Black circles represent *U rms* [values of raw data and gray circles](#page--1-61) represent U^{rms} [values of filtered data \(data with correlation](#page--1-61) $> 70\%$). The [shaded gray area represents the range of canopy height under the maxi](#page--1-61)[mum pronation in the direction of wave propagation.](#page--1-61) 46
- [3.6 Photographs showing the dye injection system in a typical \(a\) rigid \(](#page--1-62)*ad* = 0.063) and (b) flexible $(ab_{eff} = 0.064)$ canopy. Five fluorometers were [arranged vertically to monitor the evolution of concentration profiles over](#page--1-62) [the water depth. The dye injection was done within and near the shear](#page--1-62) [layer \(where mixing is expected to be greatest\); i.e., at the top of the rigid](#page--1-62) [canopies and at the bed for flexible canopies.](#page--1-62) 47
- [3.7 Vertical growth of the dye cloud for two runs with comparable rate of](#page--1-62) [spread of the dye sheet; i.e. \(a\) injection at the bed in run 6-FM \(](#page--1-62) $T = 8$ s, $U_{\infty}^{rms} = 7.7$ cm/s) and (b) injection at the top of the canopy in run 2-RM $(T = 8 \text{ s}, U_{\infty}^{rms} = 5.6 \text{ cm/s})$ from $t = 0$ (the moment of injection) to $t =$ 6*T*[. Concentration values are time-averaged over exactly one wave cycle](#page--1-62) [\(indicated by markers\). For all Gaussian fits \(indicated by the lines\),](#page--1-62) R^2 > 0.[9, indicating an good agreement between the concentration distributions](#page--1-62) [and the model presented in \(3.11\).](#page--1-62) . 49 [3.8 The growth of concentration distribution variance with time after injection](#page--1-61) [for two comparable runs; i.e. \(a\) 6-FM and \(b\) 2-RM \(the same runs as](#page--1-61) in Figure [3.7\). The variance increases linearly with time until the dye](#page--1-61) [cloud encounters the boundaries \(i.e., water surface or/and bed\) and stops](#page--1-61) [growing.](#page--1-61) . 50 [3.9 The applicability of predictive models in \(3.5\) and \(3.6\) for effective](#page--1-62) [canopy height. The predictive models suggested by](#page--1-62) *[Luhar and Nepf](#page-47-1)* [\(2016\) represent a good agreement with observations of this study for](#page--1-62) both (a) *L* < [1 and \(b\)](#page--1-62) *L* > 1. 51 [3.10 Vertical velocity profiles of an identical wave \(run 18, Table](#page--1-61) [3.3\)](#page--1-61) over a [sparse \(gray markers\) and a dense canopy \(black markers\) for both \(a\)](#page--1-61) [rigid and \(b\) flexible canopies. While an increasing velocity attenuation](#page--1-61) [with canopy density is observed in both systems, flexible canopies gener](#page--1-61)[ate a weaker velocity attenuation compared to the rigid analogues. Values](#page--1-61) of in-canopy (U_c^{rms}) [and above-canopy RMS velocities](#page--1-61) (U_{∞}^{rms}) as well as ∆*U* [are indicated for the dense canopies in both cases. The gray dashed](#page--1-61) [lines indicate the maximum canopy height and the gray bands indicate](#page--1-61) [the region of shear for dense canopies. The region of shear is centered](#page--1-61) [around the canopy-water interface in rigid canopies and centered around](#page--1-61) [the maximum pronation in flexible cases.](#page--1-61) 52 [3.11 The velocity reduction observed within rigid \(](#page--1-62) ΔU_R) and flexible (ΔU_F)
- [canopies. There is a diminished attenuation in flexible canopies com](#page--1-62)[pared to rigid canopies with comparable canopy dimensionless frontal](#page--1-62) [areas when subjected to the same wave conditions.](#page--1-62) 53
- [3.12 Vertical profiles of non-dimensional Reynolds stresses induced by an](#page--1-61) identical wave $(U_{\infty}^{rms} = 15 \text{ cm/s}$ and $T = 5 \text{ s}$, Table [3.3\) over \(a\) rigid](#page--1-61) [and \(b\) flexible canopies with different densities \(Table](#page--1-61) [3.2\)](#page--1-63). The dark[ness of markers is proportional to canopy density. Reynold stresses peak](#page--1-61) [near the center of the shear layer in both environments; indicating en](#page--1-61)[hanced vertical mixing in this region. The magnitude of Reynolds stress](#page--1-61) [increases with canopy density.](#page--1-61) . 54
- [3.13 Phase-averaged statistics for an identical wave over comparable dense](#page--1-62) [rigid and flexible canopies \(runs 11-RH and 11-FH, Table](#page--1-62) [3.2](#page--1-63) and Table [3.3\). Dimensionless values of RMS velocities demonstrate a greater](#page--1-62) [velocity reduction within the \(a\) rigid canopy compared to the \(b\) flexible](#page--1-62) [analogue. An enhanced momentum transfer is observed near the center of](#page--1-62) [the shear layer in both \(c\) rigid and \(d\) flexible canopies. The strength of](#page--1-62) $TKE/(U_{\infty}^{rms})^2$ [is significantly enhanced under the wave crest and trough](#page--1-62) [in \(e\) rigid canopies whilst a more distributed pattern is observed through](#page--1-62)[out the entire wave cycle in \(f\) flexible canopies.](#page--1-62) 55
- 3.14 Power spectral densities of vertical turbulent velocity $(S_{w'w'})$ as a function of frequency (*f*[\) in rigid and flexible canopies with](#page--1-62) $KC < 5$ and $KC > 5$. While $S_{w'w'}$ [represents a negligible variation within the canopy \(](#page--1-62) $z = 16$) cm and $z = 30$ cm) when (a) $KC < 5$ (runs 18-RL and 18-RH, respec[tively\), it shows a greatly enhanced peak for lower frequencies when \(c\)](#page--1-62) $KC > 5$, supporting the generation of large scale shear-driven vortices when $KC > 5$. This is consistent with $S_{w/w'}$ [in flexible canopies with \(b\)](#page--1-62) $KC < 5$ and (d) $KC > 5$ (runs 18-FL and 18-FH, respectively). The no[ticeable augmentation of turbulent level compared to the above-canopy](#page--1-62) $(z = 60 \text{ cm})$ for higher frequencies $(f > 0.04 \text{ Hz})$ suggests the impact of [wake turbulence in these frequency domains for both rigid and flexible](#page--1-62) [canopies.](#page--1-62) . 57
- [3.15 Values of vertical turbulent diffusivity,](#page--1-61) $D_{t,z}$, observed within a flexible canopy plotted against $D_{t,z}$ [observed within a rigid canopy.](#page--1-61) $D_{t,z}$ values are [almost always lower within a flexible vegetation. Vertical and horizontal](#page--1-61) [bars represent the standard deviation of diffusivity estimates from the 3 to](#page--1-61) [8 replications in each run for flexible and rigid canopies, respectively. In](#page--1-61) [some cases, this variation is smaller than the marker size.](#page--1-61) 58
- [4.1 The impact of the wave-induced, shoreward mean current on a](#page--1-62) [dynamically-scaled flexible canopy in the laboratory. Images show the](#page--1-62) [canopy at its maximum pronation \(a\) in the direction of wave propaga](#page--1-62)[tion, and \(b\) in the direction opposite to that of wave propagation. The](#page--1-62) [presence of the mean current creates an asymmetry in canopy posture,](#page--1-62) [with a greatly enhanced pronation in the direction of wave propagation.](#page--1-62) . 65
- [4.2 The increase in attenuation of orbital velocity with density in submerged](#page--1-61) [canopies. Vertical profiles of RMS velocities for identical waves \(](#page--1-61) U_{∞}^{rms} = 17 cm/s, Run 18 in Table [4.2\) over a dense rigid canopy \(10% by vol](#page--1-61)[ume\), a sparse rigid canopy \(1% by volume\) and a bare bed are presented.](#page--1-61) [Values of the in-canopy RMS velocity,](#page--1-61) U_c^{rms} , and the above-canopy RMS velocity, *U*_∞^{*rms*}[, are indicated for the dense canopy. The gray dashed line](#page--1-61) [represents the top of the canopy.](#page--1-61) . 66
- [4.3 Lagrangian and Eulerian views of the wave-induced drift over coastal](#page--1-61) [canopies. \(a\) The deviation of particle orbits from linear wave theory](#page--1-61) [\(left\) due to the canopy resistance. Fluid particles with a mean posi](#page--1-61)[tion at the top of the canopy will have a greater velocity in the direction](#page--1-61) of wave propagation (U_1) than in the offshore direction (U_2) , generat[ing a Lagrangian drift in the direction of wave propagation. \(b\) In spite](#page--1-61) [of a symmetric oscillation far above the canopy \(](#page--1-61) $\bar{u} \approx 0$), fluid particles [within approximately one vertical orbital excursion \(i.e.](#page--1-61) $\pm \xi_T$) of the top [of the canopy move with the drift under a wave crest and against it under](#page--1-61) [a trough. This generates an asymmetric Eulerian velocity record, such](#page--1-61) that $\overline{u} \neq 0$ near the canopy-water interface (shown for a typical wave with $T = 5$ s and $U_{\infty}^{rms} = 19$ cm/s; Run 16-RM, Table [4.2\)](#page--1-62). 68
- [4.4 Schematic view of the experimental configuration in the wave tank \(not](#page--1-61) [to scale\). Beaches of slope 1:10 were constructed at both ends of the tank](#page--1-61) [to minimize wave reflection. Velocity measurements were taken by an](#page--1-61) [Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter \(ADV\) in the geometric center of the canopy.](#page--1-61) 70
- [4.5 Photographs showing the ADV probe measuring within the densest \(a\)](#page--1-62) [rigid and \(b\) flexible model canopies.](#page--1-62) 71

- [4.6 Vertical variation of dimensionless frontal area \(](#page--1-61)*ab*) in the model *Posidonia australis* [canopy. The lower region of the canopy has a greater frontal](#page--1-61) [area than the upper region. The average of the upper and lower values,](#page--1-61) ab_{eff} [, was used to characterize the flexible canopies.](#page--1-61) 72
- [4.7 Vertical profiles of \(a\)](#page--1-61) *Urms* and (b) *u* in Run 18−FM estimated using raw [and filtered data. The shaded areas represent the top of the canopy \(with](#page--1-61) [spatial variability\) at the point of the maximum pronation in the direction](#page--1-61) [of wave propagation. Gray dashed lines indicate the maximum blade height.](#page--1-61) 74
- [4.8 Vertical profiles of mean velocity in the absence and presence of the](#page--1-62) [canopy. The observed vertical profile of mean velocity in the absence](#page--1-62) [of a canopy \(gray solid line\) is in good agreement with the prediction](#page--1-62) of *[Longuet-Higgins](#page-47-2)* [\(1953\). For the same wave \(](#page--1-62) $U_{\infty}^{rms} = 19$ cm/s; Run 16, Table [4.2\) in the presence of a canopy \(](#page--1-62) $ad = 0.063$), the maximum cur[rent exceeds that in the absence of the canopy by an order of magnitude,](#page--1-62) [typical of all the experimental runs conducted here.](#page--1-62) $\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$ 77
- [4.9 The impact of wave and canopy conditions on the mean current generated.](#page--1-61) (a) Identical rigid canopies $(ad = 0.063, Table 4.1)$ $(ad = 0.063, Table 4.1)$ subjected to different waves with U_{∞}^{rms} [between 3 and 19 cm/s. The stronger the wave forcing,](#page--1-61) [the greater the mean current. \(b\) Identical waves \(](#page--1-61) $U_{\infty}^{rms} = 19$ cm/s) over different rigid canopies with *ad* [ranging from 0.016 \(L\) to 0.131 \(H, Ta](#page--1-61)ble [4.1\). Importantly, stronger currents are generated by denser canopies.](#page--1-61) (c) The maximum value of \bar{u} [occurs near the canopy top for both rigid and](#page--1-61) [flexible canopies, which is indicated by a dashed line for the rigid canopy](#page--1-61) [and a gray band \(representing the range of blade heights at maximum](#page--1-61) [pronation\) for the flexible canopy.](#page--1-61) . 78
- [4.10 Observed \(vertical axis\) and predicted \(horizontal axis\) values of the](#page--1-62) depth-averaged current speed in the canopy (\bar{u}_c) for two flexible canopy densities, $ab_{eff} = 0.064$ and 0.[145 \(FM and FH, Table](#page--1-62) [4.1\)](#page--1-62). Grey dashed [lines indicate 1 : 1 agreement. \(a\) Predicted value of](#page--1-62) \bar{u}_c from [\(4.1\)](#page--1-75), taking $U_c \equiv U_b$ [as the in-canopy oscillatory velocity amplitude \(Equation \(4.2\)\),](#page--1-62) and (b) using values of *U^c* [measured in these experiments. While Equa](#page--1-62)[tion \(4.1\) provides reasonably accurate predictions of the mean currents](#page--1-62) in the experiments of *[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [\(2010\) \(abbreviated as LCIFN\), it fails](#page--1-62) [to do so in these experiments.](#page--1-62) . 79

xxi

4.11 The direct proportionality ($R^2 = 0.80$) in rigid canopies between the maximum current speed (\overline{u}_{max}) and the velocity differential experienced by particles that encounter the top of the canopy (δU) . The dashed line rep[resents the line of the best fit. This validates the hypothesis in \(4.3\), which](#page--1-61) [underpins the model presented here for wave-generated currents.](#page--1-61) 80 [4.12 The accuracy of the model developed here in predicting the maximum](#page--1-62) current, \overline{u}_{max} . Observed \overline{u}_{max} [values in both rigid and flexible canopies](#page--1-62) are in good agreement ($R^2 = 0.83$) with predicted values (from Equa[tion \(4.12\)\); the dashed line represents 1 : 1 agreement. Laboratory ob](#page--1-62)servations from *[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [\(2010\) \(only considering runs for which](#page--1-62) $A_{\infty}^{rms}/S < 1$) and field observations from *[Luhar et al.](#page-48-0)* [\(2013\)](#page-48-0) (abbreviated as LIOTN) are also well predicted by (4.12) . \ldots 81 [4.13 The collapse of vertical profiles of mean current speed on a normalized](#page--1-61) vertical scale ($(z-h_c)/\xi_T$ [\) for rigid canopies with \(a\)](#page--1-61) $ad = 0.016$ (b) $ad =$ 0.063 and (c) $ad = 0.131$. The darkness of the markers is proportional to the magnitude of U_{∞}^{rms} [\(as indicated by the colorbar\). The dashed lines](#page--1-61) [indicate the region within one vertical orbital excursion of the canopy](#page--1-61) [top and the gray bands the range over which the total advective flux was](#page--1-61) [evaluated.](#page--1-61) . 82 4.14 The linear proportionality between the total flux in rigid canopies (q_r) and $(\bar{u}_{max} \xi_T)$. The strong proportionality ($R^2 = 0.86$) validates the scaling [relationship in \(4.14\) for rigid canopies. The slope of the line of best fit](#page--1-62) [\(dashed line\) defines the scaling coefficient for rigid canopies,](#page--1-62) γ (= 1.2). 83 4.15 Profiles of $\overline{u}/\overline{u}_{max}$ [for the flexible canopy FH \(](#page--1-80) $ab_{eff} = 0.145$) when plot[ted on a normalized vertical scale \(](#page--1-80) $(z-h_c)/\xi_T$). The darkness of markers [is proportional to the magnitude of](#page--1-80) U_{∞}^{rms} (as indicated by the colorbar). . . 83 4.16 The linear proportionality between the total flux in flexible canopies (q_f) and $(\overline{u}_{max} \xi_T)$. The strong proportionality ($R^2 = 0.80$) validates the scal[ing relationship in \(4.14\). The slope of the line of best fit \(dashed line\)](#page--1-61) [defines the scaling coefficient for flexible canopies,](#page--1-61) γ (=1.9). 84 [5.1 Conceptual model showing the two important mechanisms controlling](#page--1-62) [residence time in coastal canopies, i.e. \(a\) horizontal flushing \(through](#page--1-62)

[wave-driven advection\) and \(b\) vertical mixing.](#page--1-62) 89

- 5.2 Variation of (a) vertical mixing $(D_t)_z$ and (b) horizontal flushing (\overline{u}_{max}) with wave height (*H*[\) and canopy density \(indicated as the dimensionless](#page--1-61) frontal area, *ad*[\). The darkness of lines is proportional to the magnitude of](#page--1-61) *H* [\(indicated in colourbar\). There is a simple increase of vertical mixing](#page--1-61) [and horizontal flushing with increasing wave height. While](#page--1-61) \overline{u}_{max} increases [simply with canopy density, the variation of](#page--1-61) $D_{t,z}$ with canopy density is [more complex; vertical mixing depends heavily on canopy density only if](#page--1-61) [the canopy is sparse.](#page--1-61) . 92 5.3 Variation of *Pe* [with canopy density \(indicated as](#page--1-81) *ad*) under different wave conditions. *Pe* increases with *ad* [due to the enhanced advection in dense](#page--1-81) [canopies \(Equation \(5.2\)\). The darkness of the markers is proportional](#page--1-81) [to the canopy length \(indicated in the colourbar\). The Peclet number de](#page--1-81)[creases with canopy length, such that vertical mixing controls exchange](#page--1-81) [in long canopies.](#page--1-81) . 93 [5.4 Variation of canopy residence time with canopy density in the limit of](#page--1-83)
- $Pe \ll 1$ (based on Equations (5.4) and (5.1)). Despite a clear dependence of *Tmix* [on wave height, it becomes increasingly independent of](#page--1-83) *ad* as [canopy density increases.](#page--1-83) . 93 5.5 T_{adv} variation with *ad* in the limit of $Pe \gg 1$. While a longer canopy leads to a greater T_{adv} [, increasing canopy density reduces](#page--1-61) T_{adv} due to the [enhancement of](#page--1-61) *umax*. 94

xxiv

Statement of candidate contribution and publications

This dissertation is presented as a series of papers, some published and some under review, and consists of three self-contained articles (Chapters [2,](#page--1-0) [3](#page--1-0) and [4,](#page--1-0) see below for publication status), and a fifth chapter synthesising the results of this study. Each paper concerns a key component when describing residence time in coastal canopies and has its own introduction, literature review, methodology, results and discussion. All papers are coauthored although I designed and conducted all laboratory investigations and the bulk of analysis and manuscript preparation.

M. Abdolahpour, M. Ghisalberti, P. Lavery and K. McMahon, Vertical mixing in coastal canopies, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 62(1), 26-42, 2017, doi : 10.1002/lno.103687. (Chapter [2](#page--1-0))

Chapter [2](#page--1-0), presents, for the first time, a formulation to predict rates of vertical mixing in vegetation canopies (e.g. seagrass, kelp, macroalgae) in coastal environments. The results of this study enables a significantly-enhanced predictive capability for the residence time of ecologically-significant species in these systems. I designed the study, the experimental setup, executed the laboratory work and undertook the data analysis and preparation of the manuscript. M. Ghisalberti provided significant advice on the data analysis approach, interpretation of the data as well as editorial feedback on the manuscript. P. Lavery and K. McMahon provided input into design of the experimental setup and assisted with interpretation of results for ecological implication.

M. Abdolahpour, M. Ghisalberti, K. McMahon and P. Lavery, The impact of flexibility on flow, turbulence and vertical mixing in coastal canopies, *Submitted to Limnology and Oceanography*. (Chapter [3](#page--1-0))

Chapter [3](#page--1-0), describes the impact of flexibility on flow, turbulence structure and mixing in coastal canopies. The subsequent publication that forms the basis for this chapter is primarily my own work. I designed the study, the experimental setup, executed the laboratory work and undertook the data analysis and preparation of the manuscript. M. Ghisalberti provided advice on the design of flexible model vegetation, interpretation of the data as well as editorial feedback on the manuscript. P. Lavery and K. McMahon provided input into the ecological implication of the results as well as editorial feedback on the manuscript.

M. Abdolahpour, M. Hambleton and M. Ghisalberti, The wave-driven current in coastal canopies, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 122, doi : 10.1002/2016JC012446. (Chapter [4](#page--1-0))

Chapter [4](#page--1-0), presents a predictive formulation for the wave-driven mean current generated over large benthic roughness. I designed the study and experimental framework, executed the laboratory work and undertook the data analysis and preparation of the manuscript. M. Hambleton assisted with data collection and analysis. M. Ghisalberti provided significant advice on the model development, interpretation of data as well as editorial feedback on the manuscript.

Chapter [5](#page--1-0), is a synthesis of results obtained during this research (i.e. chapters [2](#page--1-0) to [4\)](#page--1-0) in which a framework for predictive quantifications of residence time in coastal canopies is presented. Data analysis, interpretation and discussion provided in this chapter is primarily my own work, although M. Ghisalberti, P. Lavery and K. McMahon have assisted with model development and interpretation, as well as drafting the document.

Part of my research has also been presented at three international conferences:

(i) at the $11th$ International Symposium on EcoHydraulics held in Melbourne, Australia in February 2016;

(ii) at the Ocean Sciences Meeting held in New Orleans, USA, in February 2016; and (iii) at the $20th$ Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference held in Perth, Australia in December 2016.

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Significance of the research

Seagrasses meadows, which occupy 10% of world's shallow coastal environments, provide important ecological and economical services (*[Green and Short](#page-44-1)* [2003\)](#page-44-1). They are essential primary producers and form the foundation of shelter (*[Fonseca et al.](#page-42-0)* [1992\)](#page-42-0) and food (*[Connolly et al.](#page-41-1)* [2005\)](#page-41-1) for many aquatic organisms (*[Gambi et al.](#page-43-1)* [1990;](#page-43-1) *[Koch et al.](#page-46-0)* [2007\)](#page-46-0). The total economic value of aquatic canopies, on the basis of nutrient cycling services alone, has been estimated at 3.8 trillion dollars per year (*[Costanza et al.](#page-41-2)* [1997\)](#page-41-2). Seagrass meadows increase biodiversity as the richness and abundance of marine species in seagrass beds is greater than in adjacent unvegetated areas (*[Connolly](#page-41-3)* [1994;](#page-41-3) *[Jenkins and](#page-45-0) [Sutherland](#page-45-0)* [1997;](#page-45-0) *[Irlandi and Peterson](#page-45-1)* [1991\)](#page-45-1). By diminishing water velocity (*[Kobayashi](#page-46-1) [et al.](#page-46-1)* [1993;](#page-46-1) *[Paul et al.](#page-50-0)* [2011;](#page-50-0) *[Manca et al.](#page-48-1)* [2012\)](#page-48-1), aquatic canopies, including seagrasses, reduce local resuspension (*[Hansen and Reidenbach](#page-44-2)* [2011\)](#page-44-2), promote sedimentation (*[Ga](#page-43-2)[cia et al.](#page-43-2)* [1999\)](#page-43-2), carbon burial (*[Granata et al.](#page-44-3)* [2001\)](#page-44-3) and increase the retention time of dissolved and particulate materials (*[Fonseca and Cahalan](#page-42-1)* [1992;](#page-42-1) *[Granata et al.](#page-44-3)* [2001\)](#page-44-3) within the meadow. This modification of the environment, stabilises sediment and facilitates the ecosystem they provide. Note that while we focus here on seagrass meadows as the archetypal coastal canopies, the results of this study will be broadly applicable to a range of systems such as coral communities, kelp forests, mangroves and freshwater macrophytes.

The survival of submerged canopies, and the ecosystem services they provide, is strongly related to the rate and mechanism of water renewal in these environments. One example is the effect of vertical transport on the distribution of dissolved oxygen in the water column. As vascular plants, seagrasses require a continuous supply of oxygen for aerobic metabolism of both above ground and below ground tissues (*[Larkum et al.](#page-46-2)* [2006\)](#page-46-2). Seagrass leaves produce oxygen continuously during daylight and lose it to the water column through diffusion. If there is no flushing and replenishment of water, oxygen concentration within the seagrass meadow may reach toxic level, which has negative consequences on seagrass survival. However, if there is efficient exchange of water, there will be an enhanced oxygen concentration in the overlying water. Another example is the impact of rapid exchange on dispersal of seeds, pollen and spores. The dispersal of seeds is directly connected to the ability of a population to spread and migrate (*[Kuparinen](#page-46-3)* [2006\)](#page-46-3). Thus, by regulating the plant migration, water renewal plays a fundamental role in the population dynamics and conservation of plant species (*[Cain et al.](#page-41-4)* [2000;](#page-41-4) *[Kendrick et al.](#page-46-4)* [2012\)](#page-46-4). In a similar way, rapid exchange has a tremendous effect on the concentration and residence time of nutrients and dissolved organic matters in the water column, vertical and horizontal transport of contaminants, sediments and dredging plumes (*[Gacia et al.](#page-43-2)* [1999\)](#page-43-2). Hence, to understand the extent to which these processes taking place, we need to understand the rate of water renewal and ultimately residence time in these environments, as a function of wave and canopy properties.

The vast majority of numerical, laboratory and field studies into the hydrodynamics of vegetated flows have focused on steady flow environments (*[Nepf](#page-49-0)* [2012a;](#page-49-0)[b\)](#page-49-1) whereas many coastal canopies are subjected to oscillatory flows driven by surface waves. Our understanding of oscillatory canopy flows, however, remains limited. Previous research has focused primarily on the wave height attenuation of coastal canopies (*[Dubi and To](#page-42-2)[rum](#page-42-2)* [1996;](#page-42-2) *[Bradley and Houser](#page-41-5)* [2009;](#page-41-5) *[Zeller et al.](#page-53-0)* [2014\)](#page-53-0) and the in-canopy flow structure (*[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [2005a;](#page-47-0) *[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [2010;](#page-47-3) *[Pujol et al.](#page-50-1)* [2013a\)](#page-50-1).

The oscillatory nature of wave-dominated flows profoundly influences the hydrodynamics and mass transport in marine environments (*[Reidenbach et al.](#page-51-0)* [2007\)](#page-51-0). For example, the in-canopy velocity (relative to the above-canopy velocity) is significantly enhanced under oscillatory flow conditions compared to the corresponding unidirectional flow (*[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [2005a\)](#page-47-0). Surface waves enhance the rate of nutrient uptake by submerged canopies such as seagrasses (*[Weitzman et al.](#page-53-1)* [2013;](#page-53-1) *[Thomas and Cornelisen](#page-52-0)* [2003\)](#page-52-0) and coral (*[Falter et al.](#page-42-3)* [2004;](#page-42-3) *[Reidenbach et al.](#page-51-0)* [2007\)](#page-51-0) when compared to a unidirectional current of comparable magnitude. Thus, it can be inferred that the rate of mass transfer across the top of the canopy will vary greatly between unidirectional and oscillatory flows. This necessitates a specific investigation of oscillatory canopy flows which, in turn, will allow a more complete assessment of fluid exchange between coastal canopies and their surroundings.

1.2 Hydrodynamics of oscillatory canopy flows

The drag of submerged canopies creates a pronounced inflection point in the mean velocity profile (*[Ghisalberti and Nepf](#page-43-3)* [2002\)](#page-43-3), such that the shear layer across the top of the canopy is analogous to a mixing layer, rather than a boundary layer (*[Raupach et al.](#page-51-1)* [1991;](#page-51-1) [1996;](#page-51-2) *[Ghisalberti and Nepf](#page-43-3)* [2002\)](#page-43-3). That is, the velocity within the canopy, U_c^{rms} (where the superscript 'rms' refers to the root-mean-square of the oscillatory velocity and the subscript 'c' to the in-canopy average), is attenuated from its value far above the canopy, *U*^{*rms*}. This inflection point, which is enhanced with the canopy density (*[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [2005a;](#page-47-0) *[Reidenbach et al.](#page-51-0)* [2007;](#page-51-0) *[Pujol et al.](#page-50-1)* [2013a\)](#page-50-1) (Figure [1.1a](#page-31-1)), is a necessary criterion for instability of an inviscid parallel flow (*[Kundu and Cohen](#page-46-5)* [1990\)](#page-46-5) and leads to the generation of Kelvin-Helmholtz-type vortices (referred to as KH-vortices hereafter) (*[Brown and Roshko](#page-41-6)* [1974;](#page-41-6) *[Winant and Browand](#page-53-2)* [1974\)](#page-53-2) (Figure [1.1b](#page-31-1)).

In steady flows over submerged canopies, vertical transport is dominated by these coherent vortex structures (*[Nepf and Ghisalberti](#page-49-2)* [2008\)](#page-49-2). In wave-dominated flows, these large scale shear-driven vortices are generated only under certain conditions; namely, when the wave period is long enough to allow the shear-driven instability to be generated, and when the vortex instability is strong enough to overcome the stabilizing effects of viscosity; i.e. when *KC* > 5 and *Re* > 1000 (*[Ghisalberti and Schlosser](#page-44-0)* [2013\)](#page-44-0). Here *Re* is the Reynolds number in which the horizontal wave excursion A_{∞} is used as the characteristics length scale ($Re = U_{\infty}A_{\infty}/v$, with U_{∞} being the amplitude of oscillatory velocity far above the canopy and ν being the kinematic viscosity of the fluid) and *KC* is Keulegan-Carpenter number and can be viewed as the ratio of the timescale of flow oscillation to the timescale of shear formation. While, as in steady flows, the generation of these large scale vortices can profoundly impact vertical exchange of dissolved and particulate material, a real understanding of key processes controlling mixing and ultimately a predictive capability for the overall residence time in wave-dominated canopy-flows is still lacking.

In coastal canopy environments, the impact of advection on residence time is often neglected (*[Abdolahpour et al.](#page-40-0)* [2017a\)](#page-40-0). Although coastal systems are typically wavedominated, this impact may not, necessarily be small. Indeed, aquatic canopies in oscillatory flows have been shown to generate a strong, shoreward mean current near the canopy-water interface (*[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [2010\)](#page-47-3). This shoreward drift, which has been observed in both laboratory (*[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [2005a;](#page-47-0) *[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [2010\)](#page-47-3) and field studies (*[Luhar](#page-48-0) [et al.](#page-48-0)* [2013\)](#page-48-0), can significantly influence canopy residence time by introducing a second method of water renewal (other than vertical mixing across the top of the canopy).

Figure 1.1: Canopy-induced shear and the subsequent vortex generation in wave-dominated flows. (a) Vertical profiles of RMS velocities for identical waves $(U_{\infty}^{rms} = 17 \text{ cm/s})$ over a dense canopy (10% by volume, black circles), a sparse canopy (1% by volume, gray circles) and a bare bed (white circles) suggest an increasing velocity attenuation with canopy density (*[Ab](#page-40-0)[dolahpour et al.](#page-40-0)* [2017a\)](#page-40-0). Values of the in-canopy RMS velocity, U_c^{rms} , the above-canopy RMS velocity, U_{∞}^{rms} , and the velocity attenuation, ΔU , are indicated for the dense canopy. The gray dashed line indicates the top of the canopy. (b) Image showing the KH-vortices generated in an oscillatory canopy flow in the laboratory when *KC* > 5 (*[Ghisalberti and Schlosser](#page-44-0)* [2013\)](#page-44-0).

1.3 The importance of canopy flexibility

In spite of the growing interest in flow (*[Pujol et al.](#page-50-1)* [2013a\)](#page-50-1) and turbulence (*[Reiden](#page-51-0)[bach et al.](#page-51-0)* [2007;](#page-51-0) *[Pujol et al.](#page-50-2)* [2013b\)](#page-50-2) structure in wave-dominated flows over submerged canopies, and the improved understanding of mass (*[Nishihara et al.](#page-49-3)* [2011;](#page-49-3) *[Abdolahpour](#page-40-0) [et al.](#page-40-0)* [2017a\)](#page-40-0) and momentum (*[Ghisalberti and Schlosser](#page-44-0)* [2013\)](#page-44-0) transport in these environments, the majority of previous work has used rigid cylinders to simulate aquatic vegetation. This allowed the canopy geometry to be invariant and easily quantified. While these rigid elements are ideal to represent stem-like aquatic vegetation and hard corals, they may not successfully recreate situations where flexibility, buoyancy and configuration of flexible plants are important (*[Koehl et al.](#page-46-6)* [2008;](#page-46-6) *[Mass et al.](#page-48-2)* [2010\)](#page-48-2).

In fact, flexibility enables plants to adapt their shape and posture in response to the flow, thus representing a time-varying roughness which oscillates over the wave cycle (*[Luhar and Nepf](#page-47-4)* [2011;](#page-47-4) *[Pan et al.](#page-50-3)* [2014;](#page-50-3) *[Luhar and Nepf](#page-47-1)* [2016\)](#page-47-1). The issue of timevarying roughness may result in a substantial drag reduction in these systems compared to rigid analogues (*[Rominger](#page-51-3)* [2014\)](#page-51-3). This issue may become more pronounced in coastal canopies where the generation of a strong current at the canopy top (*[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [2010;](#page-47-3) *[Abdolahpour et al.](#page-40-1)* [2017b\)](#page-40-1) can remarkedly modify the blade posture by introducing a more pronated canopy in the direction of wave propagation under the wave crest and a more upright canopy under the wave trough.

In addition to its physical significance, canopy flexibility can have important implications for chemical and biological processes. For example, the orientation of seagrass blades can greatly alter the light availability within the meadow such that an increase in bending height from 5 to 20 degrees, leads to 66% enhancement in canopy photosynthesis. But a further increase in the bending height, results in a slight reduction (10%) of photosynthesis due to sheltering impact of the canopy posture (*[Zimmerman](#page-53-3)* [2003\)](#page-53-3). The plant posture has shown significant impact on the rate of nutrient uptake, by controlling the viscous boundary layer at the seagrass blade (*[Hurd](#page-45-2)* [2000\)](#page-45-2). Thus, although inclusion of flexibility will add further complexity to the system, the issue of reconfiguration and time varying drag may have a non-negligible impact on important physical and biological processes.

1.4 Research aims

The overall objective of this research is to develop a framework for predictive quantification of residence time in coastal canopies. To achieve this overall aim, four main research objectives have been identified, each characterising an important component describing residence time, as described below:

1.4.1 Characterisation of vertical mixing in coastal canopies

The spatial extent over which meadows of submerged aquatic vegetation, such as seagrass, have an ecological and environmental influence is tightly limited by the exchange of water across canopy boundaries. Equally, the extent to which critical canopy process can occur may also be limited by exchange of water across the boundary. In coastal environments, the process of vertical mixing can govern this material exchange, particularly when mean currents are weak. This is investigated through an extensive laboratory study described in Chapter [2.](#page--1-0) A simple model of coastal canopies, an array of wooden dowels of variable packing density, subjected to waves with a wide and realistic range of height and period is used to mimic a simplified coastal canopy. This, as the first step, will allow the canopy geometry to be invariant and easily quantified. Later, in Chapter [3,](#page--1-0) the impact of flexibility, buoyancy and vertical variation in the canopy drag (which are typical of real canopies) on the results is examined.

1.4.2 The impact of flexibility on flow, turbulence and vertical mixing

While the rigid elements examined in Chapter [2](#page--1-0) are ideal to represent stem-like aquatic vegetation and hard corals, they may not successfully recreate situations where flexibility, buoyancy and reconfiguration of the flexible plants are important. Many coastal canopies are flexible, taking advantage of reconfiguration to reduce drag and thus preventing uprooting during storm and other severe hydrodynamic conditions. The importance of creating flexible, buoyant seagrass canopies on flow and turbulent structures is investigated in Chapter [3.](#page--1-0) Finally, the impact of reconfiguration is investigated in the context of vertical mixing in these two canopy environments, rigid and flexible.

1.4.3 Characterisation of wave-driven mean current

Although coastal systems are typically wave-dominated, the impact of horizontal advection on residence time may not, however, necessarily be small. Indeed, aquatic canopies in oscillatory flows have been shown to generate a strong, shoreward mean current near the canopy-water interface (*[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [2010\)](#page-47-3). This shoreward drift, which has been observed in both laboratory (*[Lowe et al.](#page-47-0)* [2005a;](#page-47-0) *[Luhar et al.](#page-47-3)* [2010\)](#page-47-3) and field studies (*[Luhar](#page-48-0) [et al.](#page-48-0)* [2013\)](#page-48-0), can significantly influence canopy residence time by introducing a second method of water renewal (other than vertical mixing across the top of the canopy). Chapter [4](#page--1-0) presents a predictive formulation for, the wave-driven mean current at the canopy top. An extensive laboratory study, using both rigid and (dynamically-scaled) flexible model vegetation validates the accuracy of the proposed model. The validity of this model is also confirmed through available field measurements.

1.4.4 Residence time in aquatic canopies in wave-dominated flows

Finally, by synthesising the results obtained in the research described above, a framework for predicting residence time in coastal canopies is presented. This is done in chapter [5,](#page--1-0) through consideration of a Peclet number, which is the ratio of diffusive to advective time scales.

1.5 Outline

This thesis consists of six chapters, with the main body of work presented in Chapters [2](#page--1-0) to [5,](#page--1-0) which correspond to three journal papers and a synthesis chapter. In order to retain chapters that are legible individually, some parts are repeated. Chapter [2](#page--1-0) presents a predictive formulation for the rate of vertical mixing in wave-dominated canopy flows. In this chapter, submerged canopies were simplified by using rigid dowels. Chapter [3](#page--1-0) describes how embedding realism to the model vegetation (in the form of flexibility and buoyancy) can impact hydrodynamics of the flow and ultimately residence time in vegetated flows. Chapter [4](#page--1-0) presents a physical description of, and a predictive formulation for, the mean current generated at the canopy. Chapter [5](#page--1-0) is a synthesis of the results obtained through chapters [2](#page--1-0) to [4](#page--1-0) in which a predictive framework for the residence time in coastal canopies is presented. Chapter 6 is a brief set of conclusions regarding the improved understanding of wave-dominated flows achieved through this research.

Chapters 2, 3, 4 & 5 have been omitted from this version of the thesis.

Chapter 2. Vertical mixing in coastal canopies

Chapter 3. The impact of flexibility on flow, turbulence and vertical mixing in coastal canopies

Chapter 4. The wave-driven current in coastal canopies

Chapter 5. Residence time in aquatic canopies in wave-dominated flows

CHAPTER 6

Conclusions

There are two mechanisms that control residence time in coastal canopies (1) vertical mixing and (2) horizontal flushing. By providing predictive formulations for each of these processes, this thesis presents an enhanced capability for quantitative predictions of residence time in coastal canopies. Below is a summary of the conclusions obtained in this study that specifically relate to the research questions initially presented in Chapter [1.](#page-28-0)

With respect to vertical mixing, an extensive laboratory study was conducted to obtain direct measurements of vertical turbulent diffusivity in wave-dominated canopy flows across a wide and realistic range of wave and canopy conditions (Chapter [2\)](#page--1-0). Unlike in steady flows, where shear layer mixing is the dominant process controlling vertical mixing, vertical mixing in wave-dominate canopy flows was found to be a coupled contribution of both wake- and shear-driven mixing. Additionally, a direct comparison of vertical turbulent diffusivities between steady flows and comparable oscillatory flows revealed that vertical mixing in steady flows exceeded oscillatory flow values by a factor of $2-3$. This result may have significant ecological and environmental implications as it suggests a weaker vertical mixing of dissolved and particular material (such as nutrients, oxygen, pollen, sediments, seeds, etc.) in canopies exposed to oscillatory flows than those exposed to corresponding unidirectional flows.

Given the abundance of flexible buoyant canopies in real ecosystems, the impact of flexibility on flow and turbulent structure in coastal canopies was also investigated (Chapter [3\)](#page--1-0). Results showed that there is a significant difference in flow and turbulence structure between flexible and rigid canopies. In particular, drag reduction caused by canopy reconfiguration leads to diminished velocity attenuation in flexible canopies. While this results in greatly enhanced in-canopy velocity, the shear-driven mixing is significantly reduced in these canopies. These differences lead to a significant reduction (up to 35%) in the rate of vertical mixing in flexible canopies compared to rigid canopies. The significant impact of flexibility (and plant reconfiguration) on flow and mixing can substantially influence important ecological and biological processes. For example, the higher in-canopy velocities observed in flexible canopies could alter nutrient uptake by plant tissue. In addition to higher wake mixing, the generation of near-bed shear layer vortices could enhance resuspension in these environments, with implications for near-bed processes such as particle retention and material flux across the sediment-water interface. Moreover, the weaker shear layer vortices and turbulent transport resulting from reconfiguration of the canopy will impact flux and exchange of dissolved (nutrient, oxygen and carbon dioxide) and particulate material (e.g., seeds, pollen and pollutants) across the canopy-water interface. Finally, the notable reduction in the rate of mixing in flexible canopies suggests a greater residence time in these environments. The ecological implications of this are complex, since some processes may be enhanced by longer residence times (e.g., particle settling) while others may be reduced (e.g., resupply of nutrients through flushing) with the net effect on ecosystem function difficult to predict. In any case, using simplified rigid elements will underestimate the residence time in real systems where flexibility is a salient feature of the canopy.

With respect to horizontal flushing, a physical description of and predictive formulation for the mean current generated in wave-dominated flows over large benthic roughnesses (such as the canopies of seagrass, macroalgae and corals) was presented (Chapter [4\)](#page--1-0). It is found that the magnitude of the wave-driven current is directly proportional to both wave and canopy properties. Specifically wave-driven currents increase with the above-canopy oscillatory velocity, the vertical orbital excursion at the top of the canopy, and the canopy density. This formulation enables an enhanced predictive capability for the rate of horizontal flushing. The accuracy of this formulation was examined through a detailed experimental campaign involving both rigid and (dynamically-scaled) flexible canopy elements, as well as existing field data. These results enable an enhanced predictive capability for the rate of horizontal flushing.

Finally, by integrating the results obtained in this study (Chapters [2](#page--1-0) through [4\)](#page--1-0), a predictive framework for residence time in wave-dominated canopy flows was presented (Chapter [5\)](#page--1-0). This was achieved through consideration of a Peclet number (*Pe*) which is the ratio of diffusive to advective time scales. The results reveal that *Pe* depends heavily on wave and canopy properties and may vary significantly in real coastal canopies. Quantitative predictions for residence time in the limit of $Pe \ll 1$ (mixing-dominated exchange) and $Pe \gg 1$ (advection-dominated exchange) are also presented. For *Pe O*(1), both vertical mixing and horizontal advection equally contribute in controlling residence time. Characterisation of residence time within this limit is a fundamentally important question that remains to be answered.

The results of this study can have significant implications for a wide range of ecolog-

ical, biochemical and environmental studies. For example, retention time of nutrients can have a tremendous impact on the health and propagation of coastal canopies (e.g. coral reefs, seagrass meadows, kelp forests and other aquatic vegetation) and, ultimately, on the ecosystem services that they provide. In a similar way, water renewal regulates distribution and abundance of plants across a landscape, the spread of existing populations and the potential for new population formation by a direct impact on the rate of seed and pollen dispersal. Moreover, coastal canopies are often sensitive to major turbidity and sediment deposition events (e.g. from dredging). The results of this study allow for an enhanced capability to understand and predict the concentration, exposure time and fate of dredging plumes in coastal canopies. Finally, the results obtained in this thesis can be embedded in process-based numerical models which, in turn, serve as a foundation for the improved management, protection and decision-making in coastal canopies.

Bibliography

- Abdolahpour, M., M. Ghisalberti, P. Lavery, and K. McMahon (2017a), Vertical mixing in coastal canopies, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *62*(1), 26–42, doi:10.1002/lno.10368.
- Abdolahpour, M., M. Hambleton, and M. Ghisalberti (2017b), Wave-driven current in coastal canopies, *Journal of Geophysical Research:Oceans*, (122), doi:10.1002/ 2016JC012446.
- Abdolahpour, M., M. Ghisalberti, K. McMahon, and P. Lavery (2017c), The impact of flexibility on flow, turbulence and mixing in coastal canopies, *Submitted to Limnology and Oceanography*.
- Ackerman, J. (1997), Submarine pollination in the marine angiosperm *Zostera marina* (zosteraceae). i. the influence of floral morphology on fluid flow, *American Journal of Botany*, *84*(8), 1099–1099.
- Ackerman, J. D. (2002), Diffusivity in a marine macrophyte canopy: implications for submarine pollination and dispersal, *American Journal of Botany*, *89*(7), 1119–1127.
- Anagnostopoulos, P., and C. Dikarou (2012), Aperiodic phenomena in planar oscillatory flow past a square arrangement of four cylinders at low pitch ratios, *Ocean Engineering*, *52*, 91–104.
- Backhaus, J. O., and J. J. Verduin (2008), Simulating the interaction of seagrasses with their ambient flow, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *80*(4), 563–572.
- Bilger, R., and M. Atkinson (1995), Effects of nutrient loading on mass-transfer rates to a coral-reef community, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *40*(2), 279–289.
- Borowitzka, M. A., P. S. Lavery, and M. van Keulen (2007), *Epiphytes of seagrasses*, Springer Netherlands.
- Bradley, K., and C. Houser (2009), Relative velocity of seagrass blades: Implications for wave attenuation in low-energy environments, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *114*(F1).
- Brown, G. L., and A. Roshko (1974), On density effects and large structure in turbulent mixing layers, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *64*(04), 775–816.
- Cain, M. L., B. G. Milligan, and A. E. Strand (2000), Long-distance seed dispersal in plant populations, *American Journal of Botany*, *87*(9), 1217–1227.
- Cambridge, M. L., and J. Kuo (1979), Two new species of seagrasses from Australia, *Posidonia sinuosa* and *P. angustifolia* (posidoniaceae), *Aquatic Botany*, *6*, 307–328.
- Carpenter, S. R., and D. M. Lodge (1986), Effects of submersed macrophytes on ecosystem processes, *Aquatic Botany*, *26*, 341–370.
- Coceal, O., and S. Belcher (2004), A canopy model of mean winds through urban areas, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *130*(599), 1349–1372.
- Connolly, R. (1994), A comparison of fish assemblages from seagrass and unvegetated areas of a southern Australian estuary, *Marine and Freshwater Research*, *45*(6), 1033– 1044.
- Connolly, R. M., J. S. Hindell, and D. Gorman (2005), Seagrass and epiphytic algae support nutrition of a fisheries species, *Sillago schomburgkii*, in adjacent intertidal habitats, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *286*, 69–79.
- Costanza, R., R. d'Arge, R. De Groot, S. Farber, M. Grasso, B. Hannon, K. Limburg, S. Naeem, R. V. O'neill, and J. Paruelo (1997), The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital, *Nature*, *387*(6630), 253–260.
- Davies, A. (1986), A model of oscillatory rough turbulent boundary layer flow, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *23*(3), 353–374.
- Dean, R., and R. Dalrymple (1991), Water wave mechanics for scientists and engineers, *World Scientific, Advanced Series on Ocean Engineering*, *2*.
- Duarte, C. M. (1995), Submerged aquatic vegetation in relation to different nutrient regimes, *Ophelia*, *41*(1), 87–112.
- Duarte, C. M. (2000), Marine biodiversity and ecosystem services: an elusive link, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *250*(1), 117–131.
- Duarte, C. M. (2002), The future of seagrass meadows, *Environmental Conservation*, *29*(02), 192–206.
- Dubi, A., and A. Torum (1996), Wave energy dissipation in kelp vegetation, *Coastal Engineering Proceedings*, *1*(25).
- Dupont, S., Y. Brunet, and N. Jarosz (2006), Eulerian modelling of pollen dispersal over heterogeneous vegetation canopies, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *141*(2), 82– 104.
- Espinosa-Gayosso, A., M. Ghisalberti, G. N. Ivey, and N. L. Jones (2012), Particle capture and low-reynolds-number flow around a circular cylinder, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *710*, 362–378.
- Espinosa-Gayosso, A., M. Ghisalberti, G. N. Ivey, and N. L. Jones (2013), Particle capture by a circular cylinder in the vortex-shedding regime, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *733*, 171–188.
- Falter, J. L., M. J. Atkinson, and M. A. Merrifield (2004), Mass-transfer limitation of nutrient uptake by a wave-dominated reef flat community, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *49*(5), 1820–1831.
- Fischer, H. B. (1972), Mass transport mechanisms in partially stratified estuaries, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *53*(4), 671–687.
- Fischer, H. B. (1979), *Mixing in inland and coastal waters*, Access Online via Elsevier.
- Fonseca, M. S., and J. A. Cahalan (1992), A preliminary evaluation of wave attenuation by four species of seagrass, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *35*(6), 565–576.
- Fonseca, M. S., J. C. Zieman, G. W. Thayer, and J. S. Fisher (1983), The role of current velocity in structuring eelgrass (*Zostera marina L.*) meadows, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *17*(4), 367–380.
- Fonseca, M. S., W. J. Kenworthy, and G. W. Thayer (1992), Seagrass beds: nursery for coastal species, in *Stemming the Tide of Coastal Fish Habitat Loss. Marine Recreational Fisheries Symposium, 7–9 March 1991, Baltimore, MD (USA)*, pp. 141–147, National Coalition for Marine Conservation, Savannah, GA (USA).
- Fredsøe, J., and R. Deigaard (1992), *Mechanics of coastal sediment transport*, vol. 3, World Scientific.
- French, J. (2006), Tidal marsh sedimentation and resilience to environmental change: exploratory modelling of tidal, sea-level and sediment supply forcing in predominantly allochthonous systems, *Marine Geology*, *235*(1), 119–136.
- Gacia, E., and C. M. Duarte (2001), Sediment retention by a mediterranean *Posidonia oceanica* meadow: The balance between deposition and resuspension, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *52*(4), 505–514.
- Gacia, E., T. Granata, and C. Duarte (1999), An approach to measurement of particle flux and sediment retention within seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadows, *Aquatic Botany*, *65*(1), 255–268.
- Gambi, M. C., A. R. Nowell, and P. Jumars (1990), Flume observations on flow dynamics in *Zostera marina* (eelgrass) beds, *Marine Ecology Progress Series. Oldendorf*, *61*(1), 159–169.
- Gartner, A., F. Tuya, P. S. Lavery, and K. McMahon (2013), Habitat preferences of macroinvertebrate fauna among seagrasses with varying structural forms, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *439*, 143–151.
- Ghisalberti, M. (2009), Obstructed shear flows: similarities across systems and scales, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *641*, 51.
- Ghisalberti, M., and H. Nepf (2004), The limited growth of vegetated shear layers, *Water Resources Research*, *40*(7).
- Ghisalberti, M., and H. Nepf (2005), Mass transport in vegetated shear flows, *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, *5*(6), 527–551.
- Ghisalberti, M., and H. Nepf (2006), The structure of the shear layer in flows over rigid and flexible canopies, *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, *6*(3), 277–301.
- Ghisalberti, M., and H. Nepf (2009), Shallow flows over a permeable medium: the hydrodynamics of submerged aquatic canopies, *Transport in Porous Media*, *78*(2), 309–326.
- Ghisalberti, M., and H. M. Nepf (2002), Mixing layers and coherent structures in vegetated aquatic flows, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *107*(C2), 3011.
- Ghisalberti, M., and T. Schlosser (2013), Vortex generation in oscillatory canopy flow, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(3), 1534–1542.
- Ghisalberti, M. M. A. (2005), Momentum and scalar transport in vegetated shear flows, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Granata, T., T. Serra, J. Colomer, X. Casamitjana, C. Duarte, E. Gacia, and J. Petersen (2001), Flow and particle distributions in a nearshore seagrass meadow before and after a storm, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *218*, 95–106.
- Green, E. E. P., and F. T. Short (2003), *World atlas of seagrasses*, University of California Press.
- Gruber, R. K., and W. M. Kemp (2010), Feedback effects in a coastal canopy-forming submersed plant bed, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *55*(6), 2285–2298.
- Gruber, R. K., D. C. Hinkle, and W. M. Kemp (2011), Spatial patterns in water quality associated with submersed plant beds, *Estuaries and Coasts*, *34*(5), 961–972.
- Hansen, J. C., and M. A. Reidenbach (2011), Wave and tidally driven flows in eelgrass beds and their effect on sediment suspension, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *448*, 271–287.
- Hansen, J. C., and M. A. Reidenbach (2013), Seasonal growth and senescence of a *Zostera marina* seagrass meadow alters wave-dominated flow and sediment suspension within a coastal bay, *Estuaries and Coasts*, *36*(6), 1099–1114.
- Harvey, J. W., M. H. Conklin, and R. S. Koelsch (2003), Predicting changes in hydrologic retention in an evolving semi-arid alluvial stream, *Advances in Water Resources*, *26*(9), 939–950.
- Harvey, M., E. Bourget, and R. G. Ingram (1995), Experimental evidence of passive accumulation of marine bivalve larvae on filamentous epibenthic structures, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *40*(1), 94–104.
- Harwell, M. C., and R. J. Orth (2002), Long-distance dispersal potential in a marine macrophyte, *Ecology*, *83*(12), 3319–3330.
- Hendriks, I. E., T. Sintes, T. J. Bouma, and C. M. Duarte (2008), Experimental assessment and modeling evaluation of the effects of seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* on flow and particle trapping, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *356*.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (1999), Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs, *Marine and Freshwater Research*, *50*(8), 839–866.
- Hondzo, M. (1998), Dissolved oxygen transfer at the sediment-water interface in a turbulent flow, *Water Resources Research*, *34*(12), 3525–3533.
- Huang, I., J. Rominger, and H. Nepf (2011), The motion of kelp blades and the surface renewal model, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *56*(4), 1453–1462.
- Hughes, S. A. (1993), *Physical models and laboratory techniques in coastal engineering*, vol. 7, World Scientific.
- Humphries, S. (2009), Filter feeders and plankton increase particle encounter rates through flow regime control, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(19), 7882–7887.
- Hurd, C. L. (2000), Water motion, marine macroalgal physiology, and production, *Journal of Phycology*, *36*(3), 453–472.
- Hussain, A., and W. Reynolds (1972), The mechanics of an organized wave in turbulent shear flow. part 2. experimental results, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *54*(02), 241–261.
- Hwang, P. A. (1985), Fall velocity of particles in oscillating flow, *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, *111*(3), 485–502.
- Irlandi, E., and C. Peterson (1991), Modification of animal habitat by large plants: mechanisms by which seagrasses influence clam growth, *Oecologia*, *87*(3), 307–318.
- Jacobsen, N. G. (2016), Wave-averaged properties in a submerged canopy: Energy density, energy flux, radiation stresses and stokes drift, *Coastal Engineering*, *117*, 57–69.
- Jadhav, R. S., Q. Chen, and J. M. Smith (2013), Spectral distribution of wave energy dissipation by salt marsh vegetation, *Coastal Engineering*, *77*, 99–107.
- Jenkins, G., and C. Sutherland (1997), The influence of habitat structure on nearshore fish assemblages in a southern Australian embayment: colonisation and turnover rate of fishes associated with artificial macrophyte beds of varying physical structure, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *218*(1), 103–125.
- Kendrick, G. A., M. Waycott, T. J. Carruthers, M. L. Cambridge, R. Hovey, S. L. Krauss, P. S. Lavery, D. H. Les, R. J. Lowe, O. M. I. Vidal, et al. (2012), The central role of dispersal in the maintenance and persistence of seagrass populations, *BioScience*, *62*(1), 56–65.
- Kobayashi, N., A. W. Raichle, and T. Asano (1993), Wave attenuation by vegetation, *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering*, *119*(1), 30–48.
- Koch, E. W., L. P. Sanford, S.-N. Chen, D. J. Shafer, and J. M. Smith (2006), Waves in seagrass systems: review and technical recommendations, *Tech. rep.*, DTIC Document.
- Koch, E. W., J. D. Ackerman, J. Verduin, and M. van Keulen (2007), Fluid dynamics in seagrass ecology- from molecules to ecosystems, in *Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation*, pp. 193–225, Springer.
- Koehl, M., W. Silk, H. Liang, and L. Mahadevan (2008), How kelp produce blade shapes suited to different flow regimes: a new wrinkle, *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *48*(6), 834–851.
- Kranenburg, W. M., J. S. Ribberink, R. E. Uittenbogaard, and S. J. Hulscher (2012), Net currents in the wave bottom boundary layer: On waveshape streaming and progressive wave streaming, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *117*(F3).
- Kundu, P., and L. Cohen (1990), Fluid mechanics, 638 pp, *Academic, Calif*.
- Kuo, J. (1978), Morphology, anatomy and histochemistry of the australian seagrasses of the genus *Posidonia könig* (posidoniaceae). i. leaf blade and leaf sheath of posidonia australis hook f., *Aquatic Botany*, *5*, 171–190.
- Kuparinen, A. (2006), Mechanistic models for wind dispersal, *Trends in Plant Science*, *11*(6), 296–301.
- Lamb, M. P., E. D'Asaro, and J. D. Parsons (2004), Turbulent structure of highdensity suspensions formed under waves, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *109*(C12).
- Larkum, A. W., R. R. J. Orth, and C. M. Duarte (2006), *Seagrasses: biology, ecology, and conservation*, Springer.
- Lavery, P., and M. Vanderklift (2002), A comparison of spatial and temporal patterns in epiphytic macroagal assemlages of the seagrasses *Amphibolis griffithii* and *Posidonia coriacea*, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *236*, 99–12.
- Le Méhauté, B. (1972), Progressive wave absorber, *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, *10*(2), 153–169.
- Lei, J., and H. Nepf (2016), Impact of current speed on mass flux to a model flexible seagrass blade, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *121*(7), 4763–4776.
- Lightbody, A., and H. Nepf (2006), Prediction of near-field shear dispersion in an emergent canopy with heterogeneous morphology, *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, *6*(5), 477–488.
- Longuet-Higgins, M. S. (1953), Mass transport in water waves, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, *245*(903), 535–581.
- López, F., and M. García (1998), Open-channel flow through simulated vegetation: Suspended sediment transport modeling, *Water Resources Research*, *34*(9), 2341–2352.
- Lowe, R. J., J. R. Koseff, and S. G. Monismith (2005a), Oscillatory flow through submerged canopies: 1. velocity structure, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *110*(C10).
- Lowe, R. J., J. R. Koseff, S. G. Monismith, and J. L. Falter (2005b), Oscillatory flow through submerged canopies: 2. canopy mass transfer, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *110*(C10).
- Luhar, M., and H. Nepf (2016), Wave-induced dynamics of flexible blades, *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, *61*, 20–41.
- Luhar, M., and H. M. Nepf (2011), Flow-induced reconfiguration of buoyant and flexible aquatic vegetation, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *56*(6), 2003–2017.
- Luhar, M., J. Rominger, and H. Nepf (2008), Interaction between flow, transport and vegetation spatial structure, *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, *8*(5-6), 423–439.
- Luhar, M., S. Coutu, E. Infantes, S. Fox, and H. Nepf (2010), Wave-induced velocities inside a model seagrass bed, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *115*(C12).
- Luhar, M., E. Infantes, A. Orfila, J. Terrados, and H. M. Nepf (2013), Field observations of wave-induced streaming through a submerged seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadow, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(4), 1955–1968.
- Manca, E., I. Cáceres, J. Alsina, V. Stratigaki, I. Townend, and C. Amos (2012), Wave energy and wave-induced flow reduction by full-scale model *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass, *Continental Shelf Research*, *50*, 100–116.
- Mass, T., A. Genin, U. Shavit, M. Grinstein, and D. Tchernov (2010), Flow enhances photosynthesis in marine benthic autotrophs by increasing the efflux of oxygen from the organism to the water, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(6), 2527–2531.
- Maza, M., J. Lara, I. Losada, B. Ondiviela, J. Trinogga, and T. Bouma (2015), Large-scale 3-d experiments of wave and current interaction with real vegetation. part 2: experimental analysis, *Coastal Engineering*, *106*, 73–86.
- Mei, R. (1990), Particle dispersion in isotropic turbulence and unsteady particle dynamics at finite reynolds number.
- Mei, R. (1994), Effect of turbulence on the particle settling velocity in the nonlinear drag range, *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, *20*(2), 273–284.
- Mendez, F. J., and I. J. Losada (2004), An empirical model to estimate the propagation of random breaking and nonbreaking waves over vegetation fields, *Coastal Engineering*, *51*(2), 103–118.
- Möller, I., M. Kudella, F. Rupprecht, T. Spencer, M. Paul, B. K. van Wesenbeeck, G. Wolters, K. Jensen, T. J. Bouma, M. Miranda-Lange, et al. (2014), Wave attenuation over coastal salt marshes under storm surge conditions, *Nature Geoscience*, *7*(10), 727–731.
- Monismith, S. G. (2007), Hydrodynamics of coral reefs, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, *39*, 37–55.
- Moore, K. A. (2004), Influence of seagrasses on water quality in shallow regions of the lower chesapeake bay, *Journal of Coastal Research*, pp. 162–178.
- Nakamura, T., and R. Van Woesik (2001), Water-flow rates and passive diffusion partially explain differential survival of corals during the 1998 bleaching event, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *212*, 301–304.
- Nathan, R., F. M. Schurr, O. Spiegel, O. Steinitz, A. Trakhtenbrot, and A. Tsoar (2008), Mechanisms of long-distance seed dispersal, *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *23*(11), 638–647.
- Nelson, J. M., R. L. Shreve, S. R. McLean, and T. G. Drake (1995), Role of near-bed turbulence structure in bed load transport and bed form mechanics, *Water Resources Research*, *31*(8), 2071–2086.
- Nepf, H. (1999), Drag, turbulence, and diffusion in flow through emergent vegetation, *Water Resources Research*, *35*(2), 479–489.
- Nepf, H., and M. Ghisalberti (2008), Flow and transport in channels with submerged vegetation, *Acta Geophysica*, *56*(3), 753–777.
- Nepf, H., and E. Vivoni (2000), Flow structure in depth-limited, vegetated flow, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *105*(C12), 28,547–28,557.
- Nepf, H., J. Sullivan, and R. Zavistoski (1997), A model for diffusion within emergent vegetation, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *42*, 1735–1745.
- Nepf, H. M. (2012a), Flow and transport in regions with aquatic vegetation, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, *44*, 123–142.
- Nepf, H. M. (2012b), Hydrodynamics of vegetated channels, *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, *50*(3), 262–279.
- Neumeier, U., and P. Ciavola (2004), Flow resistance and associated sedimentary processes in a spartina maritima salt-marsh, *Journal of Coastal Research*, pp. 435–447.
- Nielsen, P. (1992), *Coastal bottom boundary layers and sediment transport*, vol. 4, World Scientific.
- Nishihara, G. N., R. Terada, and H. Shimabukuro (2011), Effects of wave energy on the residence times of a fluorescent tracer in the canopy of the intertidal marine macroalgae, *Sargassum fusiforme* (Phaeophyceae), *Phycological Research*, *59*(1), 24–33.
- Obasaju, E., P. Bearman, and J. Graham (1988), A study of forces, circulation and vortex patterns around a circular cylinder in oscillating flow, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *196*, 467–494.
- Orth, R. J., K. L. Heck, and J. van Montfrans (1984), Faunal communities in seagrass beds: a review of the influence of plant structure and prey characteristics on predatorprey relationships, *Estuaries and Coasts*, *7*(4), 339–350.
- Orth, R. J., M. Luckenbach, and K. A. Moore (1994), Seed dispersal in a marine macrophyte: implications for colonization and restoration, *Ecology*, *75*(7), 1927–1939.
- Ozeren, Y., D. Wren, and W. Wu (2013), Experimental investigation of wave attenuation through model and live vegetation, *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering*.
- Palmer, M. R., H. M. Nepf, T. J. Pettersson, and J. D. Ackerman (2004), Observations of particle capture on a cylindrical collector: Implications for particle accumulation and removal in aquatic systems, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *49*(1), 76–85.
- Pan, Y., E. Follett, M. Chamecki, and H. Nepf (2014), Strong and weak, unsteady reconfiguration and its impact on turbulence structure within plant canopies, *Physics of Fluids*, *26*(10), 2003–2017.
- Paul, M., T. Bouma, and C. Amos (2011), Wave attenuation by submerged vegetation: combining the effect of organism traits and tidal current, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *444*, 31–41.
- Perrin, R., M. Braza, E. Cid, S. Cazin, A. Barthet, A. Sevrain, C. Mockett, and F. Thiele (2007), Obtaining phase averaged turbulence properties in the near wake of a circular cylinder at high reynolds number using pod, *Experiments in Fluids*, *43*(2-3), 341–355.
- Pujol, D., J. Colomer, T. Serra, and X. Casamitjana (2010), Effect of submerged aquatic vegetation on turbulence induced by an oscillating grid, *Continental Shelf Research*, *30*(9), 1019–1029.
- Pujol, D., T. Serra, J. Colomer, and X. Casamitjana (2013a), Flow structure in canopy models dominated by progressive waves, *Journal of Hydrology*, *486*, 281–292.
- Pujol, D., X. Casamitjana, T. Serra, and J. Colomer (2013b), Canopy-scale turbulence under oscillatory flow, *Continental Shelf Research*, *66*, 9–18.
- Raupach, M., P. Coppin, and B. Legg (1986), Experiments on scalar dispersion within a model plant canopy part i: The turbulence structure, *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, *35*(1-2), 21–52.
- Raupach, M., R. Antonia, and S. Rajagopalan (1991), Rough-wall turbulent boundary layers, *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, *44*(1), 1–25.
- Raupach, M., J. Finnigan, and Y. Brunei (1996), Coherent eddies and turbulence in vegetation canopies: the mixing-layer analogy, *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, *78*(3-4), 351–382.
- Reidenbach, M., M. Limm, M. Hondzo, and M. Stacey (2010), Effects of bed roughness on boundary layer mixing and mass flux across the sediment-water interface, *Water Resources Research*, *46*(7).
- Reidenbach, M. A., J. R. Koseff, and S. G. Monismith (2007), Laboratory experiments of fine-scale mixing and mass transport within a coral canopy, *Physics of Fluids*, *19*(7), 075,107.
- Rominger, J. T. (2014), Hydrodynamic and transport phenomena at the interface between flow and aquatic vegetation: From the forest to the blade scale, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Ros, À., J. Colomer, T. Serra, D. Pujol, M. Soler, and X. Casamitjana (2014), Experimental observations on sediment resuspension within submerged model canopies under oscillatory flow, *Continental Shelf Research*, *91*, 220–231.
- Rosman, J. H., J. R. Koseff, S. G. Monismith, and J. Grover (2007), A field investigation into the effects of a kelp forest (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) on coastal hydrodynamics and transport, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *112*(C2).
- Scandura, P. (2007), Steady streaming in a turbulent oscillating boundary layer, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *571*, 265–280.
- Scott, L. C., J. W. Boland, K. S. Edyvane, and G. Jones (2000), Development of a seagrass-fish habitat model-I: A seagrass residency index for economically important species, *Environmetrics*, *11*(5), 541–552.
- Seginer, I., P. Mulhearn, E. F. Bradley, and J. Finnigan (1976), Turbulent flow in a model plant canopy, *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, *10*(4), 423–453.
- Shimeta, J. (2009), Influence of flow speed on the functional response of a passive suspension feeder, the spionid polychaete *Polydora cornuta*, *Marine Biology*, *156*(12), 2451–2460.
- Sleath, J. (1985), Measurements of mass transport over a rough bed, in *Coastal Engineering 1984*, pp. 1149–1160.
- Smith, N. M., and D. I. Walker (2002), Canopy structure and pollination biology of the seagrasses *Posidonia australis* and *P. sinuosa* (Posidoneaceae), *Aquatic Botany*, *74*(1), 57–70.
- Taylor, G. I. (1921), Diffusion by continuous movements, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, *20*, 196–211.
- Thomas, C., and T. Foken (2007), Flux contribution of coherent structures and its implications for the exchange of energy and matter in a tall spruce canopy, *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, *123*(2), 317–337.
- Thomas, F. I., and C. D. Cornelisen (2003), Ammonium uptake by seagrass communities: Effects of oscillatory versus unidirectional flow, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *247*(1), 51–57.
- Thomas, F. I. M., and M. J. Atkinson (1997), Ammonium uptake by coral reefs: Effects of water velocity and surface roughness on mass transfer, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *42*(1), 81–88.
- Umeyama, M. (2010), Coupled PIV and PTV measurements of particle velocities and trajectories for surface waves following a steady current, *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering*, *137*(2), 85–94.
- Umeyama, M. (2012), Eulerian–lagrangian analysis for particle velocities and trajectories in a pure wave motion using particle image velocimetry, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *370*(1964), 1687–1702.
- Verduin, J. J., J. O. Backhaus, and D. I. Walker (2002), Estimates of pollen dispersal and capture within *Amphibolis antarctica* (Labill.) Sonder and Aschers. ex Aschers. meadows, *Bulletin of Marine Science*, *71*(3), 1269–1277.
- Villaret, C., and B. Latteux (1993), *Transport of fine sand by combined waves and current: an experimental study*, Electricité de France [EDF]. Direction des Etudes et Recherches.
- Vogel, S. (1984), Drag and flexibility in sessile organisms, *American Zoologist*, *24*(1), 37–44.
- Walker, D., W. Dennison, and G. Edgar (1999), Status of australian seagrass research and knowledge, *Seagrass in Australia. Strategic review and development of an R&D plan. CSIRO, Canberra Australia*, pp. 1–24.
- Weitzman, J., K. Aveni-Deforge, J. Koseff, and F. Thomas (2013), Uptake of dissolved inorganic nitrogen by shallow seagrass communities exposed to wave-driven unsteady flow, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *475*, 65–83.
- Weitzman, J. S., R. B. Zeller, F. I. Thomas, and J. R. Koseff (2015), The attenuation of current-and wave-driven flow within submerged multispecific vegetative canopies, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *60*(6), 1855–1874.
- Widdows, J., N. D. Pope, M. D. Brinsley, H. Asmus, and R. M. Asmus (2008), Effects of seagrass beds (*Zostera noltii* and *Z. marina*) on near-bed hydrodynamics and sediment resuspension, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *358*, 125–136.
- Winant, C., and F. Browand (1974), Vortex pairing- the mechanism of turbulent mixinglayer growth at moderate reynolds number, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *63*(2), 237– 255.
- Zeller, R. B., J. S. Weitzman, M. E. Abbett, F. J. Zarama, O. B. Fringer, and J. R. Koseff (2014), Improved parameterization of seagrass blade dynamics and wave attenuation based on numerical and laboratory experiments, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *59*, 251–266.
- Zeller, R. B., F. J. Zarama, J. S. Weitzman, and J. R. Koseff (2015), A simple and practical model for combined wave–current canopy flows, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *767*, 842–880.
- Zimmerman, R. C. (2003), A biooptical model of irradiance distribution and photosynthesis in seagrass canopies, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *48*(1part2), 568–585.