Edith Cowan University [Research Online](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/)

[Research outputs pre 2011](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks)

2005

Analysis of channel estimation error of OFDM systems in rayleigh fading

Bin Jiao Institute of Acoustics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. R. China

Jitian Xiao Edith Cowan University

Jinlin Wang Institute of Acoustics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. R. China

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Fecuworks%2F2811&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/142?utm_source=ro.ecu.edu.au%2Fecuworks%2F2811&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of: Jiao , B., Xiao, J. , & Wang, J. (2005). Analysis of channel estimation error of OFDM systems in rayleigh fading. Proceedings of International Symposium on Signals, Circuits and Systems. (pp. 653-656). Iasi, Romania. IEEE Press.

© 2005 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

This Conference Proceeding is posted at Research Online.

https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks/2811

Analysis of Channel Estimation Error of OFDM Systems in Rayleigh Fading

Bin **Jiao', Jitian** Xiaot, **Jinlin Wang*** *Networking & DSP Research Center, Institute of Acoustics Chinese Academy of Sciences, **P. R.** China. E-mail: jiaob,wangjl@dsp.ac.cn [†]School of Computer and Information Science Edith Cowan University, Australia. E-mail: j.xiao@ecu.edu.au

Absht-In wireless OFDM applications, since the radio channel is frequency selective and time-varying, a dynamic estimation of channel must be achieved before the demodulation of the transmitted OFDM signals. As an effective approach for solving the channel estimation problems, the pilot-assisted **channel estimation technique has received considerable attention in recent years. In this paper, we** will **investigate the channel** estimation error in the existed pilot-assisted channel estimation **epprosches in detail, a new effective channel estimation approach with lower estimation error is proposed as well.**

I, **INTRODUCTION**

In high speed' wireless OFDM systems, the channel estimation can be achieved by the pilot-assisted scheme, this technique has been studied for several years **[1][2]. In** this scheme, the channel is usually assumed to have **a** finite-length impulse response, a cyclic prefix which is longer than the channel impulse response is put between consecutive symbol blocks for avoiding inter-channel interference (ICI) and preserving the orthogonality of the subcarriers. The pilot symbols are inserted at selected two-dimensional time-frequency pilot locations, the channel estimation is achieved by **estimating** the channel attenuations in frequency domain using least square **(LS)** method or linear minimum mean square error **(LMMSE)** method I?].

In this paper, we will present an analysis of the estimation error in the existed pilot-assisted channel estimation approaches for multipath Rayleigh fading channels. The paper is **organized** as follows. In Section **2,** the OFDM systems and channel models are represented. The analysis of channel **estimation** is provided in Section **3,** an improved channel estimation algorithm is also proposed in this section. The simutation results are presented in Section **4.**

11. SYSTEM MODEL AND CHANNEL ESTIMATION

A. OFDM System Model

A baseband **OFDM** system model is shown in Fig. 1, where $\{X_n\}$ is the transmitted parallel complex symbol sequence with symbol rate $f_a = 1/NT_a$. The serial time-domain sequence $\{x_n\}$ with data rate $1/T_s$ is obtained by taking IDFT on $\{X_n\}$. The cyclic prefix *(CP)* of time length T_o

Fig. 1. A baseband model of OFDM system

is used to eliminate inter-channel interference and preserve the orthogonality **of** the subcarriers, **the D/A** converter which contains ideal low-pass filter with bandwidth $W_{\phi} = 1/T_{\phi}$ converts the digital signal $\{x_n\}$ into the transmitted analog signal $x(t)$.

At receiver, the input analog signal $y(t)$ is discretized with sampling rate $1/T_s$ to obtain the digital data sequence $\{y_n\}$. Removing the cyclic prefix from $\{y_n\}$ and then performing DFT on it, we obtain the received symbol sequence ${Y_n}$.

B. Channel Model

The channel model considered throughout the paper is a multipath RayIeigh fading channel with time-varying finite impulse response **[1][3],** which can be written **as**

$$
h(t,\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} h_m(t)\delta(\tau - \tau_m(t))
$$
 (1)

where *A4* is the total number **of** the propagation paths of channel, $h_m(t)$ and $\tau_m(t)$ are the attenuation coefficient and excess delay, respectively, **of** the mth path component at **time** t. The excess delay $\tau_m(t)$ is required to satisfy the condition $0 \leq \tau_m(t) \leq T_q$, which ensures the entire impulse response lies inside the guard interval. The corresponding frequency response of channel **is**

$$
H(t,f) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} h_m(t)e^{-j2\pi\tau_m(t)f}
$$
 (2)

Furthermore, the channel $h(t, \tau)$ is also assumed to be a widesense stationary uncorrelated scattering **and** slow fading channel **[4][5],** in which' case the channel attenuation coefficient $h_m(t)$ and the excess delay $\tau_m(t)$ can remain constant during one symbol diration. Thus, the channel can be treated **as a set of** parallel independent stationary complex-valued Gaussian

processes. The attenuation on the **nth** subcarrier with carrier frequency $f_n = \frac{n}{NT}$ during the *p*th symbol can be written as

$$
H_{p,n} = H\left(pT, \frac{n}{NT_s}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} h_{p,m} e^{-j\frac{2\pi rp_m n}{NT_s}} \qquad (3)
$$

where T is the symbol duration with $T = NT_s + T_a$, and $h_{p,m} = h_m(pT)$, $\tau_{p,m} = \tau_m(pT)$. For simplifying the discussion, we consider the case **that** only one symbol is transmitted in each sub-channel, thus we can drop the time **(OFDM** symbol) index *p* **from** the (3) to obtain

$$
H_n = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} h_m e^{-j\frac{2\pi \tau_m n}{N T_s}} \tag{4}
$$

Consequently. the corresponding observed channel impulse response $\{h_n\}$ is the **IDFT** of $\{H_n\}$.

111. CHANNEL ESTIMATION

A. *Analysis* of *Chnnnel Estimation Error*

The pilot-assisted channel 'estimation problem is usually formulated to estimate the channel attenuations ${H_n}$ from the received data ${Y_n}$ and the transmitted data ${X_n}$ [2][3]. Since $Y_n = X_n H_n + N_n$, where $\{N_n\}$ is the additive Gaussian white noise of the channel, which is independent and uncorrelated with the channel, the channel attenuation estimate $\{\widetilde{H}_n\}$ can then be written as $\widetilde{H}_n = \frac{Y_n}{X_n} = H_n + \frac{N_n}{X_n}$ (5) ${H_n}$ can then be written as

$$
\widetilde{H}_n = \frac{Y_n}{X_n} = H_n + \frac{N_n}{X_n} \tag{5}
$$

Thus, maximum likelihood **(ML) method** or **LMMSE method** can be adopted to estimate the channel attenuations. For holding the equation $Y_n = X_n H_n + N_n$, the channel excess delay τ_m is usually assumed to be equal to m_s . However, this assumption **is** seldom satisfied in practice *[6].* In that case, it will *be* demonstrated next that even for an ideal channel without noise. the **proposed** approaches **based** on (5) can not provide **a good** estimate of the channel attenuations.

Without loss of generality, consider **a** multipath fading Without loss of generality, consider a multipath fading channel whose excess delay τ_m equals $\frac{mT_A}{K}$, where *K* is an integer, then the channel impulse response can be represented by

$$
h(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} h_m \delta\left(\tau - \frac{mT_s}{K}\right) \tag{6}
$$

Notice that the transmitted signal **can** be written **as**

$$
x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n \delta(t - nT_s) \tag{7}
$$

If we upsample the data sequence $\{x_n\}$ with the upsampling rate *K*, then we can obtain a new date sequence $\{\hat{x}_k\}$ with $\hat{x}_k = x_n$ if and only if $k = nK$ or $\hat{x}_k = 0$ elsewhere. Thus, $x(t)$ can be also expressed as

$$
x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{KN-1} \hat{x}_k \delta\left(t - \frac{kT_s}{K}\right) \tag{8}
$$

At receiver, discretizing the received signal $y(t)$ with the sampling rate $\frac{K}{T}$, then the obtained data sequence $\{\hat{y}_k\}$ can be written as $\hat{y}_k = \hat{x}_k \otimes h_m$, where \otimes denotes the circular convolution. Let $\{X_k\}$, $\{H_k\}$ and $\{Y_k\}$ be the DFTs of the data sequences $\{\hat{x}_k\}$, $\{h_m\}$ and $\{\hat{y}_k\}$, respectively, with the length of KN, then we have $\hat{Y}_k = \hat{X}_k \hat{H}_k$.

For **the** upsampling transceiver system described **above,** the data sequences $\{y_n\}$, which is obtained by discretizing the signal $y(t)$ with sampling rate $\frac{1}{T}$, equals the sequence downsampled from $\{\hat{y}_k\}$ with downsampling rate *K*, i.e. $y_n = \hat{y}_k$ if and only if $n = \frac{k}{K}$, and $y_n = x_n \otimes h_m^{(1K)}$ where $\{h_m^{(\downarrow K)}\}$ is the sequence downsampled from $\{h_m\}$ with downsampling rate K . Let

$$
Y(f) = \mathscr{F}(y_n), \quad \hat{Y}(f) = \mathscr{F}(\hat{y}_n) \tag{9}
$$

where $\mathscr{F}(\cdot)$ denotes discrete time Fourier transform, then from **[7],** we have

$$
Y(f) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \hat{Y}\left(\frac{f+k}{K}\right)
$$
 (10)

Discretizing the frequency at $f = \frac{n}{M}$, then we can obtain

$$
Y_n = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \hat{Y}\left(\frac{n+kN}{KN}\right) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \hat{Y}_{n+kN} \tag{11}
$$

Similarly, it can also be proved that

$$
X_n = \hat{X}_n, \quad H_n^{(1K)} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \hat{H}_{n+kN}
$$
 (12)

where $\{H_n^{(\downarrow K)}\}$ is the N-point DFT of $\{h_m^{(\downarrow K)}\}$.

Thus, for the system model presented in Section 2. it can be verified that in **the** case of **ideal** channel without noise, the channel attenuation estimate obtained in (5) is

$$
\widetilde{H}_n = \frac{Y_n}{X_n} = H_n^{(1K)} \tag{13}
$$

On the other hand, substituting $\tau_m = \frac{mT_s}{K}$ into (4), we can *see* that the estimated channel attenuations should be

$$
H_n = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} h_m e^{-j\frac{2\pi mn}{KN}} = \widehat{H}_n = \frac{\hat{Y}_n}{X_n}
$$
 (14)

From (12), we know that $\widehat{H}_n \neq H_n^{(1K)}$ for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ in general, it demonstrates **that** even for the case *of* ideal channel, the channel estimation approaches **based** on **(5)** can not give us an accurate estimate of the channel attenuation.

Fig. 2. Comparison between the channel attenuation ${H_n}$ and the estimate ${H_n}$ obtained from the existed algorithm

B. Improved Channel Estimate

In the previous section, it has been shown that the channel estimation algorithms **based** on *(5)* **may** have estimation errur even for ideal channels. In this section, we will investigate the methods to reduce this type **of** estimation error.

Without loss of generalily, **we** can divide the channel models into two classes. For the first **type** of system modei, the channel excess delay τ_m is assumed to equal $\frac{mT_s}{K}$. For the second type of channel model, the channel excess delay τ_m is not a rational number. In this case, we can however always choose an integer *K*, such that $|\tau_m - \frac{k_m T_{\epsilon}}{K}| \leq \epsilon \ll 0$, where ϵ is an arbitrary constant. Thus we can use $\frac{k_m L_4}{K}$ as an approximation an integer *K*, such that $|\tau_m - \frac{k_m T_s}{K}| \leq \varepsilon \ll 0$, where ε is an arbitrary constant. Thus we can use $\frac{k_m T_s}{K}$ as an approximation of τ_m and ignore the difference between τ_m and $\frac{k_m T_s}{K}$. In the previous previous section, it has been demonstrated that for the channel
model with the channel excess delay $\tau_m = \frac{mT_e}{K}$, the channel attenuations $\{H_n\}$ are equal to the first *N* elements of $\{\widehat{H}_k\}$, so if we can get an accurate estimate of $\{\hat{H}_k\}$, then the channel attenuation ${H_n}$ will be obtained.

Suppose that the system has additive Gaussian white noise, then we have

$$
\widehat{Y}_k = \widehat{X}_k \widehat{H}_k + N_k \tag{15}
$$

where $\{N_k\}$ is the channel Gaussian noise. Let

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{Y}_0 \\ \widehat{Y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{Y}_{KN-1} \end{bmatrix}, \widetilde{\mathbf{h}} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{H}_0 \\ \widehat{H}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{H}_{KN-1} \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{X}_0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \widehat{X}_{KN-1} \end{bmatrix}, \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{bmatrix} N_0 \\ N_1 \\ \vdots \\ N_{KN-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{16}
$$

Fig. 3. Comparison between the channel attenuation { **Hn} and the estimate** ${ \overline{H}_n }$ obtained from the improved algorithm

and

$$
\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 & \cdots & h_{M-1} \end{bmatrix}^T \\ \mathbf{W}_{KN}^{00} & \cdots & W_{KN}^{0(M-1)} \\ W_{KN}^{10} & \cdots & W_{KN}^{1(M-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{KN}^{(KN-1)0} & \cdots & W_{KN}^{(KN-1)(M-1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)
$$

where $W_{KN}^{kn} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi kn}{KN}}$. Because

$$
\sim 18
$$

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}=\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}$ Equation **(15)** can then be rewritten in **matrix** notation as

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{y}} = \widehat{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} + \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \tag{19}
$$

Based on **the** above equation, **the** channel estimation problem in the sense of **LS,** can be formulated as the following optimization probIem

$$
\min_{\mathbf{h}} \left\{ \left(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} \right)^T \left(\tilde{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} \right) \right\} \tag{20}
$$

Solving this **LS problem** using the algorithms in *[8]* and substituting the solution **h** into (18), we can obtain an estimate of the channel attenuation $\{H_n\}$.

Summarizing **the** discussion above, we propose **the** following algorithm for solving the channel estimation problem:

- **Step 1:** Choose suitable upsampling rate *K* and **then dis**cretize the received signal $y(t)$ with sampling rate $\frac{K}{T_s}$ to get the data sequence $\{\hat{y}_k\}$;
- **Step 2:** Remove the cyclic prefix from $\{\hat{y}_k\}$ and then perform DFT on it to obtain ${Y_k}$;
- **Step 3:** Solve the LS optimization problem (20) to obtain **h**;
- **Step 4:** Substitute **h** into (18) to obtain the estimate of channel attenuation ${H_n}$.

For **the** channel estimation approach based on the linear minimum mean square error criterion, the channel attenuation

estimates $\{\tilde{H}_n\}$ can also be obtained from (19). Suppose the channel is Gaussian channel **and** uncorrelated with *the* channel noise, then channel attenuation estimate is

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{W}^H \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^H \left(\widehat{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{W}^H \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^H + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_N \right)^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}} \quad (21)
$$

where $(\cdot)^H$ denotes Hermitian transposition, C_{hh} is the covariance matrix of **h**, σ_n^2 is the channel noise variance.

(Iv. **SIMULATION RESULTS**

In the simulation section, we consider a multipath timevariant Rayleigh fading channel. The number of propagation paths is $M = 5$, the channel impulse response and excess delay are $h_m = 0.5938, 0.2137, 0.3175, 0.7305, 0.4312$ and $\tau_m = 0, 0.167, 0.51, 0.751$ and $0.99\mu s$, respectively. The **OFDM** system is a **16-QAM OFDM** system whose **4MHz** bandwidth **is** divided into 16 subchannels, each subchannel has a bandwidth **of 250KHz,** the corresponding time-interval of the transmitted data sequence is $T_a = 0.25 \mu s$, the guard interval T_q is 1 μ s.

The channel attenuation ${H_n}$ and its estimate ${H_k}$ at 16 subcarrier frequency **points are** shown in Fig. **2,** where the mth subcarrier frequency f_m is $m \times 250$ KHz. The estimate of channel attenuation $\{\widetilde{H}_n\}$ is obtained by solving the LS optimization problem based an (13). which implies that the data sequence $\{y_n\}$ is obtained by discretizing the analog signal $y(t)$ with sampling rate $\frac{1}{T}$, the channel is assumed to be ideal channel without noise. from the simulation results shown in Fig. 2, it can be seen that the difference between ${H_n}$ and ${H_k}$ is obvious.

The channel attenuation estimate obtained **by** using the improved algorithm proposed in the paper is shown in [Fig.](#page-3-0) **[3.](#page-3-0)** where the upsampling rate *K* is chosen as $K = 3$. The simulation result shows that the estimate is **very** close to the actual channel attenuation, the maximum error between them is about 0.147, the corresponding maximum error in [Fig.](#page-3-0) *2* is about **0.322.** Comparing the simulation results shown in **Fig.2** and Fig. 3, **it** can be seen clearly that the proposed approach given in the **paper** has better performance than the existed methods.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the channel estimation error based on the pilot-assisted approaches has been investigated. **A** new OFDM channel estimation **scheme based** on the filter bank *theory* is introduced. With the proposed scheme, **a** new effective channel estimation approach has also been provided, It is demonstrated that the proposed channel estimation method has lower estimation error than the existed approaches.

REFERENCES

- **[l] LJ. V. fleek, 0. Edfors, and M. Sandell:** *"On* **channel esth"aion in OFDM systems,"** *IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, pp. 815-819,* **1995.**
- **[Z] R. Nilsson. 0. Edfors,** M. **Sandell, and P. 0. Borjesson. "An analysis** of **two-dimensional pilot-symbol assisted modulation for** OFDM," *ICPWC,* **pp. 71-74. 1997.**
- [3] **M.** Chang **and Y. T. Su. "Model-based** channel **estimation for** OFDM **signals in Rayleigh fading,"** *IEEE Trans. Commun..* **pp. 540-544, April 2002.**
- [4] P. Hoeher, "A statistical discrete-time model for the WSSUS multipath **channel,"** *IEEE Trans. Veh. Ech.,* **pp. 461-468, Nov. 1992.**
- *[5]* **P. A. Bello. "Charactetization of randomly time-variant** linear **channels;'** *IEEE Trans. Commun. Syst., pp. 360-393, Dec. 1963.*
- **[6] T. S. Rappaport.** *Wireless* **Communicntions** *P* **rincipfes** *md* **Procfice. Pearson Education Asia Limited.** *2004.*
- [7] **P. P. Vaidyanathan.** *MuElirare System and Filrer Bur&,* **Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall. 1993.**
- **181 L. L. Scharf, Sfufisficai** *Signul* **Processing. Addison-Wesley, 1991.**