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Vision self-management for older adults: a randomised controlled trial

Sonya J Girdler,1,2,3,4 Duncan P Boldy,2,5 Satvinder S Dhaliwal,1,2,5 Margaret Crowley,1,3 Tanya L Packer1,3,6

ABSTRACT

Background/aims Ageing of the population will result in unprecedented numbers of older adults living with age-related vision loss (ARVL). Self-management models improve health outcomes and reduce healthcare costs; however, the principles have rarely been applied in low vision services.

Methods A two-armed randomised controlled trial of older adults (n=77) with ARVL compared ‘usual care’ provided by a not-for-profit community agency with an extended model of care (usual care + self-management group intervention). The primary outcome variable (participation in life situations) was measured using the Activity Card Sort. Secondary outcome measures examined general health and vision-specific domains.

Results The intention-to-treat analysis demonstrated that the extended model produced significantly better participation in life situations at post-test when compared with the usual care only group. Gains were made regardless of whether participants were, or were not, depressed at baseline. The addition of the self-management group was also successful in significantly reducing depression, increasing physical and mental health, generalised and domain-specific self-efficacy, and adjustment to ARVL. With the exception of adjustment and mental health, differences were still apparent at 12 weeks’ follow-up.

Conclusion Addition of self-management significantly improved general health and vision-specific rehabilitation outcomes for older adults with ARVL.

INTRODUCTION

Nearly half a million Australians have impaired vision. With prevalence trebling with each decade over age 60, this figure is projected to rise to 800,000 by 2024.1 In 2004, the direct costs were estimated at $A1.8 billion, indirect costs at $A3.2 billion and the equivalent of 6/12 or less in both eyes, (4) were diagnosed as having ARVL by an ophthalmologist, (5) had best corrected vision at the Snellen equivalent of 6/12 or less in both eyes, (4) were living in independent accommodation in the community, (5) had sufficient physical stamina, mental functioning, hearing and communication ability to attend the group intervention, and (6) were newly referred to the agency or had recontacted
agency after a significant deterioration in their vision (defined as 'need for new low vision aids'). Allocation was by random assignment via computer-generated random numbers table. Socio-demographic data and participant clinical characteristics were collected at baseline. The independent variable was intervention type (UC or UC+VSM) (table 1). For details of the needs assessment, see Girdler et al.9 and details of the intervention see Packer et al.10

### Participation

The original Activity Card Sort (ACS)11 was used to measure the primary outcome variable—participation levels. Images and descriptions of everyday activities were digitally magnified on a laptop computer. A standardised description of each image was read to participants unable to see the screen. Test—retest reliability (r=0.90) has been reported in a sample of 20 community dwelling older adults,11 and construct validity has been established in various samples of older adults.12 Current activity level as outlined in the Test Manual was calculated.

### Depression

Developed for use with people over the age of 60, the widely used 30-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) includes fewer somatic items than other scales.13 It has excellent internal consistency (α=0.94), 1-week test—retest reliability (r=0.85) and concurrent validity with the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale (r=0.83) and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (r=0.84).14

### Quality of life

Quality of life was measured with the Australia/New Zealand version of the SF-36 Health Survey (Version 1.0).15 Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS) scores were calculated16 using a customised SAS/STAT programme based on Australian normative data (mean=50, SD=10).17

### Generalised self-efficacy

Participants’ strength of belief in ability to manage a wide range of everyday problems and difficulties was measured with the Generalised Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES).18 Schwarzer18 demonstrated the unidimensionality of the scale, a high level of internal

---

**Table 1** Key features of the interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usual care</th>
<th>Usual care + vision self-management programme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ One-to-one case management model</td>
<td>'Usual care,' plus self-management:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Initial interview in client’s home by service coordinator to discuss service needs and to jointly develop service plan</td>
<td>▶ Based on local qualitative study,9 included self-management, self-efficacy and group model of service delivery theories and principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Visual assessment at low-vision clinic by optometrists and orthoptists; prescription of low-vision aids; aids provided on a trial basis.</td>
<td>▶ 8-week (24 h) structured programme of welcome and warm-up exercises, revision of homework, learning sessions (including learning and practice activities) and homework assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Referral to internal (occupational therapy, orthopty, social work, orientation and mobility training, low-vision technology) and external service providers</td>
<td>▶ Delivered in a group environment with six to 10 participants, and led by an occupational therapist and a social worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1** Flow of participants through the trial.
consistency ($\alpha=0.95$), and the absence of gender bias in mean scale scores in a sample ($n=249$) of older adults. The English version has been supported by Barlow et al., who reported a high internal consistency ($\alpha=0.88$) and test–retest reliability of 0.63.

**Adaptation**

The Adaptation to Vision Loss Scale (AVLS) is a measure of adaptation to vision loss, low visual rehabilitation (LVR) and relationships with family and friends. It has a good internal consistency ($\alpha=0.84$) and reliability ($\alpha=0.85$). It is sufficiently sensitive to record positive changes following provision of vision rehabilitation services.

**Domain-specific self-efficacy**

Vision-specific self-efficacy was measured using the Macular Degeneration Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (AMD-SEQ) adapted (with permission) to reflect generic ARVL (ARVL-SEQ). The AMD-SEQ is internally consistent ($\alpha$ ranging from 0.60 to 0.74) and reliable over 2 days ($r=0.70$ to 0.88) and 6 weeks ($r=0.59$ to 0.89). It is sufficiently sensitive to record positive change at post-test and 6 months following participation in a self-management intervention.

Using pilot data, a power calculation for analysis of variance (80% power and 5% significance) determined the need for 38 participants per group. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 12.0.1 (SPSS, Chicago). All analyses, unless otherwise stated, were conducted on an intention-to-treat basis (see figure 1). Missing data were imputed using either carry forward or mean substitution.

Baseline socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the two groups were compared using independent sample t tests for continuous variables, $\chi^2$ tests for independence and Fisher exact tests for categorical variables. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with pretest scores as covariate examined effectiveness (primary and secondary outcomes). Normality and homogeneity of variance were tested and, where necessary, data transformations performed. Effect size was measured using partial eta squared and was interpreted based on Cohen’s proposed conventional values for analysis of covariance: small $=0.10$, medium $=0.30$ and large $=0.50$. The number of participants depressed (GDS $>11$) versus not depressed in each group was compared at each data collection point using the $\chi^2$ test for independence. Statistical significance for all tests was set a priori at 0.05.

To examine the interaction between the model of service delivery and clinically significant symptoms of depression at pretest on the primary outcome measure, a two-way ANCOVA was performed. The type of intervention and depression (yes/no based on a GDS $>11$) were entered as fixed factors, pretest ACS scores as the covariate, and post-test and follow-up ACS scores as dependent variables.

**RESULTS**

Thirty-six participants were allocated to UC+VSM and 41 to UC (figure 1). Seven participants did not attend the self-management programme as allocated due to illness ($n=2$) or prior commitments ($n=5$). They were, however, included in the intent-to-treat analysis. Of those who began the VSM programme as allocated ($n=29$) attendance was high; five participants missed one session each, and one participant missed two. One participant was lost to follow-up in each group.

The random number generation model resulted in slightly different participant numbers in the two groups. There were no significant differences between groups on any measured characteristic. The mean age was 79.1 years (SD=6.7), which reflects the age-related nature of visual impairment. Also reflective of ageing, only 18% of participants reported vision impairment as their sole medical condition. The primary cause of vision impairment, age-related macular degeneration, reflects that in an Australian population. Sixty-six per cent of participants rated their health as good, very good or excellent (table 2).

Participants in the experimental group had statistically better participation levels than the control group (table 3). Over the course of the study UC+VSM participants demonstrated an increase, then maintenance of participation in life situations, while UC participants experienced gradual decline. At post-test, those in the UC+VSM demonstrated a 5% increase in participation, while those receiving UC alone experienced a 5% decline (effect size $=0.20$; differences were sustained at 12 weeks follow-up ($p<0.001$).

Secondary outcomes included general health measures (GDS, QOL and GSES) and vision-specific measures (AVLS and ARVL-SEQ). On all general health measures, the UC+VSM group demonstrated significantly better outcomes than the UC group at post-test, with differences retained at follow-up. On the GDS the adjusted pretest score (mean $=10.58$) was close to the 11 cut-off for clinically significant symptoms. In the experimental group, this dropped to 8.05 at post-test, with the 95% CI falling completely below the 11-point cut-off, both a clinically and a statistically significant improvement. In contrast, the mean score for the control group rose above the clinical cut-off (adjusted GDS mean $=11.28$). Analysis of depression as a dichotomous variable (depressed vs not depressed) revealed that at post-test, 51% of participants in the control group were depressed compared with only 36% of the UC+VSM participants. By 12 weeks’ follow-up, only 17% of the experimental group (compared with 51% of controls) were experiencing symptoms of depression ($\chi^2=0.002$, $p=0.000$).

At post-test, the UC+VSM participants also demonstrated significantly better physical and mental health (measured by the SF-36 PCS and MCS scores) and generalised self-efficacy (GSES) when compared with the control group ($p=0.019$ to 0.001).

Scores remained largely unchanged from post-test to follow-up with UC+VSM participants continuing to demonstrate better outcomes. The one exception was mental health, where a slight improvement in scores of the UC group (SF-36 MCS) resulted in the loss of significance ($p=0.102$) between the two groups. The extremely small effect size of 0.05 and a power of 36%, suggests that this was related to statistical power.

On the two vision-specific measures (AVLS and ARVL-SEQ) post-test results again demonstrated better outcomes for the UC+VSM participants ($p<0.001$) with medium effect sizes. An increase in scores of the UC group resulted in loss of significance ($p=0.058$) at follow-up.

To examine the interaction between type of service and presence of baseline depression scores, a two-way ANCOVA was performed: assumptions of normality, homogeneity of variance and reliability of measurement of the covariate were met. The independent variables were allocation (UC+VSM or usual care) and depression at pretest (depressed or non-depressed), and the dependent variable was participation in life situations (ACS) at post-test and follow-up. Baseline ACS scores were entered as a covariate. Results indicated a significant main effect for allocation at post-test ($F(1,73)=19.02$, $p<0.001$, partial eta squared $=0.21$) and at follow-up ($F(1,73)=52.79$, $p<0.001$, partial eta squared $=0.31$). Participants in the UC+VSM had significantly higher levels of participation in life situations than those who received UC only at post-test and follow-up, irrespective of
whether or not they had clinically significant symptoms of depression at pretest. No statistically significant main effect for depression was found at either time (post-test $F(1,73)=0.07$, $p=0.78$; follow-up $F(1,73)=0.05$, $p=0.83$).

**DISCUSSION**

The addition of the self-management programme to UC resulted in better general and vision-specific outcomes. With the exception of adjustment to vision loss and mental health, these
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appears to not only arrest decline but also increase participation in life situations. This finding supports the assertion that participation in life situations is modifiable.

In the field of LVR, considerable debate surrounds the influence of depression on readiness for rehabilitation. While some have argued that rehabilitation should be delayed until depression has been treated, others have asserted that rehabilitation has a key role in reducing depression. Participants in the UC + VSM group increased their participation in life situations, irrespective of whether they reported clinically significant pretest symptoms of depression. UC + VSM was effective in reducing both the symptoms of depression and the number of participants with clinically significant symptoms, whereas UC alone was not, suggesting that the model of service delivery influences outcomes more than prerehabilitation depression.

The QOL of the two groups of participants demonstrated divergent trends. At both post-test and follow-up, the UC + VSM had significantly better mood, less psychological distress, and fewer social or role limitations due to emotional problems (SF-36 Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale). The observed decline experienced by older Australians.24 Selection bias is a common concern in behavioural interventions, but this reflects the reality of behavioural interventions in clinical practice.25 Although the assessor was masked to participants’ group allocation, participants often inadvertently revealed their allocation during post-test and follow-up interviews. The quantitative self-report nature of outcomes reduced the potential bias to some extent. Finally, it is important to highlight that self-management was provided in addition to usual care. It is possible that the effectiveness of the programme lies in the interaction between these two models of service delivery.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study has important strengths. Use of UC+VSM demonstrated clinically and statistically better health outcomes than UC alone. Importantly, the demonstrated declines experienced by the UC group were not seen in the UC+VSM group who made positive improvements. The conservative intention-to-treat approach adds confidence in the evidence of effectiveness demonstrated.

The present study demonstrated not only the effectiveness, but also the feasibility of delivering self-management programmes within a real service environment. Although conducting research in an ‘in vivo’ situation posed many challenges, it demonstrated that research in this field can be relevant and rigorous.
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