Edith Cowan University

Research Online

Research outputs 2014 to 2021

2020

An intervention with dance and yoga for girls with functional abdominal pain disorders (Just in TIME): Protocol for a randomized controlled trial

Anna Philipson

Stefan Särnblad

Lars Ekstav

Mats Eriksson

Ulrika L. Fagerberg

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013

Part of the Dance Movement Therapy Commons, Movement and Mind-Body Therapies Commons, Pain Management Commons, and the Translational Medical Research Commons

10.2196/19748

Philipson, A., Särnblad, S., Ekstav, L., Eriksson, M., Fagerberg, U. L., Möller, M., ... Duberg, A. (2020). An intervention with dance and yoga for girls with functional abdominal pain disorders (Just in TIME): Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Research Protocols*, *9*(12), article e19748. https://doi.org/10.2196/19748
This Journal Article is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/9371

Authors Anna Philipson, Stefan Särnblad, Lars Ekstav, Mats Eriksson, Ulrika L. Fagerberg, Margareta Möller, Evalotte Mörelius, and Anna Duberg								

Protocol

An Intervention With Dance and Yoga for Girls With Functional Abdominal Pain Disorders (Just in TIME): Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

Anna Philipson¹, MSc; Stefan Särnblad^{2,3}, MD, PhD; Lars Ekstav², MD; Mats Eriksson⁴, RN, PhD; Ulrika L Fagerberg^{5,6}, MD, PhD; Margareta Möller¹, PT, PhD; Evalotte Mörelius^{7,8}, RN, PhD; Anna Duberg¹, PT, PhD

Corresponding Author:

Anna Philipson, MSc University Health Care Research Center Faculty of Medicine and Health Örebro University Universitetssjukhuset Örebro, S-huset, vån 2 Örebro, SE-70185 Sweden

Phone: 46 196027491

Email: anna.philipson@regionorebrolan.se

Abstract

Background: Functional abdominal pain disorders (FAPDs) affect many children worldwide, predominantly girls, and cause considerable long-term negative consequences for individuals and society. Evidence-based and cost-effective treatments are therefore strongly needed. Physical activity has shown promising effects in the practical management of FAPDs. Dance and yoga are both popular activities that have been shown to provide significant psychological and pain-related benefits with minimal risk. The activities complement each other, in that dance involves dynamic, rhythmic physical activity, while yoga enhances relaxation and focus

Objective: This study aims to evaluate the effects of a dance and yoga intervention among girls aged 9 to 13 years with FAPDs.

Methods: The study is a prospective randomized controlled trial among girls aged 9 to 13 years with functional abdominal pain, irritable bowel syndrome, or both. The target sample size was 150 girls randomized into 2 arms: an intervention arm that receives dance and yoga sessions twice weekly for 8 months and a control arm that receives standard care. Outcomes will be measured at baseline and after 4, 8, 12, and 24 months, and long-term follow-up will be conducted 5 years from baseline. Questionnaires, interviews, and biomarker measures, such as cortisol in saliva and fecal microbiota, will be used. The primary outcome is the proportion of girls in each group with reduced pain, as measured by the faces pain scale-revised in a pain diary, immediately after the intervention. Secondary outcomes are gastrointestinal symptoms, general health, mental health, stress, and physical activity. The study also includes qualitative evaluations and health economic analyses. This study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Uppsala (No. 2016/082 1-2).

Results: Data collection began in October 2016. The intervention has been performed in 3 periods from 2016 through 2019. The final 5-year follow-up is anticipated to be completed by fall 2023.

Conclusions: Cost-effective and easily accessible interventions are warranted to reduce the negative consequences arising from FAPDs in young girls. Physical activity is an effective strategy, but intervention studies are needed to better understand what types of activities facilitate regular participation in this target group. The Just in TIME (Try, Identify, Move, and Enjoy) study



¹University Health Care Research Center, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

²Department of Paediatrics, Örebro University Hospital, Örebro, Sweden

³Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Medical Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

⁴Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Health Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

⁵Centre for Clinical Research, Västmanland Hospital Västerås, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁶Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁷Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

⁸School of Nursing and Midwifery, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Australia

will provide insights regarding the effectiveness of dance and yoga and is anticipated to contribute to the challenging work of reducing the burden of FAPDs for young girls.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02920268); https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02920268

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): DERR1-10.2196/19748

(JMIR Res Protoc 2020;9(12):e19748) doi: 10.2196/19748

KEYWORDS

dance; functional abdominal pain; functional abdominal pain disorders; irritable bowel syndrome; physical activity; randomized trial; study protocol; yoga

Introduction

Functional abdominal pain disorders (FAPDs) [1,2] affect 13.5% of school-aged children worldwide [3] and are associated with low quality of life [4]. The prevalence is substantially higher among girls (15.9%) than boys (11.5%) [3]. The negative consequences of FAPDs, such as absence from school [4,5], depression [4,6], and high consumption of medical care [7], pose a considerable burden on children and their families. It is common for pain to be sustained throughout the school years [8-11]. Furthermore, somatic symptoms in childhood predict severe mental illness in adulthood [12]. Specifically, FAPDs in childhood can lead to long-term vulnerability to anxiety later in life, even if abdominal pain resolves [13]. Children need early treatment and preventive strategies to address these symptoms [14] regardless of the presence of co-occurring mental health disorders [12].

For almost 90% of children with chronic abdominal pain, no explanatory organic cause can be identified [15], and psychosocial factors contribute to the development and maintenance of the disease [16]. The predisposing factors and pathophysiological mechanisms of FAPDs include visceral hypersensitivity, altered gastrointestinal motility, and changes in intestinal microbiota, as well as stressful events [3,17], mental health issues, and negative experiences, such as bullying [18]. These children are likely to have poor coping strategies for stressful situations [19]. Thus, interventions and therapeutic modalities that address stress reduction are frequently discussed [3].

There is currently no convincing evidence for treatment or symptom relief with pharmaceuticals [17,20-22] or dietary treatment [23]. Nonpharmacological interventions, such as hypnotherapy and different types of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), have shown both short- [17,19,24] and long-term pain relief for children with FAPDs [24]. However, many of these interventions are time-consuming or require specially educated staff [17]. Effective strategies for managing FAPDs in children include reducing both parent and child concerns about the seriousness of the condition and, instead of striving for total pain relief, reducing the disability associated with pain [25] and improving the quality of life for the child [25-27].

Physical activity has been shown to be effective in the practical management of FAPDs [18] by distracting from the pain and improving function. Unfortunately, levels of physical activity among young girls is alarmingly low, which calls for action [28]. Dance is a popular physical activity among young girls

[29] that can positively influence physical health outcomes [30], motor skills [31], and psychological well-being [32,33]. Through the expressive, creative, and aesthetic aspects of physical activity, dance holds potential to enhance body awareness and improve poor body image, which in turn strengthens self-esteem [34,35]. In a social context, dance is a cost-effective intervention [36] that can reduce somatic and emotional stress-related problems [37], increase self-rated health [38], and enhance self-esteem [39] and feelings of enjoyment and energy [40-42]. For girls aged 8 to 12 years, multicomponent interventions including dance can lead to improvements in psychological well-being, perceived self-efficacy, and physical self-confidence [43]. Although more research is needed, dance has also been proven to help decrease pain, both for young people [44] and adult women [45-47].

Yoga is a psychophysiological practice with a focus on posture, controlled breathing, and attention [48]. For children, yoga has been shown to improve focus and emotional regulation [49] and to effectively reduce anxiety [50,51] and depression [52]. Yoga has also gained popularity in the treatment of pediatric FAPDs [27,53-55]; studies have shown reductions in abdominal pain frequency [56], pain intensity [56,57], and school absence [57]. For children with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), yoga has been shown to improve quality of life and physical functioning [58,59] and reduce IBS symptoms [58-60], but more research is needed [20].

A combination of physical and mental training has been shown to be beneficial in reducing stress and increasing quality of life [61]. Coupled together, studies indicate a potentially higher effectiveness than one modality alone [61,62]. Choreographed dance routines to popular music combined with calm breathing meditation has been shown to decrease symptoms of depression and anxiety for homeless women [63].

Dance and yoga have been acknowledged in recent research as pain management for young girls [64] and are both noncompetitive activities that can appeal to girls, which in turn can positively impact participation rates [65]. Dance and yoga can complement each other because dance involves dynamic, rhythmic physical activity, while yoga enhances relaxation and focus [66]. Both dance and yoga focus on body awareness, which has been shown to provide significant psychological and pain-related benefits with minimal risk [67,68], and can also meet young people's desire to self-manage symptoms with accessible treatment options and to take a more active role in their own care [69]. However, more controlled trials of nonpharmacological interventions are warranted [70], especially



for stress-modulated conditions in youth [67]. The novelty of the intervention type and the vulnerability of the target group call for extensive investigation, which we aim to accomplish with a longitudinal randomized design and different methodologies. To our knowledge, dance and yoga for children with FAPDs have not previously been studied.

The overall aim of the study is to evaluate the effects of a dance and yoga intervention among girls aged 9 to 13 years with FAPDs.

Methods

Approval and Registration


The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Uppsala (No. 2016/082 1-2) and is registered on

Figure 1. Flowchart of the protocol.

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02920268). Any protocol modifications will be communicated to relevant parties.

Study Design

This study, called Just in TIME (Try, Identify, Move, and Enjoy), started in 2016 when the first intake was performed and will end in 2023. The study is a prospective randomized controlled trial with 2 parallel groups—an intervention group and a control group—of girls aged 9 to 13 years with functional abdominal pain, IBS, or both. The intervention consists of dance and yoga sessions 2 times a week for 8 months. The control group receives standard care as school health care or primary care. The outcomes are measured at baseline and after 4, 8, 12, and 24 months. A long-term follow-up will be performed 5 years from baseline (Figure 1). At all follow-ups, data are collected for both the intervention and control groups. The trial is being conducted in 2 cities in Sweden.





The study follows the standard methodology of intervention research. This protocol is conducted according to the SPIRIT (Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials) guidelines [71], and the description of the intervention follows the TIDieR (Template for Intervention Description and Replication) checklist and guide [72]. The study will be reported according to the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) guidelines [73], COREQ (Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research) [74], and CHEERS (Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards) [75].

Study Population

According to international guidelines (Rome IV), FAPDs include functional dyspepsia, IBS, abdominal migraine, and functional abdominal pain not otherwise specified with persisting symptoms 2 months prior to diagnosis [1]. The previous term for these diagnoses according to Rome III was abdominal pain-related functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) [2].

The Rome III criteria provided the current guidelines during the time of the first study inclusion. The study population includes girls diagnosed with functional abdominal pain or IBS according to the Rome III criteria [2] and with persistent pain after examination at the pediatric center. The girls were aged 9 to 12 years during the first year for intake and 9 to 13 years during the second and third intake years.

Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria followed the diagnostic criteria for childhood functional abdominal pain in Rome III [2]: (1) episodic or continuous abdominal pain at least once per week for at least 2 months before diagnosis; (2) insufficient criteria for other FGIDs; and (3) no evidence of an inflammatory, anatomic, metabolic, or neoplastic process that explains the patient's symptoms.

The following criteria were tested to exclude other illnesses: (1) physical examination including normal growth pattern and (2) laboratory screening, including serological screening with immunoglobulin A antibodies against type 2 (tissue) transglutaminase (TGA-IgA), complete blood cell count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate or C-reactive protein, and urine analysis. A fecal calprotectin test was also included if the girl reported symptoms such as diarrhea.

Diagnostic criteria for IBS in Rome III [2] were used. First, at least once per week for at least 2 months before diagnosis, the patient must have had abdominal discomfort or pain associated with 2 or more of the following at least 25% of the time: (1) improved with defecation, (2) onset associated with a change in the frequency of stool, or (3) onset associated with a change in the form (appearance) of stool. Second, there must be no evidence of an inflammatory, anatomic, metabolic, or neoplastic process that explains the patient's symptoms.

The following criteria were tested to exclude other illnesses: (1) physical examination including normal growth pattern and (2) laboratory screening including serological screening with TGA-IgA, complete blood cell count, erythrocyte sedimentation

rate or C-reactive protein, and urine analysis. A fecal calprotectin test was also included if the girl reported symptoms such as diarrhea.

Persistent pain after examination at the pediatric center was measured with a pain diary at baseline. Girls who reported one or more episodes of pain with a pain score of 4 or higher on the faces pain scale-revised (FPS-r) [76,77] during a full week were eligible for the study.

Exclusion Criteria

The exclusion criteria for this trial were (1) contemporaneous celiac or inflammatory bowel disease; (2) difficulty following oral instructions, such as hearing impairment, mental retardation, or language difficulties; (3) simultaneous treatment with CBT; and (4) severe psychological symptoms for which other treatment is needed.

Recruitment

Participants were recruited from outpatient clinics, primary health care services, and the public.

We recruited participants from the outpatient clinics of the pediatric departments at the university hospitals in Örebro, Karlskoga, and Lindesberg, Sweden. In the second recruitment year, participants were also recruited from the pediatric outpatient clinic in Västerås, Sweden. All girls aged 9 to 13 years (9 to 12 years during the first year of the study) who had visited one of the outpatient clinics during the previous 2 years because of abdominal pain and had received a diagnosis of IBS, functional abdominal pain, constipation, or abdominal pain received an information letter asking whether they wanted to join the study. All participants were found via local diagnosis registries at the included hospitals. After written consent was received from the legal guardians, the diagnosis according to the inclusion criteria was verified in the medical records or by examination by a pediatrician.

In addition, we recruited girls from primary health care services in the region of Örebro and Västerås. Information letters were delivered to potential participants and their legal guardians in primary care, the counselling unit, and school health services. Interested girls and their legal guardians contacted the research team, who distributed an information letter and the consent form. After written consent was obtained, the research team booked an appointment for an examination at the pediatric clinic (Örebro) or research clinic (Västerås).

Information about the study project was provided by several information channels, such as social media, ordinary media, and websites. Interested girls and their legal guardians contacted the research team, who distributed an information letter and the consent form. After written consent was obtained, the research team booked an appointment for an examination at the pediatric clinic (Örebro) or research clinic (Västerås).

Girls and their legal guardians who consented to the study and were eligible for the study according to the inclusion criteria completed the baseline measurement.



Randomization

When the baseline measurement was completed and the eligibility check was accomplished, the sample was randomized into an intervention group and a control group. Randomization was performed by an external statistician using minimization based on pain intensity and age at baseline [78].

Sample Size

The total number of required study participants was calculated for the primary outcome (decreased maximal pain after 8 months). The calculation was based on several assumptions. First, we considered the expected proportion of participants who decreased their maximal pain after 8 months in the intervention group. We estimated that 30% of the intervention group would decrease their maximal pain after 8 months based on previous research with CBT, in which approximately 50% of participants were pain free immediately after the intervention [79]. Second, we calculated the expected proportion of participants whose maximal pain would decrease after 8 months in the control group. In previous CBT studies, approximately 25% of control participants reported being pain free when the intervention ended [79]. Since only girls with a long duration of abdominal pain were included in the present study, we estimated that the placebo effect would be smaller and that 10% of the girls in the control group would report decreased maximal pain at the 8-month follow-up. Third, dropout is a common problem that can bias outcomes. A 20% to 50% dropout rate is commonly reported in studies of participants with long-lasting pain [80]. In the present study, a 20% dropout rate was estimated.

With a power of 80% and a significance level of .05, we calculated the sample size to be N=150 (75 intervention + 75 control participants), including a 20% dropout. To decrease bias, a minimum of 50 participants in each group is recommended in trials studying intervention effects on pain, according to previous literature [80].

Intervention

The description of the intervention follows the TIDieR checklist and guide [72].

Name and Rationale

The intervention is called Just in TIME and addresses the importance of early intervention for this target group. The word "TIME" stands for "Try, Identify, Move, and Enjoy," which also characterizes the key aspects of the intervention, which aims to decrease FAPDs among 9- to 13-year-old girls through dance and yoga. Essential elements of the intervention included a focus on enjoyment, socialization, and playful creativity in an undemanding environment. We chose a combination of dance and yoga because of experiences from an earlier study [38] that targeted adolescent girls with internalizing problems and evaluated a dance intervention. The study participants appreciated the intervention and rated it to be a positive experience [38], but at the end of the intervention period, they started to request yoga as an add-on at the end of the sessions. They believed that it would be a valuable closure to the session to help them tune in to the relaxation. These requests were a form of evaluation that the research team took into account when designing the current study.

Materials

Informational material was distributed during the training of the intervention providers. It consisted of written course materials and visually recorded practical choreography and sequences, all provided by the intervention coordinator.

Procedures

The intervention was performed as a group activity twice a week after school hours during an 8-month period. The duration of the class was 60 minutes, comprising 30 minutes of dance practice, 25 minutes of yoga including relaxation, and 5 minutes of short reflection (Table 1). Throughout the intervention period, the participants were encouraged to practice their favorite dances, yoga poses, or relaxation techniques at home if they wanted to. Both centers followed the same routine.



Table 1. The 60-minute Just in TIME dance and yoga class.

Minutes	Section	Description						
10	Dance: warm- up	The warm-up section aimed to get the participating girls to be active and take part in the social cohesion. It included up-tempo music with prominent drum beats and captivating rhythm. Expansive, easy, accessible movements activated large muscle groups.						
20	Dance	The dance choreography section included mostly structured dance as a group under the guidance of an instructor but also included improvisation and playful exploration of movement. The focus was on enjoyment and socialization rather than performance. The intention was to offer an opportunity to experience one's own body in a positive way with popular music in an undemanding and supportive atmosphere as well as to increase heart rate with moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.						
		 Month 1-2: Focus on experiencing joy of movement, feeling safe, and getting to know each other. Dance style: show jazz. Month 3: Focus on expansive movements, "claiming space," determination, and integrity. Dance style: show jazz and street dance. Month 4: Focus on body awareness, slow movements, and grace. Dance style: jazz and contemporary dance. Month 5-6: Focus on increasing energy and working together as a group in the choreography. Dance style: show jazz. Month 7-8: Focus on enjoyment and meeting variations in the dance movements (high-low, firm-soft, and expansive-small variations). Dance style: show jazz and floor work. 						
20	Yoga	The yoga section focused on playful movements, such as creative yoga storytelling combined with asanas (body poses), a focus on breathing, and attention. Asanas were performed individually or in pairs and, when appropriate, together as a group.						
		 Month 1-2: balasana (child's pose), marjaryasana (cat pose) and bitilasana (cow pose), parsva sukhasana (seated side bend pose), uttanasana (standing forward bend), upavistha bitilasana marjaryasana (seated catcow pose), jathara parivrtti (revolved abdomen twist pose) 						
		 Month 3: parivritta sukhasana (sitting twist pose), parsvaparvatasana in tadasana (standing side bend), ut- tanasana (standing forward bend), sufi grind (seated torso circles), paranamuktasana (knees to chest), jathara parivritti (revolved abdomen twist pose) 						
		 Month 4: virabhadrasana II (warrior II), ardha chandrasana (half-moon pose), ardha setubandhasana (half-bridge pose), adho mukha sukhasana (easy pose forward bend), upavistha bitilasana marjaryasana (seated cat-cow pose), jathara parivrtti (revolved abdomen twist pose) 						
		 Month 5-6: vrksasana (tree pose), utthita trikonasana (extended triangle pose), upavista konasana (seated wide angle posture), adhomukha svanasana (downward-facing dog posture), balasana (child's pose), paranamuktasana (knees to chest), sufi grind (seated torso circles), jathara parivrtti (revolved abdomen twist pose) 						
		 Month 7-8: extended focus on creative yoga storytelling in group, phalakasana (plank pose), adhomukha svanasana (downward-facing dog posture), bhujangasana (cobra pose), ardha setubandhasana (half-bridge pose), jathara parivrtti (revolved abdomen twist pose) 						
5	Relaxation	<i>Pranayama</i> (slow deep breathing and attention to the breath), <i>savasana</i> (corpse pose), guided relaxation to increase calmness, and lying down with blankets. During the relaxation, a brief massage on the shoulders was offered by the instructors (voluntary).						
5	Reflection	Finally, a short voluntary sharing session was held while seated in a circle, highlighting a positive experience during this particular class.						

Intervention Providers

Each group included 7 to 14 girls and was under the guidance of 2 instructors, one at a time. The instructors had a profession in either health care or pedagogy, had experience working with young people, and were educated in teaching children or adolescents in dance (instructor training) and yoga (ie, registered yoga teacher 200-hour training). Prior to the start of the intervention, all instructors attended a 2-day course administered by the research team. This course consisted of practical instructions from the intervention coordinator about the dance and yoga session according to the standardized program design, including dance choreographies and yoga sequences adjusted to the target group as well as teaching style (nonjudgmental and supporting approach). Lectures about theories and underlying mechanisms and about guidelines and ethical aspects regarding children with FAPDs were also given.

Modes of Delivery

Various styles of dance with a focus on enjoyment were performed during the intervention period. The yoga practice consisted of both playful movements (such as creative yoga storytelling) and calm physical postures with a focus on breathing and attention, which were performed individually, in pairs, and as a group. The intervention (further described in Table 1) was developed by the intervention coordinator (author AD). To ensure standardization in program delivery across both centers and between instructors over time, booster sessions were given 3 times during the intervention period (in addition to the initial 2-day course). The participants in the intervention were advised to wear practical soft clothes suitable for the activity, and no special shoes were needed.



Locations

The intervention took place in studios located in the center of Örebro and Västerås, Sweden, which were easily accessible by bus or walking. The studios had yoga mats and music equipment.

Frequency and Duration

The 8-month period was chosen because it corresponded to 2 school semesters. No classes were held during holidays; thus, 50 dance classes were held over 25 weeks. The practice can be classified as moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.

Tailoring and Modification

To address modifications, both dance and yoga movements were introduced in steps to include all participants regardless of previous experience. Alternatives were given when needed. No changes were made in the intervention.

Adherence

Adherence to the dance and yoga intervention was noted by the instructors. A strategy to maintain and improve fidelity was to consciously focus on relatedness and provide a feeling of social inclusion, supportiveness, and the chance to meet new friends. To keep the dance and yoga sessions interesting over the time period, a variety of styles and themes were presented, all distributed by the intervention coordinator. The intervention was delivered as planned throughout the 3 intervention years.

Outcomes

This study includes biochemical and physiological measures, questionnaires (for the girls in the study and their legal guardians who answer questions about their daughters as a proxy), and qualitative interviews (with both the girls in the study and their legal guardians) (Figure 2). The questionnaire sessions are performed at the research centers, and the project team provides assistance. To retain as many participants as possible, reminder mail and emails are sent to participants. Notes about participants who discontinue or deviate from the study are made.



Figure 2. Enrollment, interventions, and assessments. An "X" represents a child report and an "O" represents a legal guardian report. The PACES, enjoyment rating scale, and experiences questions were only asked to the girls in the intervention group. BSC: Bristol stool chart; ChilD-S: Children's Depression Screener; CSSI-24: Children's Somatic Symptoms Inventory-24; EQ-VAS: EuroQol visual analog scale; FPS-r: faces pain scale-revised; LH-YP: Life and Health – Young People; PACES: Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale.

	Enrollment	Baseline and allocation	Postallocation				
Time point	Month -1	Month 0	Month 4	Month 8	Month 12	Month 24	Month 60
Enrollment		İ					
Eligibility screen	X	1					
Informed consent	X						
Baseline		X					
Allocation		X					
Interventions		+					
Intervention: dance and yoga		X	•				
Control: standard care		X					
Assessments		+					
FPS-r		X	X	X	X	X	X
CSSI-24		xo	XO	XO	XO	XO	xo
Pain drawings		X	X	X	X	X	X
Feces		X		X			
BSC		X	X	X	X	X	X
LH-YP somatic and psychosocial		xo	XO	XO	XO	XO	XO
Self-rated health		xo	XO	XO	XO	XO	XO
ChilD-S		X	X	X	X	X	X
LH-YP mental health		xo	XO	XO	XO	XO	XO
Salivary cortisol		X	X	X			
LH-YP sleep habits		0		0	0		
School attendance		XO	XO	XO	XO	XO	XO
Accelerometer		X		X			
PACES		-	X	X			
Enjoyment ranging scale			X	X			
Experiences				XO			
Kidscreen		x	X	X	X	X	X
EQ-VAS		X	X	X	X	X	X
Resource use		xo	XO	XO	XO	xo	XO
LH-YP food habits		xo	XO	XO	XO	XO	XO
Covariates		xo					
COVALIANCES		1,0					

Primary Outcome Measure

The primary outcome is the proportion of girls in each group who have reduced maximal pain, measured immediately after the intervention (8-month follow-up) with the FPS-r [76,77] in a pain diary in which the girls register their abdominal pain 3

times a day for 1 week. The psychometric features for the FPS-r are reported to be good [77].



Secondary Outcome Measures

Abdominal Pain and Gastrointestinal Issues

Abdominal pain is measured with the pain diaries with the FPS-r [76,77].

Abdominal pain is also measured in the questionnaires with a subscale in the Children's Somatic Symptoms Inventory-24 (CSSI-24) (formerly known as the Children's Somatization Inventory) [81-84]. The CSSI-24 is a valid and reliable instrument that assesses a variety of nonspecific somatic symptoms [81].

Pain drawings are used to elicit information about the location of pain symptoms [85,86]. A growing body of evidence supports pain drawings as an assessment of pain among children [85].

Fecal samples were collected at baseline and at 8 months for measurement of the intestinal bacterial composition. This is an important background variable that can affect how effective the intervention is for a participant and thus has prognostic significance for the treatment outcome [87].

The Bristol stool chart (BSC) is a well-recognized tool designed to classify the form and consistency of feces into 7 categories [88]. It is used in both clinical and experimental fields [89] and has been used to assess the intestinal transit rate. Normal stool consistency is considered type 3 to type 5 on the BSC [90].

Other Somatic Symptoms

Other somatic symptoms are measured with the CSSI-24 [81-83].

Somatic and psychosocial symptoms are also measured with questions from a well-used Swedish survey, Life and Health – Young People (LH-YP) [91]. The questions are in line with the types of questions used in the Health Behavior in School-aged Children study, a cross-national study coordinated by the World Health Organization's Regional Office for Europe [28].

General Health


Self-rated health is measured with a single question: "How do you rate your general health?" The response options range from 1 to 5 (1=very poor, 2=poor, 3=neither good nor poor, 4=good, and 5=very good) [92]. It has been proven to predict mortality and morbidity [93] and to be a valid and reliable item [93,94].

Mental Health and Stress

Depressive symptoms are measured with the Children's Depression Screener (ChilD-S). The ChilD-S is an 8-question validated screening instrument for depressive symptoms designed for children aged 9 to 12 years [95,96]. The recommended cutoff for depression is \geq 13 when the child is investigated in psychiatric or psychosomatic care, according to the Swedish translation of the ChilD-S.

The questionnaires also include 8 questions about stress, anxiety, mood, and happiness. These questions are derived from the LH-YP [91].

Stress was also measured with salivary cortisol. Saliva was collected using oral polymer swabs and tubes (Salimetrics LLC). To measure the morning and evening components of the cortisol



Sleeping Habits

Questions regarding sleeping habits are derived from the LH-YP [91].

School Attendance and Function

School attendance and function are measured with 2 questions created by the research group.

Physical Activity

A direct measurement of physical activity was obtained using accelerometers with 3 axles (GT3X; ActiGraph) [99]. The participating girls were instructed to wear the accelerometer for 7 days while they were awake.

Enjoyment

The validated Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES) was customized for dance and yoga in this study. PACES was originally developed to measure enjoyment of physical activity and contains 16 items [100,101].

Enjoyment of the intervention was also evaluated with a graphic rating scale [102], which has been proven to have good consistency and stability [103]. The question was "How do you experience dancing/yoga/relaxation while you perform it?" The rating scale ranges from entirely negative to entirely positive.

Experiences

To investigate the girls' experiences of their participation in the intervention and the legal guardians' experiences of how the girls were influenced by participating in the intervention, qualitative interviews were conducted a few weeks after the intervention ended. The interviews were face-to-face, with the girl and her legal guardian interviewed separately, and semistructured. They were conducted by the same team each year for internal consistency. The interviews were based on open-ended questions from an interview guide, and participants were encouraged to speak freely about their experiences. Prompts (eg, "Could you tell me more?") were used to obtain richer material.

Quality of Life

Quality of life (QOL) is measured with the Kidscreen-10 index [104], which is an instrument with good psychometric features that assesses children's and adolescents' subjective health and well-being. It is used in public health and clinical medicine disciplines in multiple countries. An algorithm for mapping the



Kidscreen-10 index onto the Child Health Utility Index 9D (CHU9D) utility scores will be used [105].

QOL is also measured with the EuroQol visual analog scale, which represents health status at the moment of evaluation and ranges from 0 (extremely bad) to 100 (excellent quality of life) [106]. Its validity and reliability are reported to be good [107,108].

Resource Usage

Both the girls and their legal guardians are asked about resource consumption, such as visits to primary care, school health care, and other open care. These questions were created by the research group.

Food Habits

Questions about food habits were derived from the LH-YP [91].

Covariates

To assess covariates, we asked about (1) demographics; (2) parental abdominal and gastrointestinal morbidity; (3) the girl's background, including mode of delivery (caesarean or vaginal), antibiotic consumption early in life, dance and yoga experiences, menarche, etc; and (4) safety at home, in school, during leisure time activities, on social media, and at other places were the girls spend their time.

Analyses

Statistical Analysis

The Just in TIME study follows the intention-to-treat paradigm. Complementary analyses will be performed per protocol and according to the number of sessions the participant attends.

For baseline statistics between the groups, descriptions will be constructed using frequencies and proportions for categorical data and means and standard deviations for continuous variables. Baseline characteristics will be compared using the Fisher exact test for dichotomous outcomes, the Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test for ordered categorical outcomes, and the Mann-Whitney U test or unpaired *t* test for continuous outcomes. A *P* value of <.05 for the 2-tailed test will be considered statistically significant for all outcomes. When deemed necessary, correction for multiple significance will be performed.

The primary outcome analysis will initially be performed using a Fisher exact test to evaluate differences between groups. To analyze the change in scores from baseline between groups for approximately normally distributed variables, a repeated-measures covariance pattern mixed model will be used, adjusting for significant differences at baseline. To identify predictive factors at baseline that might be associated with the primary outcome, a univariable logistic regression analysis followed by a stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis will be performed.

The distribution of continuous variables will be described using the mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range. Categorical variables will be described with numbers and percentages.

Study participants who choose to leave the intervention before the study is finished are encouraged to complete all assessments. Missing data will be handled with multiple imputations or within the mixed model.

Cortisol Analyses

To study the difference between morning and evening values, the evening-morning cortisol quotients will be calculated by dividing each girl's evening cortisol value by the morning cortisol value. To study potential changes in evening-morning cortisol quotients between baseline and 4 and 8 months, the median change score will be calculated by subtracting baseline cortisol morning samples from 4-month morning cortisol samples and 8-month morning cortisol samples, and the same procedure will be performed for the evening samples.

Feces Analyses

Participants received a kit containing everything needed to perform the fecal test at home. The test was stored in the freezer at home for approximately 1 week and was subsequently delivered to the research team in a small freezer bag. The samples are stored at –80 C at the university hospital in Örebro, and analyses of the intestinal microbiota and short-chain fatty acids will be performed.

Analyses of Physical Activity

The frequency of the accelerometer collecting data will be set to 30 Hz. Data will be processed in ActiLife (version 6.13.4; ActiGraph). Raw data from the accelerometers will be converted to 10-second epochs. Valid wear time will be considered to be at least 480 minutes per day, and minimum valid days will be set to 4 days, including 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day. Total counts per day, sedentary time, and activity of moderate or higher intensity will be measured.

Qualitative Analyses

The interviews will be transcribed verbatim and analyzed with inductive content analysis, as described by Elo and Kyngäs [109]. The analysis process will initially include getting to know the material, generating codes, and identifying categories. Data will be analyzed using the NVivo software program (QSR International) for qualitative data analysis [110]. All of the authors will discuss the coding process until it is agreed upon and the codes will be grouped into subcategories that reflect the core message of the interviews. Thereafter, the subcategories will be abstracted into generic and main categories [109].

Health Economic Analysis

The health economic evaluation will be performed as a cost-utility analysis using individual data [111]. Societal costs (including health care, informal care, and school health care) will be considered. Gained quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) will be used to measure the effects. QOL will be measured with Kidscreen-10 [104], and an algorithm for mapping the Kidscreen-10 index onto the CHU9D utility scores will be used [105]. Questions about resource use and QOL are asked to both girls and their legal guardians at all follow-ups. Cost-effectiveness ratios will be based on the changes in QALY and net costs for the intervention group compared with the control group. The results will be presented as an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER), which is expressed as ICER = (Ca – Cb)/(Ea – Eb), where "Ca" is the cost of the intervention,



Cb is the cost of the comparator, Ea is the effectiveness of the intervention, and Eb is the effectiveness of the comparator.

Ethics and Dissemination

The study is conducted in accordance with the standards of Good Clinical Practice and in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki, and it is approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Uppsala, Sweden (No. 2016/082 1-2). Since all participants are younger than 15 years, written informed consent was provided by legal guardians. The information letter included written information about the study, including the purpose and procedures, the voluntary nature of participation, and the option to withdraw at any time. The participants are also guaranteed confidentiality and secured data storage. In addition, all legal guardians and the girls in the study were invited to an information meeting before the start of the study, where verbal explanations of all the procedures were given.

Any adverse events or harm arising from study participation will be reported and managed by the instructors and the research team in accordance with ordinary health care routines. All participants who report 13 or higher on the ChilD-S [95] are offered a clinical evaluation with a psychologist or a psychiatrist and, if needed, are referred to other health care resources, such as the school health service or child and adolescent psychiatry care, without further engagement in the study.

Data are collected in both pen-and-paper and digital formats and securely stored at the University Health Care Research Center. The questionnaire was pretested on an age-appropriate group to determine whether the wording and length of the questionnaire were appropriate for the study's target group. No unauthorized persons have access to the collected data, either throughout or after the conclusion of the study.

All samples collected in this study are registered in a biobank in Region Örebro County and handled according to the current biobank laws and regulations. The samples are coded to protect the study participants' identification. All samples and the code list are stored securely and separately to prevent unauthorized persons from having access to them.

Patient and Public Involvement

A number of girls aged 9 years were involved in composing the questionnaire, selecting research questions, and the developing the intervention. Patients and the public were not involved in the development of the study design, overall measures, recruitment, or conducting of the study. The final results of this study will be disseminated to participants through presentations by the research team at health care and community forums.

Results

Ethical approval was received in March 2016 and the data collection began in October 2016.

In total, 172 participants were recruited in 3 waves and the intervention was performed in 3 periods from 2016 through 2019. In summary, 121 girls were eligible and allocated. A total of 64 participants were allocated to the intervention group, and 57 participants were allocated to the control group. Data collection of the postintervention follow-ups are ongoing and

the final 5-year follow-up will be completed by fall 2023. We expect to publish the first results of the study in the beginning of 2021.

Discussion

Considering that FAPDs are prevalent among young girls, cost-effective and easily accessible interventions are warranted to reduce the negative consequences arising from these disorders. Physical activity is an effective strategy, but intervention studies are needed to better understand what types of activities facilitate regular participation for this target group. This study will provide new insights regarding the effectiveness of dance and yoga as an active and health-strengthening intervention for girls aged 9 to 13 years with FAPDs. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the influence of these activities combined in an after-school setting.

Worth mentioning is that the current study cannot determine whether one part of the intervention had a greater effect than another. The aim was to evaluate the combination of dance and yoga and the effect of the entire intervention. The supportive group setting, the instructors, the music, or specific parts of the intervention may influence the health effects in different ways. Additionally, it is possible that behavior change alone (ie, engaging in a new organized activity) could contribute to changes in abdominal pain. As always, when testing complex interventions, there are many factors that can affect the outcomes. However, this is also the fact in clinical and real-life situations, and we have chosen a randomized design to account for confounding factors as much as possible.

Methodological strengths of the study include the randomized controlled design, the preregistration in a clinical trials registry, the long follow-up, and the combination of quantitative and qualitative measures. We also verified the FAPD diagnosis with an examination and laboratory evaluation performed by experienced physicians before including the participants in the study.

Several aspects of our trial design are worth noting as potential limitations. The timing of the intervention period followed the Swedish school year, which meant that we conducted the baseline measurement after the summer holiday, when the symptoms from FAPDs can be lower than at other times during the school year. This may have resulted in somewhat inflated exclusion rates, as some girls did not reach the inclusion criteria at the time point for inclusion. Moreover, due to the age of the target group, we need to rely on legal guardians to assist with data collection, which might influence the outcome. There is a possibility that girls with more engaged legal guardians could be overrepresented in the study groups. Intervention logistics, such as avoiding conflicts between the dance and yoga class and the participants' school curriculum and offering the intervention in an easily accessible studio, are worth considering in future research and in dissemination plans if the activity is being implemented in usual care.

In addition, regarding the biomarker and physiological measures (saliva cortisol, feces, and accelerometer data), we cannot verify



how meticulously the participants followed the prescribed guidelines.

The results from this study will broaden the knowledge of how nonpharmacological interventions can be valuable for overcoming the future challenge of reducing the burden of FAPDs for young girls and their families. Combined dance and yoga could be an example of an easy-access joyful intervention with promise as a complementary treatment.

To conclude, this randomized controlled study with 150 participants will investigate the effects of combined dance and yoga in girls with FAPDs. The primary aim is to study the effects of the intervention on abdominal pain, but several other aspects of FAPDs in young girls will also be studied. The results from this intervention study may provide useful information for caregivers in school health care, primary health care, and pediatric outpatient clinics.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all other members of the Just in TIME research group. Regarding development of the intervention, we would like to thank the following for input: Elin Sandberg (yoga), Sofie Högström, and Frida Ekholm (dance).

The study is supported by the Uppsala-Örebro Regional Research Council (grant numbers RFR-655161, RFR-740981, and RFR-839811), the Nyckelfonden (grant number OLL-689081), the Örebro Research Committee (OLL-615471), and Thurings stiftelse (2016-00243).

The funders have no role in the design or implementation of the study; the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Authors' Contributions

AP prepared the manuscript, coordinated the study during the first year, and is the corresponding author. AD prepared the manuscript, was responsible for the intervention (intervention coordinator), and coordinates the study. All authors (AP, MM, LE, ME, ULF, EM, SS, and AD) are steering committee members; contributed to the design of the study, the inclusion of study participants, and the writing of the manuscript; and have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Hyams JS, Di Lorenzo C, Saps M, Shulman RJ, Staiano A, van Tilburg M. Functional Disorders: Children and Adolescents. Gastroenterology 2016 Feb 15;150:1456-1468. [doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.015] [Medline: 27144632]
- 2. Rasquin A, Di Lorenzo C, Forbes D, Guiraldes E, Hyams JS, Staiano A, et al. Childhood functional gastrointestinal disorders: child/adolescent. Gastroenterology 2006 Apr;130(5):1527-1537 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.08.063] [Medline: 16678566]
- 3. Korterink JJ, Diederen K, Benninga MA, Tabbers MM. Epidemiology of pediatric functional abdominal pain disorders: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10(5):e0126982 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126982] [Medline: 25992621]
- 4. Saps M, Seshadri R, Sztainberg M, Schaffer G, Marshall BM, Di Lorenzo C. A prospective school-based study of abdominal pain and other common somatic complaints in children. J Pediatr 2009 Mar;154(3):322-326. [doi: 10.1016/j.ipeds.2008.09.047] [Medline: 19038403]
- 5. Gorodzinsky AY, Hainsworth KR, Weisman SJ. School functioning and chronic pain: a review of methods and measures. J Pediatr Psychol 2011 Oct;36(9):991-1002. [doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsr038] [Medline: 21745810]
- 6. Borgman S, Ericsson I, Clausson EK, Garmy P. The Relationship Between Reported Pain and Depressive Symptoms Among Adolescents. J Sch Nurs 2020 Apr;36(2):87-93. [doi: 10.1177/1059840518787007] [Medline: 30009657]
- 7. Hoekman DR, Rutten JMTM, Vlieger AM, Benninga MA, Dijkgraaf MGW. Annual Costs of Care for Pediatric Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Functional Abdominal Pain, and Functional Abdominal Pain Syndrome. J Pediatr 2015 Nov;167(5):1103-8.e2. [doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.07.058] [Medline: 26329806]
- 8. Brattberg G. Do pain problems in young school children persist into early adulthood? A 13-year follow-up. Eur J Pain 2004 Jun;8(3):187-199. [doi: 10.1016/j.ejpain.2003.08.001] [Medline: 15109969]
- 9. Størdal K, Nygaard EA, Bentsen BS. Recurrent abdominal pain: a five-year follow-up study. Acta Paediatr 2005 Feb;94(2):234-236. [doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2005.tb01897.x] [Medline: 15981760]
- 10. Walker LS, Dengler-Crish CM, Rippel S, Bruehl S. Functional abdominal pain in childhood and adolescence increases risk for chronic pain in adulthood. Pain 2010 Sep;150(3):568-572 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.06.018] [Medline: 20615615]
- 11. Walker LS, Guite JW, Duke M, Barnard JA, Greene JW. Recurrent abdominal pain: a potential precursor of irritable bowel syndrome in adolescents and young adults. J Pediatr 1998 Jun;132(6):1010-1015. [doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(98)70400-7] [Medline: 9627595]



- 12. Bohman H, Låftman SB, Cleland N, Lundberg M, Päären A, Jonsson U. Somatic symptoms in adolescence as a predictor of severe mental illness in adulthood: a long-term community-based follow-up study. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 2018;12:42 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13034-018-0245-0] [Medline: 30123319]
- 13. Shelby GD, Shirkey KC, Sherman AL, Beck JE, Haman K, Shears AR, et al. Functional abdominal pain in childhood and long-term vulnerability to anxiety disorders. Pediatrics 2013 Sep;132(3):475-482 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-2191] [Medline: 23940244]
- 14. Lund C, Brooke-Sumner C, Baingana F, Baron EC, Breuer E, Chandra P, et al. Social determinants of mental disorders and the Sustainable Development Goals: a systematic review of reviews. Lancet Psychiatry 2018 Apr;5(4):357-369. [doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30060-9] [Medline: 29580610]
- 15. Spee LAA, Lisman-Van Leeuwen Y, Benninga MA, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Berger MY. Prevalence, characteristics, and management of childhood functional abdominal pain in general practice. Scand J Prim Health Care 2013 Dec;31(4):197-202 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3109/02813432.2013.844405] [Medline: 24106821]
- Jones MP, Faresjö Å, Beath A, Faresjö T, Ludvigsson J. Abdominal Pain in Children Develops With Age and Increases With Psychosocial Factors. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020 Feb;18(2):360-367.e1. [doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2019.04.036]
 [Medline: 31009796]
- 17. Rajindrajith S, Zeevenhooven J, Devanarayana NM, Perera BJC, Benninga MA. Functional abdominal pain disorders in children. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018 Apr;12(4):369-390. [doi: 10.1080/17474124.2018.1438188] [Medline: 29406791]
- 18. Brown LK, Beattie RM, Tighe MP. Practical management of functional abdominal pain in children. Arch Dis Child 2016 Jul;101(7):677-683. [doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2014-306426] [Medline: 26699533]
- 19. Abbott RA, Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado TV, Bethel A, Thompson-Coon J, Whear R, et al. Psychosocial interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017 Dec 10;1:CD010971. [doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010971.pub2] [Medline: 28072460]
- 20. Abbott RA, Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado TV, Bethel A, Whear RS, Thompson Coon J, et al. Recurrent Abdominal Pain in Children: Summary Evidence From 3 Systematic Reviews of Treatment Effectiveness. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2018 Jul;67(1):23-33. [doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000001922] [Medline: 29470291]
- 21. Andrews ET, Beattie RM, Tighe MP. Functional abdominal pain: what clinicians need to know. Arch Dis Child 2020 Oct;105(10):938-944. [doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2020-318825] [Medline: 32152039]
- 22. Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado TV, Abbott RA, Bethel A, Thompson-Coon J, Whear R, et al. Pharmacological interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017 Mar 06;3:CD010973. [doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010973.pub2] [Medline: 28262913]
- 23. Newlove-Delgado TV, Martin AE, Abbott RA, Bethel A, Thompson-Coon J, Whear R, et al. Dietary interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017 Mar 23;3:CD010972 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010972.pub2] [Medline: 28334433]
- 24. Lalouni M, Ljótsson B, Bonnert M, Hedman-Lagerlöf E, Högström J, Serlachius E, et al. Internet-Delivered Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Children With Pain-Related Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: Feasibility Study. JMIR Ment Health 2017 Aug 10;4(3):e32 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/mental.7985] [Medline: 28798012]
- 25. Reust CE, Williams A. Recurrent Abdominal Pain in Children. Am Fam Physician 2018 Jun 15;97(12):785-793 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 30216016]
- 26. Brusaferro A, Farinelli E, Zenzeri L, Cozzali R, Esposito S. The Management of Paediatric Functional Abdominal Pain Disorders: Latest Evidence. Paediatr Drugs 2018 Jun;20(3):235-247 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s40272-018-0287-z] [Medline: 29497992]
- 27. Paul SP, Basude D. Non-pharmacological management of abdominal pain-related functional gastrointestinal disorders in children. World J Pediatr 2016 Nov;12(4):389-398. [doi: 10.1007/s12519-016-0044-8] [Medline: 27363985]
- 28. Inchley J, Currie D, Young T, Samdal O, Torsheim T, Augustson L. Growing up unequal: gender and socioeconomic differences in young people's health and well-being. Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study: international report from the 2013/2014 survey. WHO Regional Office for Europe. 2016. URL: http://www.euro.who.int/ data/assets/pdf file/0003/303438/HSBC-No.7-Growing-up-unequal-Full-Report.pdf [accessed 2020-03-27]
- 29. O'Neill JR, Pate RR, Hooker SP. The contribution of dance to daily physical activity among adolescent girls. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011 Aug 04;8:87 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-8-87] [Medline: 21816074]
- 30. Fong Yan A, Cobley S, Chan C, Pappas E, Nicholson LL, Ward RE, et al. The Effectiveness of Dance Interventions on Physical Health Outcomes Compared to Other Forms of Physical Activity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med 2018 Apr;48(4):933-951. [doi: 10.1007/s40279-017-0853-5] [Medline: 29270864]
- 31. Tsompanaki E. The Effect of Creative Movement-Dance on the Development of Basic Motor Skills of Pre-School Children. Rev Eur Stud 2019 Apr 12;11(2):29. [doi: 10.5539/res.v11n2p29]
- 32. Burkhardt J, Brennan C. The effects of recreational dance interventions on the health and well-being of children and young people: A systematic review. Arts Health 2012 Jun;4(2):148-161. [doi: 10.1080/17533015.2012.665810]



- 33. Koch SC, Riege RFF, Tisborn K, Biondo J, Martin L, Beelmann A. Effects of Dance Movement Therapy and Dance on Health-Related Psychological Outcomes. A Meta-Analysis Update. Front Psychol 2019;10:1806 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01806] [Medline: 31481910]
- 34. Grieser M, Vu MB, Bedimo-Rung AL, Neumark-Sztainer D, Moody J, Young DR, et al. Physical activity attitudes, preferences, and practices in African American, Hispanic, and Caucasian girls. Health Educ Behav 2006 Feb;33(1):40-51 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/1090198105282416] [Medline: 16397158]
- 35. Schwender TM, Spengler S, Oedl C, Mess F. Effects of Dance Interventions on Aspects of the Participants' Self: A Systematic Review. Front Psychol 2018;9:1130 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01130] [Medline: 30065676]
- 36. Philipsson A, Duberg A, Möller M, Hagberg L. Cost-utility analysis of a dance intervention for adolescent girls with internalizing problems. Cost Eff Resour Alloc 2013 Feb 20;11(1):4 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1478-7547-11-4] [Medline: 23425608]
- 37. Duberg A, Jutengren G, Hagberg L, Möller M. The effects of a dance intervention on somatic symptoms and emotional distress in adolescent girls: A randomized controlled trial. J Int Med Res 2020 Feb;48(2):300060520902610 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/0300060520902610] [Medline: 32019389]
- 38. Duberg A, Hagberg L, Sunvisson H, Möller M. Influencing self-rated health among adolescent girls with dance intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA Pediatr 2013 Jan;167(1):27-31. [doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.421] [Medline: 23403597]
- 39. Connolly MK, Quin E, Redding E. Dance 4 your life: exploring the health and well being implications of a contemporary dance intervention for female adolescents. Res Dance Educ 2011 Apr;12(1):53-66. [doi: 10.1080/14647893.2011.561306]
- 40. Duberg A, Möller M, Sunvisson H. "I feel free": Experiences of a dance intervention for adolescent girls with internalizing problems. Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being 2016;11:31946 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3402/qhw.v11.31946] [Medline: 27416014]
- 41. Jago R, Edwards MJ, Sebire SJ, Tomkinson K, Bird EL, Banfield K, et al. Effect and cost of an after-school dance programme on the physical activity of 11-12 year old girls: The Bristol Girls Dance Project, a school-based cluster randomised controlled trial. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2015 Oct 06;12:128 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12966-015-0289-y] [Medline: 26437720]
- 42. Schroeder K, Ratcliffe SJ, Perez A, Earley D, Bowman C, Lipman TH. Dance for Health: An Intergenerational Program to Increase Access to Physical Activity. J Pediatr Nurs 2017;37:29-34 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2017.07.004] [Medline: 28733128]
- 43. Farmer O, Cahill K, O'Brien W. Gaelic4Girls-The Effectiveness of a 10-Week Multicomponent Community Sports-Based Physical Activity Intervention for 8 to 12-Year-Old Girls. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020 Sep 22;17(18):E6928 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186928] [Medline: 32971964]
- 44. Dowler L. Can improvised somatic dance reduce acute pain for young people in hospital? Nurs Child Young People 2016 Nov 08;28(9):20-25. [doi: 10.7748/ncyp.2016.e740] [Medline: 27820980]
- 45. Carbonell-Baeza A, Aparicio VA, Martins-Pereira CM, Gatto-Cardia CM, Ortega FB, Huertas FJ, et al. Efficacy of Biodanza for treating women with fibromyalgia. J Altern Complement Med 2010 Nov;16(11):1191-1200. [doi: 10.1089/acm.2010.0039] [Medline: 21058885]
- 46. Castrillon T, Hanney WJ, Rothschild CE, Kolber MJ, Liu X, Masaracchio M. The effects of a standardized belly dance program on perceived pain, disability, and function in women with chronic low back pain. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2017;30(3):477-496. [doi: 10.3233/BMR-150504] [Medline: 27858690]
- 47. Ho RTH, Fong TCT, Cheung IKM, Yip PSF, Luk M. Effects of a Short-Term Dance Movement Therapy Program on Symptoms and Stress in Patients With Breast Cancer Undergoing Radiotherapy: A Randomized, Controlled, Single-Blind Trial. J Pain Symptom Manage 2016 May;51(5):824-831 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.12.332] [Medline: 26975625]
- 48. Schmalzl L, Powers C, Henje Blom E. Neurophysiological and neurocognitive mechanisms underlying the effects of yoga-based practices: towards a comprehensive theoretical framework. Front Hum Neurosci 2015;9:235 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00235] [Medline: 26005409]
- 49. Reindl D, Hamm A, Lewis R, Gellar L. Elementary student and teacher perceptions of a mindfulness and yoga-based program in school: A qualitative evaluation. Explore (NY) 2020;16(2):90-93. [doi: 10.1016/j.explore.2019.07.009] [Medline: 31377300]
- 50. James-Palmer A, Anderson EZ, Zucker L, Kofman Y, Daneault J. Yoga as an Intervention for the Reduction of Symptoms of Anxiety and Depression in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. Front Pediatr 2020;8:78 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00078] [Medline: 32232017]
- 51. Weaver LL, Darragh AR. Systematic Review of Yoga Interventions for Anxiety Reduction Among Children and Adolescents. Am J Occup Ther 2015;69(6):6906180070p1-6906180070p9. [doi: 10.5014/ajot.2015.020115] [Medline: 26565100]
- 52. Brinsley J, Schuch F, Lederman O, Girard D, Smout M, Immink MA, et al. Effects of yoga on depressive symptoms in people with mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2020 May 18. [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2019-101242] [Medline: 32423912]



- 53. Gupta S, Schaffer G, Saps M. Pediatric irritable bowel syndrome and other functional abdominal pain disorders: an update of non-pharmacological treatments. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018 May;12(5):447-456. [doi: 10.1080/17474124.2018.1462699] [Medline: 29633902]
- 54. Santucci NR, Saps M, van Tilburg MA. New advances in the treatment of paediatric functional abdominal pain disorders. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020 Mar;5(3):316-328. [doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30256-0] [Medline: 31859185]
- 55. Brown ML, Rojas E, Gouda S. A Mind-Body Approach to Pediatric Pain Management. Children (Basel) 2017 Jun 20;4(6):50 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/children4060050] [Medline: 28632194]
- 56. Brands MMMG, Purperhart H, Deckers-Kocken JM. A pilot study of yoga treatment in children with functional abdominal pain and irritable bowel syndrome. Complement Ther Med 2011 Jun;19(3):109-114. [doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2011.05.004] [Medline: 21641514]
- 57. Korterink JJ, Ockeloen LE, Hilbink M, Benninga MA, Deckers-Kocken JM. Yoga Therapy for Abdominal Pain-Related Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders in Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016 Nov;63(5):481-487. [doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000001230] [Medline: 27050045]
- 58. Evans S, Lung KC, Seidman LC, Sternlieb B, Zeltzer LK, Tsao JC. Iyengar Yoga for Adolescents and Young Adults With Irritable Bowel Syndrome. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2014;59(2):244-253. [doi: 10.1097/mpg.0000000000000366]
- 59. Kuttner L, Chambers CT, Hardial J, Israel DM, Jacobson K, Evans K. A randomized trial of yoga for adolescents with irritable bowel syndrome. Pain Res Manag 2006;11(4):217-223 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1155/2006/731628] [Medline: 17149454]
- 60. Evans S, Seidman LC, Lung K, Sternlieb B, Zeltzer LK. Yoga for Teens With Irritable Bowel Syndrome: Results From a Mixed-Methods Pilot Study. Holist Nurs Pract 2018;32(5):253-260 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/HNP.00000000000000288] [Medline: 30113959]
- 61. Lavadera P, Millon EM, Shors TJ. MAP Train My Brain: Meditation Combined with Aerobic Exercise Reduces Stress and Rumination While Enhancing Quality of Life in Medical Students. J Altern Complement Med 2020 May;26(5):418-423. [doi: 10.1089/acm.2019.0281] [Medline: 32310686]
- 62. Alderman BL, Olson RL, Brush CJ, Shors TJ. MAP training: combining meditation and aerobic exercise reduces depression and rumination while enhancing synchronized brain activity. Transl Psychiatry 2016 Feb 02;6:e726 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/tp.2015.225] [Medline: 26836414]
- 63. Shors TJ, Olson RL, Bates ME, Selby EA, Alderman BL. Mental and Physical (MAP) Training: a neurogenesis-inspired intervention that enhances health in humans. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2014 Nov;115:3-9 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2014.08.012] [Medline: 25219804]
- 64. Toupin April K, Stinson J, Cavallo S, Proulx L, Wells GA, Duffy CM, et al. Yoga and Aerobic Dance for Pain Management in Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis: Protocol for a Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. JMIR Res Protoc 2020 Jul 06;9(7):e12823 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/12823] [Medline: 32442139]
- 65. Lawler M, Heary C, Nixon E. Variations in adolescents' motivational characteristics across gender and physical activity patterns: A latent class analysis approach. BMC Public Health 2017 Aug 17;17(1):661 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4677-x] [Medline: 28818063]
- 66. West J, Otte C, Geher K, Johnson J, Mohr DC. Effects of Hatha yoga and African dance on perceived stress, affect, and salivary cortisol. Ann Behav Med 2004 Oct;28(2):114-118. [doi: 10.1207/s15324796abm2802_6] [Medline: 15454358]
- 67. McClafferty H, Sibinga E, Bailey M, Culbert T, Weydert J, Brown M. Mind-Body Therapies in Children and Youth. Pediatrics 2016 Sep;138(3):e20161896 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-1896] [Medline: 27550982]
- 68. Mehling WE, Wrubel J, Daubenmier JJ, Price CJ, Kerr CE, Silow T, et al. Body Awareness: a phenomenological inquiry into the common ground of mind-body therapies. Philos Ethics Humanit Med 2011 Apr 07;6:6 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1747-5341-6-6] [Medline: 21473781]
- 69. Feinstein AB, Cohen LL, Masuda A, Griffin AT, Gamwell KL, Stiles MT, et al. Yoga Intervention for an Adolescent With Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis: A Case Study. Adv Mind Body Med 2018;32(1):13-20. [Medline: 29406303]
- 70. Boulkedid R, Abdou AY, Desselas E, Monégat M, de Leeuw TG, Avez-Couturier J, GAPP Consortium. The research gap in chronic paediatric pain: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Eur J Pain 2018 Feb;22(2):261-271. [doi: 10.1002/ejp.1137] [Medline: 29105908]
- 71. Chan A, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin JA, et al. SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ 2013;346:e7586 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 23303884]
- 72. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ 2014 Mar 07;348(3):g1687-g1687. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.g1687]
- 73. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, CONSORT Group. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ 2010 Mar 23;340:c332 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.c332] [Medline: 20332509]
- 74. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care 2007 Dec;19(6):349-357 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzm042] [Medline: 17872937]



- 75. Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, Carswell C, Moher D, Greenberg D, et al. Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) statement. Int J Technol Assess Health Care 2013 Apr;29(2):117-122. [doi: 10.1017/S0266462313000160] [Medline: 23587340]
- 76. Hicks CL, von Baeyer CL, Spafford PA, van Korlaar I, Goodenough B. The Faces Pain Scale-Revised: toward a common metric in pediatric pain measurement. Pain 2001 Aug;93(2):173-183. [doi: 10.1016/s0304-3959(01)00314-1] [Medline: 11427329]
- 77. Tomlinson D, von Baeyer CL, Stinson JN, Sung L. A systematic review of faces scales for the self-report of pain intensity in children. Pediatrics 2010 Nov;126(5):e1168-e1198. [doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1609] [Medline: 20921070]
- 78. Higham R, Tharmanathan P, Birks Y. Use and reporting of restricted randomization: a review. J Eval Clin Pract 2015 Dec;21(6):1205-1211. [doi: 10.1111/jep.12408] [Medline: 26200039]
- 79. Huertas-Ceballos A, Logan S, Bennett C, Macarthur C. Psychosocial interventions for recurrent abdominal pain (RAP) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) in childhood. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008 Jan 23;1:CD003014. [doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003014.pub2] [Medline: 18254012]
- 80. Moore A, Eccleston C, Derry S, Wiffen P, Bell RF, Straube S, et al. Evidence in chronic pain-establishing best practice in the reporting of systematic reviews. Pain 2010 Sep;150(3):386-389. [doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2010.05.011] [Medline: 20627575]
- 81. Walker LS, Beck JE, Garber J, Lambert W. Children's Somatization Inventory: psychometric properties of the revised form (CSI-24). J Pediatr Psychol 2009 May;34(4):430-440 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsn093] [Medline: 18782857]
- 82. Walker LS, Beck JE, Barber J, Lambert W. Swedish translation of Children's Somatization Inventory. Monroe Carell Jr Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt. 2015. URL: https://www.childrenshospital.vanderbilt.org/uploads/documents/CSI-24_Swedish_child.pdf [accessed 2020-04-21]
- 83. Walker LS, Garber J. Manual for the Children's Somatic Symptoms Inventory (CSSI) [formerly the Children's Somatization Inventory (CSI)]. Monroe Carell Jr Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt. 2018. URL: https://www.childrenshospital.vanderbilt.org/uploads/documents/CSSI_Manual.pdf [accessed 2020-04-22]
- 84. Stone AL, Walker LS, Heathcote LC, Hernandez JM, Basch MC, Wilson AC, et al. Somatic Symptoms in Pediatric Patients With Chronic Pain: Proposed Clinical Reference Points for the Children's Somatic Symptoms Inventory (Formerly the Children's Somatization Inventory). J Pain 2019 Aug;20(8):932-940. [doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2019.02.005] [Medline: 30771592]
- 85. von Baeyer CL, Lin V, Seidman LC, Tsao JC, Zeltzer LK. Pain charts (body maps or manikins) in assessment of the location of pediatric pain. Pain Manag 2011 Jan;1(1):61-68 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2217/pmt.10.2] [Medline: 21572558]
- 86. Alvén G. Barn & Psykosomatik i teori och klinik. Stockholm, Sweden: Norstedt Akademiska förlag; 2006:9789144125220.
- 87. König J, Brummer RJ. Alteration of the intestinal microbiota as a cause of and a potential therapeutic option in irritable bowel syndrome. Benef Microbes 2014 Sep;5(3):247-261. [doi: 10.3920/BM2013.0033] [Medline: 24583610]
- 88. O'Donnell LJ, Virjee J, Heaton KW. Detection of pseudodiarrhoea by simple clinical assessment of intestinal transit rate. BMJ 1990 Feb 17;300(6722):439-440 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.300.6722.439] [Medline: 2107897]
- 89. Lewis SJ, Heaton KW. Stool form scale as a useful guide to intestinal transit time. Scand J Gastroenterol 1997 Sep;32(9):920-924. [doi: 10.3109/00365529709011203] [Medline: 9299672]
- 90. Heaton KW, Radvan J, Cripps H, Mountford RA, Braddon FE, Hughes AO. Defection frequency and timing, and stool form in the general population: a prospective study. Gut 1992 Jun;33(6):818-824 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/gut.33.6.818] [Medline: 1624166]
- 91. Life and Health Young People. Region Örebro län. URL: https://www.regionorebrolan.se/livohalsaung [accessed 2020-04-22]
- 92. Vingilis ER, Wade TJ, Seeley JS. Predictors of adolescent self-rated health. Analysis of the National Population Health Survey. Can J Public Health 2002;93(3):193-197 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 12050986]
- 93. Fayers PM, Sprangers MAG. Understanding self-rated health. Lancet 2002 Jan 19;359(9302):187-188. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07466-4] [Medline: 11812551]
- 94. Joffer J, Jerdén L, Öhman A, Flacking R. Exploring self-rated health among adolescents: a think-aloud study. BMC Public Health 2016 Feb 16;16:156 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-2837-z] [Medline: 26880571]
- 95. Frühe B, Allgaier A, Pietsch K, Baethmann M, Peters J, Kellnar S, et al. Children's Depression Screener (ChilD-S): development and validation of a depression screening instrument for children in pediatric care. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev 2012 Feb;43(1):137-151. [doi: 10.1007/s10578-011-0254-1] [Medline: 21927969]
- 96. Allgaier A, Krick K, Opitz A, Saravo B, Romanos M, Schulte-Körne G. Improving early detection of childhood depression in mental health care: the Children's Depression Screener (ChilD-S). Psychiatry Res 2014 Jul 30;217(3):248-252. [doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.03.037] [Medline: 24742687]
- 97. Nilsson S, Forsner M, Finnström B, Mörelius E. Relaxation and guided imagery do not reduce stress, pain and unpleasantness for 11- to 12-year-old girls during vaccinations. Acta Paediatr 2015 Jul;104(7):724-729. [doi: 10.1111/apa.13000] [Medline: 25762426]
- 98. Aardal-Eriksson E, Karlberg BE, Holm AC. Salivary cortisol--an alternative to serum cortisol determinations in dynamic function tests. Clin Chem Lab Med 1998 Apr;36(4):215-222. [doi: 10.1515/CCLM.1998.037] [Medline: 9638346]



- 99. Kowalski K, Rhodes R, Naylor P, Tuokko H, MacDonald S. Direct and indirect measurement of physical activity in older adults: a systematic review of the literature. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2012 Dec 18;9:148 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-9-148] [Medline: 23245612]
- 100. Kendzierski D, DeCarlo K. Physical activity enjoyment scale: two validation studies. J Sport Exerc Psychol 1991;13:50-64. [doi: 10.1123/jsep.13.1.50]
- 101. Motl RW, Dishman RK, Saunders R, Dowda M, Felton G, Pate RR. Measuring enjoyment of physical activity in adolescent girls. Am J Prev Med 2001 Aug;21(2):110-117. [Medline: <u>11457630</u>]
- 102. Hagberg LA, Lindahl B, Nyberg L, Hellénius M. Importance of enjoyment when promoting physical exercise. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2009 Oct;19(5):740-747. [doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2008.00844.x] [Medline: 18694433]
- 103. Svensson E. Comparison of the Quality of Assessments Using Continuous and Discrete Ordinal Rating Scales. Biom J 2000 Aug;42(4):417-434. [doi: 10.1002/1521-4036(200008)42:4<417::aid-bimj417>3.0.co;2-z]
- 104. Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Rajmil L, Erhart M, Bruil J, Duer W, et al. KIDSCREEN-52 quality-of-life measure for children and adolescents. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 2005 Jun;5(3):353-364. [doi: 10.1586/14737167.5.3.353] [Medline: 19807604]
- 105. Chen G, Stevens K, Rowen D, Ratcliffe J. From KIDSCREEN-10 to CHU9D: creating a unique mapping algorithm for application in economic evaluation. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2014 Aug 29;12:134 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12955-014-0134-z] [Medline: 25169558]
- 106. EuroQol Group. EuroQol--a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. Health Policy 1990 Dec;16(3):199-208. [Medline: 10109801]
- 107. de Boer AG, van Lanshot JJ, Stalmeier PF, van Sandick JW, Hulscher JB, de Haes JC, et al. Is a single-item visual analogue scale as valid, reliable and responsive as multi-item scales in measuring quality of life? Qual Life Res 2004 Mar;13(2):311-320. [Medline: 15085903]
- 108. McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S. Clinical applications of visual analogue scales: a critical review. Psychol Med 1988 Nov;18(4):1007-1019. [Medline: 3078045]
- 109. Elo S, Kyngäs H. The qualitative content analysis process. J Adv Nurs 2008 Apr;62(1):107-115. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04569.x] [Medline: 18352969]
- 110. NVivo. QSR International. URL: https://www.qsrinternational.com/nvivo-qualitative-data-analysis-software/home [accessed 2020-08-26]
- 111. Drummond MF, Sculpher MJ, Claxton K, Stoddart G, Torrance GW. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. 4th ed. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2015.

Abbreviations

BSC: Bristol stool chart

CBT: cognitive behavioral therapy

CHEERS: Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards

ChilD-S: Children's Depression Screener **CHU9D:** Child Health Utility Index 9D

CONSORT: Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials

COREQ: Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research

CSSI-24: Children's Somatic Symptoms Inventory-24

FAPD: functional abdominal pain disorder **FGID:** functional gastrointestinal disorders

FPS-r: face pain scale-revised **IBS:** irritable bowel syndrome

ICER: incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
LH-YP: Life and Health – Young People
PACES: Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale

QALY: quality-adjusted life-years

QOL: quality of life

SPIRIT: Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials **TGA-IgA:** immunoglobulin A antibodies against type 2 (tissue) transglutaminase

TIDieR: Template for Intervention Description and Replication



Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 08.05.20; peer-reviewed by A Conrrero, A Griffin, D Kern; comments to author 10.07.20; revised version received 02.09.20; accepted 05.11.20; published 15.12.20

Please cite as.

Philipson A, Särnblad S, Ekstav L, Eriksson M, Fagerberg UL, Möller M, Mörelius E, Duberg A

An Intervention With Dance and Yoga for Girls With Functional Abdominal Pain Disorders (Just in TIME): Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial

JMIR Res Protoc 2020;9(12):e19748

URL: http://www.researchprotocols.org/2020/12/e19748/

doi: 10.2196/19748

PMID:

©Anna Philipson, Stefan Särnblad, Lars Ekstav, Mats Eriksson, Ulrika L Fagerberg, Margareta Möller, Evalotte Mörelius, Anna Duberg. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (http://www.researchprotocols.org), 15.12.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

