

1-1-2013

Myocardial Infarction: Sex differences in symptoms reported to emergency dispatch

Linda L. Coventry
Edith Cowan University

Alexandra Bremmer

Ian Jacobs

Judith Finn

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks2013>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

[10.3109/10903127.2012.722175](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks2013/506)

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of: Coventry, L. L., Bremmer, A., Jacobs, I., & Finn, J. (2013). Myocardial Infarction: Sex differences in symptoms reported to emergency dispatch. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 17(2), 193-202. Available [here](#)

This Journal Article is posted at Research Online.
<https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks2013/506>

Edith Cowan University

Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study.

The University does not authorize you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following:

- Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright.
- A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement.
- A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

Myocardial Infarction: Sex Differences in Symptoms Reported to Emergency Dispatch

Linda L. Coventry RN, MS, PhD candidate^{1, 2}

Alexandra P. Bremner BSc(Hons), DipEd, GradDipAppStats, PhD²

Ian G. Jacobs BAppSc, DipEd, PhD, RN, FRCNA, FACAP, FERC^{1, 3}

Judith Finn RN, RM ICCert, DipAppSc, BSc, MEdSt, GradDipPH, PhD, FRCNA^{1, 3}

Affiliations:

¹ Discipline of Emergency Medicine, School of Primary, Aboriginal and Rural Health Care, The University of Western Australia

² School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Science, The University of Western Australia

³ St John Ambulance (Western Australia), Perth, Western Australia, Australia

Address for correspondence:

Linda Coventry, Discipline of Emergency Medicine (M516), The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA, 6009, Australia

Email: lcoventry@meddent.uwa.edu.au

Phone: +61 8 9346 4354

Fax: +61 8 9346 1665

Abstract

Background

Emergency management of myocardial infarction (MI) is time critical, because improved patient outcomes are associated with reduced time from symptom onset to definitive care. Previous studies have identified that women are less likely to present with chest pain.

Objective

We sought to measure the effect of sex on symptoms reported to the ambulance dispatch and ambulance times for MI patients.

Methods

The Western Australia Emergency Department Information System (EDIS) was used to identify patients with emergency department (ED) diagnoses of MI (ST-segment elevation MI and non-ST-segment elevated MI) who arrived by ambulance between January 1 2008 and October 31 2009. Their emergency telephone calls to the ambulance service were transcribed to identify presenting symptoms. Ambulance data were used to examine ambulance times. Sex differences were analysed using descriptive and age-adjusted regression analysis.

Results

Of 3,329 MI patients who presented to Perth EDs, 2,100 (63.1%) arrived by ambulance. After pre-defined exclusions, 1,681 emergency calls were analysed. Women (n=621, 36.9%) were older than men ($p<0.001$) and even after age-adjustment were less likely to report chest pain (Odds Ratio [OR]=0.70; 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 0.57, 0.88). After age-adjustment, ambulance times did not differ between male and female patients with chest pain. Women with chest pain were less likely than men to be allocated a 'priority 1' (lights and sirens) ambulance response (Men 98.3% vs. Women 95.5%; OR=0.39; 95% CI 0.18, 0.87).

Conclusion

Ambulance dispatch officers (and paramedics) need to be aware of potential sex differences in MI presentation in order to ensure appropriate ambulance response.

Key words

Emergency medical services; myocardial infarction; symptoms; sex differences; emergency medical dispatch

Background

Current guidelines^{1,2} recommend prompt activation of the emergency medical service (EMS) if a person is experiencing symptoms suggestive of a myocardial infarction (MI). The emergency ambulance is usually the quickest mode of transport to hospital, especially in urban areas. In addition, paramedics are trained to initiate treatment and equipped to treat life threatening cardiac arrhythmias should they occur. The time from onset of MI symptoms to treatment in a hospital influences survival, and rapid reperfusion of an obstructed coronary artery is associated with reduced mortality.³ Additionally, current Australian and American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines for the treatment of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) recommend the time from first medical contact to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) to be as-soon-as-possible⁴ or less than 90 minutes,^{5,6} with suggestions that EMS to PCI time should be less than 90 minutes as well.^{5,7} Many studies have investigated reasons for underuse of emergency ambulances^{8,9} and delay in seeking treatment^{10,11} in MI patients. Research has shown that pre-hospital median delays range from 84 to over 400 minutes.¹⁰

However, no studies were found that have investigated symptoms of MI reported during the telephone call to emergency dispatch as a possible source of delay. Correct recognition of symptoms of a MI is crucial for the ambulance dispatcher to allocate a “priority 1” (lights and sirens) ambulance response. Most ambulance dispatch systems are primed to rapidly respond to mention of chest pain as a symptom, but not all MI patients experience chest pain as a symptom.¹² Previous studies have identified that women are less likely to present with chest pain^{13,14} and have prolonged prehospital delays.^{10,15} Our primary aim was to compare symptoms, in particular chest pain, reported during the emergency telephone call for men and women with MI. We also compared the relationship between symptoms reported and ambulance responses in men and women, with adjustment for age.

Methods

Study Setting and Cohort

This retrospective cohort study reviewed emergency telephone calls of all adult patients with an emergency department (ED) discharge diagnosis of MI transported by St John Ambulance (SJA) in Western Australia (WA) to one of the seven Perth metropolitan EDs between January 1, 2008 and October 31, 2009. The Perth metropolitan area covers an area over 5,000 square kilometers¹⁶ and has a population of 1.7 million, with 9.2% aged ≥ 65 years and 3% aged ≥ 75 years.¹⁷ Two and a half per cent of the population are indigenous (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander), with the overseas born population largely of Asian (23%) and European (19%) descent.¹⁷ SJA-WA is the single provider of prehospital emergency care and transport for WA and is staffed by paramedics who attend over 200,000 calls per year.¹⁸ In WA (as for the whole of Australia) the emergency

telephone number is “triple zero” (0-0-0).¹⁸ Calls are answered by an operator who asks whether the emergency requires “police, fire or ambulance”. Calls requesting ‘ambulance’ are immediately transferred to the Ambulance Operations Centre located at SJA-WA headquarters in Perth. All telephone calls are recorded and stored permanently.

At the time of the study SJA-WA ambulance dispatch operators completed a two- week in-house course in dispatch and prioritizing ambulances. The dispatch operators were not medically trained. The dispatch operator followed a written in-house protocol asking a series of scripted questions that establish location of the incident, phone number of the caller, chief complaint, and other complaint-specific questions. A computer-aided dispatch (CAD) System automatically records the time the call was received, the time the call was dispatched to the ambulance, and the time the ambulance arrived on scene, departed the scene, and arrived at the hospital. A priority is allocated to each call: “Priority 1” (lights and sirens) response represents an emergency, “Priority 2” response represents an urgent call, and “Priority 3” response represents a non-urgent call.¹⁸ Priority responses were assigned by the dispatch operator based on a list of predefined conditions for each priority level, e.g. “chest pain” was allocated as a priority 1. Priority allocation from scene to hospital is determined by the paramedic, based on patient’s clinical status. During the study period, current practice of paramedics did not include acquisition of a 12-lead electrocardiogram or direct transfer to a percutaneous coronary intervention laboratory.

All adult patients with an ED discharge diagnosis of MI (STEMI and non-STEMI) who were transported to ED by SJA-WA during the study period were identified from the Perth Emergency Department Information System (EDIS). EDIS is a real-time patient- tracking system containing utilization and patient disposition data for each ED in Perth.¹⁹ The principal clinical diagnosis is a mandatory field entered by clinical staff on patient discharge from the ED. The clinical diagnosis is electronically mapped to the *International Classification of Diseases and Related Problems, 10th Revision, Australian Modification* (ICD-10-AM) codes.²⁰

Patient details identified from EDIS were used to retrieve the emergency phone call made to SJA-WA, which was saved as a “.wav” file. Patient symptoms, as described by the caller, were transcribed verbatim by one author (LLC). To assess transcription accuracy a random sample of 200 phone calls were reviewed by the same author (LLC). Of the 200 phone calls, 197 were accurate indicating 98.5% data-entry accuracy. Initial lists of possible symptoms of MI were created from an extensive literature search and clinical expertise, with additional symptoms added as required from the telephone transcripts. Symptoms were coded “yes” if stated in the telephone call or “no” if declared absent or not stated. Multiple symptoms for individual patients were possible. Additional information pertaining to the call was collected, including sex of the caller and their relationship to the patient, and location of the call.

The SJA-WA patient database contains computerised records of all cases attended by ambulances in WA from the CAD system and the paramedic-completed patient care record (PCR), which includes dispatch priority, clinical problem codes, and clinical management. The EDIS data were linked to the SJA-WA database, together with the transcribed symptoms from the emergency phone call to SJA. Only the index hospital admission was included for patients who subsequently transferred to a second hospital. Patients were excluded if they arrived by private transport, Royal Flying Doctor Service, helicopter, or other voluntary transport services.

Statistical Methods

Data were presented as frequencies with percentages, or means \pm standard deviations.

Ambulance times were described using means, standard deviations, medians and inter-quartile ranges. Comparisons of baseline characteristics between men and women were performed using chi-square tests for categorical variables, the Mann-Whitney test for medians, and Student's *t* tests for continuous variables.

For each symptom of MI, logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) for the symptom being reported by women compared with men. Models were subsequently adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and age-sex interaction was tested. For the symptom "chest pain", a stratified analysis that dichotomised age into < 70 years and ≥ 70 years, with men aged < 70 years as the comparison group was also conducted. Age 70 years was selected as the cutoff based on previous research demonstrating that presentation with chest pain is less common in individuals over 70 years of age.^{21,22}

Linear regression was used to model sex differences in ambulance times for patients with chest pain and patients without chest pain. Because model residuals were skewed, time intervals were log transformed. Beta coefficients (and 95% CIs) that estimate the difference in mean log time were reported. For patients with chest pain and patients without chest pain logistic regression was used to compare the odds of women being dispatched as a priority 1 ambulance response with those of men. Both linear and logistic regression modeling included adjustment for age (as a continuous variable) and testing for age-sex interaction.


All statistical analyses were performed in PASW Release Version 17.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., 2008, Chicago, IL, www.spss.com). Two-sided p-values < 0.05 were considered significant. Ethics approval was obtained for this study from the University of Western Australia, number RA/4/1/2428.

Results

During the study period, January 2008 to October 2009, of the 3,329 (women, n = 1115; men, n = 2214) patients who were discharged from a Perth ED with a diagnosis of MI, 63.1% (women, n = 759; men, n = 1341) arrived by ambulance. More women (68%) than men (60%) arrived by ambulance ($p < 0.001$). Following exclusions, as detailed in Figure 1, 1,681 emergency telephone calls to SJA-WA were analyzed. Of these, 621 (36.9%) were for female patients.

Women were older than men (mean age 77.6 vs. 69.1 years, $p < 0.001$). Differences in the relationship of caller to patient and the location of patient at time of the emergency call were found between men and women (Table 1).

Figure 1 Study flow diagram



EDIS, Emergency Department Information System; MI, Myocardial Infarction; RFDS, Royal Flying Doctor Service; SJA-WA, St John Ambulance-Western Australia; PCR, Patient Care Record; ED, Emergency Department

Table 1 Sex Differences in the Descriptive Characteristics of the Emergency Phone Calls for Patients with Myocardial Infarction

| Characteristic | Men (patients) n=1060 | Women (patients) n=621 | p-value |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Mean Age, years (\pm SD), years | 69.1 (14.4) | 77.6 (12.8) | <0.001* |
| Duration of Phone Call - median (IQR), seconds | 80.4 (67.8, 123.3) | 79.2 (65.4, 123.6) | 0.13 |
| Sex of Caller, n (%) | | | |
| Male | 322 (30.3) | 179 (28.8) | 0.50 |
| Female | 738 (69.7) | 442 (71.2) | |
| Relation of Caller to Patient | | | |
| Self | 129 (12.2) | 59 (9.5) | 0.09 |
| Spouse | 294 (27.7) | 48 (7.7) | <0.001* |
| Family / Friend | 226 (21.3) | 204 (32.8) | <0.001* |
| Professional† | 321 (30.3) | 252 (40.6) | <0.001* |
| Other | 13 (1.2) | 8 (1.3) | 0.91 |
| Bystander | 27 (2.6) | 11 (1.8) | 0.30 |
| Unsure | 50 (4.7) | 39 (6.3) | 0.17 |
| Location of Patient at time of emergency call | | | |
| Home | 714 (67.4) | 403 (64.9) | 0.18 |
| Relative / Friend / Work | 53 (5.0) | 17 (2.7) | 0.02* |
| Medical Centre | 127 (12.0) | 46 (7.4) | 0.002* |
| Nursing home‡ | 89 (8.4) | 133 (21.4) | <0.001* |
| Other | 61 (5.7) | 19 (3.1) | <0.001* |
| Unsure | 16 (1.5) | 3 (0.5) | 0.68 |

Data are expressed as n (%) unless otherwise specified.

*Statistical significant difference.

†Includes health professional, medical receptionist and security personnel.

‡ Includes residential care facilities.

IQR = inter-quartile range; SD = standard deviation.

Sex Differences in Chest Pain Reported for Patients with Myocardial Infarction during the Emergency Telephone Call

As shown in Table 2, women were less likely than men to report chest pain (54% vs. 69%; OR=0.54; 95% CI 0.44, 0.67; $p<0.001$), even after age adjustment (OR=0.70; 95% CI 0.57, 0.88; $p=0.002$). There was no significant interaction between sex and age ($p=0.10$); however, in age-

stratified analysis, men aged ≥ 70 years (OR=0.48; 95% CI 0.37, 0.63; $p < 0.001$) and women aged ≥ 70 years (OR=0.28; 95% CI 0.22, 0.37; $p < 0.001$) were less likely to have reported chest pain when compared with men aged < 70 years.

Table 2 Sex Differences in Symptoms Reported for Patients with Myocardial Infarction during the Emergency Telephone Call.

| Symptom * | Women n= 621 n (%) | Men n=1,060 n (%) | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p-Value | Age-Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Chest Pain | 338 (54.4) | 728 (68.7) | 0.54 (0.44, 0.67) | <0.001† | 0.70 (0.57, 0.88) | 0.002† |
| Left arm pain | 90 (9.7) | 105 (9.9) | 0.97 (0.70, 1.36) | 0.87 | 1.26 (0.89, 1.80) | 0.19 |
| Right arm pain | 40 (6.4) | 114 (10.8) | 0.57 (0.39, 0.83) | 0.003† | 0.70 (0.48, 1.04) | 0.08 |
| Jaw / throat / neck pain | 26 (4.2) | 39 (3.7) | 1.14 (0.69, 1.90) | 0.60 | 1.39 (0.82, 2.37) | 0.22 |
| Sweatiness or clamminess | 51 (8.2) | 136 (12.8) | 0.61 (0.43, 0.85) | 0.004† | 0.71 (0.50, 1.01) | 0.06 |
| Shortness of breath | 176 (28.3) | 273 (25.8) | 1.14 (0.91, 1.42) | 0.25 | 1.05 (0.84, 1.33) | 0.66 |
| Abdominal / epigastric pain | 19 (3.0) | 24 (2.3) | 1.38 (0.76, 2.50) | 0.29 | 1.02 (0.55, 1.91) | 0.94 |
| Nausea | 31 (5.0) | 43 (4.0) | 1.24 (0.78, 1.99) | 0.37 | 1.35 (0.82, 2.22) | 0.23 |
| Vomiting | 46 (7.4) | 52 (4.9) | 1.55 (1.03, 2.34) | 0.04† | 1.57 (1.02, 2.41) | 0.04† |
| Syncope / collapse / unconscious | 54 (8.7) | 67 (6.3) | 1.35 (0.93, 1.92) | 0.11 | 1.42 (0.96, 2.10) | 0.08 |
| Weakness | 34 (5.5) | 28 (2.6) | 2.14 (1.28, 3.56) | 0.004† | 1.62 (0.95, 2.75) | 0.08 |
| Back pain | 28 (4.5) | 30 (2.8) | 1.62 (0.96, 2.74) | 0.07 | 1.62 (0.93, 2.81) | 0.09 |
| Unwellness | 42 (6.7) | 47 (4.4) | 1.56 (1.02, 2.40) | 0.04† | 1.28 (0.82, 2.00) | 0.29 |
| Fall | 51 (8.2) | 37 (3.5) | 2.47 (1.60, 3.82) | <0.001† | 1.40 (0.89, 2.21) | 0.15 |
| Confusion | 26 (4.2) | 20 (1.9) | 2.27 (1.26, 4.11) | 0.007* | 1.59 (0.86, 2.94) | 0.14 |

*Multiple symptoms possible.

† OR significantly different from 1.

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio (men as reference group).

Differences in Other Symptoms Reported for Patients with Myocardial Infarction during the Emergency Telephone Call

As shown in Table 2, women were more likely than men to present with vomiting (7.4% vs. 4.9%; OR=1.55; 95% CI 1.03, 2.34; $p=0.04$), even after age adjustment (OR=1.57; 95% CI 1.02, 2.41; $p=0.04$). Greater odds of vomiting in women persisted in subgroup analyses of chest pain only patients, but not in patients who did not report chest pain.


In a subgroup analysis of patients who did not report chest pain there were no statistically significant differences between other reported symptoms. The most common symptoms in this subgroup included shortness of breath (38% women vs. 32% men); a fall (17% women vs. 11% men); syncope, collapse or unconsciousness (17% women vs. 18% men); feeling unwell (11% women vs. 8% men); weakness (9% women vs. 7% men); confusion (8% women vs. 5% men); and vomiting (8% women vs. 8% men).

Sex Differences in Ambulance Times

As shown in Figure 2, compared with men, women had statistically significantly longer median on-scene time (19 vs. 17.7 minutes; $p<0.001$) and call to hospital time (48 vs. 46.5 minutes; $p=0.009$). The greatest component of pre-hospital time for both men and women was on-scene time.

As shown in Table 3, there were no sex differences in any component of ambulance times, except for on-scene time for the subgroup of MI patients with chest pain. The mean on-scene time for women was 1 minute longer than it was for men ($p=0.001$), but after age-adjustment, mean on-scene time did not differ by sex.

Figure 2 Boxplot showing sex differences in times for each component of prehospital time for men and women (n=1681)



*Statistically significant difference, the Mann-Whitney test was used to examine differences in prehospital time. Box encloses the middle 50% of times (the IQR); the horizontal line marks the median. ⁰Outlier, value is between 1.5 and 3 IQR (box lengths) from the end of the box. Extreme outlier; value is more than 3 IQR from the end of the box. Data on one extreme outlier not shown, 'Scene to hospital' (180 minutes) and 'Call to hospital' (220 minutes).

Table 3 Sex Differences in Ambulance Times for Patients with Myocardial Infarction Who Had Chest Pain and Those Who Did Not Have Chest Pain

| PATIENTS WITH CHEST PAIN | | | | | PATIENTS WITHOUT CHEST PAIN | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Men (n=729) Women (n=337) | | | | | Men (n=331) Women (n=284) | | | |
| Ambulance Time Interval | Time (minutes) | | Unadjusted Beta | Age-adjusted | Time (minutes) | | Unadjusted Beta | Age-adjusted Beta |
| | Mean | Median (IQR) | Coefficient* | Beta Coefficient* | Mean | Median (IQR) | Coefficient* | Coefficient* |
| | (±SD) | | (95% CI) | (95% CI) | (±SD) | | (95% CI) | (95% CI) |
| | | | p-Value | p-Value | | | p-Value | p-Value |
| Call to on-scene | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 11.8(8.7) | 10.1(7.5, 13.2) | -0.02(-0.08, 0.04) | -0.02(-0.08, 0.05) | 16.7(13.3) | 12.8(8.8, 19.8) | 0.02(-0.08, 0.12) | -0.04(-0.14, 0.06) |
| Women | 11.2(6.5) | 10.0(7.6, 12.6) | 0.52 | 0.60 | 16.8 (12.8) | 12.5(9.1, 20.0) | 0.67 | 0.44 |
| On-scene | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 18.1(7.2) | 17.3(13.4, 22.4) | 0.09(0.03, 0.14) | 0.04(-0.02, 0.09) | 20.4(9.3) | 18.8(14.3, 24.8) | 0.04(-0.05,0.12) | -0.02(-0.10, 0.07) |
| Women | 19.1(5.9) | 18.7(14.9, 22.6) | 0.001† | 0.18 | 21.1(9.4) | 19.7(14.8, 26.2) | 0.40 | 0.74 |
| On-scene to hospital | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 17.6(10.2) | 16.0(10.8, 22.6) | 0.01(-0.07, 0.08) | 0.02(-0.06, 0.10) | 16.7(12.6) | 14.9(9.8, 21.1) | 0.10(-0.01, 0.19) | 0.09(-0.02, 0.19) |
| Women | 17.7(9.5) | 15.7(11.3, 22.9) | 0.80 | 0.66 | 17.2(9.1) | 15.3(11.1, 21.7) | 0.06 | 0.10 |
| Call to hospital | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 47.6(16.6) | 45.4(37.3, 54.3) | 0.02(-0.02, 0.06) | 0.01(-0.04, 0.05) | 53.8(21.2) | 50.1(39.7,64.6) | 0.04(-0.02, 0.10) | -0.01(-0.06,0.06) |
| Women | 48.0(13.6) | 46.5(38.8, 56.1) | 0.29 | 0.79 | 55.1(20.0) | 49.8(42.1, 64.4) | 0.20 | 0.92 |

*Ambulance times are log transformed.

† Beta- coefficient significantly different from 0 (men as reference group).

CI = confidence interval; IQR = inter-quartile range; SD = standard deviation.

Sex Differences in Priority Allocation Total Time from Emergency Call to Hospital for Each Priority Response

As shown in Table 4, women with chest pain were less likely than men to be allocated a priority 1 ambulance response after age-adjustment (98.3% vs. 95.5%; OR=0.39; 95% CI 0.18, 0.87; $p=0.02$). Also shown in Table 4, there were no sex differences in total time from emergency call to hospital for each priority response for patients with and without chest pain. However, there was an increase in total call-to-hospital time for both men and women who were allocated a priority 2 or 3 response compared with a priority 1 response.

Table 4 Sex differences in Ambulance Priority Response and Total Time from Call to Hospital for Patients with Myocardial Infarction Who Had Chest Pain and Those Who Did Not Have Chest Pain

| Priority Response | PATIENTS WITH CHEST PAIN | | | | PATIENTS WITHOUT CHEST PAIN | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Men (n=729) | | Women (n=337) | | Men (n=331) | | Women (n=284) | |
| | No. of events n (%) | Age-adjusted OR (95% CI) p-value | Total time Call to Hospital Time (minutes) | | No. of events n (%) | Age-adjusted OR (95% CI) p-value | Total time Call to Hospital Time (minutes) | |
| | | | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | | | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) |
| Priority 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 717 (98.3) | 0.39 (0.18, 0.87) | 47.2 (15.9) | 45.2 (37.2,54.2) | 175 (52.9) | 1.01 (0.72, 1.42) | 47.8 (15.7) | 44.9 (36.5, 57.5) |
| Women | 322 (95.5) | 0.02* | 47.5 (13.3) | 46.1 (38.8, 55.5) | 132 (46.5) | 0.94 | 48.3 (12.9) | 46.6 (40.0, 54.6) |
| Priority 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 6 (0.8) | 4.77 (1.74, 13.08) | 53.7 (20.8) | 55.3 (36.4, 70.7) | 104 (31.4) | 1.06 (0.75, 1.51) | 55.6 (18.2) | 52.2 (44.6, 69.5) |
| Women | 13 (3.9) | 0.002* | 57.0 (17.0) | 57.8 (44.5, 67.8) | 104 (36.6) | 0.74 | 56.5 (20.6) | 51.1 (44, 64.6) |
| Priority 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 6 (0.8) | 0.56 (0.11, 2.91) | 79.0 (47.1) | 60.8 (45.2, 114.8) | 52 (15.7) | 0.89 (0.57,1.39) | 70.6 (31.4) | 68.1 (54.6, 76.3) |
| Women | 2 (0.6) | 0.49 | 64.7 (22.1) | 64.7 (49.1, 80.3) | 48 (16.9) | 0.60 | 70.6 (25.2) | 71.6 (49.9, 88.4) |

*OR significantly different from 1.

CI = confidence interval; IQR = inter-quartile range; OR = odds ratio (men as reference group); SD = standard deviation.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze sex differences in symptoms reported during the emergency telephone calls for (ED confirmed) MI patients. Even after age-adjustment women were much less likely than men to report chest pain. Additionally, women were less likely than men to have a priority 1 ambulance response. Also, the median total time from emergency call to hospital for men and women who were allocated a priority 2 or 3 ambulance response was longer. Finally, less than two-thirds of MI patients utilized EMS for transport to the hospital. Other studies that have examined emergency telephone calls either have not focused on confirmed MI cases or have not investigated sex differences. We believe these findings add to the literature on MI patients transported by EMS and offer an opportunity to improve care by stimulation awareness of potential sex differences in MI presentation.

Sex Differences in Chest Pain

The reasons why women with MI experience less chest pain and are more likely to report vomiting than men are not entirely understood. Numerous studies have examined sex differences in symptom presentation of MI, but most have abstracted data from medical records or interviewed patients after MI. Recent studies^{14,23} found that women were less likely than men to experience chest pain. Men and women with MI may have different locations of obstructing lesions,^{24,25} and women have been reported to have less obstructive coronary disease at angiography.²⁶⁻²⁸ This may lead to different combinations of sympathetic and vagal stimulation, resulting in differences in the reporting of pain and vomiting.^{29,30} Also, it is well known that women with MI are older^{14,26,29} and have more co-morbid conditions such as diabetes,^{14,26,29} hypertension^{14,26,31} and heart failure,^{14,26,31} and these conditions have been associated with MI without pain.^{29,32,33}

For patients who did not report chest pain, there were no sex differences in the symptoms reported, possibly due to insufficient statistical power due to small numbers. The most common non-chest pain symptoms for both men and women were shortness of breath, a fall, or collapse, syncope or unconsciousness. These symptoms are similar to those found in a study¹² from a large multi-centre prospective trial of patients presenting to ED with suspected acute coronary syndrome but without chest pain. The two most common symptoms at presentation in this study were shortness of breath and syncope.¹²

Sex Differences in Ambulance Times

Although on-scene time and total ambulance time intervals were statistically significantly longer in women compared with men, this is unlikely to be clinically significant. Other studies analysing ambulance times have found the longest component of pre-hospital time is on-scene time.³⁴⁻³⁶

Only one study³⁵ reported sex differences in ambulance times and found women were delivered to hospital 2.3 minutes slower on average than men.

Sex Differences in Priority Allocation

For patients with chest pain, even after age adjustment, women were less likely than men to be allocated a 'priority 1' ambulance response. Other studies have found women with MI were less likely than men to be allocated an Advanced Life Support ambulance (76% vs. 92%)³⁷ or a 'priority 1' ambulance (67% vs. 81%).³⁸ As to be expected irrespective of chest pain status we have shown both men and women with MI who were not allocated a 'priority 1' ambulance response had longer median total time from emergency call to hospital. Further research is required to investigate strategies to better identify patients who do not present with classic MI symptoms, otherwise they are unlikely to be allocated to a high priority response and thus will have increased delay to definitive treatment.

On closer analysis of specific phone calls, the reason why some of the patients with chest pain were not allocated a priority 1 ambulance response was they were not experiencing chest pain at time of the emergency call. Some had experienced chest pain, they had taken sublingual nitrate, and their chest pain had resolved. Several had experienced chest pain earlier and had consulted their general practitioner, who had identified changes on the electrocardiogram or a positive test for troponin. While telephone dispatchers were instructed to ask whether the patient was experiencing chest pain at time of the call, some dispatchers allocated a priority 2 response to patients if their chest pain had resolved, contrary to existing SJA-WA policy. Even though allocating a priority 2 response was incorrect, after these 19 calls were removed from the analysis, we found no difference in 'priority 1' response between men and women. Recently, SJA-WA has introduced dispatch protocols (Medical Priority Dispatch System³⁹ – Version 12 AUE-std) with specific scripted dispatcher-asked questions, which ensures that all patients who have had chest pain are allocated a priority 1 response.

Finally, this study found a persistent underuse of emergency ambulance for the whole cohort (63%), with significantly more women (68%) than men (60%) using an ambulance. This finding is comparable to the 53% to 70% of MI patients transported by emergency ambulances in other studies.^{8,9} Also, consistent with other studies, women were more often transported by ambulance than men, possibly a reflection of the fact women tended to be older.^{9,40} Nonetheless, reasons that more than 35% of all MI patients in Perth chose not to call an ambulance is of concern and warrants further exploration. It is recommended that all patients experiencing symptoms of an MI call the emergency ambulance.^{1,2}

Limitations

The strength of our study is that it is a population-based cohort of patients with ED-confirmed MI (STEMI / non-STEMI), drawn from all public hospital EDs in the Perth metropolitan area. Whilst we are confident in sex differences found in the MI patients experiencing chest pain, some caution is required in the interpretation of sex differences in other symptoms, including vomiting. It is important to note that the ambulance dispatch officer did not attempt to elicit the full spectrum of symptoms experienced by the patient. If chest pain was mentioned, the need for a priority 1 response was established and further questioning about other symptoms was unlikely. As such, patients without chest pain were likely to report more of the other symptoms.

Also, SJA-WA had no formalised or routine call taker quality assurance program undertaken during the study period. Variations may have been caused by different subjective interpretations of the symptoms reported between dispatch operators. We also need to highlight the caller was often not a first party caller (i.e., self) and the majority of calls were from a second- or third-party caller. In three other studies,⁴¹⁻⁴³ first-party callers comprise 5 – 11%; as such, we would suggest our data is similar. The relationship of the caller to the patient and the location of the patient at the time of the emergency call were also different for male and female patients.

Another limitation is that we did not include MI patients for whom an ambulance was called but who were pronounced dead by the paramedics and not taken to an ED. Although unlikely, it is possible that such patients may have reported a different pattern of symptoms. Also, the ambulance record (n=26) and the emergency telephone call (n=198) were missing for a number of patients and could not be analyzed.

We were also unable to identify patient co-morbid conditions or location of the obstructed coronary artery that may be associated with atypical symptom presentation of MI. However, our primary research question was to determine sex differences in symptoms (particularly chest pain) during the emergency telephone call in MI patients and examine the effect of such differences on ambulance response.

Conclusion

During the emergency telephone call for an ambulance, women with an ED-confirmed diagnosis of MI were less likely than men to report chest pain as a symptom. Women with chest pain were also less likely to be allocated a priority 1 ambulance response. Overall, ambulance times did not differ between men and women, although women had a marginally longer on-scene time. Ambulance dispatch officers and paramedics need to be aware of the potential sex differences in MI presentation, in order to ensure appropriate ambulance response.

References

1. Jacobs AK, Antman EM, Faxon DP, Gregory T, Solis P. Development of Systems of Care for ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients: Executive Summary. *Circulation*. 2007;116:217-230.
2. Tubaro M, Danchin N, Goldstein P, Filippatos G, Hasin Y, Heras M, et al. Pre-hospital treatment of STEMI patients. A scientific statement of the Working Group Acute Cardiac Care of the European Society of Cardiology. *Acute Card Care*. 2011;13:56-67.
3. Brodie BR, Stuckey TD, Wall TC, Kissling G, Hansen CJ, Muncy DB, et al. Importance of time to reperfusion for 30-day and late survival and recovery of left ventricular function after primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1998;32:1312-1319.
4. Kushner FG, Hand M, Smith SC, King SB, Anderson JL, Antman EM, et al. 2009 Focused Updates: ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (Updating the 2004 Guideline and 2007 Focused Update) and ACC/AHA/SCAI Guidelines on Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (Updating the 2005 Guideline and 2007 Focused Update). *Circulation*. 2009;120:2271-2306.
5. O'Connor RE, Brady W, Brooks SC, Diercks D, Egan J, Ghaemmaghami C, et al. Part 10: Acute Coronary Syndromes. *Circulation*. 2010;122:S787-S817.
6. Aroney CN, Aylward P, Kelly A, Chew DPB, Clune E. Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes *Med J Aust*. 2006;184:S1-S30.
7. Cheskes S, Turner L, Foggett R, Huiskamp M, Popov D, Thomson S, et al. Paramedic Contact to Balloon in Less than 90 Minutes: A Successful Strategy for ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Bypass to Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in a Canadian Emergency Medical System. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2011;15:490-498.
8. Goldberg RJ, Kramer DG, Yarzebski J, Lessard D, Gore JM. Prehospital transport of patients with acute myocardial infarction: A community-wide perspective. *Heart Lung*. 2008;37:266-274.
9. Mathews R, Peterson ED, Li S, Roe MT, Glickman SW, Wiviott SD, et al. Use of Emergency Medical Service Transport Among Patients With ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. *Circulation*. 2011;124.
10. Nguyen H, Saczynski J, Gore J, Goldberg R. Age and sex differences in duration of prehospital delay in patients with acute myocardial infarction a systematic review. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010;3:82-92.
11. Herlitz J, WireklintSundstrom B, Bang A, Berglund A, Svensson L, Blomstrand C. Early identification and delay to treatment in myocardial infarction and stroke: differences and similarities. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2010;18:48.
12. Coronado BE, Pope JH, Griffith JL, Beshansky JR, Selker HP. Clinical features, triage, and outcome of patients presenting to the ED with suspected acute coronary syndromes but without pain: A multicenter study. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2004;22:568-574.

13. Canto JG, Goldberg RJ, Hand MM, Bonow RO, Sopko G, Pepine CJ, et al. Symptom presentation of women with acute coronary syndromes. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:2405-2413.
14. Coventry LL, Finn J, Bremner AP. Sex differences in symptom presentation in acute myocardial infarction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung.* 2011;40:477-491.
15. Gartner C, Walz L, Bauernschmitt E, Ladwig K. The causes of prehospital delay in myocardial infarction. *Deutsches Arzteblatt International.* 2008;105:286-91.
16. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Stats Talk WA. 2010 [cited 2011 October 7]. Available from: <http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Previousproducts/1304.5Main%20Feature%20Mar%202010?opendocument&tabname=Summary&prodno=1304.5&issue=Mar%202010&num=&view=>
17. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Population by Age and Sex, Regions of Australia 2010 [cited 2014 March 19]. Available from: <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Products/3235.0~2010~Main+Features~Western+Australia?OpenDocument>
18. St John Ambulance Western Australia. 2014 [cited 2014 March 6]. Available from: www.ambulance.net.au/
19. Western Australian Department of Health. Emergency Department Data Collection. 2007 [cited 2014 March 19]. Available from: http://www.health.wa.gov.au/healthdata/docs/EDDC_dictionary.pdf
20. National Centre for Classification in Health. The international statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, tenth revision, Australian modification (ICD-10-AM) 6th ed. Sydney: University of Sydney; 2008.
21. Hwang SY, Park EH, Shin ES, Jeong MH. Comparison of Factors Associated with Atypical Symptoms in Younger and Older Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2009;24:789-794.
22. Milner KA, Funk M, Richards S, Vaccarino V, Krumholz HM. Symptom predictors of acute coronary syndromes in younger and older patients. *Nurs Res.* 2001;50:233-241.
23. Canto JG, Rogers WJ, Goldberg RJ, Peterson ED, Wenger NK, Vaccarino V, et al. Association of Age and Sex With Myocardial Infarction Symptom Presentation and In-Hospital Mortality. *JAMA.* 2012;307:813-822.
24. Čulić V, Mirić D, Eterović D. Correlation between symptomatology and site of acute myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol.* 2001;77:163-168.
25. Lichstein E, Breitbart S, Shani J, Hollander G, Greengart A. Relationship between location of chest pain and site of coronary artery occlusion. *Am Heart J.* 1988;115:564-568.
26. Wenger N, Shaw R, Vaccarino V. Coronary heart disease in women: Update 2008. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2008;83:37-51.

27. Shaw L, Shaw R, Merz N, Brindis R, Klein L, Nallomothu B, et al. Impact of ethnicity and gender differences on angiographic coronary artery disease prevalence and in-hospital mortality in the American college of cardiology-national cardiovascular data registry. *Circulation*. 2008;117:1787-1801.
28. Nguyen P, Nag D, Wu J. Sex differences in the diagnostic evaluation of coronary artery disease. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2011;18:144-152.
29. El-Menyar A, Zubaid M, Sulaiman K, AlMahmeed W, Singh R, Alsheikh-Ali AA, et al. Atypical presentation of acute coronary syndrome: A significant independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. *J Cardiol*. 2011;57:165-171.
30. Arslanian-Engoren C, Engoren M. Physiological and anatomical bases for sex differences in pain and nausea as presenting symptoms of acute coronary syndromes. *Heart & Lung: The Journal of Acute and Critical Care*. 2010;39:386-393.
31. Vaccarino V, Parsons L, Peterson ED, Rogers WJ, Kiefe CI, Canto J. Sex Differences in Mortality After Acute Myocardial Infarction Changes From 1994 to 2006. *Arch Intern Med*. 2009;169:1767-1774.
32. Kosuge M, Kimura K, Ishikawa T, Ebina T, Hibi K, Tsukahara K, et al. Differences between men and women in terms of clinical features of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction. *Circ J*. 2006;70:222-226.
33. Canto JG, Shlipak MG, Rogers WJ, Malmgren JA, Frederick PD, Lambrew CT, et al. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, and mortality among patients with myocardial infarction presenting without chest pain. *JAMA*. 2000;283:3223 - 3229.
34. Chughtai H, Ratner D, Pozo M, Crouchman J, Niedz B, Merwin R, et al. Prehospital delay and its impact on time to treatment in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *The American journal of emergency medicine*. 2011;29:396-400.
35. Concannon T, Griffith J, Kent D, Normand S, Newhouse J, Atkins J, et al. Elapsed time in emergency medical services for patients with cardiac complaints are some patients at greater risk for delay? *Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality Outcomes*. 2009;2:9-15.
36. Grzybowski M, Zalenski R, Ross M, Bock B. A prediction model of prehospital triage of patients with suspected cardiac ischemia. *J Electrocardiol*. 2000;33:253-58.
37. Gellerstedt M, Bång A, Andréasson E, Johansson A, Herlitz J. Does sex influence the allocation of life support level by dispatchers in acute chest pain? *Am J Emerg Med*. 2010;28:922-927.
38. Herlitz J, Starke M, Hansson E, Ringvall E, Karlson B, Waagstein L. Characteristics and outcome among women and men transported by ambulance due to symptoms arousing suspicion of acute coronary syndrome. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2002;8:251-256.
39. National Academies of Emergency Dispatch. [cited 2011 December 16]. Available from: <http://www.emergencydispatch.org/ResourcesEDS>

40. Tymchak W, Armstrong PW, Westerhout CM, Sookram S, Brass N, Fu Y, et al. Mode of hospital presentation in patients with non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction: Implications for strategic management. *Am Heart J.* 2011;162:436-443.
41. Johansson I, Stromberg A, Swahn E. Ambulance use in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2004;19:5-12.
42. Neely K, Norton R, Schmidt T. The strength of specific EMS dispatcher questions for identifying patients with important clinical field findings. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2000;4:322-6.
43. Sramek M, Post W, Koster RW. Telephone triage of cardiac emergency calls by dispatchers. A prospective study. *Br Heart J.* 1994;71:440 - 445.