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Abstract.

Background: The Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) Study commenced in 2006 as a prospective study of 1,112 individuals (768 cognitively normal (CN), 133 with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and 211 with Alzheimer’s disease dementia (AD)) as an ‘Inception cohort’ who underwent detailed assessments every 18 months. Over the past decade, an additional 1,247 subjects have been added as an ‘Enrichment cohort’ (as of 10 April 2019).

Objective: Here we provide an overview of these Inception and Enrichment cohorts of more than 8,500 person-years of investigation.

Methods: Participants underwent reassessment every 18 months including comprehensive cognitive testing, neuroimaging (magnetic resonance imaging, MRI; positron emission tomography, PET), biofluid biomarkers and lifestyle evaluations.

Results: AIBL has made major contributions to the understanding of the natural history of AD, with cognitive and biological definitions of its three major stages: preclinical, prodromal and clinical. Early deployment of Aβ-amyloid and tau molecular PET imaging and the development of more sensitive and specific blood tests have facilitated the assessment of genetic and environmental factors which affect age at onset and rates of progression.

Conclusion: This fifteen-year study provides a large database of highly characterized individuals with longitudinal cognitive, imaging and lifestyle data and biofluid collections, to aid in the development of interventions to delay onset, prevent or treat AD. Harmonization with similar large longitudinal cohort studies is underway to further these aims.

Keywords: Aβ-amyloid imaging, Alzheimer’s disease, biomarkers, cognition, cohort study, lifestyle, mild cognitive impairment, observational longitudinal, preclinical Alzheimer’s disease, prodromal Alzheimer’s disease

INTRODUCTION

The Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) Study assembled and assessed an Inception cohort of 1,112 individuals from late 2006 to mid-2008 with the intention of conducting re-assessments every 18 months to determine the extent to which their baseline cognitive profile, demographic factors, Aβ-amyloid brain load, blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers, genetic and lifestyle factors could predict their future cognitive function and clinical status with respect to the development of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [1, 2]. The evolution of the Inception cohort at baseline, 18, 36, 54, 72, 90, 108, and 126 months follow-up, was enriched with recruitment of 1,247 new participants to compensate for attrition (Enrichment cohort), yielding the current database of 2,359 participants with 8,592 person-contact years.

The AIBL Study grew out of the Melbourne Aging Study, initiated by Currie et al. in the 1990s [3–6].
In the mid-2000s, the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) successfully defended its patents concerning the use of fast wireless local area network (WLAN) technology, developed within its radio astronomy program. At the time WLAN was being used by just about every WiFi-enabled laptop computer in the world. The ensuing multi-million-dollar settlements with many companies (including Apple, Microsoft, HP, Dell, Nintendo, and Netgear) were transferred into CSIRO’s Science and Industry Endowment Fund (SIEF). This gave Richard Head, then Chief of the CSIRO Division of Human Nutrition, the opportunity to initiate and fund a consortium to develop AIBL with a strong emphasis on cognitively normal (CN) individuals in their 70s and application of the then-emerging technology of Aβ-amyloid positron emission tomography (PET) imaging.

Individuals with AD, mild cognitive impairment (MCI), and those classified as CN were enrolled into the Study in order to address the following aims: 1) To improve understanding of the pathogenesis and diagnosis of AD using psychometric, neuroimaging and biomarker techniques, with a focus on early diagnosis; 2) To examine lifestyle and diet factors that may be involved in the pathogenesis of AD. Here, we review the accomplishments of this 15-year-long observational longitudinal cohort Study towards addressing these aims, and more.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics approval

The AIBL study, including the follow-up protocol and subsequent amendments and revisions to the protocol, was approved by the institutional human research ethics committees of Austin Health, St Vincent’s Health, Hollywood Private Hospital and Edith Cowan University. All volunteers gave written informed consent before participating in study assessments, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

Subjects

AIBL is a two-site study: Melbourne, Victoria (60%) and Perth, Western Australia (40%). Initially 1,112 individuals were recruited at baseline [1] and reassessed at 18 [2], 36, 54, 72, 90, 108, and 126 months (Inception cohort).

At baseline, subjects were classified as AD dementia (n = 211), MCI (n = 133), and CN (n = 768). The major exclusion criteria were age < 60 years, a history of non-AD dementia, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, current depression with a short Geriatric Depression Scale score above 5/15 (for CN individuals), Parkinson’s disease, symptomatic stroke, current uncontrolled or life threatening medical illness, diagnosed obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), past head injury with over one hour of post-traumatic amnesia, or alcohol use above two standard drinks per day for women or four per day for men.

Over the duration of this study, the Inception cohort was enriched with an additional 1,247 subjects (719 CN, 282 MCI, 230 AD, and 18 with dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD; Enrichment cohort) both to compensate for cohort attrition and to address new research questions. In 2018, the exclusion criterion for diagnosed OSA was amended to exclude only those who were not treated with continuous positive airway pressure ventilation.

All cognitive and clinical data were considered by a review panel, prior to participants being allocated into one of five diagnostic groups: AD dementia by NINCDS-ADRDA criteria, MCI by Winblad et al. [7] and Petersen et al. [8] criteria, CN subjective memory complainers (SMC), CN non-memory complainers (NMC), and other (dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD), details of which are outlined below. SMC status was determined by the subject’s ‘yes’ or ‘no’ response to the single question “Do you have difficulty with your memory?”.

Assessments took place at three locations in Melbourne and at two locations in Perth, depending on whether the participants were to undergo brain imaging and where they lived. Some participants (especially some with AD dementia), were assessed by AIBL staff at home. All assessments were conducted in the morning, after an overnight fast. Weight, height, abdominal girth, sitting blood pressure and pulse were measured, followed by the drawing of 80 mL of blood. Participants were then provided with breakfast, before undergoing cognitive and mood assessments, as described below.

Cognitive and mood assessments

Cognitive and mood assessments were performed by trained staff, most of whom were qualified neuropsychologists, and all of whom had undergone...
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extensive training in the assessment techniques. Assessors were blind to the Aβ-amyloid scan status when they undertook reviews. The length of a typical assessment was around two hours. A more comprehensive account of the mood assessments, cognitive battery and the rationale behind the selection of individual items has been detailed previously [1]. Briefly, the full assessment battery comprised the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [9], California Verbal Learning Test – Second edition (CVLT-II) [10], Logical Memory I and II (WMS; Story 1 only) [11], D-KEFS verbal fluency [12], 30-item Boston Naming Test (BNT) [13], Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR) [14] (the WTAR was not performed at the 72 month follow-up), Digit Span and Digit Symbol-Coding subtests of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Third edition (WAIS–III) [15], the Stroop task (Victoria version) [16], and the Rey Complex Figure Test (RCFT) [17]. As detailed in Table 1, individual test scores were used to construct cognitive domain composite scores for episodic recall memory, recognition memory, executive function, language, attention and processing speed, as well as a composite referred to as the AIBL PACC (Preclinical Alzheimer Cognitive Composite). Participants also completed the computerized Cogstate battery (www.cogstate.com), and for each Cogstate test the speed (reaction time in milliseconds) and accuracy (number of correct responses given) of performance was recorded. A Cogstate Continuous Paired Associate Learning Task was also included to assess visual paired associate learning and for this the accuracy of performance was calculated by totaling the number of errors made in each round of the task.

Both the 15-item version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) [18] and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [19, 20] were administered at all visits. For participants with a diagnosis of AD dementia, MCI, and for some CN participants where decline was suspected, an informant was asked to provide additional information about the functional performance of the research participant, and to complete the 16-item version of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline (IQCODE) [21]. Dementia severity was rated for all participants using the Clinical Dementia Rating scale (CDR) [22], on the basis of information obtained from cognitive testing, direct questioning of the participant, and information from an informant and/or from the participant’s treating clinician (for those diagnosed with AD or MCI). The total CDR rating (range 0–3) and the CDR ‘sum of boxes’ score (range 0–18) were generated for each participant for each visit. The CDR rating for each participant was also reviewed in detail by an experienced CDR rater and clinician (DA) to ensure consistency and accuracy of classifications.

As detailed above, the AIBL neuropsychological battery is extensive, and multiple publications have focused on development and validation of composite scores to expedite assessment and clinical classification, and to provide prognostic information (e.g., [23–26]). However, given that AIBL participants range from CN to dementia, such composites have never been proposed as a substitute for comprehensive assessment, but have been posited as differentially sensitive at specific disease stages, and of selective use in development of clinical trial designs, for example, when participants from a narrower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive domain composite</th>
<th>Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Episodic recall memory</td>
<td>CVLT-II Long Delay Free Recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logical Memory 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rey Complex Figure Long Delay Free Recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition memory</td>
<td>CVLT-II ‘d prime’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rey Complex Figure recognition total correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Letter Fluency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category switching total correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>BNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category Fluency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention and processing speed</td>
<td>Digit Symbol-Coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digit Span</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIBL PACC</td>
<td>MMSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digit Symbol-Coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CVLT-II Long Delay Free Recall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIBL PACC, Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study Preclinical Alzheimer Cognitive Composite; BNT, Boston Naming Test; CVLT-II, California Verbal Learning Test – Second edition; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.
clinical spectrum are targeted (see Cognition section of the Results for more information).

**Clinical review and the diagnosis of AD dementia or MCI**

Monthly clinical review panel meetings were conducted to discuss the diagnostic classification for all potential AD or MCI participants. This included individuals with a probable baseline diagnosis of AD or MCI, and those initially classed as CN whose diagnostic status required further consideration. This latter group included participants who demonstrated any of the following: MMSE score < 28/30, failure on the Logical Memory test (as per Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) criteria), other evidence of possibly significant cognitive difficulty on neuropsychological testing, a CDR score of 0.5 or greater, medical history suggestive of the presence of illnesses likely to impair cognitive function, informant or personal history suggestive of impaired cognitive function, or consumption of medications or other substances that could affect cognition. A consensus diagnosis was assigned for each such participant, using internationally agreed diagnostic criteria according to both DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) and ICD-10 (World Health Organization, 1992). Where appropriate, ICD-10 dementia severity rating (WHO, 1992), NINCDS-ADRDA AD diagnosis (probable or possible) and MCI classifications (amnestic/non-amnestic, single/multi-domain) were applied.

MCI diagnoses were made according to a protocol based on the criteria of Winblad et al. [7] which are informed by the criteria of Petersen et al. [8]. Consistent with Winblad criteria, all participants classified with MCI had either personally, or through an informant, reported memory difficulties. Participants with a baseline clinical diagnosis of MCI were required to demonstrate a score 1.5 SD or more below the age-adjusted mean on at least one neuropsychological test applied at the time of the AIBL reassessment in order to be retained in the MCI category. Individuals who were classed as CN at baseline had to fulfil the more stringent criterion of impairment on two or more cognitive tests at a level at least 1.5 SD below the age-adjusted mean, in addition to having reported memory difficulties, to be classified as MCI at follow-up. The greater stringency applied to assigning individuals presenting as CN to the MCI category at follow-up was decided upon after extensive discussion, is justified by the acknowledged mutability of MCI diagnoses, and replicates our practice when diagnosing MCI at baseline. Individuals with MCI were then characterized as being of the amnestic or non-amnestic type, and also whether impairment was single- or multi-domain, based on the profile of impairment in the AIBL battery of neuropsychological tests. All participants classified with MCI presented with intact activities of daily living and exhibited no clear evidence of significant impairment in their social or occupational functioning.

The clinical review panel comprised old age psychiatrists, a neurologist, a geriatrician, and neuropsychologists. A quorum was formed by three members including at least one medically qualified and at least one neuropsychologist member. The panel conferred monthly by telephone conference, and all meetings were attended by five or more panel members. Diagnoses were made blind to the results of AIBL neuroimaging data and apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype but were sometimes informed by (non-Aβ/amyloid) imaging conducted for independent clinical reasons. Summary neuropsychological data were available to the diagnostic panel.

**Medical history and medication use**

At baseline, participants (or their carer if the subject was cognitively impaired) completed a detailed questionnaire regarding personal medical history, medication use and smoking, and questions about current and past alcohol and illicit drug use: this information was reviewed and updated as needed at follow-up assessments.

**Brain imaging**

At baseline, neuroimaging using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and PiB-PET was performed in 288 Inception cohort participants (178 CN, 57 MCI, and 53 mild AD); these findings have been reported in detail elsewhere [27]. At subsequent timepoints, additional Inception cohort participants underwent Aβ-amyloid imaging using PiB, NAV4694, flutemetamol, florbetapir, or florbetaben. All Enrichment cohort participants were invited to undergo brain imaging from baseline onwards. Individuals who had been imaged were invited to return for further scans at 18-month intervals, so the rate of Aβ-amyloid accumulation and brain atrophy could be determined. Tau-PET neuroimaging commenced in 2013, using the AV1451 and MK6240 tracers.
MRI scans were mainly acquired at three different scanning centers, two in Melbourne using a Siemens 3T Trio (41% of scans) and a Siemens 3T Skyra (25%) scanner, and one in Perth using a Siemens 3T Verio (16%) and a Siemens 1.5T Avanto (13%) scanner. The scans include a 3D MPRAGE (Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo) image (voxel size 1.2×1×1 mm³, repetition time/ echo time/ inversion time = 5000/ 355/ (factor of 2) enabled, slice thickness 1.0 mm, repetition time/ echo time/ inversion time = 2300/ 2.98, flip angle = 9°). A 3D T2-weighted FLuid Attenuation Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) sequence was included in the image acquisition protocol, which was acquired using two different sets of parameters: 1) in-plane resolution 0.98×0.98 mm, slice thickness 0.9 mm, repetition time/ echo time/ inversion time =6000/ 420/ 2100, flip angle = 120°, field-of-view 240×256, and 176 slices; 2) in-plane resolution 0.5×0.5 mm with in-plane interpolation (factor of 2) enabled, slice thickness 1.0 mm, repetition time/ echo time/ inversion time = 5000/ 355/ 1800, flip angle = 120°, field-of-view 256×256, and 160 slices. Gradient Recalled Echo (GRE) images used for SWI (Susceptibility-Weighted Imaging) and QSM (Quantitative Susceptibility Mapping) were acquired with 0.93×0.93 mm in-plane resolution and 1.75 mm slice thickness, repetition time/ echo time = 27/ 20 ms, flip angle = 20°, and field-of-view 240×256, for 80 slices.

Blood samples

Fasting blood samples (80 mL) were collected and processed as described previously [1] for the purpose of routine clinical pathology testing, and biobanking. For biobanking, samples were processed to yield whole blood, serum, plasma containing ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), plasma containing lithium heparin, white blood cells, red blood cells, and platelets. The EDTA collection tubes and washing buffers contained pre-added prostaglandin E1 at a final concentration of 33 ng/mL to prevent platelet activation. Blood fractions were aliquoted into volumes ranging from 100 μL to 1 mL in screwcap 2D barcoded polypropylene Nunc Cryotubes (NUN374088; Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY, USA). Samples were snap-frozen, prior to being transferred to a liquid nitrogen facility for long-term storage.

Routine clinical pathology tests (full blood examination, urea, electrolytes, creatinine, glucose, calcium, iron studies, total cholesterol and cholesterol subfractions, homocysteine, liver and thyroid function tests, vitamin B12, folate, ceruloplasmin, hormone levels) ceased after the 72-month follow-up due to financial constraints, and a diminishing utility of these results for research purposes. Basic clinical pathology test results were available to the diagnostic review panel if needed, and results outside the normal range were flagged for immediate attention and reporting to the participant’s regular doctor.

Cerebrospinal fluid samples

In a subgroup of participants CSF was collected in the morning by routine lumbar puncture after overnight fasting, employing a protocol similar to that recommended by the Alzheimer’s Biomarkers Standardization Initiative [29]. CSF was directly collected into polypropylene tubes (Greiner Bio-One188271), and immediately placed on wet ice. The tubes were gently mixed by repeated inversion and CSF was centrifuged within 45 min (2000 × g, 4 °C, for 10 min). The supernatant was removed into a new polypropylene tube and gently inverted three times to avoid possible gradient effects. Samples were then aliquoted into screwcap 2D barcoded polypropylene Nunc Cryotubes (NUN374088) and frozen within 1 h of lumbar puncture, prior to being transferred to a liquid nitrogen facility for long-term storage. The remaining cell pellet was resuspended in 100 μL of CSF, and also stored in Nunc Cryotubes in liquid nitrogen. Polypropylene tubes were used for the sampling and storage to minimize reported plastic surface effects [29].

CSF was tested routinely using the INNOTEST® kit assay (Innogenetics, now Fujirebio Europe N.V., Ghent, Belgium) for Abeta 1–42 (Aβ42), total tau (tTau) and phospho-tau 181 (pTau181), with thresholds for CSF biomarker positivity determined using a rank order approach (0.10 fractile for Aβ42 and 0.90 fractile for tTau and pTau181) against a Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB) Standardized Uptake Value Ratio (SUVR) of 1.4 (or equivalent in other tracers) [30]. Participants with three or more collections of CSF have also been analyzed using automated Elecsys® assays (Roche Diagnostics) for Aβ42, Aβ1–40, tTau, and pTau181 [31].

Lifestyle measures

All participants were asked to complete the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [32], the Cancer Council of Victoria Food Frequency Questionnaire (CCVFFQ) [33], and the
Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) [34]. The IPAQ collects data on self-reported physical activity undertaken during the previous seven days, the CCVFFQ assesses usual dietary intake over the preceding 12 months, and the PSQI captures information in relation to the previous month’s sleep habits. IPAQ data were collected at each timepoint, CCVFFQ data were collected at alternating timepoints; i.e., baseline, 36-months, 72-months, etc. PSQI data were collected at each timepoint, from 72-months onwards for the Inception cohort, and from baseline onwards for Enrichment cohort participants. Objective measures of physical activity and body composition were also obtained from a subset of the cohort using Actigraph Uni-axial Accelerometers and Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) scans respectively.

Genetic data

QIAamp DNA Blood Maxi Kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) were used for the extraction of DNA from 5 mL of whole blood, as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Neat DNA was aliquoted and stored at −80°C for downstream analyses.

APOE and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; Val66Met) genotypes were determined by Taq Man® genotyping assays (Life Technologies, USA). Specifically, for APOE rs7412 (Assay ID: C____904973_10) and rs429358 (Assay ID: C____3084793_20) and for BDNF, rs6265 (Assay ID: C____11592758_10). These were carried out on a QuantStudio™ 12K Flex Real-Time-PCR system (Applied Biosystems™, USA), as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array data were derived on 1357 AIBL participants. Genome-wide analysis was performed on 976,713 SNPs (including 273,000 exome variants and an additional 13,000 custom content SNPs) on the OmniExpressHumanExome + BeadChip (Illumina, USA). Genetic markers were mapped to human genome reference hg19 and standard quality control (QC) was undertaken at marker and sample levels in PLINK [35]. Markers that were duplicated, with < 95% call rate, and/or unmappable were removed, and samples with call rate < 98%, mismatch gender and/or high heterozygosity rate were removed. Post-QC, 948,720 markers and 1315 samples were included in the pre-imputed AIBLgene (ver1.0) dataset. This dataset was further imputed to the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 [36] using the Michigan Imputation Server [37]. At the time of manuscript submission, the remaining AIBL Enrichment cohort and additional samples, for QC and cross-platform validation, were undergoing genomewide SNP array processing using the Axiom Precision Medicine Diversity Array (Applied Biosystems™).

Whole exome sequencing was undertaken on 1 µg of DNA, extracted as described above, from 500 AIBL participants. Exonic sequence enrichment was performed by hybridisation using the SureSelect Human All Exon V5 (51 Mb) Kit (Agilent Technologies, USA). Resulting sequence libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq2500 using 100 bp paired-end read chemistry with a minimum of 36x mapped coverage. Four hundred and ninety-seven samples progressed from raw sequencing through to sequence alignment. The Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) was used for the alignment of raw sequence data to the human genome reference (build GRCh37, 1Kg reference) [38], and the open-source Picard Tools (available from: http://picard.sourceforge.net.) was used for the removal of PCR/optical duplicates. Realignment of data around indel positions and recalibration of base quality scores was performed using the Genome Analysis ToolKit 3.3 (GATK3.3) [39]. The GATK HaploTypeCaller was used for per-sample genotyping according to GATK Best Practices. Finally, the annotation of per-sample variants was performed using Ensembl’s Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) [40].

Genome-wide methylation analysis was performed on 726 AIBL participants at a single timepoint (AIBL Inception participants at 18-month follow-up: 318 CN, 34 MCI, and 123 AD; AIBL Enrichment participants at baseline: 153 CN, 60 MCI, and 123 AD). Prior to bisulfite conversion, extracted DNA was processed through Amicon® Ultra-0.5 Centrifugal Filters (Merck, USA), following manufacturer’s instructions, to remove any guanidine isothiocyanate introduced during the extraction process. Cleaned DNA was first standardized to 500 ng using a method previously described [41] before undergoing bisulphite conversion using the Illumina recommended protocol for the EZ DNA Methylation Kits (ZYMO Research, USA). Bisulfite converted DNA samples were hybridized to the eight sample HumanMethylationEPIC BeadChip Array using the Infinium HD Methylation protocol (Illumina, USA). The Meffil R package [42] was used for data QC and normalization as previously described [41], with technical variation removed by functional normalization [43]. At the time of manuscript submission, a subset of
400 of the above 726 participants also have samples, from two additional timepoints, undergoing the same workflow. This will generate a 400-sample longitudinal genome-wide peripheral DNA methylation dataset.

RESULTS

Major achievements (including publications and citations)

AIBL data have confirmed the diagnostic and prognostic power of Aβ-amyloid imaging [44], permitted direct calculation of the very slow accumulation rate of AD-related pathologic change [45, 46], shown the best measures for tracking very early cognitive decline [47, 48], and revealed a wide window for early intervention [45]. These early estimates of Aβ-amyloid-related cognitive change provided a basis for estimation of power for therapeutic trials in pre-symptomatic AD [49]. Prospective AIBL data have also shown the powerful interaction of several genes, either independently or combined in genetic profiles or polygenic risk scores (PRS), on cognitive decline in preclinical and prodromal stages of AD [45, 47, 50–52] suggesting that the genetic architecture of AD progression may differ from that of risk. With additional genetic data and detailed lifestyle analysis now emerging from the cohort, AIBL is revealing further important genetic and lifestyle interactions (e.g., [53, 54]) that will guide future tailored early intervention and prevention trials and place AIBL at the forefront of the emerging field of lifestyle genomics (LGx) in AD.

Table 2 lists the 10 highest cited papers produced using AIBL data. Most relate to the nosology of AD, particularly in its preclinical stages, and the utility and applicability of PET as a biomarker of Aβ-amyloid and tau accumulation. Supplementary Table 1 lists each of the 341 AIBL-related manuscripts either published in peer reviewed journals or accepted for publication as of 31 December 2020. The highest percentage (33%) focus on issues related to the nature and magnitude of cognitive changes in preclinical, prodromal and clinical AD, 28% relate to biomarkers (including genetics), 28% to neuroimaging, and 11% to lifestyle (Fig. 1).

Cohort reassessment

Table 3 details characteristics of the cohort at baseline, while Fig. 2 shows the total number of participants from both the Inception and Enrichment cohorts assessed at baseline and reassessed at 18, 36, 54, 72, 90, 108, and 126 months (the baseline and 18 month data for the Inception cohort have been published previously [1, 2]) and both the initial diagnostic category to which each volunteer was assigned at baseline and the diagnostic category after each reassessment and clinical review. For ease of interpretation the NMC and SMC categories have been combined into a single CN group in this complex figure, as there was no difference in the rate of attrition, deaths or change in cognitive status between these two groups over time, and there was considerable movement of participants between these two categories across timepoints. Collectively, these assessments equate to 8,592 person-years of investigation (Table 4).

Of the 1,112 participants in the Inception cohort, follow-up data were collected for 89.6% at 18 months, 80.6% at 36 months, 73.5% at 54 months, 64.5% at 72 months, 58.0% at 90 months, 52.6% at 108 months, and 32.6% at 126 months. Of note, due to financial constraints, participants willing to undergo brain scans were prioritized for assessment at 126 months; hence the significant reduction in the number of assessments at this timepoint.

Of the 133 Inception cohort baseline MCI participants, 56 (42%) developed AD by the 126-month timepoint, whilst three developed vascular dementia, one developed frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and one developed Parkinson’s disease dementia. Three of the original MCI subjects were classified as MCI throughout the study, whilst eight MCI subjects were reclassified as CN at least once in the study follow-up sequence, with three of these reverting to MCI by their last follow-up visit and one transitioning to AD.

Thirty of 768 Inception cohort participants (3.9%) who were CN at baseline developed AD by 126 months and 19 of these were classed as having MCI on at least one follow-up visit prior to the development of AD. Two CN subjects developed vascular dementia by the 126-month follow-up, one developed progressive supranuclear palsy and one FTD. Fifty-eight subjects (7.6%) who were CN at baseline developed MCI by 126 months but had not progressed to exhibit dementia, and a further twenty-one subjects (2.7%) developed MCI but reverted to CN status. Two hundred and ninety (37.8%) were classed as CN at every follow-up they attended.

Of the 441 individuals (Inception plus Enrichment combined) classified as AD at baseline, by 54 months,
Table 2
Top AIBL Study and AIBL investigator-co-authored papers, determined via combining Google Scholar Citations, ISI, Altmetrics, and FWCI scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Impact Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FWCI, Field-Weighted Citation Impact; ISI, International Scientific Indexing.
Fig. 1. AIBL-related publications by calendar year (A) and by primary research focus (B). At the time of writing, more than 340 AIBL-related manuscripts have been published since study commencement. *Biomarker publications include those related to fluid biomarkers (blood, cerebrospinal fluid), buccal cells and genetics.

Table 3
Characteristics of the cohort at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4 carrier, %</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR Global</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as means unless otherwise stated. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; APOE, Apolipoprotein E; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; CN, Cognitively Normal; MCI, Mild Cognitive Impairment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; Other, Dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD.

Table 4
Person-contact years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years</td>
<td>6,477</td>
<td>796.5</td>
<td>1,234.5</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8,592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CN, cognitively normal; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Other, dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD.

four had transitioned to dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD (Other), and three to MCI. No other transitions were observed for those with a baseline clinical classification of AD.

The Enrichment cohort has now reached 1,247 individuals, with 622 individuals having undergone at least one follow-up assessment included in this report. The Enrichment cohort initially focused on recruiting CN participants to investigate the preclinical stages of AD, however, from 2016 onwards the Enrichment cohort has increased participant recruitment via referral from memory specialists with a focus on MCI and early AD; these more recent recruits subsequently drop out at a higher rate to participate in therapy trials. Overall, the Enrichment cohort has maintained the percentage of AD participants at 18% and has seen the percentage of MCI participants increase from 12% (Inception) to 22% (Enrichment); greatly increasing the cross-sectional dataset of PET-Aβ-amyloid imaging and replenishing biobank stores.

Unsurprisingly, for both the Inception and Enrichment cohorts combined, the number of deaths at any timepoint was greatest in those classified with dementia due to AD, and those with AD were most likely to drop out of the study. By 126 months only 5 of the 211 Inception cohort AD subjects were still engaged with the study: 97 were known to have died and 109 had withdrawn and their current status was unknown.

Overall, for Inception and Enrichment cohorts combined, attrition was 1203 persons (of 2359, or 51%). Of these, 216 were known to have died and 987 failed to return. Of those who failed to return, 290 (29%) offered no specific reason, 133 (14%) were uninterested, 107 (11%) cited concomitant physical or medical illness, 88 (9%) found it too difficult logistically or had moved away, 65 (7%) were too
cognitively impaired, 43 (4%) found it psychologically distressing, 32 (3%) reported being too busy, and 21 (2%) were unwilling to undergo PET neuroimaging or CSF collection. One hundred and eight subjects (11%) were withdrawn for other reasons (e.g., they entered a therapy trial, data collection was incomplete) and 100 (10%) were unable to be contacted.

Cognition

As impairment in cognition is the cornerstone manifestation of AD in both the preclinical and clinical stages, studies arising from the AIBL cohort confirmed the nature and magnitude of cognitive impairment in adults with MCI and dementia in this well characterized sample. These studies were the first

Fig. 2. Consort diagram illustrating the study cohort composition from baseline to 126 months. The numbers include Inception and Enrichment cohort participants. Solid black arrows indicate study participants remaining within a clinical classification, across timepoints. Broken black arrows indicate the transition of study participants from one clinical classification to another, across timepoints. Tabulated information details loss to follow up of participants due to death or study withdrawal, or those who missed the previous assessment but returned for the current assessment timepoint. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CN, cognitively normal; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Other, dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD.
to use prospective analyses to identify how abnormally high levels of Aβ-amyloid (Aβ+), detected via PET were associated with a subtle but relentless decline in memory and other aspects of higher cognition in CN older adults; even though these adults showed no change in their clinical status over the same time period [48, 55, 56]. From the opposite perspective we were also the first to demonstrate that in CN older adults, Aβ+ status detected using a plasma Aβ composite biomarker successfully predicted decline in memory and executive function, and that the magnitude of this decline was equivalent to that observed when Aβ+ status was classified using PET neuroimaging [57]. Over the course of 126 months, it also became clear that the CN older adults who had met the rigorous AIBL inclusion/exclusion criteria but who had normal levels of brain Aβ-amyloid (Aβ-), showed no decline in cognition over the same time-period, challenging the notion of age-related cognitive decline [58, 59].

The AIBL study was also the first to make use of computerized assessments of cognition in their experimental designs [60]. Data from the Cogstate Brief Battery (CBB), applied repeatedly in the AIBL study, showed that Aβ-amyloid-related cognitive decline could be detected on the basis of relatively brief assessments of visual learning and working memory in both preclinical and prodromal AD, and that this decline was associated strongly with loss of volume in medial temporal lobe brain regions [25, 61]. Furthermore, consistent with our observations using conventional neuropsychological tests, decline in performance on the CBB measures of learning and working memory was increased further in Aβ+ individuals who also carried at least one APOE e4 allele [62]. The brevity of the CBB resulted in its use in an AIBL sub-study that sought to determine whether sensitivity to AD-related change was increased with re-assessments conducted more frequently than the 18-month time period used for AIBL. Results from this ‘Rate Of Change Sub-study’ (AIBL-ROCS), confirmed that increased numbers of reassessments (i.e., > 8) improved sensitivity to Aβ-amyloid-related cognitive change in both preclinical and prodromal AD [63]. These data provided the foundation for the use of the CBB in other studies of AD genesis (e.g., the Mayo study of Health and Aging [64]) and in clinical trials designed to treat AD by clearing Aβ-amyloid prior to the development of cognitive impairment (e.g., [65, 66]).

As the AIBL sample and the number of reassessments increased, we identified that known genetic risk factors for AD, such as the APOE e4 allele and variation in the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism, substantially influenced the course of cognitive decline, brain volume loss and clinical disease progression [47, 67]. For example, sophisticated modelling showed that the rate of decline in memory associated with Aβ+ status increased with age, and this increase was greater in APOE e4 carriers [67]. These studies also showed that decline in cognition through the preclinical and prodromal stages of AD was associated more strongly with changes in brain volume loss, than with increasing Aβ-amyloid levels [61]. As the duration of follow-up increased past 72, to 90 months, which meant that the number of re-assessments conducted also increased, the presentation of Aβ-amyloid-related cognitive dysfunction changed. Trajectories of memory performance in preclinical AD became flat (i.e., with slopes of zero), while performance in matched Aβ- adults improved [68]. This failure to benefit from experience observed in preclinical AD led to the development of experimental models which have now shown that the earliest and most severe cognitive impairment in preclinical AD manifests as a reduction in learning, as opposed to impairment in memory [69]. Moreover, with the knowledge that it is possible to identify cognitive dysfunction in Aβ- CN adults, we have sought to challenge our cognitive models further by recruiting and assessing middle-aged adults who are genetically at-risk of developing AD (i.e., APOE e4 carriers). This has led to the development of the Healthy Brain Project, which is an online prospective cohort study designed to survey and test a large number of individuals with a family history of dementia [70]. Initial studies have already demonstrated that cognitive impairment in middle-aged APOE e4 homozygotes can be readily detected using online tests of learning.

**PET: Aβ-amyloid/tau**

The number of PET-Aβ-amyloid scans conducted by calendar year, by clinical classification and PET-Aβ status (-ve/+ve), as well as by clinical classification progression group (CN to MCI to AD) is shown in Tables 5, 6, and 7 respectively. To date 1685 participants have undergone at least one Aβ-amyloid brain scan with 518 individuals undergoing three or more scans.

The application of Aβ-amyloid PET imaging from study commencement has enabled AIBL researchers to make seminal contributions to understanding
AD progression. We demonstrated that Aβ-amyloid likely accumulates for 30 years to reach the level typically present in mild AD dementia, and that abnormal levels can conceivably be detected with PET 15–20 years before the onset of dementia [46, 71], identifying a wide window for prevention trials in the asymptomatic and prodromal disease phases.

AIBL has also confirmed the role of Aβ-amyloid in conversion from MCI to AD. Over 3 years, conversion risk in the cohort was associated most strongly with a positive Aβ-amyloid scan (odds ratio (OR) 15) with a positive predictive value of 84% [45]. The Aβ-amyloid-PET scan likely becomes positive 15–20 years prior to mild dementia, a decade before other imaging and cognitive tests become abnormal [45].

The recent development of tau-PET scans and their subsequent implementation in AIBL will likely yield further important insights into the development of AD. The number of tau-PET scans conducted by calendar year and by clinical classification in AIBL is depicted in Tables 8 and 9, respectively. To date 470 participants have undergone tau imaging, with 88 of these individuals undergoing at least one follow-up tau scan.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

Nine hundred and fifty-four subjects have undergone structural MR imaging at least once (Table 10). Structural MRI using T1W Magnetization Prepared RApid Gradient Echo (MPRage) was used to elucidate the relationship between atrophy and Aβ-amyloid both cross-sectionally [72, 73] and longitudinally [45, 74]. An association between Aβ-amyloid deposition and atrophy in asymptomatic individuals...
was observed, as was little-to-no overlap between the patterns of atrophy and that of Aβ-amyloid deposition. In other studies, atrophy was also related to cognition (hippocampal volume; [25]) and physical activity (hippocampal and temporal lobe volumes; [75]), as well as to BDNF and KIBRA (Kidney and BRAin expressed protein) polymorphisms [75–77]. In addition to structural sequences, the AIBL MR protocol also includes FLuid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) imaging, used to assess white matter lesions. These lesions were shown to be related to cognitive decline, neurodegeneration and age, but were not associated with rate of Aβ-amyloid accumulation [78, 79].

A subset of AIBL participants was imaged using more advanced MRI sequences including Diffusion Weighted Imaging (DWI), Arterial Spin Labelling (ASL), and Susceptibility Weighted Imaging (SWI). DWI was used to show a reduction of specific white matter fibers in AD [80]. An increase in cerebral blood flow, as measured using ASL, was observed in preclinical AD subjects, and linked with an increase in Aβ-amyloid [81]. Higher risk of cerebral microbleeds, as measured with SWI, was evident for subjects with high Aβ-amyloid burden [82]. More recently, the SWI data were reconstructed to provide Quantitative Susceptibility Mapping (QSM) for iron deposition measurement. These data showed that QSM could predict Aβ-related cognitive decline in a mixed diagnostic group [28]. More work is required to determine whether iron plays a role in enhancing susceptibility to Aβ-mediated neurodegeneration, or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7</th>
<th>Number of PET-Aβ scans conducted by clinical classification progression group, and PET-Aβ status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical classification</td>
<td>PET-Aβ status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN/MCI-CN</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN-MCI</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI-AD</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individuals scanned (-ve, +ve, and Total scanned columns) have undergone at least one PET scan. Clinical classification groups are defined as follows: CN, MCI, AD, Other = individuals remain in these groups throughout study participation. CN/MCI-CN = individuals move from the CN classification to MCI, then back to CN during study participation. CN-MCI = progression from CN to MCI clinical classification during study participation. CN-AD = progression from CN to AD clinical classification during study participation. MCI-AD = progression from MCI to AD clinical classification during study participation. The clinical classification changes refer to the clinical visit history of a participant, while the T0 PET scan can occur at any stage during the AIBL visit history. For example, a participant could be listed as CN-MCI-AD, but the T0 PET scan occurred at the MCI or the AD stage. The following Aβ-amyloid tracers were utilized: Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB), NAV4694, flutemetamol, florbetapir, or florbetaben. -ve, Aβ-amyloid negative (≤ 20 Centiloids); +ve, Aβ-amyloid positive (> 20 Centiloids); AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CN, cognitively normal; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Other, dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD; PET, positron emission tomography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8</th>
<th>Number of PET-tau scans conducted each calendar year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collection*</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Positron Emission Tomography (PET)-tau collection is case-wise; i.e., T0 represents the first time a participant is PET-tau imaged but may not correspond to their baseline cognitive assessment, T1 represents the second time a participant is PET-tau imaged but may not correspond to their 18-month follow-up cognitive assessment, etc. The following tau tracers were utilized: AV1451 and MK6240.
Table 10
Number of MRI scans conducted by clinical classification and PET-Aβ status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical classification and PET-Aβ status</th>
<th>MRI collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN -ve</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN +ve</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI -ve</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI +ve</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD -ve</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD +ve</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other -ve</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other +ve</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'MRI collection' is case-wise; i.e., T0 represents the first time a participant undergoes MRI but may not correspond to their baseline cognitive assessment, T1 represents the second time a participant undergoes MRI but may not correspond to their 18-month follow-up cognitive assessment, etc. The following Aβ-amyloid tracers were utilized: Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB), NAV4694, flutemetamol, florbetapir, or florbetaben. -ve, Aβ-amyloid negative (≤ 20 Centiloids); +ve, Aβ-amyloid positive (> 20 Centiloids); AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CN, cognitively normal; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; Other, dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD; PET, positron emission tomography.

Table 11
Number of CSF collections by clinical classification progression group, and PET-Aβ status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latest PET-Aβ Result</th>
<th>Clinical classification</th>
<th>-ve</th>
<th>+ve</th>
<th>Not scanned</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>N with ≥ 2 collections</th>
<th>N with ≥ 3 collections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN/MCI-CN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN-MCI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN-AD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI-AD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical classification groups are defined as follows: CN, MCI, AD, Other = individuals remain in these groups throughout study participation. CN/MCI-CN = individuals move from the CN classification to MCI, then back to CN during study participation. CN-MCI = progression from CN to MCI clinical classification during study participation. CN-AD = progression from CN to AD clinical classification during study participation. MCI-AD = progression from MCI to AD clinical classification during study participation. The clinical classification changes refer to the clinical visit history of a participant, while the T0 CSF collection can occur at any stage during the AIBL visit history. For example, a participant could be listed as CN-MCI-AD, but the T0 CSF collection occurred at the MCI or the AD stage. The following Aβ-amyloid tracers were utilized to determine -ve/+ve status: Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB), NAV4694, flutemetamol, florbetapir, or florbetaben. -ve, Aβ-amyloid negative (≤ 20 Centiloids); +ve, Aβ-amyloid positive (> 20 Centiloids); AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CN, cognitively normal; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; Other, dementia other than AD or cognitive impairment not suspected to be due to AD; PET, positron emission tomography.

if iron deposition is instead a reflection of underlying damage to the brain.

**Blood biomarkers: plasma Aβ/tau, lipidomics and metabolomics**

Fasted blood samples have been collected at each 18-monthly participant visit, and 587,665 blood fraction aliquots have been stored in liquid nitrogen. This number includes over 100,000 serum aliquots, 200,000 EDTA plasma aliquots, 100,000 lithium heparin plasma aliquots, 35,000 platelet aliquots, 40,000 aliquots of Ficoll-purified white blood cells (stored in either dH2O or ‘RNA later’), and 90,000 aliquots of red blood cells.

Since AIBL commenced in 2006, high on the list of priorities has been the objective of developing a robust blood test for AD. We first confirmed the presence of Aβ dimers in plasma [83] and then explored the utility of early Aβ immunoassays [53, 75, 84–89]. These results confirmed that an Aβ signal was present in plasma, and that Aβ42 decreased as the Aβ-PET signal increased. We also explored levels of ApoE [90], ApoJ [91], inflammatory markers [92], and
Table 12
Number of lifestyle assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lifestyle Assessment</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>54</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>108</th>
<th>126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-report PA</td>
<td>1,747</td>
<td>1,167</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective PA</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet (CCV FFQ)*</td>
<td>1,905</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-report Sleep†</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Corresponds to cognitive assessment timepoint (month 0 = baseline). Data collected at alternating timepoints. †Sleep measures were administered to Inception cohort participants from 72 months onwards, and to Enrichment participants from baseline onwards. CCV FFQ, Cancer Council of Victoria Food Frequency Questionnaire; PA, physical activity.

micro-RNA [93]. New Aβ immunoassays showed improved performances [94–96] but the combination of immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry proved to have exceptional performance [97], equivalent to CSF assays. Notably, measurement of the natural history of plasma Aβ change, via one of the new immunoassays, suggests that the trajectory of plasma Aβ change mirrors brain Aβ-amyloid accumulation as measured by PET, with a rapid phase of plasma change observable up to 6 years prior to the rapid phase of brain Aβ-amyloid accumulation [96]. CSF and plasma Aβ42 are in equilibrium, and are expected to show changes earlier than Aβ-PET since the dynamic range of CSF change is greatest at the lower ranges of Aβ-PET.

Proteomic [98], lipidomic [99] and metabolomic approaches are now being explored. Moreover, functional assays of mononuclear cell phagocytosis have indicated that defects in the innate immune response could play a role in AD [100], confirming independent genome-wide association study (GWAS) results obtained by others.

Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers: Aβ, tau, neurofilament light chain

CSF for analysis has to date been donated by 262 subjects, with 242 of these individuals having also undergone Aβ-amyloid imaging (Table 11). At the time of writing, we have collected CSF at two timepoints from 109 subjects, and at three timepoints from 33 subjects.

Strong concordance has been observed between CSF markers (Aβ42, tau, and pTau181) and PET-Aβ-amyloid status, as measured by the Roche Elecsys and INNOrtest assays [30, 31]. A high correlation of CSF biomarkers on the AlzBio3 and EUROMMUNE platforms has also been reported [101]. Methodologically, no difference in these biomarkers was observed from CSF collected using gravity or aspira-

Lifestyle and environmental risk factors

The number of lifestyle assessments completed at each timepoint is shown in Table 12. The vast majority of CN and MCI participants completed self-report measures of physical activity (PA), diet and sleep at each timepoint (of note, diet was assessed at alternating timepoints, and sleep measures were first administered to Inception cohort participants at the 72-month follow-up but to Enrichment cohort participants from baseline). Objective measures of PA were collected via actigraphy from a subset of Perth-based CN participants as the foundation of two consecutive PhD student projects.
With detailed lifestyle data emerging from the cohort, AIBL is providing an exceptional opportunity to examine the relationship of PA, diet and sleep to cognitive, neuroimaging and fluid biomarker outcomes, as well as to investigate targeted genetic factors that may influence these relationships. Cross-sectional relationships between habitual PA levels and various cognitive and brain health markers have been reported. Objectively measured PA intensity was linked to better performance on cognitive tasks assessing executive function [104]. Moreover, self-reported PA was found to be associated with lower levels of brain Aβ-amyloid in carriers of the APOE ε4 allele [53] and larger hippocampal volume in BDNF Val/Val homozygotes [75].

The AIBL study was first to show that adherence to a healthy Mediterranean-style diet is more strongly associated with less decline in executive function among APOE ε4 carriers [105], and is associated with a slower rate of Aβ-amyloid accumulation in cognitively unimpaired older adults irrespective of APOE ε4 status [106]. We have also reported cross-sectional associations, in CN older adults, between carbohydrate intake and cognitive function, with specific cognitive domains affected in an APOE genotype-dependent manner [107], as well as between dietary protein intake and brain Aβ-amyloid burden, with higher protein intake associated with less likelihood of Aβ+ status [108].

Insights into the relationship between sleep and brain Aβ have also been attained, with increased time to fall asleep (sleep onset latency) associated with higher brain Aβ-amyloid [109], revealing a potential target for AD prevention strategies. Furthermore, the multidisciplinary nature of AIBL has enabled the first report of genetic variations in Aquaporin-4 (AQP4) impacting the relationship between sleep and brain Aβ-amyloid [54]. Aquaporin-4 proteins are water channel proteins, located primarily in the subpial and perivascular end-feet of astrocytic processes, which play a role in the brain’s night-time ‘housekeeping’ system. This system clears waste including Aβ-amyloid and is postulated to function almost entirely during sleep [110]. Our study showed that individuals with particular genetic variations in AQP4 are susceptible to high brain Aβ-amyloid levels if they experience poor sleep [54]. These findings suggest such individuals might benefit most, in terms of reduced AD risk, from an intervention to improve their sleep, bringing personalized strategies for AD prevention a step closer.

**Genetics**

Table 13 details the genetic analyses undertaken on AIBL samples to date. Samples from all 2359 participants have undergone APOE genotyping, whilst subsets have either undergone or are, at the time of submission, undergoing genome-wide SNP, whole exome sequencing and cross-sectional and longitudinal genome-wide peripheral DNA methylation analyses. These data have contributed to research across all areas of AIBL, providing important genetic covariates to individual studies or furthering the understanding of key genetic variables that impact disease presentation and progression.

AIBL has contributed to the understanding of how individual genes [47, 67, 76, 77, 111–115] make variable contributions to cognitive decline in the preclinical and prodromal stages of AD. This has been extended to enhanced understanding of accumulative genetic contributions and whether genetic profiles for risk and progression differ. In AIBL, polygenic risk scores (PRS) weighted by AD-risk effect sizes were dependent on the presence of the APOE ε4 allele [51]. Moreover, the association, with cognitive decline, of genetic factors that are not major AD-risk genes, such as BDNF and KIBRA, led to the development of a cognitive-gene risk profile [52]. This profile was able to identify twice as many individuals at risk of preclinical AD-related cognitive decline than APOE ε4-BDNF Met66 interaction alone. Further, this profile identified that genetic variation in genes (e.g., KL; Klotho) that were not independently associated with preclinical AD cognitive decline, in AIBL, contributed to delineating these risk groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Analysis</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Genome-Wide SNP*</th>
<th>Whole Exome Sequencing</th>
<th>Genome-Wide Methylation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APOE</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Samples for both genome-wide SNP and genome-wide methylation analysis are currently being expanded. APOE, Apolipoprotein E; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism (976,713 SNPs analyzed).
These studies were further expanded to the development of a novel PRS that incorporated weighting by effect sizes associated with decline in episodic memory [50]. As opposed to the AD-risk weighted PRS, this novel PRS was associated with cognitive decline both in the presence and absence of APOE e4.

AIBL genomics has also contributed further knowledge to the association of genetic markers with both PET-Ab-amyloid status and MRI volumetrics [51, 76, 77, 116, 117] and, in collaboration with AIBL lifestyle researchers, has helped uncover genetic underpinnings of lifestyle-phenotype relationships, with a particular focus on APOE and BDNF and their interaction with PA [53, 75], diet [105, 106], and sleep [109]. This has been expanded to investigate a priori candidates, such as variation in AQP4 [54]. This study, as stated above, suggests that genetic variation in AQP4 moderates the relationship between sleep and brain Ab-amyloid levels. Collectively, these studies emphasize the importance of continued study of gene-lifestyle interactions, and in turn a focus on lifestyle genomics, in AD, which could lead to the development and implementation of personalized strategies for AD prevention.

Strong collaborations, external to AIBL, have also seen AIBL contribute significantly to large multicenter genetic studies that have shed further light on genetic and epigenetic factors. These have suggested a more oligogenic rather than polygenic structure to AD-risk [118] and have provided insights into the contribution of peripheral genome-wide methylation across neurodegenerative disease.

Data harmonization and sharing

AIBL data have been provided to the Global Alzheimer’s Association Interactive Network (GAAIN), and software installed, thereby enabling GAAIN users to interrogate metadata and receive cohort summaries, whereupon users can request further information (and biofluid samples; blood and CSF) if needed by submitting an Expression of Interest (EoI). This mechanism ensures worldwide sharing and utilization of AIBL data (and biofluid samples) and complements the existing framework whereby AIBL imaging scans and demographic data are available on the ADNI LONI (Laboratory of Neuro Imaging, University of Southern California) website for free download and use by researchers worldwide. Up to 3 August 2019, LONI/GAAIN data applications had been received from over 120 companies, more than 2,000 organizations/institutes/departments, and over 3,500 individuals, spanning 81 countries. Moreover, hundreds of EoIs from academic and industry-based individuals have been approved resulting in further data and biofluid sample sharing. Data will also be provided to the National Institute on Aging-funded ADOPIC (Alzheimer’s Dementia Onset and Progression in International Cohorts) project which will harmonise data from the AIBL, ADNI, Washington University, St. Louis, and University of Washington, Seattle, cohorts to determine factors which influence cognitive decline in AD.

Capacity building

The AIBL study framework has been responsible for training researchers and clinicians of the future, by supporting multiple Honours and MSc projects, more than 25 PhD projects, as well as over 15 clinical placement students. Moreover, the study framework and/or data have been used to successfully obtain funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia’s peak funding body for medical research) as well as various philanthropic and non-government entities including The Yulgilbar Foundation and the Australian Alzheimer’s Research Foundation.

DISCUSSION

The AIBL Study has collected up to 8 timepoints of data at 18-month intervals in over 2350 participants, yielding a current database of 8592 person-contact years (as of 10 April 2019). The multicenter, multidisciplinary approach and engagement of Ab-amyloid-PET imaging from the outset has enabled AIBL researchers to make world-class contributions to understanding the natural history of AD progression.

Using data from the AIBL cohort, the very slow accumulation rate of AD-related pathologic change has been calculated [45, 46], the diagnostic and prognostic utility of Ab-amyloid imaging [44] have been confirmed, and a wide window of opportunity for prevention trials has been identified. This vital, new knowledge has been translated to the design and implementation of trials to prevent progression to dementia from AD.

Indeed, the cohorts and technologies provided by AIBL have facilitated early intervention trials in Australia and worldwide. AIBL has enabled Australia to form a large single site for participation in the
Anti-Amyloid therapy in Asymptomatic AD (A4) trial, and to be a major contributor to the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN) and DIAN Therapy studies outside of the USA. Moreover, through tracer validation, and first in human studies with colleagues in Japan and the USA, AIBL researchers have played a key role in the recent development of PET scans for tau aggregates. Serial tau imaging has been added to the investigations in a subset of AIBL participants, and this new technology promises further important insights into the development of AD, potentially opening the door to new therapeutic approaches. New AIBL-developed PET imaging analysis methods and promising blood biomarkers have also generated international patents.

To date, AIBL has enabled several promising blood biomarker candidates and panels to be identified that include Aβ-amyloid species and ApoE levels, unique inflammatory and lipid-related compounds, genomics, and micro RNA markers from exosomes [87, 90, 93, 97, 119, 120]. Another blood biomarker developed from the AIBL cohort that correlates closely with Aβ-amyloid PET classification is under licensing negotiation with a pharmaceutical company.

AIBL has provided important insights into the cognitive, genetic, and Aβ-related changes that lead to AD. Assessments of visual learning and working memory in both preclinical and prodromal AD revealed Aβ-amyloid-related cognitive decline [25, 61], which was increased further in the presence of the APOE ε4 allele [62]. Variation in the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism, was also shown to substantially influence the course of cognitive decline, and clinical disease progression [47]. Moreover, seminal new work from the AIBL cohort has shown that the earliest and most severe cognitive impairment in preclinical AD manifests as a reduction in learning, as opposed to impairment in memory [69]. The learning deficit was much more evident than the memory deficit in the preclinical AD subjects. This may reflect damage to those areas of the Default Mode Network where Aβ-amyloid is seen to first begin accumulating, i.e., in the precuneus, posterior cingulate and frontal cortices.

Lifestyle correlation with cognitive and neuroimaging biomarker change over time has provided support for PA and dietary modification to slow AD development. This work has highlighted the importance of PA intensity as well as volume for cognitive performance and brain health [53, 104], and has demonstrated the ability of PA and a healthy Mediterranean-style diet to mitigate the negative effects of APOE ε4 carriage on cognitive decline and Aβ-amyloid accumulation [53, 105, 106]. Intervention studies are required to confirm the impact of PA and a Mediterranean-style diet on the accumulation of AD pathology in the brain. AIBL has also provided novel insights into the relationship between sleep and Aβ-amyloid; revealing associations between longer sleep onset latency and higher brain Aβ-amyloid [109], as well as a moderating effect of genetic variation in Aquaporin-4 on the relationship between sleep and brain Aβ-amyloid burden [54]. Collectively, these works have revealed potential targets for AD prevention studies, as well as bringing personalized strategies for AD prevention, based on genotype, a step closer.

New genetic factors that modulate rate of cognitive decline prior to dementia have been identified and significant contributions have been made to achieving a greater understanding of the genetic architecture of both AD risk and progression, particularly how these may differ both in terms of structure and contributing factors. In addition to the APOE ε4 allele [45] and the BDNF Met66 allele [47] mentioned above, AIBL has also demonstrated that specific genetic profiles [52], and a novel PRS [50], are all associated with faster cognitive decline in individuals with preclinical or prodromal AD. Many of these findings have been used in the design of early intervention trials such as the NIH- and industry-funded A4 Study [49]; AIBL investigators are contributing up to 150 participants to this trial. Further exploration of the genetic architecture of AD risk and progression will also be possible as AIBL extends both its genetic and epigenetic analyses. Such exploration has already seen AIBL contribute to large multi-center studies reporting that AD risk is likely more oligogenic than polygenic in structure [118].

AIBL continues to make significant contributions to international efforts focused on improving the understanding of AD pathogenesis and diagnosis, as well as contributing to the design of both pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic intervention studies aimed at preventing dementia due to AD. AIBL data sharing has occurred via the ADNI LONI website, GAAIN, the US-based Pre-clinical AD Consortium and an EU consortium (CONNECT-ND). AIBL data are also pooled with ADNI, Japan-ADNI, DIAN, the Adult Children Study, the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer’s Prevention, and other initiatives to increase statistical power, as well as a variety of European consortia through the European Union Joint Pro-
gramme – Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND). Data will also be provided to the National Institute on Aging-funded ADOPIC project. Collectively, these approaches have ensured that AIBL data have been shared, across 81 countries, with researchers based in academia and industry.

Whilst it is evident that AIBL has made significant contributions to understanding the natural history of AD, and characterizing factors which impact the rate at which AD phenotypes develop, there are some limitations that should be noted. For example, the relatively high age of CN participants at baseline precludes direct quantification of the earliest AD-related changes. Only a subset of participants provided CSF samples, with few follow-up samples collected to date, which limits longitudinal biomarker analysis in this biofluid. Moreover, the cohort is predominantly Caucasian with a relatively high level of education, which limits the generalizability of our findings.

Despite these limitations, AIBL will continue to contribute to addressing the major challenges ahead for our field, which include, defining the earliest cut-points for onset of preclinical AD, developing practical algorithms for predicting rates of progression, and determining the generalizability of current findings into ethnically/ socially diverse populations. Moreover, the attribution of degrees of cognitive impairment, and the differential diagnosis of AD from the two major co-morbidities of FTD/ hippocampal sclerosis (TAR DNA-binding protein of about 43 kDa (TDP-43) pathology) and small vessel involvement in cerebrovascular disease in the elderly population, remain unresolved. Once these issues have been addressed, the optimal approach to designing primary and secondary prevention trials will become apparent.

In summary, AIBL is at the forefront of AD research. AIBL data have contributed to the development of new diagnostic criteria for AD that permit earlier and more accurate diagnosis [121–123], and to the design of early intervention trials aimed at preventing the development of dementia from AD. AIBL has united AD researchers across Australia and as part of worldwide ADNI, AIBL has greatly increased Australian collaboration with international AD research groups. AIBL infrastructure is now also supporting recruitment for academic and industry-funded therapy trials. Further refinement and validation of blood biomarkers for AD will be undertaken, genetic and epigenetic contributions and profiles for onset and progression will be developed, lifestyle influences on cognition and AD will be prospectively tracked, and more early intervention studies will be launched. Moreover, with over 340 publications to date and 2500 citations per year, the increasing value, impact and productivity of AIBL with time is apparent, as is the increasing value of accumulating longitudinal data.
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