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Abstract

The aim of this study is to investigate the code-switching of native speakers of Japanese in an English-speaking context. The languages involved in code-switching therefore are English and Japanese. This is an instance of communication in the participants' first language, in a setting where the speakers' second language is dominant.

The research focused on a sample of twelve Japanese people. These participants were born in Japan, and their parents' native language is Japanese. Even though the length of time each has spent in residence in an English-speaking country varies, the minimum is two years. Further, all have previously studied English, for at least six years, during the high school period in Japan, and are regular users of English.

These participants were separated into six pairs of two age groups. The first was 20-30 years, the second was 40-60 years. Their informal conversation was recorded and transcribed for analysis. Moreover, a sociolinguistic interview was carried out in order to uncover the participants' intentions or strategies, (based primarily on their own interpretations), with regard to code-switching.
Despite a large number of loan words in the Japanese language (most deriving from English), and the prestigious status which the English language holds, Japanese people within Japanese society, tend to hold a negative attitude towards code-switching in conversational circumstances. In this study, however, code-switching was found to be a significant choice in the speakers’ linguistic repertoire (in the English-speaking context) for informal interactions with their friends. Although there was some degree of constraints on the speakers’ linguistic choices, primarily attributable to a particular topic and attitudes towards code-switching, the speakers demonstrated their ability to make a linguistic choice according to their intentions/strategies.

Moreover, this study attempted to expose the linguistic features of English/Japanese code-switching. The type of code-switching which was most frequently used amongst the participants was intrasentential code-switching (which occurs within the same sentence). Specifically, singly occurring intrasentential code-switching was the most common amongst the speakers. It was found that the Japanese language played a dominant role in producing this type of code-switching. On the other hand, well-formed English phrases were also produced in intrasentential code-switching when there is a semantic/pragmatic mismatch between the two languages.
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1.1 Background to the Study

Generally, there is a negative perception amongst Japanese people with regard to code-switching in the monolingual setting. However, in the bilingual context, where, for example, there is a Japanese community residing in an English-speaking country, such attitudes have the potential to change. That is, a bilingual setting offers the speaker the opportunity to code-switch, and to display their available repertoire and communicative intent. Engaging in code-switching then may be dependent upon the speaker's linguistic repertoire, communicative intent, and language attitudes, as well as certain external factors.

In many multilingual communities, speakers sometimes introduce the alternate use of more than one language into the same conversation. In general, the speakers may be more proficient in one language than the other; the main condition which needs to be fulfilled is that the speakers must have the ability to produce monolingual utterances in either language. Consequently, these speakers are capable of switching language according to a variation in situation, such as the change of interlocutor, topic, or other major factors in the interaction. Moreover, this practice can be employed to achieve a greater sense of clarity and meaning in the conversation.
The units and locations of switches may vary widely. They may range from single word switches, to whole phrases and clauses. These switched units can be mixed with another language within a single sentence, or can take place at a clause or sentence boundary. This process is called code-switching.

Code-switching can be defined as an "alternation of linguistic varieties within the same conversation" (Myers-Scotton, 1995a, p1). Thus, code-switching can conceivably be any alternation between language varieties, including a switch between a standard variety, and non-standard variety, within the same language. In this paper, I shall deal with code-switching as the alternating use of two languages (Japanese and English) by bilingual speakers in the same sentence or conversation. In particular, this study will focus on code-switching as it is presented by Japanese-English bilinguals who have the capacity to communicate effective meaning as outlined above.

Despite the fact that many scholars have discussed the issue of the alternation between two or more languages (both within sentences and between sentences), there has been a lack of mutual agreement with regard to terminology. Terms such as 'code-switching', without hyphen 'codeswitching', 'code-mixing', 'codemixing', and sometimes 'codeshifting', have been employed by researchers in the academic literature. For instance, in Gibbons' studies (1983, 1987) of code-choice among English/Cantonese bilingual students in Hong Kong, the term
'code-mixing' is used to represent the language-mixing phenomenon in purely sociolinguistic terms. On the other hand, Bokamba (1988) articulates a more precise definition of 'code-mixing' and 'code-switching', in his investigation of the Bantu language, preferring to take a linguistic point of view. He states that 'code-mixing' is the appropriate term referring to an alternation within one sentence, whilst 'code-switching' is used to define the alternation between whole sentences. In fact, the two types of alternation are often classified by some scholars as intra-sentential codeswitching (the alternation within the single sentence), and inter-sentential codeswitching (the alternation between sentences). Since scholars do not agree on whether it is necessary to denote intra-sentential codeswitching as 'code-mixing' and inter-sentential codeswitching as 'code-switching', the terminologies can be used interchangeably (Jacobson, 1998, p52). In this study, the terms intra-sentential codeswitching, and inter-sentential codeswitching, refer to the alternation within a sentence and the alternation between sentences respectively. Moreover, 'codeshifting' can be defined, as Jacobson (1998, p52) has done, as the "kind of switch that occurs between varieties of the same language or dialects, geographic as well as social."

Furthermore, there has been much debate, and controversy, surrounding the distinguishing of 'code-switching' from 'borrowing'. However, my analysis will follow Myers-Scotton's (1995a, 1997) definitions of borrowing. 'Borrowing' can be classified into two types. They are core borrowing and cultural
borrowing. Core borrowed lexemes are understood as "concepts or objects already covered by the recipient language" (Myers-Scotton, 1997, p228). Core borrowing emerges from the code switching process. The borrowed elements begin their life as code switched items, and over a period at time, they evolve from being codeswitched forms to being established core borrowings. Cultural borrowings, on the other hand, do not begin as code switched items. Rather, they enter the recipient language abruptly, filling the lexical gap where there is no equivalent. The identification of 'code-switching' and 'borrowing' was determined in this study through an interview by the researcher, based on the transcription of each participant's conversation. This was done because each individual has a different repertoire of core borrowings.

Studies involving code-switching have been undertaken amongst various pairs of languages in the field of linguistics. Much of this research has been carried out on languages belonging to the Indo-European family. For example, Spanish/English bilinguals have been studied, particularly in the United States (e.g. Poplack 1980, 1988, Woolford 1983, and Jacobson 1990). Code-switching between Finnish and English has been studied by Poplack (1990). Alsatian/French amongst migrants (Bokamba, 1987), and Dutch/French speakers of different ages in Brussels (Treffers-Daller, 1992). Heller (1988a) has studied English/French bilinguals in both Montreal and Toronto. Italian migrants in French speaking and German speaking Switzerland,
have also been studied by Serra (1998) and Franceschini (1998) respectively.

Others have focused on code-switching between African and European languages. For instance, Blommaert (1992) studied Swahili/English code-switching. Swigart (1992) studied code-switching between Wolof and French in Senegal. Myers-Scotton (e.g. 1995a) has studied English/Shona and English/Swahili code-switching in Africa. Moreover, code-switching between Indian languages, and between Indian languages and English has been investigated (Kachru 1978, Naval 1989, and Pandit 1990).

Recently, researchers have also carried out studies on non-Indo-European languages, such as Turkish/German (Treffers-Daller 1998, Boeschoten 1998), Turkish/Danish (Jorgensen, 1998), and Hebrew/English (Maschler, 1998). Pilipino and English has also been studied by Marasigan (1983), Pascasio (1984) and Bautista (1991). Researchers such as Jacobson (1998) and Pandharipande (1998) have done contrastive studies in code-switching. In their studies, they employed two language pairs - Spanish/English and Marathi/Sanskrit (two genetically closer languages), and Malay/English and Marathi/English (both being distant languages). Code-switching between Japanese and English, a language pair which is regarded as being genetically distant, has also been studied by Nishimura (e.g. 1995, 1997) and Azuma (1996).
1.2 Significance of the Study

This study has three areas of primary significance: to investigate specifically the code-switching of native speakers of Japanese in the context of an English-speaking country; to find the relationship between code-switching behaviour, that is the way a speaker actually uses codeswitching in the course of conversation, and the attitude they hold toward code-switching; and to bring together the pragmatic and linguistic perspectives in order to give fuller account of the code-switching phenomenon.

The study of Japanese/English code-switching has previously been undertaken by some researchers (e.g. Nishimura, 1995, 1997; Azuma, 1996). Nishimura's studies have dealt with code-switching among second generation Japanese people living in Toronto, Canada. In her studies, three bilingual patterns (the 'basically Japanese' variety, the 'basically English' variety, the 'mixed' variety) have been found. These result from the language choices which the speakers make, depending upon their interlocutor(s). Her study (1995) has demonstrated the function of code-switched elements as presented by her subjects, within in-group speech, based on the three bilingual varieties. Nishimura's later study (1997) was centred more on linguistic aspects, and showed freely mixed Japanese and English elements between sentences, as well as within a single sentence, on the basis of the 'mixed' variety. These investigations, however, ignore the significance of the study of Japanese/English code-switching among native speakers of Japanese.
On the other hand, Azuma (1996) dealt with Japanese people speaking English in the U.S., in his elicitation experiment which focused on 'constraint-by-constraint' processing. His subjects were initially asked to speak on a given topic. Then, when they heard a tone (given at intervals between 8 and 12 seconds) they were directed to switch languages immediately. He claimed that his study presented evidence to prove that Japanese/English code-switching occurs at the word level. Therefore, switches take place between constituents. However, these findings have to be interpreted in light of the fact that the data did not derive from a social or natural setting. This current research sets out to study the switching of languages in a natural and informal situation.

Other studies have concentrated on code-switching among Asian bilinguals (e.g. Milroy and Li Wei, 1995; Li Wei, 1995; Yoon, 1996). For example, Li Wei (1995) studied variations in patterns of language choice and code-switching among Chinese/English speakers (including both first and second generation) from three different groups within the Tyneside Chinese community in Britain. He found that particular patterns exist based on social norms and values which cut across the three groups. That is, the speakers of a given network developed particular patterns of code choice or code-switching. Li Wei's study identified the social norms of the community to which the speakers belong as a determinant of code-switching. Li Wei's study however does not take into account the fact that
individual speakers themselves can also step outside of the 'normative' linguistic choice. In other words, the individual's strategies or intentions in making choices has not been considered.

Unlike Li Wei's study, Yoon's (1996) research explored the function of code choice in specific situations among Korean-English bilinguals who are first generation and American-born. He examined speakers' code choice, and code-switching, in accordance with the type of social relationship which existed between the interlocutors. The study revealed that the more distant the relationship, the more likely it is that the speakers will choose either to use English, or employ a higher frequency of code-switching. He concluded that in the case of Korean-English bilinguals, code choice and code-switching operates to indicate social relationships. Nonetheless, it seems that Yoon's study has only emphasised, on the whole, the discourse mode, and gives little attention to the most significant component in the study of code-switching at the micro level, that is, individual switches.

This present study takes into account some of the limitations evident in these investigations. Firstly, these all deal with either social or linguistic constraints. They do not take into account the fact that the speakers maintain the ability to make their own individual linguistic choices, despite the speech communities' normative social constraints. This study attempts to account for when (in terms of social factors), and why (in
terms of strategies and intentions) native speakers of Japanese engage in code-switching within a particular - that is English-speaking - language context. Furthermore, none of these studies take into account the individual speakers' attitudes toward code-switching. A second feature of the above studies is that they have all focused upon the much more established either first or second-generation migrant communities. This present study however differs, in that the subjects include exclusively first generation and temporary resident native speakers of Japanese.

'Linguistic choice' or 'code choice' is determined to some extent by the social context as well as the speakers' own choice. 'Linguistic choice' or 'code choice' refers to selections at all linguistic levels, among available alternates. For instance, the selection of one language rather than another, one dialect over another, and one style or register over another (Myers-Scotton, 1997, p176). In the linguistic choice process, two major factors are involved - these are external and internal. External factors include such things as the speaker's social identity (for example, age, sex, socioeconomic status etc), as well as certain features which play a role in shaping the general conversation (setting, topic etc). External factors themselves do not determine the speaker's choice, however these social elements do set the opportunity for the speaker to utilise their own available repertoire. In contrast, the internal factors can be understood as comprising the speaker's social norms, which govern
permissible linguistic choices. However, at the same time the speakers come to make their own choices as a means to achieve their goals (Myers-Scotton 1998a, p30).

The initial stage in the present research, therefore, focuses on the 'external factors', in an effort to explore certain aspects of Japanese/English code-switching, such as its frequency in accordance with certain components (i.e., topic and the gender of the interlocutors). The second stage of the research involves investigating each individual's communicative intent/strategies, and their attitudes towards both Japanese and English, and code-switching. This should reveal any relationships which exist between these factors, the units and locations of switches, and the functions that code-switching performs among Japanese/English bilinguals.

In the past, researchers have primarily focused on either one or the other aspect of code-switching. That is, through the sociolinguistic approach, or the linguistic approach. However, most of the recent studies, which have been carried out (e.g. Boeschoten 1998, Haust and Dittmar 1998, Rindler Schjerve 1998), have seen the value in combining the two approaches. Romaine (1995, p177), for example, argues that "Further research on code-switching must try to bring together the linguistic and pragmatic perspectives". This is because the character of code-switching is determined by two factors. Firstly, different speech communities display unique patterns of code-switching which are shaped by specific social forces.
Second, these patterns are constrained by certain grammatical limitations (Myers-Scotton 1998b, p91). These two aspects interact to produce variation within code-switching. This present research also conforms to this current theoretical trend, and attempts to apply it to the study of Japanese/English code-switching.

1.3 Purpose and Research Questions of the Study

Two factors are taken as primary constraints for the occurrence for code-switching in this sample. First are the social conditions, that is, the topic of conversation, and the gender of the interlocutors. The second factor that is taken into account is the attitude toward the practice of code-switching that each individual holds. Moreover, this study brings together the pragmatic and linguistic perspectives, in order to give a fuller account of the code-switching phenomenon. This research therefore considers the structural principles exhibited in code-switching in the community being studied. An examination of the linguistic form of the matrix language frames and the embedded language switches derived from this study's data provides support for the hypotheses put forward by Myers-Scotton's Matrix Language Frame model.

This study addresses, in respect to Japanese native speakers conversing in Japanese in an English-speaking social setting, the following questions:
1. Is the presence, or absence, of code-switching, or its frequency of occurrence, subject to variation related to certain components of the speech event (i.e., topic and the gender of the interlocutor)?

2. What communicative functions does code-switching accomplish in the course of the interactions?

3. In what ways does the occurrence, or non-occurrence, of code-switching relate to
   a) The speaker’s attitudes towards both Japanese, English, and code-switching,
   b) The speaker’s recalled communicative intent/strategies?

4. What linguistic features characterise switched items and the environments in which they are embedded?
This chapter concerns itself solely with the Japanese language and bilingualism (in particular, the impact of English, and the attitudes towards its incorporation into the contemporary Japanese language) within Japanese society alone. Of course these attitudes do not necessarily represent those held by the twelve participants taking part in this study, however this summary will provide information with regard to the attitudes and values that these participants would all probably have been socialised into.

2.1 Japan as a Monolingual Country

Japan is thought to be both culturally and linguistically homogeneous (Maher 1995a, Maher and Kawanishi 1995). It could be said that even though significant ethnic minorities exist in Japanese societies, the Japanese language shows almost complete dominance over the entire population. Japan also has a literacy rate coming close to 100% (Maher and Yashiro, 1995, p3). With a minimum of opportunity for language contact, it is clear that societal bilingualism does not exist to any significant degree. The relatively small number of non-Japanese can be calculated, according to Fremy and Fremy (1993, p1025), at - Korean 0.64%, Chinese 0.14%, and others (e.g. Brazilians 0.05, Filipinos 0.05). The majority of those mentioned above are ethnically Korean, yet have lived in Japan for generations, and speak
Japanese as their first language. On the basis of these facts then, the conclusion could be drawn that Japan is overtly homogeneous in cultural and linguistic terms, the common phrase 'one race, one language' has thus been coined to express the supposed 'purity' of Japanese society.

2.2 Japan's Historical Multilingualism

Nevertheless, this has not always been the case. An analysis of Japan's historical experience would soon uncover, especially prior to the most intense periods of modernisation, a former linguistically multi-structured society. Maher (1995a, p104) explains that the earliest recorded existence of this can be found in the introduction of the Chinese writing system and Chinese literature. This enriched the Japanese vocabulary. Until that time, Japanese did not have a written form (about the 4th century) and therefore, Chinese characters (called kanji in Japanese) were used to write the language. Chinese was not only used in official documentation, but also creative literature and religious texts. By the 9th century two syllabaries, Katakana and Hiragana were developed from them. Since then, a combination of kanji and kana has been used for written Japanese. Appropriating Chinese elements, and then incorporating them into the Japanese languages has created the diglossic phenomenon which can be observed even today. That is a relatively stable language situation (Ferguson, 1959) in a society which has two functionally separate language codes. One code, that is kanji, is used in one set of circumstances. It carries greater social prestige, is a highly codified, more
complex, and therefore is usually the vehicle of written literature. Kanji operates in formal writing (the High), and is the language of ordinary conversation (a Low) (Maher, 1995b, p128). The Japanese government in 1948 on the recommendation of its council of National Language legislated on the number of characters deemed necessary for a basic vocabulary was reduced to about 2,000. And the same time the writing of some of the characters was simplified (Honna, 1995, P56). Today however, in some 3,000 to 5,000 kanji (Chinese characters) are still used in formal writing.

**Dialects**

Although Japan is considered to be a monolingual society as described above, Japan is extremely rich in dialectal variations since it geographically consists of numerous islands. There is a lack of agreement amongst scholars in terms of the division of dialects, however two major dialects are recognised. These are the Hondo (mainland) dialects, and the Ryukyuan dialects. The mainland dialects are divided into the Western dialect group and the Eastern dialect group (Shibatani, 1990, p185). These dialects, in general, form a linguistic barrier, so that it is difficult for speakers of each to understand one another. An example of this can be found in the speakers of the Kagoshima dialect, who occupy the southern island of Kyushu. The speakers of this dialect would have great difficulty in communicating with the majority of those who inhabit the main islands of Honshu. Similarly, those people who live in and around Tokyo would find the northern dialect
speakers from places such as Aomori and Akita quite unintelligible.

Standard Language and Common Language

The idea of a unifying, common language resulted from Japan's transformation toward a nation state during the period of the Meiji restoration (1866-1867). The intensity of the national drive toward cultural and linguistic homogeneity was displayed in the drive to establish 'standard' Japanese (the hyoojun-go). It was based on the dialect which was spoken in Tokyo, the place to which belonged both bureaucratic and political power (Shibatani, 1990, p186).

The need for a standard form of Japanese also came about as a response to the introduction of compulsory education and the accompanying requirement of associated Japanese language textbooks. It was in this context, that is, the enforced adoption of a standard Tokyo dialect in the name of educational reform, that speakers of peripheral dialects were made to subordinate their own language. This in turn cultivated within them what could be termed an 'inferiority complex'. One particular incident that illustrates this is the use of the 'hoogen huda' or 'dialect tag' which, for those unfortunate enough to use their own particularistic dialectal variation, was forcibly made to wear around neck. This policy was carried on until end of the Second World War (Shibatani, 1990, p186).
This, as it turned out rather obscure and ambiguous attempt at language unification was superseded after the war by an alternative concept, that of Kyootsuu-go or the 'common language'. Kyootsuu-go differs from the former standard language in that it came to incorporate elements from the peripheral dialects. Although it is heavily influenced by the former Tokyo dialect, it integrates dialect features such as accentual elements. The result is that Kyootsuu-go displays a wide variety of linguistic characteristics which are shared by many speakers of the different dialects within Japan (Shibatani, 1990, p187).

In the sphere of education in Japan today, efforts are still being directed at maintaining, or at least accommodating, a balanced view towards, dialectal variation. Even though the Tokyo dialect holds some form of dominance in the preparation of texts, many of these books still highlight the fact that dialects are being employed in various domains and situations of everyday life. The notion then of bilingualism is being retained in current educational policy. However, through high rates mobility, and such things as the mass media, the promises of Kyootsuu-go, in terms of the retention of its recognisable dialectal characteristics, are being eroded (Shibatani, 1990, p187). There is a flattening-out of distinct variations. This means that there is, in reality, a move towards the linguistic goals of modern Japan's founding fathers.
This process is also reflected in the case of the Ainu people. Ainu is an indigenous language to Japan and has been in contact with the Japanese language since the 8th century. The Ainu's settlement in Hokkaido (the north island) is almost immediately recognisable due to the distinct place names, deriving from the Ainu language, which can be found in the area. It is thought that the Ainu formerly lived on all four major Japanese islands, but were pushed northward over the centuries by the Japanese. The traditional Ainu are practically extinct because of intermarriage with and cultural assimilation by, the Japanese since the Meiji period (1868). The Ainu language therefore is steadily declining in use and is heading toward extinction (Hattori in Shibatani, 1990, p3). It no longer is being used as a regular, daily means of communication, and is perhaps only understood by a handful of people of advanced age. In fact, there are very few Ainu speakers, (N=30) among 15,000 Ainu (Loveday 1986), most of them being over 80 years old (Maher 1991).

Of the minorities existing within Japanese society, the most significant is the Koreans. The first groups of Koreans were either brought to Japan as a source of labour during Japanese occupation of 1910-1945 (reaching peak numbers, approximately 2.5 million, of the end of World War 2), or were forced to leave Korea to escape grinding poverty (Yamamoto 1991, Maher and Kawanishi 1995). These Koreans and their dependants now number almost one million. Approximately two-thirds of this number were born in Japan and are legally unable to gain
Japanese citizenship (Raik 1991 cited in Maher and Kawanishi 1995), despite the fact that even seventy percent of these are married to Japanese nationals. It was not until 1985 that it became legal for a child born to a Japanese woman and a foreign man to hold Japanese citizenship if they used a Japanese name for their family register. The Japanese language is becoming dominant amongst these second and third generation Koreans.

The second largest group of foreigners, Chinese residents in Japan, are referred to as 'zainichi Chugokujin' (Chinese residents in Japan). According to Maher (1995b, p127) they are found throughout Japan, especially in the urban centres of Tokyo-Yokohama, the Kansai region, and parts of southern Kyushu. There are in fact several bilingual (Japanese-Chinese) schools which serve this community. A number of these schools (called Chuka Gakko) are located in the traditional Chinese communities of Yokohama, Tokyo, Kobe, and Nagasaki. The language of instruction in these schools is either Chinese or the Japanese medium or both. Maher (1995b, p132) has reported that in Tokyo, most of students who attended the Chinese schools were fourth and fifth generation, and came from non Chinese-speaking homes. Therefore, these students learn Chinese as a second language. Moreover, there are many cases where Chinese students of primary school age attend ordinary Japanese elementary schools. Shin's (1991) case study shows that the third year primary school child, who was of second generation Chinese descent, gradually became more proficient in the Japanese language, rather than the Chinese language, even
though she was from a Chinese-speaking home. Maher (1995b, P137) points out that there is a growing desire to advance educationally in the Japanese secondary and higher education system amongst ethnic Chinese (proficiency in the Japanese language is a requirement of this) along with a desire to retain some knowledge of the Chinese language.

**Borrowing**

Despite the borrowing of a large number of lexical items from China in this period of integration, other Asian languages, such as Ainu and Korean, have had an extremely limited influence. This is due to the fact that, in terms of language attitudes taken by the Japanese people, both the Ainu and Korean languages hold a very low status. As a result, Ainu loan words are limited to a few names, and only a handful of loan words were borrowed from Korea before the 8th century (Loveday, 1986). Korean scholars have historically played an important role in bringing the Chinese language and culture to Japan in the early 5th century. However, in the sociocultural context described above, when Koreans were brought as a labour source in the first half of the 20th century, their language was not highly valued in cultural terms, and this has prevented much borrowing from Korean language.

The first contact with European languages began in 1543, when a Portuguese merchant ship arrived on Kyushu island. The Portuguese introduced into Japan not only Christianity, but also Western words, both the Spanish and Dutch followed. Since
Japan was closed to the outside world except for Dutch merchants in Kyushu (1640-1854), only limited loan words were used in the areas of trade at that time. Since at the time of the Meiji restoration, Dutch has been replaced as the major language of foreign learning. In its place, have come English, German, and French. In the period after World War II however, most foreign loans were drawn from America. Overall, since the Meiji period, English loan words have had the most influence as incorporations into the Japanese language (Shibatani, 1990, p148).

The Japanese lexicon therefore contains an extremely large number of loan words. According to Shibatani (1990, p142) the Japanese lexicon is identified with regards to three groups. They are Wago 'Japanese words' or Yamato-kotoba 'Yamato words' which refer to the groupings of the native vocabulary, and Kango 'Chinese words', loan words of Chinese origin (hereafter called Sino-Japanese words). All other loan words are taken from European languages and Korean and Southeast Asian languages, and are collectively known as gairaigo 'foreign words' (lit. 'foreign coming words'). Recently however, it seems that more and more English words are beginning to be absorbed into the Japanese language.

The incorporation of Sino-Japanese and foreign loan words has led to a large number of synonymous expressions. In other words, the Japanese language has incorporated equivalent words, which were already present in the language. According to
Shibatani (1990, p144) however, these synonymous words possess a different range of meanings and stylistic values. For example, native words carry, in general, a broader meaning whilst the Sino-Japanese equivalent word covers a more specific meaning. The Sino-Japanese terms carry with them a degree of formality which is not recognizable in their indigenous Japanese counterparts. Therefore, they usually designate higher quality entities (ie. ideas or objects) in comparison to that which is identified by the Japanese. Unlike the native language and Sino-Japanese however, the remaining foreign words are considered to convey modern and fashionable impressions.

Shibatani (1990, p144) argues that the characteristics of the Japanese grammar system have allowed for the ready acceptance of loan words. There are two major characteristics: first, Japanese does not mark gender, person, or number on nouns; and second, cases are indicated by separate particles. Therefore, a loan word can simply be embedded into any place in which a native nominal might appear, without morphological readjustment. Since most of the loan words are nouns, the verb 'suru', which has the general meaning 'do' is utilised to transform loan words to verbs. This suffixing Japanese verb '-suru' is simply attached to the nominal forms of loan words (Motowani 1991, p viii). For example, the Sino-Japanese word 'unten' ('drive') is verbified 'unten-suru' ('to drive'). Similarly, the English loan 'doraibu' ('drive') is verbified 'doraibu-suru' ('to drive'). In addition to this, the ending 'da' is added to the adjectives of the loan words, becoming
then adjective nominals. The English loan word 'riaru', for instance, links the '-da' ending in to the predicative function as 'riaru-da' ('it is real'). The ending '-na' is taken in the attributive function as in 'riaru-na'('real'). Both '-da' and '-na' may be substituted by the adverbial ending '-ni' to make the adverbial form 'riaru-ni'. Thus, the lack of nominal inflections, as well as the presence of a syllabary writing system, has lead to a further increase in loan words being drawn into the Japanese language (Shibatani, 1990, p144).

The presence of a syllabary writing system also assists in the borrowing of foreign words. One of these syllabaries 'katakana', is employed to adapt into Japanese, at the phonetic level, foreign words. In such cases, the original pronunciation is mostly changed. This is due to a characteristic of the syllabic structure of Japanese which is made up the basic pattern of C V (consonant/vowel). For example, the English loan word 'test' is converted into Japanese 'te su to'. When it is pronounced, each syllable would be of the same length. Some English sounds, which do not exist in the Japanese language, have their own special ways of being written such as 'fa', 'ti', 'vo' (Quackenbush, 1995, p18). Many loan words also dismiss their semantic features and structure, although others retain their originality. Some types of borrowing patterns have been illustrated by Japanese linguists (Honna 1995, Iwabuchi 1993, Shibata 1993, Maruya 1993, Suzuki 1993, Ui 1985). One type is characterised by a shift or narrowing of meaning. Honna (1995, p47), for example, notes that English words which are
absorbed into the Japanese language tend to have a reduced scope of reference: for example, 'car' (automobile), 'essay' (short literary composition), 'image' (mental representation of a thing), 'loose' (lacking behavioural), 'stove' (room warmer) or 'veteran' (expert). Shibata (1993, p21) points out that English loans are often reconstructed in a Japanese manner. These loans retain their original structure however, in the semantic context they develop into a Japanese form. For instance, 'woman lib' (women's lib), 'image up' (improvement of image), 'front glass' (windshield), 'speed down' (slow down), 'line dance' (chorus line), and so on. Suzuki (1993, p97) explains that in the case of some English words, certain grammatical function are erased such as the suffix '-ed', '-ing' and '-s'. These are some examples: 'smoke ham' (smoked ham), 'mash potato' (mashed potato), 'happy end' (happy ending), 'fry pan' (frying pan), 'sunglass' (sunglasses), and 'slipper' (slippers).

2.3 Attitude Towards English Loan Words

Within linguistic scholarship there is a vibrant debate, often carried out in books and journals, regarding the influx of foreign words into the Japanese language. According to Honna (1995, p45), 10% of the words which are found in the lexicon of the standard Japanese dictionary derive from, or can be classified as, loan words, most deriving from English. In addition to this, if we were to analyse the annually revised dictionaries of neologisms, we would find that between 60 to 70% of new words have been taken from the English language.
Furthermore, 13% of the words ordinary people use in daily conversation are foreign words. Suzuki (1993) argues that there is a causal relationship between the influx of foreign words and the growing diversity and individualism within Japanese society. Many English loans seem to have been adapted not for the purpose of linguistic efficiency, in order to better convey meaning, but rather for opportunistic reasons. This creates clear social and linguistic divisions, according to such factors as age and occupation (Suzuki, 1993, p81).

However, in a survey conducted by the Japan Times, (cited in Koscielecki, 1994, p122) it was shown that people, especially those living within a 50km radius of Tokyo, most readily accepted foreign words. Of the 2004 people surveyed, most claimed that foreign words were beneficial because they created new images. A further 33% said that such loan words had the ability to introduce more subtle nuances of meaning than could be obtained by use of the Japanese language equivalents.

2.4 Status of English
One of the reasons why English words figure so prominently as loans in Japanese derives from the status of English as one of the most prestigious disciplines in the educational system. In Japan, foreign language study is mandatory, beginning in middle school (7th to 9th grade) in the national curriculum mandated by the Ministry of Education for every public elementary, middle and high school. Although Japanese compulsory education finishes at middle school, (Junior high school), most of these
graduates continue on to high school. For instance, the Ministry of Education in 1994 (cited in Yamamoto, 1995, p66) revealed that 96.2% of all junior high school graduates enrolled in senior school. At both middle school, and senior high school, the study of the English language is built into the school's program even though no special language is required in the national curriculum. That is, most Japanese people end up studying English for at least six years, and spend a huge amount of time on it, since English is an important subject to pass in the entrance examinations for higher education. Moreover, the two standard tests, TOEIC (Test of English for International Communication) and STEP (Standard Test of English Proficiency) developed by the Japanese Ministry of International Trade, and supported by the Ministry of Education respectively, have attracted huge numbers of takers; 600,000 during the period of 1979 to 1994. More than 1,310,000 took the STEP test in 1994 (Honna, 1995, p57).

Yamamoto's study (1995) demonstrates the prestigious status that the English language holds amongst Japanese students. A small survey (a class of 44 college engineering students) was conducted to obtain the students' responses to the word 'bilingual'. The students were asked to categorise their own response as positive, negative or neutral. Of the 155 resulting 'images', 54.8% (n=85) were rated as positive images, illustrated by such descriptions as 'international', 'fluent in English', 'cool', 'smart', and 'elite'. In contrast to this, the negative responses were 'too much self-esteem', 'cold',
'affected', and not proficient in Japanese/native languages. The second question was on definition of 'bilingual ability', and finally the students were asked to describe what language(s) they had assumed when they answered all previous questions in terms of bilingualism. Although the researcher had not specified any particular language, the majority of students (84.1%) answered 'Japanese and English' (Yamamoto, 1995, p67).

2.5 Bilingualism in Japan

These language surveys support the contention that English enjoys a preferred status. Yamamoto (1995) has conducted studies regarding the pattern of language use in Japanese/English bilingual families who reside in Japan. Her survey shows a positive attitude toward bilingualism amongst the majority of international families. That is, parents highly evaluate the practical value of bilingualism citing such advantage as: sound personality development, intercultural understanding and cognitive development. According to Yamamoto (1995, p74), of 45 families, 92% answered that they actively were trying to promote a bilingual environment. Moreover, 82% of the cases indicated that either one spouse, or both, used two languages to their children at home.

Nevertheless, the parents' language use alone does not provide a sufficient condition to prompt bilingualism, although it might to some extent aid in building a level of bilingual proficiency in these children. In fact, many international families encounter two major problems. First since children
learn how to write and read only in Japanese (and not English) at school, the responsibility for instructing children in English is left to the parent in the confines of the home. Secondly, bilingual children are often excluded by Japanese children. This is due primarily to their distinct physical appearance, their bilingualism contrasting behaviour, cultural and personal (Yamamoto 1995, p82). A case study conducted by Maher and Yuasa (1991) illustrates that an 8year child, who has a Japanese mother and American father, reports that she was treated as 'gaijin' (foreigner) because of her appearance and bilingualism. This experience heavily influenced the child's sense of identity and bilingual ability. Maher, et al. reported that in an attempt to not be excluded by Japanese children, the child often tried to minimise her distinctiveness, and therefore she was unwilling to develop her English proficiency. Yamamoto reported that only 3.4% of a total of 742,264 marriages, which were registered in 1991, were between Japanese and non-Japanese. Because there is only a small number of non-Japanese living in Japan, intermarriage is not so common. This condition would contribute to, or account for, the lack of concerns for maintaining minority languages. Children born into an international family are thus considered deviations from the norm, and often encounter rejection from society.

Returnees are another linguistic minority. These include Japanese school age children who have returned from more than a year of living abroad. Although this category of children are not differentiated ethnically from mainstream Japanese, they do
hold a sort of minority status, both linguistically and culturally. Yashiro (1995b, p140) reported that 31,466 children, who are between primary to senior high school age, returned to Japan in 1992. She, however, states that the number should in fact be much larger than this, as The Ministry of Education in Japan defines returnees as those who have lived overseas for more than one, but less than three years. They are then eligible to return to primary or middle schools. Many Japanese children overseas attend international schools or host country schools, and they usually become bilinguals. After returning to Japan, these children are, in general, accepted into regular classes in Ukeireko (schools with a special quota for accepting returnees) or regular schools (public schools). Since most public schools plan an emphasis on readjustment back into Japanese life, they have no official program to maintain the bilingual abilities of returnees. Especially, in public primary schools, the returnees' non-Japanese language receives practically no recognition. The national language remains as the most important language at the primary level (Yashiro, 1995a, p232).

Yashiro (1995b) states that although some Ukeiriko have started positively dealing with foreign language maintenance (e.g. set up extra-curricular activities), they are facing some problems such as: a lack of qualified instructors, and adequate teaching materials and financial support. Therefore, returnees who want to maintain their bilingual ability, often have to rely on the activities outside of school, such as: attending private
language schools, studying with audio-visual materials, tuning in to foreign language programs on television, and subscribing to foreign magazines and journals. Yashiro (1995b, p162) claims that despite returnees' desire to retain both the language they have and cultural contacts they have gained in their former host country, they find themselves felt to their own devices to achieve this.

In addition there are more returnees outside of the category described above. For example, university age returnees and adult returnees. Yashiro (1995b) explains that Japanese people who have attained overseas university degrees are advantaged in that there are special conditions for their admission to certain prestigious national and private university in Japan. Similarly, those with overseas university qualifications find themselves in a better position to gain employment in large Japanese corporations. Adult returnees are professionals who have worked abroad for either companies or organisations, including: Japanese companies, foreign companies, government organisations, international organisations or academic organisations.

The types of attitudes and approaches to non-standard Japanese languages which exist within Japanese society have been classified by Yashiro (1995a). He employs the terms which have originated from Ruiz (1984). These are: a language-as-problem orientation; a language-as-right orientation; and a language-as-resource orientation. These three language planning
orientations are thought to be influential in providing the conceptual background which inform alternative language policies. The language-as-problem orientation is based on the belief that the power and efficiency of government is dependent upon one standard national language. In other words, this attitude is rooted in the thinking one language for one nation, therefore, corpus planning emphasises the dominance of one standard language to the neglect of minority languages. The attitudes of the Ministry of Education and many educational boards throughout Japan towards Ainu language revival programs, and both Korean and returnee bilingualism, is based on this orientation. The language-as-right orientation implies that speech communities, whose first language is not Japanese, are legitimately able to carry out their affairs using their own first language. From the perspective of this orientation, status recognises the right of minority communities have the right to retain their languages. In terms of corpus planning this means that bilingualism is encouraged through maintenance programs and the minority language is not replaced by the national language. However, in reality, Japan is a long way behind in recognising minority language rights. According to Yashiro (1995b, p239), in terms of returnees’ bilingualism, maintenance of minority languages heavily relies on private organisations such as Ukeireko. The language-as-resource orientation, on the other hand, originates from the idea that each language has its own value, and exists as the most efficient mode of communication within each of those communities. Yet regardless how judicious it may appear it does
not mean that the public school and the Ministry of Education do not experience difficulties in coping with the developing and changing need of the society and its people. The bilinguals themselves and businesses which regard them as valuable resources recognise that the dominant language cannot be justified as a means of social control even from an administrative or economic viewpoint.

In the not too distant future however the potential for change seems likely. Returnees, whether they be university graduates or professional people with their families, bring with them to Japan a greater diversity in language. They also share a sense of marginalisation, of difference from the rest of Japanese society. This in turn may nurture a more sympathetic understanding of the experiences of minority language groups. The difference is that such returnees often come to occupy positions of influence and power, and in many cases they are likely to have the ability to shape corpus planning to some degree. In other words, they would probably be more open to seeing Japan as an optimistically multilingual society.
3.1 Review of Literature

The sociolinguistic studies which have been carried out on code-switching have, in general, been divided into two primary categories. Firstly, from the social/pragmatic perspective, and secondly, from the linguistic perspective. The studies which have focused on the social/pragmatic aspect of code-switching have attempted to uncover how and when code-switching is used, and the social meanings of code-switching. At the same time however, more and more researchers have been attracted to the linguistic aspects of code-switching. This concerns the interaction between two grammatical systems occurring within a single sentence. The primary aim of this type of study is to formulate grammatical models in order to account for the linguistic constraints on code-switching, using socially situated naturalistic conditions. Additionally, this present study takes into account the concept of attitude toward code-switching. Research in this area has been limited, however a few studies have been conducted (e.g. Gibbons, 1983, Hidalgo, 1986).

3.1.1 Social/Pragmatic Aspect of Code-switching

External Factors and Code-switching

Early studies on code-switching have attempted to account for the reasons why, and in what contexts, speakers engage in code-
switching. Blom and Gumperz (1972), for example have studied language choice between standard Norwegian (Bokmål) in Norway, and the local dialect (Ranamål) of a particular area in Northern Norway. Their research found that there is a sharp change in code use which is determined by social settings, interlocutors, and particular topics. For instance, Bokmål is used in the schools and churches, and Ranamål is used in local conversation. They also observed an occurrence of code-switching between small groups of speakers in this study. In fact, Blom and Gumperz then have suggested two distinct types of code-switching - 'situational code-switching' (as has been above) and 'metaphorical code-switching'. Situational code-switching involves a shift of topic or participants, whereas metaphorical code-switching, a concept later developed by Gumperz (1982) as 'conversational code-switching', involves only a shift of emphasis.

Similarly, Sankoff (1972) explains that code choice is clearly predicted in accordance with three factors: the participants, the setting, and the topic. In his study of language choice among the Buang of Papua New Guinea, speakers presented their code choice (either Buang, Yabem, or Neo-Melanesian) and code-switched (between Buang and Neo-Melanesian) in accordance with their interlocutors (Buang vs. non-Buang), the setting (formal vs. informal), and the topic (e.g. religion and business). These studies view code-switching as one code choice in a speaker's linguistic repertoire, and illustrate the
relationship that exists between certain external factors, and
the speaker's choice in their use of language.

McConvell (1985, pp95-97) maintains that code-switching can be
determined by cultural elements. He explains that code-
switching may occur when great cultural differences exist
between languages. In other words, particular concepts in one
language, which are based on cultural factors, may lead to the
occurrence of code-switching. McConvell argues that cultural
elements act as a 'trigger' for code-switching. Gale (1993,
pl3) highlights this type of code-switching in the analysis of
Yolngu Matha and English in a church setting in North East
Arnhem Land. She explains that in the church service, the
culturally foreign concepts of later on in the century and sin
may trigger the switch to English. As in this example
"Christians dhu mala, later on in the century, or later on
ngunhi...", and "Ngunhi nhakun walal sin aginst Gsna ga
Moseskal".

Later investigations reported that interactions in two
languages were conducted without a change in participants,
setting, or topic. This is due to the fact that one code is
not only associated with one interaction. More researchers
therefore started to discuss socially conditioned code-
switching. In Scotton and Ury's (1977) study, for example, they
argue that Swahili/English bilinguals in Kenya codeswitch for a
variety of reasons: as a means to redefine the interaction as
appropriate to a different social arena, or to avoid, through
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continual code-switching, defining the interaction in terms of any social arena. McConvell (1988) studied code-switching amongst Gurindji Aborigines of the Victoria River District in the Northern Territory of Australia. He argues that the choice of language between Gurindji and Kriol is not attributable to topic or other aspects of the situation. Rather, it is associated with a specific class of activities, and a network of inter-personal relationships.

McClure and McClure’s study (1988), which focused on code-switching in a multilingual community in Romania, concludes that code choice is determined not only by external factors (i.e. setting, activity and participants), but also in light of communicative reasons. For instance, Vingard Saxon is usually used as an appropriated code for intragroup communication, while the German language is used for church sermons, and Romanian is chosen if their interlocutors are Romanian Gypsies. Code-switching however is employed for various purposes including, quotation, parenthetical remarks, reiteration, filling gaps, and role identification. McClure, et al. (1988, p47) however states that these are clearly subordinate to code-switching, which is determined by the external factors. They then state that in historical terms, external factors such as setting, activity, and participants almost solely determined code choice. More recently however, the speaker’s communicative intent has gained greater significance.
Researchers, who have focused on bilingual children, report that at an early stage, children make a linguistic choice in response to situational factors (i.e. interlocutor, setting, and topic). For instance, Lanza (1992) found that a bilingual two-year-old can codeswitch in contextually sensitive ways. Her study illustrates that the child has the ability to switch back and forth between two languages (Norwegian and English). This is done in order to single out a particular addressee or to gain one or the other of her parents' attention. That is, the child refrains from lexical mixing with her mother, who negotiates more of a monolingual context with her. On the other hand, she uses lexical mixing with her father, who negotiates more of a bilingual context. Lanza maintains that the child's lexical mixing is not determined by topic or setting. Code-switching in some cases therefore is determined by the particular addressee.

Similarly, in a study of one Spanish/English child, Fantini (1985) observes that even at three years of age, he was able to make an appropriate choice in response to his addressees. Fantini also observes that switching from Spanish to English often occurred when the child started to go to school, and when at home, discussed topics which were related to his school subjects and homework. In Saunders' (1982) study, his subject (a four year old German/English bilingual child in Australia) code-switches between German and English when his German speaking father and a monolingual English-speaker is present.
As the children acquire increasing knowledge of their social and linguistic environment, code-switching seems not only to be related to social factors. In fact, Fantini (1985, p69) reports that at the age of 3;5, the child began to quote other people's utterances in the original languages. Moreover, Fantini observed the child to use 'marked language choice' (the selection of unexpected code) in order to amuse or tease the hearer, or, at the age of seven, even to exclude a third person from the conversation (Fantini, 1985, p66).

Moreover, Kasuya (1997, p112) reports that Japanese bilingual children, even as young as three years of age, can switch between the English and the Japanese languages as a strategy for emphasis. In Kasuya’s study, which focused on English/Japanese bilingual families in the United States, one of her subjects demonstrates the ability to switch from Japanese to English, in order to emphasise his intentions, or to get attention during the interactions with his mother or the author. For example, the child employs an English sentence between turns to protest his mother’s comment in Japanese. Kasuya (1997, p113) concludes that even young children can at least choose a language as the main language for interaction with a particular addressee and switch it to another language purposefully, not randomly.

**Code-switching as a Discourse Mode**

Since switching often appears within a single conversation, researchers like Gumperz (1982) and Auer (1984) have studied
code-switching with an emphasis on the individuality of the interaction. They see code-switching as a 'contextualization cue' used in signaling and interpreting the speaker's intentions. In other words, speakers make a linguistic choice in order to convey intentional meaning, not because of situational factors. They also consider that individual interactions create the social meanings of linguistic choice.

Gumperz (1982, p69) states that acceptable usage or appropriate speech is learned by bilingual speakers through participation in a group. This language is susceptible to variation within the confines of the group, in a similar vein to lexicon selection and style variation in monolingual groups. Gumperz points out that prior to any experimentation with code-switching styles, a bilingual is compelled to know something of the interlocutor's background and attitudes in order to avoid serious misunderstanding. Gumperz then sees "languages in a bilingual environment as inevitably expressing meanings of either solidarity, informality and compassion (the in-group of we-code), or formality, stiffness and distance (the out-group or they-code)" (Gumperz, 1982, p66).

Many researchers have argued that interpersonal usage patterns in code-switching reflect group values and norms associated with the varieties in a community's repertoire (e.g. Heller 1988a, McConvell 1988, Heller 1988b, Jacobson, 1990, Eastman, 1992). In other words, speakers who are members of the same ingroup recognise that the same interaction communicates
roughly the same social intention. Heller (1988a, p83), for instance, states that in some situations, code-switching operates as an in-group strategy. It is based on the semantic associations of language, and the domain of language use, in terms of we/they ingroup/outgroup distinction. Code-switching therefore can be used to appeal to the shared understandings and characteristics of co-membership. Alternatively, it can be used to create distance, by associating one self, even momentarily, with the out-group.

Some researchers have focused on code-switching at the macro level. For instance, in Heller’s recent study (1995, p161), she argues that “code-switching is a form of language practice, in which individuals draw on their linguistic resources to accomplish conversational purposes”. Heller shows how these practices are closely linked in terms of authority and power in Quebec. Others have investigated the impact of demographic variables in the frequency of code-switching (Poplack, Sankoff, and Miller, 1988). These studies illustrate that, in French-Canadian communities, both borrowing and code-switching involving English is mostly used by speakers with different sociopolitical profiles. They conclude that the linguistic proficiency of the individual is constrained when in interaction with the community. That is, attitudes and norms of the community ultimately override the individual’s own abilities (Poplack et al., 1988, pp97-98). Amongst these studies, however, very few researchers have analysed the use of
code-switching in terms of the group identities of the speakers involved.

The Functions of Code-switching

Many linguists have found that code-switching reflects or operates as a mechanism for social functions within certain communities. For instance, Scotton (1988) argues that the code-switching style of speech used in Kenya, plays a significant role in indicating 'solidarity' or 'inequality' within a group. Similarly, McConvell (1988) maintains that indigenous people in the Victoria River District, engage in code-switching in the form of a 'social message' which is designed to either include or exclude the listeners. Additionally, Blommaert (1992, p68) reports that the use of Campus Kiswahili (an English-interfered variant of Swahili spoken in Dar es Salaam in Tanzania) by academic staff functions to exclude those of a different educational background. Others (such as Scotton 1976, Heller 1988a) believe that code-switching creates 'ambiguity' or 'neutrality'. Alternatively, code-switching might operate as an instrument of self exclusion, when an individual wishes to disassociate themselves from a particular linguistic group.

Some researchers who have looked at the social functions of code-switching have done so at the micro level (e.g. Auer 1984, 1988, Blom and Gumperz 1972, Gumperz 1982, Heller 1988a, Romaine 1989, Sankoff 1972, Scotton 1988, McConvell 1988, Eastman 1992). These authors have argued that each group displays, in its repertoire, a socially permissible pattern of
code-switching which is determined by shared norms and group values. For example, Auer (1988) has argued that code-switching indicates shared membership in a particular social network. That is, each group displays its own particularistic and established pattern of code-switching which may differ from other groups.

Some of these authors refer to code-switching under 'discourse strategies' or 'conversational strategy', and claim that code-switching sets out to achieve certain goals. Christie (1990) explains that in Dominica, French Creole (Patois)/English bilinguals engage in code-switching in order to express their identities as member of Dominican society. For the speakers in this study, switching from English to Patois functions as a way of expressing a greater sense of ingroup intimacy, and is often employed when discussing specific issues and concerns relating directly to the community.

Gumperz (1982) however, who introduced 'conversational code-switching', argues that social identity, as well as situational factors do not exist as sole determinants in the explanation of why it is that people use language in the way that they do. Rather, a variety of alternative linguistic choices are sifted through with the final aim of conveying intentional meaning of a socio-pragmatic nature. Gal (1988, p247) explains that code-switching is a conversational strategy. It is employed as a means of emphasis, to indicate a change in 'footing'. The
result attained may be designed to alter relations between individuals, or between groups.

A taxonomy of functions which operate within individual interactions has been suggested by various scholars, for instance: there is quotation, (Gumperz 1982, Romaine 1989, MacCure et al. 1988, Nishimura 1995), addressee specification (Gumperz 1982, Romaine 1989), referential (Appel and Muysken, 1987), expressive (Poplack, 1980, Appel et al., 1987), seeking agreement or parody (De Heredia-Deprez 1990), and as a discourse marker (Auer 1995).

Amongst these analysts, Nishimura’s (1995) study highlights the functions presented by second generation Japanese people living in Toronto, Canada. In her study, three bilingual patterns (the 'basically Japanese' variety, the 'basically English' variety, the 'mixed' variety) have been found. These result from the language choices which the speakers make depending upon their interlocutor(s) during three in-group actions. Nishimura then classifies the functions into six categories, based on the 'basically English' variety and the 'mixed' variety. Within the 'basically English' variety (which is the pattern used amongst the second generation), her subjects transpose Japanese phrases into the English base language. Nishimura interprets this pattern as having a symbolic effect, expressing and confirming their ethnic identity (particularly the identity of the second generation as a generational group) (Nishimura, 1995, p165).
On the other hand, four major functions are presented by the subjects when both the second generation and native Japanese are present. These are; first, 'reach out strategy' - those switches which are related to the interactional processes between the speaker and the interlocutor; second, 'involvement intensification' - those calling for the hearer's agreement and confirmation; third, 'frame-making' and 'topic introduction' - concerning the organisation of structure and discourse; and 'quotations' - which give stylistic effects.

Moreover, in terms of Japanese/English code-switching amongst Japanese native speakers, English elements can be used in a euphemistic way as one of communicative functions. Honna's (1995) study, which discusses the English language in Japanese society, illustrates that particular English loan words play a euphemistic role. For instance, the word 'loan' has been widely used instead of the Japanese word 'shakkin' meaning 'borrowing money'. This is due to the fact that Japan developed into a consumer economy in the 1950's, yet the word 'shakkin' was thought to obstruct mass consumption because of the negative idea of borrowing money (Honna, 1995, p53). Even though Honna's study deals specifically with loan words in Japanese society, his logic - which emerges from his investigation - can be extended to the case of code-switching amongst Japanese in an English-speaking country. In fact, an incident which mirrored Honna's findings occurred in this study. For instance, the English word 'cash' was used by the speakers in order to avoid the negative implications which are associated with its
Japanese counterparts 'genkin'. Here it was found that a function of code-switching is that it is a means of circumventing terms which have a negative connotation.

Furthermore, Li (1999) in his study of intra-sentential code-alternation (this refers to code-switching) based on data from the Hong Kong Chinese Press, suggests that there are semantic reasons for code-switching. He investigated whether there were any semantic nuances which constrain Cantonese/English code-alternation with regard to the translation. Li's study showed that even though a dictionary 'equivalent' exists between two languages, there remains a semantic incongruence, such as the degree of specificity. For example, the English word 'audience' may refer to those who are perhaps watching television, or alternatively, those listening to the radio. However, in Chinese, there are specific words which denote either a 'watching-crowd', or a 'listening-crowd'. As a result, the translator may be reluctant to substitute the Chinese term for the given English expression. This may lead to the occurrence of code-switching. Code-switching therefore is a method of guarding against unwanted semantic loss or gain (Li, 1999, p37). Likewise in the present study, code-switching was employed as a means of conveying an accurate semantic nuance. For example, the English word 'business' was used in order to indicate a wide range of activities in the business field, since the Japanese equivalent has a much narrower meaning. If one were to describe business on a small footing, the term
would be ‘shoogiyoo’. In other words, different terms are employed to represent ‘business’ at a variety of scales.

The functions of code-switching in classrooms have also been studied by researchers, including Merritt, Cleghorn, Abagei, and Bunyi (1992) and Gearon (1998). For example, Merritt, et al. has investigated three primary schools in Kenya studying code-switching between English/Swahili/mother tongue (more than 30 mother tongues exist in Kenya). Since English is selected by the Kenya government as the major medium of educational instruction, teachers' dominant language in classrooms is English. The teachers however still switch to Swahili or their mother tongue. This is usually motivated by cognitive and classroom management factors. Merritt illustrates some functions of code-switching, including; to get the students attention, to elicit the correct behaviour, and to clarify material. The teachers are then teaching not only the choice of which language to employ, but also choice in how each language is employed (Merritt et al., 1992, p118).

Similarly, Gearon (1998) details the functions of code-switching which operate in classes where French is taught as a foreign/second language in Victorian secondary schools. The instances of code switching between English and French amongst six teachers of French as a second language are mainly motivated by the desire to convey meaning and to move the pedagogical process along. Thus, switching from French to English is a common practice amongst the teachers, and is an
effective means of conveying the necessary information. Gearon (1998, p52) confirms one of Gumperz' (1982) functions of code-switching, 'reiterating a message'. This type of repetition in the two languages was aimed at ensuring an understanding of lexical items.

Social Constraints and Individual Choice

Early in the development of sociolinguistics, researchers espoused the view that members of the same speech community share norms of evaluation, even while not sharing exactly the same linguistic repertoires (e.g. Labov, 1972). Gumperz (1982) explains that code-switching is an indication that members of a specific speech community hold to an established, socially produced and recognisable notion of code, and grammatical system. Thus, community-specific or group-specific social forces determine which of the permissible patterns are preferred.

However, the concept of what is 'normative' has been questioned. For example, Boeschoten (1998, p22), in his analysis focused on migrant communities in Europe, points out that the process of migration comes to transform the 'standard' norms which exist in the country of origin. He argues that in such networks, the norms taken from the immigrants' home society and mother tongue speech interact with those of the adopted country. New 'conventions', over time, are worked out to accommodate both sets of norms. Such code-switching patterns
may differ from those of the speech community within their home country.

In contrast, Gardner-Chloros (1991, p47) adds another dimension to this argument. She claims that the code-switching practice of individuals should not be thought of as being solely limited to the acceptable social norms within the speech community. Rather, the social actor has the ability to step outside these structures, and creatively and imaginatively construct their own patterns of code-switching.

**Unmarked and Marked Usage**

Gal (1979, p99) holds the conviction that a violation of norms can occur with the speakers' choice of unexpected language. This violation is usually understood by interlocutor as a part of the speakers' strategy for expressing 'momentary communicative intent'. That is, speakers can select the unexpected (marked) language, even though one language may be more expected (unmarked) in that context, than the other.

For instance, the above studies conducted by researchers including Fantini (1985), Merritt, et al. (1992) and Gearon (1998) illustrate that speakers manipulate language by making marked code switches. The teachers in both cases, selected and used an unexpected language choice (i.e. Swahili or mother tongues in Merritt's study, and English in Gearon's study) in order to accomplish their intentions, which were explained
briefly above. That is, the contrast between the expected, and the actual code employed, functions to carry meanings.

Myers-Scotton (e.g. 1983, 1988, 1995a), who developed the concept of 'markedness', emphasises the speaker as a creative or rational actor. In other words, speakers select a code in order to invoke or maintain interpersonal relationships. She refers to code-switching as a tool available to the speaker, and an index for the addressee, in the negotiation of interpersonal relationships. That is, the use of each linguistic variety associated with multiple role relations, based on norms of rights and obligations. Rights and obligation can be understood as 'what participants can expect in any given interaction type in their community. It is another term for norms, or codes of behaviour, that are established and maintained by the social group' (Myers-Scotton, 1995b, p24). She maintains that speakers make choices, not norms. They weigh the costs and rewards of alternative choices, and then make their decisions (Myers-Scotton, 1995a, p110).

Goyvaerts and Zambele (1992) both of whom support Myers-Scotton's theory reveal that each individual makes a marked choice which carries significant meanings. In their study of code-switching in Bukavu in Zaire, marked choices made by speakers illustrate that they are seeking to replace one set of unmarked roles and obligations with another. For instance, marked code-switches by one student appear as an attempt to create solidarity with the headmaster, and curry favour. On the
other hand, for one son, marked choice is a way of creating social distance from his father.

**Style Shifting**

Some linguists see language switching as an extension of the monolingual's capacity to shift registers and styles. In other words, bilinguals routinely engage in code-switching in their everyday life, just as monolinguals routinely switch one linguistic variety to another (e.g. a regional dialect, the standard language, a formal or informal style) in the course of their daily interactions.

For example, Gardner-Chloros (1991, p93) reports that one of her subjects demonstrates stylistic variation by employing different forms of one language into another. Gardner-Chloros observes both ingroup exchanges (i.e. between salespersons and between customers) and outgroup exchanges (i.e. between a customer and a salesperson) in department stores in Strasbourg. One female salesperson, who is relatively proficient in both Alsatian and French, uses the construction and phonological elements of Alsatian (which is used for ingroup interactions) in the French language for the purpose of creating informality during ingroup conversation.

Southworth (1980, p140) views code-switching as a significant addition to the Indian's linguistic repertoire, since it goes 'beyond the style-shifting of monolinguals and allows the individual a flexibility of expression that could not be
obtained in a single system'. Therefore, 'the use of the switching style relates to, and in fact signals, social solidarity' (Southworth, 1980, p139).

3.1.2 Linguistic Aspect of Code-switching

In general, code-switching studies which have been focused on the linguistic aspect have attempted to provide answers for several questions. These are: how the speakers can best negotiate observable grammatical constraints, if these constraints are language specific, if they arise from and independently motivated principle of universal grammar, and if they derive from more general principle of discourse organisation. In this section, many studies are presented which attempt to answer some of these fundamental questions.

'Triggering'

Clyne (1967) calls switching caused by linguistic factors "internally conditioned words which belong to, or at least appear to belong to both languages" (e.g. a proper noun such as Canberra). That is, switching is 'triggered' by the occurrence of a word which appears to belong to both languages. This word acts as a trigger, which causes speakers to forget momentarily which language they are speaking in and to continue in the other language, until it is realised what has happened. Clyne calls this type of word a 'trigger word'. The switch may be made before the trigger word, in anticipation of it (i.e. 'anticipation switching'), or more usually after it (i.e. 'consequential switching').
Clyne however had a great degree of difficulty in explaining for passages which contained a significantly larger frequency of codeswitches - to these, he was unable to readily apply his theory. His solution was to classify such passages as instances whereby 'marginal switching' was taking place. His reassessing was then that the base language was unidentifiable.

**Two-Constraint Model: Free Morpheme and Equivalent Constraint**

Until recently, most studies on code-switching have been carried out on the syntactic constraints on intra-sentential switching. Sankoff and Poplack's study (1981) is usually considered as the first attempt to formulate general syntactic constraints. They have argued that Spanish/English code-switching can emerge from a model of grammar which is determined by two constraints - 'free morpheme' and 'equivalence constraint'. The 'free morpheme constraint' predicts that a switch may only appear between a bound morpheme and a lexical form if the lexical form has been phonologically assimilated into the language of the morpheme. Unlike the 'free morpheme', the 'equivalence constraint' predicts that switches are likely to occur at points where the word composition of the two languages does not violate the syntactic order of either language. In other words, codeswitches can take place at points where the surface structures of two the languages map onto each other. Nevertheless, there are a number of studies which have been focused on intra-sentential code-switching in different speech communities, where counter examples to the proposed
restrictions have been uncovered (e.g. Bentahila and Davies 1983 on French/Moroccan Arabic code-switching; Nishimura 1995 on Japanese/English code-switching; Myers-Scotton 1988, 1993 on Swahili/English; Bokamba 1988 on Lingala/French code-switching).

**Base Language in Code-switching**

Others assume that one language must be the base or matrix language. Here the emphasis is on the different roles that the two languages play. For instance, Sridhar and Sridhar (1980, p209) employed the terms 'host language', which refers to the primary language of the discourse, and 'guest language', which is opposed to the 'host language' (i.e. embedded into the primary or dominant language. Their suggestion of a Dual Structure Principle states that a guest constituent can maintain its own grammatical system whilst still be inserted into a larger host language with a nonconforming grammatical system. In this instance, both guest constituent and host language retain their own grammatical structures.

On the other hand, Joshi (1985) argued that the matrix or embedded language is determined by the first word of a sentence or constituent. In this case, the matrix language rules the possible switching. That is, the grammatical system of the matrix language determines which guest constituent can be embedded into the dominant language, as it must conform to its grammatical structure. He then distinguished open-class morphemes (e.g. nouns, adjectives, verbs) from closed-class
morphemes (e.g. determiners, quantifiers, prepositions, possessive, tense), and suggested that only open-class morphemes can be switched.

Myers-Scotton (e.g. 1993, 1995b) elaborated this concept of matrix and embedded language, and proposed a Matrix Language Frame Model. She has suggested two ways of defining matrix language: firstly on the basis of the structure of the discourse, and secondly on the basis of sociolinguistics. In the former the matrix language is more grammatically dominant than the embedded language, and it contains relatively more morphemes in at least two sentences, either from a single speaker or from two speakers in the course of conversation.

From the sociolinguistic point of view, it is the speakers who themselves, when engaged in code-switching, come to recognise the matrix language as the "language we are speaking". In psychological terms, the speakers perceive that code-switching is, for them, part of the discourse which takes place within a single language. Therefore, code-switching discourse often corresponds to the unmarked choice. This model claims that intra-sentential code-switching will follow certain structural principles across diverse languages and speech communities (Myers-Scotton 1993, 1995b).

Alternative Perceptions on the Matrix Language Model

Due to a lack of agreement in terms of the universal validity of this kind of model, increasingly, more studies which employ
the notion of a matrix language discuss code-switching at the societal level. For example, Bentahila and Davies' (1998) study of Arabic/French code-switching by Moroccans, has illustrated the mechanisms of switching. They found it was the case that, in some instances, there was a matrix language which played a dominant role. However, in other instances, it was just as evident that it was not the case that there was a simple dominance of one language over the other. Here, they found that both languages carried equal responsibility.

They have suggested that the inequality which is evident between languages does not simply arise from the manifestations of grammatical structure, as argued by Myers-Scotton. Rather, code-switched discourse might possess a number of different and more complex levels. It is therefore necessary to consider the interaction between such variables as discourse dominance, proficiency, priority, usage patterns, and symbolic value. (Bentahila et.al, 1998, p49)

Pandharipande (1998), on the other hand, has focused on the role of genetic connection between the languages (matrix and embedded) in determining the structure of a mixed code (this refers to intra-sentential codeswitching). She argued that genetically closer languages (cf. Marathi/Sanskrit) appear to have a higher degree of assimilation than genetically distant ones (cf. Marathi/English). Pandharipande concludes that the constraints posited by Myers-Scotton apply only in part. That is, constraints on code-switching vary according to the
'distance' between the two languages. Pandharipande begins with the assumption that, in code-switching, the host code not only borrows the guest constituent, but appropriates as well that guest constituents inherent social function. Therefore, in order to maintain its unique social function, the guest code must also hold its distinct identity, and not become 'nativized'. Pandharipande for instance writes that:

"The more the guest constituent conforms to the host structure, the less separate is the identity of the guest code and, as a result, the less functional the guest code becomes. Therefore, all cases of code-switching involve strategies to keep the guest code separate from the host code'. (Pandharipande, 1998, p202).

Furthermore, both Rindler Schjerve (1998), and Treffers-Daller (1998), investigated the possible relationship between the choice of code-switching patterns and certain factors such as age, gender, education, network, topic and interlocutor. For instance, Rindler Schjerve's study focused on Sardinian/Italian code-switching in the context of language shift in Sardinia. They found that young speakers in particular use the Italian language as the matrix language rather than Sardinian. Moreover, women more frequently codeswitch than men, when the matrix language is Sardinian. Treffers-Daller's study, on the other hand, has shown that the interlocutor and topic play a decisive role in determining the matrix language, and also the frequency of code-switching.

Finally, Jacobson (1998, p63) recommends that researchers should show more caution in expanding the applicability of findings relative to one or two language pairs to situations
outside the range of languages studied. He argues that there is not yet enough information on all language settings, where code-switching occurs, to make generalised conclusions with regard to what is universally valid. His contrastive study focused on Spanish/English code-switching (genetically close) and Malay/English code-switching (genetically different), with an emphasis on the speakers' general intent, rather than a manifestation of the universality of specific constraints.

3.1.3 Language Attitudes

In the field of sociolinguistics the study of language attitude is considered to be important since it relates to the understanding of the speakers' linguistic behaviour (Cooper and Fishman 1974, Poplack 1988). It is believed that language usage or variation studies, should complement studies on language norms, values, and prestige in a community (Omdal, 1995). In terms of code-switching and language attitudes however, research has been relatively scarce, despite the fact that language attitude has been a primary focus in sociolinguistic studies. This is due firstly to inherent methodological problems, and second, to the lack of general agreement on the definition of attitude.

 Definitions of Language Attitudes

There are many different definitions which set out to explain 'language attitude'. For example, Ryan, Giles and Sebastian (1982, p7) define language attitude as 'any affective, cognitive or behavioural index of evaluative reactions towards
different language varieties.' Another definition of language attitude, formulated by Williams (1974, p21) states that 'attitude is considered as an internal state aroused by stimulation of some type, and which may mediate the organism's subsequent response'. Although there is a lack of an agreed upon definition of language attitudes, two major views, in terms of the nature of attitudes, have been suggested. These are a 'mentalist' view, and the behaviourist view. According to Fasold (1984, p147), mentalists consider an attitude as an 'intervening variable between a stimulus and a response', whilst behaviorists view attitude as a 'behavioural response in themselves'. The former theory conceives problems for method, since an observable response, which derives from the speakers' reflections, may differ from the actual language usage. Therefore, researchers rely on the speakers' self-reports of what it is their attitudes are. In the latter theory, attitudes are to be simply observed as a representation of real language usage.

Within the mentalists' perspective, researchers have identified different dimensions of attitude with regard to values or motivations. For example, Gardner and Lambert (1972), in their pioneering research, discuss two dimensions, - instrumental and integrative - as attitudes to a particular language. They explain that an instrumental attitude derives from pragmatic or utilitarian motives. In other words, the speaker may seek to achieve social recognition, or economic advantage, through the use of a particular language. In contrast to this, an
integrative attitude to language may concern attachment to, or identification with, a speech community (Gardner and Lambert, 1972, p14). This attitude signifies that the speaker maintains a desire to be included within the speech community of a specific group. Edwards (1983), on the other hand, distinguishes 'positive attitude', from 'favourable attitude'. He asserts that instrumental motivation is a speaker's positive attitude. Therefore, it needs not be pleasant or favourable. Furthermore, Edward's distinction does not recognise the necessity of an integration. These studies of language attitude show the complexity of speakers' language evaluation.

Problems Associated With Methodology

Due to the conceptual problems associated with operationalisation, a wide variety of methods have been employed by researchers. According to Ryan, et al. (1982), data collection methods for the measurement of language attitudes can primarily be categorised into three types. These are; firstly, the analysis of the societal treatment of language varieties (content analysis); second, direct measures with interviews or questionnaires; and third, indirect measures within the speaker-evaluation paradigm.

The first type of evaluation does not require explicit questions to gain the respondents' view of their own reactions. A content analysis can be done through the interpretation of language use in public or official places, for example
newspapers, language policy by the government, education, and literature.

The second type of research, which is called direct measurement, has often been used for measuring language attitude. This measurement may employ techniques, such as self-report questionnaires, interviews, and observation. Both questionnaires and interviews can be on language evaluation, language preference, or the desirability and purpose of studying a second language, as well as views of language planning and maintenance. The validity of these methods is often rather questionable, chiefly because there is no guarantee that these are the respondents' true evaluation. In other words, speakers may control their actual attitude, or they may tend to report what they think is a socially favoured or culturally acceptable response (Baker, 1992, p19). Observational techniques involve inference on behalf of the researcher. If the observation technique is utilised by mentalists, then inference is needed to determine attitude (Fasold, 1984, p152).

The third type of language attitude study, indirect measurement, differs from the direct method, in that the subjects are kept from knowing the purpose of the measurement. It is claimed that this method can reveal covert language attitudes, rather than socially desirable responses. The matched-guise technique is considered to be the most popular form of indirect measurement. This technique, developed by
Lambert and his associates (1960), uses one bilingual speaker who reads or speaks in two different varieties of a language, or two languages. The listeners are not aware that they are hearing the same person's voice. Moreover, the matched-guise technique often involves semantic differential scales. The listeners will be given a series of antonyms, such as intelligent vs. unintelligent, or friendly vs. unfriendly. They will then be asked for their opinion of the speakers' accents, dialects, and languages (e.g. French or English speaking people in Canada). The listeners' subjective reaction to the two different guises (of the one speaker) is said to reveal the true attitude toward the variety.

Fasold (1984, p150) states that "the matched-guise technique is direct in the sense that the listeners are explicitly asked to give their opinions of the speaker's characteristics. It is indirect in the sense that listeners are asked to react to speakers, not languages, and they are not aware that they are hearing the same person in each guise." He then points out same problems within the matched-guise technique. Firstly, hearing each speaker reading the same passage, in each language, may cause listeners to judge the speakers as performers of readings. This is due to its artificiality in other words it is not derived from a natural context. Second, controlling the topic of guises in a diglossic community (where the High and Low forms exist) may influence the speakers' evaluations of the guises. Since a certain language is used to discuss a particular topic in a diglossic community, one guise might
receive a low evaluation (Fasold, 1984, pp152-153). In other words, insufficient attention may be paid to the appropriacy of a specific code or style in specific situations.

Thus, measuring the affective domain of the speakers is extremely difficult. Some researchers (Larsen-Freeman and Long 1991, Giles, Hewstone and Bail 1983) discuss the fact that attitudes and their effects would have to allow for many possibilities. For example, measuring a speaker's attitude toward a language itself is difficult, since a language does not exist in a vacuum. Furthermore, as language is always connected with speakers, the construct of an attitude could certainly include the language users in its definition (Ryan et al. 1982, p7).

3.1.4 Attitudes Towards Code-switching
One of the more perplexing aspects of code-switching is the fact that just because a speaker holds a negative attitude toward the performance of code-switching, this does not hinder them from actually engaging in this language behaviour. In many bilingual communities, the whole concept of code-switching has been veiled. This is due to speakers' notion that their language should remain unmixed. However, many researchers have reported robust use of code-switching in the same speech communities. For example, Romaine (1989) concludes, in her research on Punjabi/English code-switching, that speakers' allegiance towards their own language did not prevent them from code-switching. Similarly, Gumperz (1982, p62) claims that even
though participants had expressed puristic notions, they in fact practised code-switching in the course of conversation.

These studies seem to suggest that the investigation of language attitudes, and their relation to the actual use of language, is necessary.

**Gibbons' Study**

Although the study of attitudes towards code-switching is thought to be important in terms of understanding the speaker's linguistic behaviour, only a few studies have been undertaken (e.g. Gibbons 1983, Hidalgo 1986). Gibbons (1983) has investigated 99 Chinese University students in Hong Kong, who were English/Cantonese bilinguals. His study, which focused on the question of covert and overt attitude toward code-switching (referred to as 'Mix' by Gibbons), assumed that code-switching may be positively valued as a marker of group solidarity. However, code-switching may also possibly be negatively valued, since English has some association with status and prestige, yet also arrogance. The conclusion which Gibbons comes to is that within the speech community being researched, code-switching is met with feelings of hostility, primarily because it is associated with western elitism. In contrast however, code-switching can also indicate neutrality. Simultaneously, Gibbons result also strongly asserts that code-switching is often used as a strategy of neutrality. It is a way of escaping the negative connotations of strictly using English without abandoning English completely; it is a compromise.
Hidalgo's Study

Hidalgo (1986) has studied attitudes toward English, Spanish, and English/Spanish code-switching in Juarez, a Mexican town on the United States border. Her 85 informants consisted of residents within this town. As the city of Juarez is situated very close to a major U.S city (El Paso, Texas), both languages, Spanish and English, have traditionally been in close contact with one another. Hidalgo's investigation found: firstly, that the use of English was positively evaluated, since her informants saw the value of the English language as equally instrumental and integrative; second, there was a strong loyalty to the Spanish language, the intention being to maintain their ethnic identity; and third, that code-switching was negatively evaluated because it was perceived as being incorrect and mixed. One puzzling aspect of Hidalgo's study however, is the fact that she engaged a sample of 85 informants from the town of Juarez, to assess their response to the practices of code-switching, as carried out by the residents of El Paso. She, neglects, however, to elicit any responses with regard to attitudes from those people in El Paso themselves.

3.2 Theoretical Framework

The present study in code-switching falls primarily within the field of sociolinguistics. Sociolinguistic studies have investigated the social meaning of code-switching at both the societal, and the individual level. This present study concentrates on the occurrence of code-switching at the
individual (or micro) level by employing five main conceptual approaches, these are: the descriptive framework within sociolinguistics, Speech Accommodation Theory, Alan Bell’s, ‘Language styles as audience design’, Brown and Levinson’s Politeness model, and the Myers-Scotton’s Markedness Model. The Matrix Language Frame Model is also employed in order to examine linguistic factors.

3.2.1 Descriptive Framework Within Sociolinguistics

Research undertaken within the framework of descriptive sociolinguistics has attempted to account for a speaker’s language choice by domain. This notion, which has been developed by Fishman (1972), asserts that social situations determine which language a speaker will use. Three components are suggested as determinants of language choice: the social group to which one belongs (this includes both the more objective structures of community ‘norms’, and the subjective dimension pertaining to individuals), the situation in which one finds oneself at the moment of communication, and the topic which is being discussed. In other words, it is the fusion of interlocutor, topic and setting that structures language choice. Since this framework has been proposed to account for language use only in stable bilingual or multilingual communities, many researchers have employed this concept in order to study interactions at the individual level (e.g. Gumperz 1982, McGregor and Li Wei 1991). Even though the purpose of the present study is not to account for a bilingual’s language choice in terms of domain, this framework
is useful in the investigation of the relationship between certain social factors (i.e. topic and interlocutor), and code-switching as a language choice in one bilingual community.

3.2.2 Speech Accommodation Theory

Speech Accommodation Theory developed as an attempt to uncover why it is that speakers, during various social encounters, come to alter their styles of speech. The Speech Accommodation Theory proposes that two processes are at work. Firstly, a speaker may choose a linguistic strategy whereby their speech converges with that of their interlocutor, thus having the effect of creating a consonance in speech rates, pauses, utterances etc. On the other hand, a speaker may choose to accentuate the differences that exist between themselves, and their interlocutor, through the manipulation of similar linguistic features. This is known as divergence. The idea behind this theory is that speakers can achieve certain goals (i.e. to enhance either a sense of difference, or to consolidate a sense of solidarity or identity within a group).

Of particular significance in the Speech Accommodation theory is the notion that the speaker can conform to a social group through language use, and thus gain its approval.

The concept of the Speech Accommodation Theory can be applied to the present study in terms of convergence and divergence. For example, during the course of the taped conversation, there were several instances whereby one or both of the interlocutors came to adopt similar styles, or patterns of speech. This is in
part due to the fact that Japanese people tend to emphasise respect for other's feelings, rather than be overtly concerned with ones own self-expression. In other words, individuals tend to be viewed in terms of the role they perform in a group, rather than in and of themselves, and their happiness may depend on supportive gestures from others certifying their sense of belonging to the group. Code-switching can be one of the social markers or elements which is manipulated during the course of their interaction. Rather than analysing linguistic strategies which are associated with monolingual speech, such as speech rates, pauses, etc, code-switching as the focus of this analysis is taken as that primary linguistic strategy which marks attempts to either converge or diverge with an interlocutor's speech in the bilingual context.

3.2.3 Alan Bell’s ‘Language Styles as Audience Design’

Bell (1984) argues stylistic variation in monolingual speech shares a functional similarity with code-switching amongst bilinguals. 'Audience design' suggests that speakers design their utterances in response to the requirements of different audiences. Audiences include the addressee in the conversation, and auditors (a person who is participating, but not directly addressed), the over hearer or eavesdropper, and the reference group (either the speaker's own group, or some group with which the speaker wishes to be identified).
Bell (1984, p167) then illustrates three major positions which speakers take, in order to respond to their different addressees. Firstly, speakers assess the personal characteristics of their addressee, and then adapt (or design) their style to suit. Second, speakers evaluate the general style level of their addressees' speech, and transfer towards it. Third, speakers assess their addressees' levels for particular linguistic variables, and then change their speech in order to correspond to those levels. He claims that speakers in general, respond at all three levels.

According to the 'audience design', the auditor's influence on language choice amongst bilinguals is more significant than the choice amongst monolinguals. Since there are clear differences between codes, the pressure to accommodate the audience is greater than in monolingual situations (Bell, 1984, p176). 'The formal/informal continuum is therefore simply expressed in different code sets in different societies: by language choice in bilingual societies, by dialect switching in diglossic situation, and by style shift in monolingual societies.'

The data from the current study support Bell's theory. During the recording sessions, one of the subjects was clearly very self-conscious about her speech. The interaction with a partner was supposed to be 'informal', since the relationship between each pair was based on an established 'friendship', and the setting was familiar to both speakers. However, the speaker attempted to produce utterances without mixing Japanese and
English, which goes against the nature of her actual speech style in such an exchange. The speaker selected this style because she designed her speech to suit her particular audience. In this instance, the speaker is not so much concerned with her immediate interlocutor, but with the microphone in front of her, and the knowledge that her speech will be analysed by the researcher at a late time.

3.2.4 Brown and Levinson’s ‘Politeness’ Model

Brown and Levinson (1990) attempt to explain why various strategies exist in languages and speaker decisions in choosing one strategy rather than another during the occurrence of face threatening acts. Brown and Levinson firstly assume that the ideal person is endowed with the capability of rational practical reasoning, that is, acting in a rational manner towards their interlocutors. Secondly, they also assume that a speaker also possesses ‘face’. Brown and Levinson (1990, p61) state that ‘face’ consists of two related aspects: ‘negative face’ and ‘positive face’. Negative face’ is defined as “the basic claim to territories, personal preserves, rights to nondistraction (i.e. to freedom of action and freedom from imposition)”. On the other hand, ‘positive face’ refers to “the positive consistent self-image or ‘personality’ (crucially including the desire that this self-image be appreciated and approved of) claimed by interactants.”

According to Brown and Levinson, the term ‘face’ is derived from the term ‘to lose face’, meaning to suffer from
embarrassment or humiliation. 'Thus face is something that is emotionally invested, and that can be lost, maintained, or enhanced, and must be constantly attended to in an interaction' (1990, p61). They argue that the concept and content of 'face' is culturally relative, in other words, a loss of face may occur for different reasons across cultures, nevertheless, they assume that it is a more or less a universally present phenomenon. Following from this, it is only logical to assume that members of a particular speech community have a shared or mutual knowledge with regards to what it is that constitutes a 'face threatening act'. Similarly, these members are aware of the social necessity of employing strategies which will ensure the avoidance of situations whereby 'face' is threatened.

Brown and Levinson (1999, p322) state that face exists not so much as a social 'norm' but rather, as a basic 'want' of each individual. More accurately, face (and its preservation or enhancement) exists as a common desire shared by practically all members within a community. To a large extent then, the maintenance of face depends largely on the mutual cooperation of those within this community. In cases where a participant's face is threatened, the individual may choose in turn to retaliate, by acting in a similarly threatening fashion to that of the offender. Overall however, it is to the benefit of all, if a balance is retained, and individuals refrain from acts which may threaten face. In instances of face threatening acts, there are strategies which may be employed to save the
individual's self-image. These strategies typically include politeness forms and mitigations.

This study has shown that in certain situations engaging in code-switching can be both threatening and offensive. For instance, some of the younger participants in this study claimed that engaging in code-switching with older Japanese people would be rude. They assume that they should maintain 'proper', that is completely monolingual, speech in such circumstances.

3.2.5 Myers-Scotton's Markedness Model

The Markedness model evolved as a way of explaining how speakers come to innately recognise, during the course of their speech interaction, the relative social consequences of choosing a particular linguistic expression. The idea of a 'markedness evaluator', as formulated by Myers-Scotton (1998d), emphasises the way in which the speaker comes to self evaluate, in terms of the degree of relative markedness, the significance of a marked choice over an unmarked (i.e. expected) choice to achieve a certain goal. In other words, the divergence between the unmarked expression and the marked expression which is chosen by the speaker, must carry a significance in meaning.

Myers-Scotton calls this specific type of conversational code-switching as 'code-switching as an unmarked choice'. For example, in the case of informal group interactions amongst peers, bilinguals often come to switch codes and produce many
instances of intra-sentential code-switching. She also states that 'code-switching as an unmarked choice' may be the community norm, but at same time, it may be the norm for certain speakers, or certain interactions. In other words, speakers can recognise that it is a norm and expect it in a specific context.

According to Myers-Scotton (1995a, p119), there are four conditions which must be met in order for bilinguals to produce code-switching as the unmarked language choice: first, the speakers must be bilingual peers; second, the interactions have to be of a type in which speakers wish to symbolise dual membership; third, the speakers must positively evaluate the languages involved; and finally, the speakers must be proficient in the two (or more) languages. The results of the present study support the conditions for the production of 'code-switching as the unmarked choice' as they are put forward by Myers-Scotton. The participants conformed to the specific requirements as they exist, and in fact intrasentential code-switching was by far the dominant form.

These four conditions propose that there exists a relationship between the bilinguals' attitude towards the languages involved, and the type of code-switching produced. Using this, Myers-Scotton's (1993) notions of marked and unmarked choice may lead to uncovering the relationship between speakers' linguistic choice, speakers' attitude towards code-switching, and their intentions/strategies.
3.2.6 Myers-Scotton's Matrix Language Frame Model

The Matrix Language Frame (MLF) model is based on the assumption that within a code-switched sentence, there exists a base (or matrix) language. The model predicts that the matrix language sets the grammatical frame for intra-sentential codeswitching (that is, where code-switching occurs within the one sentence). Specifically, Myers-Scotton focuses on an analysis of code-switching within a complement phrase. She claims that "it is in such a unit that the grammars of the components of code-switching are in contact" (Myers-Scotton, 1998b, p92). Myers-Scotton then employs four theoretical constructs in order to discuss possible structuring in codeswitching within the Matrix Language Frame model. These are: the complement phrase as the unit of analysis; the matrix language vs. the embedded language distinction; and the content vs. system morpheme distinction; and the three types of constituents which appear within the complement phrase.

The Complement Phrase as the Unit of Analysis

The Complement Phrase (CP) is considered to be the best for discussing the grammatical structuring in code-switching within the MLF model. A CP is understood as a syntactic structure, expressing the predicate-argument structure of a clause (e.g. 'she walked', 'she kicked the ball', 'the boy is naughty'). Moreover, the CP is a clause with a complementizer node. For example, this sentence [I wish that the sun would shine everyday] contains two CPs, one which is led by an element in
complementizer 'that', and the other headed by a null element. Therefore, "the CP can be defined more precisely than either the sentence or the clause" (Myers-Scotton, 1997, p92).

**Defining the Matrix Language**

The matrix language can be defined in two ways; firstly on the basis of the structure of the discourse, and secondly, on the basis of sociolinguistics. In the former, the matrix language becomes recognisable through its presentation of a morphosyntactic frame for the CP. In other words, the matrix language is more grammatically dominant than the embedded language in mixed constituents. Secondly, the matrix language can be identified because it contains relatively more morphemes in at least two sentences, either from a single speaker, or from two speakers in the course of conversation. From the sociolinguistic point of view, it is the speakers who themselves, when engaged in code-switching, come to recognise the matrix language, as the "language we are speaking". In psychological terms, the speakers perceive that code-switching is, for them, part of discourse which takes place within a single language. Therefore, the code-switching discourse often corresponds to the unmarked choice.

**Content vs. System Morpheme Distinction**

The MLF model suggests that content morphemes and system morphemes do not show similar patterns of occurrence in code-switching. According to Myers-Scotton (1998b, p93) the distinction between the content and system morpheme becomes
explainable through evidence from other linguistic research. For instance, in general, each morpheme figures differently in terms of how both content and system morpheme are affected by aphasia (brain disorder). 'He backed up the truck' may become 'He trucked up the back'. In this case, the content morpheme (truck) is interchanged whilst the system morpheme stays in place.

Content morphemes are understood in that they constitute the predicate-argument structure, by either assigning or receiving thematic roles. Content morphemes, such as most verbs and some prepositions, assign thematic roles, while nouns, descriptive adjectives, and some pronouns receive thematic roles.

Unlike content morphemes, system morphemes neither assign, nor receive, thematic roles. Some of them possess the feature [+Quantification], and a plus setting for quantification restricts possible referent(s) of lexical category. For example; articles limit the potential reference of nouns either to a smaller set (the boys vs. Boys) or to an individual (the boy); tense and aspect limit the possible reference of predicates (i.e. verbs and adjectives); and degree adverbs (e.g. very) restrict the reference of events and adjectives. Most function words (determiners, possessive adjectives, and intensifier adverbs) and inflections (affixes) are prototypical system morphemes.
Three Types of Code-switching Constituents

In the model of the constituents of the CP, these are separated into three types. They are; mixed constituents (matrix language + embedded language constituents), matrix-language islands, and embedded-language islands. The characteristic of mixed constituents (ML + EL) is that morphemes are carried over from both the matrix language (ML) and the embedded language (EL), though the ML controls the grammatical frame. The matrix islands (ML islands) also keep their own grammatical frame, however, ML islands consist of only morphemes from the ML. The embedded language islands (EL islands) are framed by the EL grammar, and all morphemes derive from the EL.

According to Myers-Scotton (1997, p96), within the terms of the hypothesis derived from the constructs of the MLF model, all code-switching illustrates the same coherence. She sets two principles of the MLF model: the morpheme order principle, and the system morpheme principle. The former principle is that ML + EL constituents (mixed constituents) consist of singly occurring EL lexemes and a number of ML morphemes, and surface morpheme order will be that of the ML. On the other hand, the latter principle is that in ML + EL constituents, all system morphemes that have grammatical relations independent to their head constituent will come from the ML. Therefore, only EL morphemes that may occur in the mixed constituent are content morphemes. However, not all EL content morphemes may be embedded into the matrix language. EL content morphemes are checked in terms of congruency with their corresponding ML morphemes.
A Language Production Model

In order to illustrate how EL content morphemes are selected, Myers-Scotton postulates the three levels of the process of language production based on the concept of lemmas. 'Lemmas' can be characterised as comprising all the information (non-phonological aspects of an item's lexical information) which is used to produce a surface level form. The lemmas link speakers' intentions (i.e., semantic and pragmatic features) to the surface level form through the three levels. These levels are: the 'conceptual' level, the 'functional' level, and the 'positional' level (see the Table 3.1 below).

Table 3.1 A Language Production Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conceptual Level</td>
<td>Speaker's intentions regarding referential information and socio-pragmatic messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Level</td>
<td>Select lemmas from mental lexicon; Lemmas send direction to the formulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positional Level</td>
<td>Select phonological representations (Results: lexemes are realised)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(adapted from Myers-Scotton 1995b, p237)

First, a speaker makes a choice based on one's own intentions at the conceptual level. If discourse includes code-switching, the ML is selected, and content morphemes are checked to convey specific semantic and pragmatic intentions at best. At this abstract level of production, only lemmas (not lexemes) are activated to support surface morphemes. Therefore, in order for an EL lemma to support an EL content morpheme in an ML frame,
the EL lemma is filtered for congruency with an ML lemma counterpart. For example, if the EL content morpheme has an equivalent in the ML system morpheme, then it will not appear in a mixed constituent, since the selection of a language-specific lemma derived from speaker's semantic and pragmatic information (lexical-conceptual structure) designates a certain predicate-argument structure and specific morphological realisation patterns.

Second, at the functional level, the formulator receives directions from lemmas to build the CP frame and support surface level lexemes. At this stage, an EL content morpheme must match its ML counterpart in terms of its thematic roles (their assignment in the case of verbs and prepositions and their reception in the case of nouns, descriptive adjectives, and pronouns). Myers-Scotton (1995b, p248) explains such an instance by using a mixed constituent (Japanese/English) from Nishimura's study (1986).

She \( \text{wa} \), took her a month to come home \( \text{yo} \).

\( \text{TOP} \quad \text{EMPHATIC} \)

"Talking about her, (it) took her a month to come home, you know."

Even though a long EL island is contained in this sentence, Nishimura claims that Japanese is the ML. According to Myers-Scotton (1997, p248), "The EL pronoun (she), an emphatic here, encodes the discourse thematic role of TOPIC, and occurs with the Japanese topicaliser 'wa' (a system morpheme)."
Thus, if the result of congruence checking is sufficient for the supporting lemma, and appears in a mixed constituent, phonological presentations are then selected at the positional level. Moreover, the position of lexemes is also directed at this level.

In the present study, the Japanese language is clearly dominant in terms of discourse, since all participants are native speakers of Japanese. Therefore, the Matrix Language Frame model is a useful guide for examining intrasentential code-switching. That is, the MLF model enables the researcher to investigate the structural principles exhibited in code-switching in the community being studied.
Chapter 4

Method

This thesis investigates code-switching amongst Japanese native speakers in an English-speaking country. The general approach to this research has been primarily qualitative and inductive. However, the analysis also incorporated selectively used quantitative approach. Two major aspects of code-switching have been studied. These include: first, the sociolinguistic/pragmatic aspect. That is, language choice in relation to external factors (such as the topic and the gender of interlocutor), as well as communicative intent/strategies; second, the linguistic aspect. This examines the linguistic form of the matrix language frames, and the embedded language switches. In addition to this, attitudes towards both Japanese and English, and the practice of code-switching that each individual holds, are taken into account as the affective element in language choice.

4.1 Subjects

Twelve subjects participated in this study. All are Japanese native speakers who were born in Japan, and whose parents' native language is Japanese. In terms of English language competency, all participants have studied English for a minimum period of six years at high school level in Japan or equivalent (two participants completed high school in Australia). Moreover, the duration of uninterrupted residence in an
English-speaking country is no less than two years, and all use English regularly in the course of their daily affairs. Half the subjects fall into the age grouping of 20-30 years, and all are university students. The other half fall into an older age bracket of 40-60 years. Five speakers of this group are working, and one speaker is a home-maker. Each age grouping then consists of three pairs. Within each age group, the three pairs were organised as follows: one female pair, one male pair, and one mixed sex pair. Since the best code-switching data would be naturally-occurring conversations among the participants, the researcher asked one of each pair to select their partner. Therefore, all pairs consist of participants who are familiar with one another in that they have a pre-established friendship.

The study of code-switching with a relatively small number of subjects has been carried out by some researchers. For example, Blom and Gumperz (1972) recorded the presence of two groups which consisted of only six individuals in their study of dialects in a specific Norwegian speech community. Similarly, Nishimura's (1995) paper was constructed from the speech of only three subjects. She recorded three in-group interactions so as to analyse the possible functions of code-switching operating amongst these subjects. The advantage of having small scale subject participation is that a greater depth of analyses can be achieved by the researcher through individual, person-to-person interviews.
The aim of creating six pairs according to their age and gender was to uncover different patterns of code-switching use and to discover if these variables influence the type of code-switching (intersentential or intrasentential) being employed by the interlocutors. In fact, only one person, who was of the older age group, produced intersentential code-switching. Rindler Schjerpe (1998) also investigated the choice of code-switching patterns in relation to both age and gender in her study of Sardinian/Italian code-switching. She concludes that the young speakers use the Italian language rather than Sardinian as the matrix language and women codeswitch more than men do.

Table 4.1 Participants Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAIR</th>
<th>SPEAKER</th>
<th>AGE RANGE</th>
<th>GENDER</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>LENGTH OF TIME IN AUSTRALIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>student</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>student</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>student</td>
<td>6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>student</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>student</td>
<td>9 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>student</td>
<td>6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>working</td>
<td>19 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>working</td>
<td>14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>working</td>
<td>8 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>working</td>
<td>13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>55-60</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>home make</td>
<td>13 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>working</td>
<td>8 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is more detailed information regarding the information of each of these participants in the discussion of data's section.
4.2 Data

There were five stages for the collection of data in this study. These consisted of: an initial questionnaire, the recording of the natural conversation of the participants, a two-part second questionnaires, a 'recollection test', and a 'sociolinguistic interview'.

4.2.1 Initial Questionnaire

All participants were, at the beginning of the research, required to fill out a questionnaire in order to ensure that they conformed to the criteria which the researcher required. It therefore consists of the sociolinguistic background questions, and self-report on the participants' English proficiency. The questions included: age range; gender; status (ie. student, working, home maker, other); type of course/degree and the year of study; type of occupation, and occupation duties; length of time in Australia; length of time in an English-speaking country other than Australia; age at which you began formally learning English; name of institution; the duration of formal English study; previously completed English examinations; the context in which English is used during the course of their everyday routine. A self-report on their own English language proficiency level was estimated with a five point scale (‘natives’, ‘near-natives’, ‘good’, ‘fair’, and ‘poor’). Types of examination are classified into four, included: 'speaking', 'understanding' 'reading' and 'writing' (Appendix 1).
Code-switching studies which include a discussion of the speakers' language proficiency often use indirect measures. In most cases, the survey questionnaires contain questions like: time of immigration, length of stay, education, and self reports (e.g. Azuma 1987, Bentahila and Davies 1992, Poplack 1980, Treffers-Daller 1992). The self rating of language proficiency can be problematic in terms of validity and reliability (Baker 1992). Lasimbang, Miller and Otigil (1992, p343) also point out that this type of assessment can only indicate relative strength or weakness in languages. Since this study does not include a discussion of the speakers' English language proficiency, the subjects' self rating of language proficiency will be taken in conjunction with the sociolinguistic background profiles to provide more substantial evidence to support or justify their English competence. In this study of twelve participants, eight reported that their speaking proficiency was 'good', and four reported that it was 'fair'. It shows that most participants have relative confidence with their spoken English.

4.2.2 Recording Sessions

The natural interaction of the paired participants was recorded with an audio cassette player for a total period of 40 minutes. A small portable cassette player with a sensitive microphone was placed between them. The pairs were asked to talk about two topics: their study or work; and their hopes and fears for Japan in the new millennium. Since the first topic was closely related to the participants' everyday life in the English-
speaking context, the topic seemed to be conducive to more relaxed and natural interaction. The second topic, on the other hand, was selected not only because it is a culturally related issue, but also because it opens space for discussion of a cross cultural nature. Because of the participants' diverse backgrounds, it is thought that this very broad topic will not limit or restrict the speakers in their discussion. Rather it will expand their opportunities to demonstrate their linguistic repertoire.

Moreover, in order to capture the natural interactions amongst each pair, subjects were told that the researcher was studying the informal conversation of Japanese. Therefore, no mention of code-switching was made prior to the recording sessions. Saragih (1997) employed a similar method in her study of Simalungun/Indonesian code-switching. Her subjects were given the explanation that the researcher was studying the usage of Simalungun, as an example of a regional language. Similarly, in Myers-Scotton’s (1992) study comparing codeswitching and borrowing, the Shona speakers were interviewed by the native speaker of the same language, and recorded without mentioning the real purpose of their being interviewed. In doing so, she managed to obtain a set of naturally-occurring conversations.

Each pair's conversation was recorded at a location which was familiar to both in order to capture their informal speech. The conversations of all pairs of students (pair 1, 2, and 3) were recorded at their campus. The conversation of pair 4 was
recorded at their friend's home, and both pair 5 and 6 were at one of each partner's home. Prior to the recording sessions, the researcher had a small discussion with each pair about their study or work, in order that they might naturally commence discussing the topic for the first recording session. Labov (1972) has given some attention to the role of the observer in monolingual interactions. He suggests that the presence of the researcher has the overall effect of impinging upon (and altering) the character of natural speech. He labels this the 'observer's paradox', because although the intention is to capture natural speech, the observer's very presence renders this highly unlikely. Milroy, Li Wei and Moffatt (1991) argue however that the 'observer's paradox' especially afflicts studies of code-switching in bilingual communities, and more so in cases where the investigator is from a different ethnic background from those being studied. Many researchers, even 'insiders' - those from the same ethnic background, choose to remain absent during the course of the recording session. Mishoe (1995), for example, asked a family member to videotape a gathering in which she felt her presence would be intrusive.

However, in order to ensure that the intended procedures were followed (for instance to ensure that the operation of the recording equipment was carried out properly), the researcher was present at the beginning of each session. After twenty minutes the researcher returned to end the first session on the particular topic. After a short break, the second session (on the second topic) commenced, and the researcher left, returning
again after a twenty minute interval to conclude the session. Since relationships between each pair were 'pre-established friendship', and they interacted in a familiar environment, no hesitation or awkwardness was demonstrated. In fact, one of the more practical problems that did occur was that the subjects regularly veered off the course of the assigned topic. Although there was some self-correction, once this pattern was established between certain interlocutors it tended to recur. Moreover, it was anticipated that the interaction within the small size of the group would avoid the dominance of conversation by one of the speakers. In fact, most of time both speakers contributed equally to the conversations during the recording sessions.

4.2.3 Second Questionnaire

This questionnaire was based on the well-documented studies on language attitudes towards 'Welsh and English' (e.g. Baker 1992). It consisted of two separate sections. The first was aimed at gaining the respondent’s general attitude toward both the Japanese language and the English language. Indirect statements were made about each language (both Japanese and English). The participants were asked to rank these statements on the following five point scale, such as: 1 strongly disagree; 2 somewhat disagree; 3 agree and not agree; 4 somewhat agree; and 5 strongly agree.

The statements were by nature quite general (e.g. I like hearing English/Japanese), they related to language learning
(e.g. English/Japanese is a language worth learning), and also referred to motivation (e.g. There are more useful languages to learn than English/Japanese, If I have children, I would like them to speak English/Japanese). This has been done in order to obtain each subject's evaluation of English and Japanese language, and its relationship with the occurrence of code-switching.

The second part of the questionnaire attempted to elicit the speakers' instrumental and integrative attitudes towards English only. The questionnaire stated how 'important' or 'unimportant' do you think the English language is for people to do the following? Five items for each category of 'instrumental' and 'integrative' were used to determine both attitudes. For example, the questions which were used to evaluate instrumental attitudes include: 'Get a job', and 'Become smarter', whilst those that were put forward to evaluate integrative attitudes include: 'To make friends', and 'Be accepted in the community'.

4.2.4 Recollection Test
A 'recollection test' was administered on an individual basis to each of the participants. After listening to the recorded conversations, the researcher listed all the English items (including single words, and phrases) produced by each speaker. These items are listed separately according to the topic which the participants discussed. The passages which contain these English items were also edited. The recollection tests were
conducted at a time, and in a location, which was convenient to each individual. These included their home, campus, office and coffee shop. A few participants found it difficult to find the time for the recollection tests, because of their work, therefore it was administered during their extended lunch break or straight after their work. The researcher presented the listed items orally, and then asked each individual whether or not they knew a Japanese equivalent for the English word which they produced. The participants then responded by giving the equivalent of each item in Japanese, or by explaining that there was no equivalent for these items in Japanese. In order to distinguish loan words from English language codeswitched items, the researcher also asked whether or not this particular word was recognisable to them as a Japanese loan word (borrowed from the English language). The researcher anticipated that each speaker would hold a different repertoire of loan words.

The recollection test was done in order to determine whether or not switches from the matrix language have been associated with linguistic gaps. That is, if the speakers are not able to provide the Japanese equivalents, this would indicate that they used English items to fill lexical gaps. If the speakers are able to provide the Japanese equivalent, it means that the English items are being used as either loan words (English words which are commonly used in Japan) or speakers' intentions/strategies. Rayfield (1970) used a similar recollection test in regard to Yiddish/English bilinguals. She found that although her subjects possessed Yiddish competence,
they still employed English expressions in their speech. Saragih (1997) also conducted this kind of recollection test, employed in her study of Simalungun/Indonesian code-switching. Saragih was able to demonstrate that the explanation of lexical gaps can only be applied to a small proportion of code-switched expressions in her data. Therefore, code-switching was engaged in as a conversational strategy.

4.2.5 Sociolinguistic Interviews

The sociolinguistic interviews followed right after the recollection tests. Each participant was given the passages which contained the code-switched items by the researcher. This was done in order to prompt the subject, so as to make more recognisable the context of the conversation which they had during the course of the recorded conversation. Each participant was asked why he or she used English items even though they knew the Japanese equivalent. Overall, the purpose of this procedure was to uncover the speakers' intentions, strategies and interpretations.

Moreover, in order to investigate each speaker's attitude toward code-switching, the researcher asked their opinions with regard to the phenomenon of code-switching. At this point in time the researcher mentioned mixed codes. Subjects were then asked: Do you hear a mixing of Japanese and English at university/college/ or at work? If so, when do they speak like that?; Do you mix English and Japanese when you speak? When and to whom do you speak like that?; What are your views on the
mixing of English and Japanese? And, why do you think people mix the two languages? All participants were aware of the phenomenon of mixing Japanese and English. In addition to this, participants were also asked their opinion with regard to different types of code-switching (i.e. intersentential and intrasentential) during each interview. Each of the interviews were conducted over one to two hours.

4.3 Transcription

Transcribing was done by the author. Since the focus of this study was to observe and analyse the nature of code-switching, the transcription of the tapes was limited. Therefore, only the relevant passages where code-switching occurred were transcribed and not the entire conversation. The passages were transcribed with conventional English and Japanese Hebon orthography. Transcription conventions used by Gardner were followed. All English code-switched items were indicated by boldfacing.

4.4 Analysis

4.4.1 Social/Pragmatic Approach

The Frequency of code-switching in Relation to Topic

The analysis of data primarily consisted of two approaches, social/pragmatic and linguistic. Firstly, the frequency of code-switching in relation to components of the speech event (i.e. topic and interlocutor) was investigated. In order to do this, the researcher counted the total number of turns each speaker took in the course of each topic. The total number of
code switched items which each speaker produced throughout the course of the topic was then calculated. This figure was divided by the number of turns to obtain the average number of codeswitches made per turn for each of the interlocutors. These were then tabulated according to each topic.

\[
\frac{\text{Number of turns for each interlocutor/topic}}{\text{Number of codeswitches made by each interlocutor/topic}} = \text{average no. of CS per turn}
\]

Topic two however differs somewhat as a distinction has been made in terms of the responses produced and the way they relate to three identifiable contexts, these are 1) reference to Japanese cultural context 2) reference to English-speaking context 3) inclusive of both, or not related to either (neutral). The process described above then was applied to these divisions. The number of turns that such speaker made within these divisions were calculated and then divided by the number of codeswitches corresponding to those divisions to get the median number of codeswitches per turn for each division and for each speaker.

Moreover, the researcher used a sound editing software timer function to obtain passage duration in the Japanese-related context, which were produced by each pair during topic 2. This has been done in order to examine in more detail, the relationship between the Japanese-related context and the frequency of code-switching. This enabled the researcher to calculate the number of turns which were made by each speaker.
in the space of each passage, and their identity of the codeswitches (if any) that were then contained within each of these turns.

Frequency of Code-switching in Relation to the Gender of the Interlocutor

The next step involved taking this data to the individual level, as well as pairs, as they have been defined in terms of gender. First, the average number of codeswitches per turn for each topic was combined in order to calculate the total number of code-switching produced by each individual. Once this was accomplished, it was then possible to compare these individuals' scores, and rank the participants according to their codeswitching frequency. Second, the average number of codeswitched items produced per turn for each speaker was added to that of their partner, so that each pair obtained a combined total for each of the two topics. These figures were tabulated according to the gender of the interlocutors (i.e. f/f, f/m, m/m). It was then possible to make comparisons between the pairs. This was aimed at discovering whether gender played a role in influencing the frequency of code-switching amongst the participants.

Types of Code-switching in Relation to Topics

At the next level of analysis, each codeswitched item was classified into one of five categories (i.e. single word, phrase, clause, multiple clause, or sentence switch). For this, topics one and two were treated separately. The different types of codeswitches were tabulated for each individual. The
individuals’ score received for each category was divided by the number of turns to obtain the average number of different types of codeswitches made per turn for each of the interlocutors. These were tabulated according to each topic.

**Types of Code-switching in Relation to the Gender of the Interlocutor**

First, the average number of different types of codeswitches per turn for each topic was combined in order to calculate the total number of each types of code-switching produced by each individual. These figures were tabulated according to the gender of interlocutors (i.e. f/f, f/m, m/m). This has been done in order to discover whether gender played a role in influencing the frequency of different types of code-switching amongst the participants.

**Functions of Code-switching**

The researcher attempted to interpret the possible functions of code-switching. These were related to the speakers’ intention/strategy in the context of the interaction. Through the use the sociolinguistic interview, the researcher was able to identify, and then classify, the functions that the various codeswitched items came to fill. Moreover, the researcher attempted to take the participants out of the immediate context of the study, by asking hypothetical questions about how they would react in much more formal circumstances. In addition to the sociolinguistic interview, the researcher telephoned some of the subjects whose patterns of codeswitching stood out as markedly different from the majority of participants. This
helped to uncover some of the strategies used through codeswitching by the speakers. This interpretation was accomplished through the data based on speakers' comments on the practice of code-switching in everyday life.

4.4.2 Linguistic Analysis

Intrasentential code-switching refers to code-switching which occurs within the same sentence, from the single word to clausal level (more than a single word, including one finite verb). The utterances which contain intra-sentential code-switching were selected in order to analyse what linguistic features characterise switched items, and the environments in which they are embedded. This was accomplished by employing Myers-Scotton's Matrix Language Frame model.

4.4.3 Attitude Towards English, Japanese and Code-switching

The study also investigated the occurrence of code-switching in relation to the speakers' attitudes towards both the English and Japanese languages, and code-switching. The scores of each individual respondent's general attitude towards both English and Japanese were tabulated. This information derived from the attitude survey (the first section of questionnaire two). This was done to establish the overall average attitude score for the entire group. This then allowed for a comparison, to find where the individual resided, in terms of language attitude, in relation to the rest of the group. Then the average evaluation score of English and Japanese by each speaker was tabulated with the individual's average number of codeswitches per turn.
Furthermore, the scores of each individual respondent's instrumental and integrative attitudes toward English were also tabulated. These derived from the second section of questionnaire two. The average scores for both instrumental and integrative attitudes, of each speaker, were also tabulated with the individual's average number of codeswitches per turn. The ultimate goal was to discover whether a speaker who held a positive attitude towards English, or the practice of code-switching, was more likely to codeswitch than somebody who held a negative attitude. In addition to this, the researcher used the sociolinguistic interview as an opportunity to gauge the individuals' attitudes towards the practice of code-switching (the phrase the researcher used was the 'mixing of languages'). As in the previous two cases, the attitude held by each speaker towards code-switching was compared to the actual production of codeswitches.

In summary, the methodology has three primary areas of significance. The first is the sociolinguistic aspect, which highlights the influence of external factors (i.e. topic and gender) upon the frequency of code-switching, as well as the interlocutor's intentions and strategies as they relate to code-switching. The second involves speakers' attitudes. The methodology used allowed for the evaluation of each individual's own attitude towards both the Japanese and English languages, as well as code-switching. This was then used comparatively in order to establish the relationship between
these attitudes and actual practice of code-switching. Finally, the structural (or linguistic) aspect has been taken into account to uncover the nature of the production of intrasentential code-switching and its possible structural limitations.
Chapter 5

Results and Discussion

In this chapter, the results of the research, and a discussion of their implications will be presented. First, in order to investigate the patterns of language choice, the code-switching data will be analysed in relation to specific components of the speech event (i.e. topic and gender). Second, the frequency of the different types of code-switching will also be analysed in relation to topic and gender. Third will be an analysis which sets out to explore any possible relationships which exist between the actual production of codeswitched items as they have been recorded, and the speakers' attitudes towards the English and Japanese languages, and code-switching. Fourth, the pragmatic aspects of code-switching will be discussed with regard to the speakers' linguistic choice. Fifth, the strategies/intentions and functions of code-switching will be analysed, and finally the linguistic form of the base language frames and the embedded language switches will be examined.

5.1 Patterns of Language Choice

Sociolinguists have studied the bilingual speaker's language choice and code-switching extensively. They have attempted to uncover when and why these take place in the social context (e.g. Blom and Gumperz 1972, Sankoff 1972). These studies have shown the speakers' ability to select language according to the interlocutor, the situational context, and the topic of
conversation. This thesis attempts to establish the relationship between the frequency of code-switching, and two variable components, topic and gender (of the interlocutor).

5.1.1 Code-switching Data

The data, which was presented by twelve Japanese participants, was taken from naturally-occurring conversations. The relationship amongst the six pairs was what could be termed 'friendship', and the setting for each recording was familiar to the participants. The speech that was recorded was therefore both informal and relaxed. Both the older and younger age group consisted of an entirely male pair, an entirely female pair, and a mixed pair. The older age group discussed their work and then the year two thousand, as topic one and topic two respectively, while the younger age group discussed their study as topic one, and the year two thousand as topic two. The duration of the recording for each topic was twenty minutes.

5.1.2 The Codeswitched Component

Researchers such as Rindler Schjerve (1998, pp228-229), in her study of language shifts between Italian and Sardinian, have come to classify codeswitched utterance into two primary groupings, these are 'turn' and 'intraturn' specific switches. 'Turn' switches are counted when 'a speaker takes up his turn with a switch to the other language' (Rindler Schjerve, 1998, p227). 'Intraturn' switches however, occur when only a portion of the turn is taken up by a switch to the other language. Furthermore, Rinder Schjerve has subdivided intraturn switches
into interphrasal switches, whereby a complete phrase inside the turn is spoken in the alternate language, and intraphrasal switches, where only a part of the phrase (for example a single word) is spoken in the alternate language. Basically, these categories have been determined on the basis of the length of the codeswitched item. The three categories therefore are finally: turns specific, interphrasal, and intraphrasal codeswitches.

If then become possible to calculate the relative frequency for each of these categories both at the collective or group level, and at the individual level where correlations and relationships may begin to be a distinguished, especially when extra linguistic factors (such as age, gender, education, communicative network, topic etc) are taken into account.

Similarly, Yoon (1996, pp403-404) has categorised codeswitches as small-size switches (e.g. one-word, multi-word, discourse connectors) and larger-size codeswitches (switches which occur at the clause-level in a complex sentence). However, his study did not concentrate on the frequency of code-switching, but on the types of code choice, and the social relationships between interlocutors.

In order to investigate the relationship between the frequency of code-switching and topics, as in this current study, the codeswitches are classified into five categories, single word, phrase (more than single word but not including a finite verb),
clause (more than a single word, including one finite verb),
multiple clause (complex or compound sentence), and sentence
switch. Examples of these types of switches are as follows.

Single word switch:

1) Sono population ga fuetemasu yo.
   The NOM increase FP
   'The population (would) increase.'

2) Watashi konkai wa disappointed datta kara ne.
   I this time TOP was so FP
   'So I was disappointed this time.'

Phrase switch:

1) Ima unemployment rate sugoi kara taihenda yone.
   now terrible since hard FP
   'Since unemployment rate now is terrible, it's hard to get a job'.

2) Ima choodo one hundred thirty four million toka.
   now about such
   'About one hundred thirty four million such.'

Clause switch:

1) As far as leadership is concerned. Nihon no hitotachi wa zenzen
   Japan of people TOP at all
   kitai shite nai.
   expect do NEG
   'As far as leadership is concerned, Japanese people don't expect (that) from politicians' at all.'

2) Yes, yes, I, I believe that Seijika ga inakutemo kuni wa ugoku.
   Politician NOM without country TOP carry on
   'Yes, yes I. I believe that. A country carries on without politicians.'

Multiple clause switch:

Unless, you have very active life. nanika going out everyday.
   something
   today is [   ], tomorrow is swimming and tomorrow is, you know,
   going out for dinner.
   'Unless, you have very active life. something (like) going out
every day, today is [   ], tomorrow is swimming and tomorrow
is, you know. going out for dinner.'

Sentence switch:

Husuka, mikka. Ok. I can manage, I can sleep every day. Yokka...
   The second day the third day The fourth day.
   'The second day, the third day is OK. I can manage. I can sleep
every day. The four day ....'
5.1.3 Division of Topic 2

For the recording sessions, the participants were given two topics. The first was work or study, the second, their hopes and fears for the year two thousand. The second differed from the first in that the participants' discussion tended to be broader and more comparative in perspective. For instance, although the question was focused specifically on Japan, it was common for the speakers, especially when the discussion turned to politics and the economy, to compare the Japanese situation with that of other countries, or, to talk of the external influences which impact on Japan. The speakers often reverted back to a discussion associated with an English-speaking country context as well. As a consequence it was decided to divide their discussion concerning the second topic into three separate groupings which are set out under the 'method' section in Chapter 4.

5.1.4 The Relationship Between the Frequency of Code-switching and Topic

The total number of turns, and a count of the codeswitches which were made by each speaker was calculated, in order to find the average number of codeswitches per turn for each topic, and for each individual (refer to analysis section [4] in Chapter 4). The raw numerical data of the average number of codeswitches per turn, for each topic by each individual, is shown in Table 5.1.
Table 5.1 Average Numbers of Codeswitches per Turn by Each Speaker in Relation to Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Content of Topics</th>
<th>Study or Work</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Younger age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker A</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25/76</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>5/14</td>
<td>8/26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker B</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84/77</td>
<td>8/19</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>22/26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker C</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48/83</td>
<td>7/46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker D</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73/84</td>
<td>6/44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker E</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42/115</td>
<td>11/55</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>18/34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker F</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/114</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>5/33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker G</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/46</td>
<td>11/36</td>
<td>3/9</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker H</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21/45</td>
<td>26/35</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>14/6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker I</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/71</td>
<td>1/42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker J</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42/70</td>
<td>2/41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker K</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13/55</td>
<td>1/35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker L</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9/56</td>
<td>5/32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 shows that there is a clear pattern as to the language choice for most of the speakers in relation to topic. Since topic one (study or work) was closely related to the twelve participants' every day life in an English-speaking
context, four speakers (B, C, D and J) recorded their own highest average number of codeswitches per turn (in the range of 0.58-1.1) within this topic. Additionally, one speaker (I) received an equal highest number of codeswitches per turn for both of the topics which referred to their work and the 'neutral' context. Three speakers (A, E, and F) marked the highest average number of codeswitches per turn (in the range of 0.36-0.6) when they discussed the subtopic which related to the English-speaking context, during their second recording sessions. That is, of the twelve participants, seven speakers engaged in code-switching most often during their discussion of the topics which refer to the English-speaking, context rather than the other two topics (the Japanese related context and the neutral category). In fact, seven speakers obtained the lowest average number of codeswitches per turn (in the range of 0.02-0.42) for the topic which related to the Japanese cultural context, and one of them (speaker F) actually did not produce any codeswitches at all. However, one speaker (L) marked the same average number of codeswitches (0.16) for both of the topics which referred to the English-speaking and Japanese cultural context.

However, it is significant to note that three out of the six pairs did not, in the course of their discussion on topic two, choose to focus on an English related subject, and thus no turns were recorded. A distinction should be made in this case however, between what occurred in this instance - that is where a subject was not discussed (and therefore quite obviously no
codeswitches were produced), and an instance whereby a subject is actually spoken of within a conversation and no codeswitches were produced. The dashes in table 5.1 therefore, do not represent the fact that no codeswitches were produced, but that the speakers did not engage in the topic itself.

In addition to this, it should also be taken into consideration that overall, the older age group category displayed the highest frequency of codeswitches per turn, in their discussions which have been categorised as 'neutral' (that is, including both the English speaking and Japanese cultural context, or not related to either). This group recorded a median average number of codeswitches per turn of \( \bar{X} = 0.665 \), compared to the younger group which showed a median of \( \bar{X} = 0.34 \). Comparatively, across the topics, three of the older age group participants (G, H, and K) recorded their highest individual frequency levels of codeswitches within this 'neutral' category. Out of all the subjects within the confines of this 'neutral' category, speaker H marked the highest average number of codeswitches per turn (2.33).

Furthermore, across the topics, half of those who attained their highest individual frequency scores were paired. For example, speaker C recorded his highest average number of codeswitches per turn whilst discussing topic one, as did his partner speaker D. As it turned out, both were paired together for this session. They are the only pair which marked such a result in topic one. However, in topic two (the English
speaking context category) the same phenomenon was recorded by the paired speakers E and F, whilst in the 'neutral' category, the same occurred in relation to paired speakers G and H.

Table 5.1 reveals that firstly, code-switching as a type of language choice, is influenced by topic. That is, certain topics set the opportunity for speakers to engage in code-switching, as is evidenced in their more frequent use of English items during their discussion of work or study in an English speaking country, and less frequent use of English items during their discussion within the frame of a Japanese cultural context. Second, the younger speakers tended to engage in codeswitching when they were discussing a topic which directly related to the English-speaking context alone, whereas the older speakers tended to produce more codeswitches during their discussions which related to both the Japanese cultural, and English-speaking context, (or not related to either).

Haugen (cited in Clyne, 1998, p308) argues that different languages have attached to them, a distinct cultural domain. Within these cultural domains, the individual becomes integrated into a common social experience, one that the speaker shares with the other members of community. For example, as in the case of this research, the speakers' home (i.e. Japan) or country of migration/residence (i.e. Australia). When the individual is taken from one cultural environment and placed into another, they come to absorb, to varying degrees, the culture of their new surroundings,
including elements of the language. In terms of those experiences which then come to unfold - for instance one’s present leisure activities, jobs, school studies, particular forms of sport, new technological developments - the individual comes to incorporate into their linguistic repertoire the language elements inherent in that activity.

Fishman (1972, p246) argues that there is a regular use of code associated with particular topics in multilingual settings. Since one language is more appropriate or capable of conveying actual meanings than another language for certain topics, a speaker employs a particular code in the context of that particular topic. Over a certain period of time, this practice tends to become habitual. Similarly, McClure (1981, p79) claims that ‘when a topic which is habitually discussed in one language happens to come up in a conversation in the other language, there is a higher incidence of code-switching and code-changing’.
The results of this study appear to show that the participants come to employ fewer English items in discussions which are related to the Japanese cultural context, than in discussions which did not. In fact, as in the case of speaker F, no codeswitching occurred within this category of topic, even though the speaker made 55 turns throughout the course of four passages. For example, during the first passage (which lasted a total of 2:47 minutes), the speaker made eight turns discussing his hopes for Japan in the year two thousand. Speaker F, and his partner speaker E, chose to focus on the Japanese education
system. The second passage was about the consumer tax, and the previous Prime Minister. Speaker F made fourteen turns during the 2:10 minutes of interaction. The third passage was an 8:28 minute discussion (the speaker made twenty-four turns) on life in Japan with regard to the pension system, the inadequacies of paid holidays, and religion. The last passage was a criticism directed towards Japanese politicians, and an expression of opinion as to what they ideally should be more like. The speaker made nine turns during this a 3:43 minute discussion.

A similar instance occurred during the discussion of topic 1 (about study) by the same speaker (F), and the speaker's partner (E). Since in this case, the shared relationship between this pair was quite long-standing, many times in the past they had discussed this topic in regards to study. They chose therefore to broaden the discussion to include not only their study in the present, but also in the past context of Japan, in a comparative manner. Speaker E discussed the degree of difficulty between studying in Japanese high schools and Australian high schools. During the 3:42 minutes of discussion (both speakers E and F made 16 turns) on study in Japanese high school, both speakers E and F conformed solely to Japanese monolingual utterances. Then, speaker E used an English word to describe the name of the subject (physics) she took immediately after reverting back to a discussion on Australian high schools. However, this is the only instance in which the topic was clearly discussed within a Japanese related context amongst
all the speakers in topic 1. The following passage sets out the codeswitched item and the context in which it was embedded:

**Topic 1**

**Passage 10**

232. E: Datte watashi chuuigaku de sono chishiki o itsu kore detekurundaroo tte mottetandakedo kekkyoku dete konakatta mon. Kocchi no kookoo mo hidoi janai? Suugaku tte kakkoo...

233. F: Zenzen imi nakattan janai?


**Translation**

232. E: I was expecting to use my knowledge at Junior high school (in Japan), but I didn't have to use it. High schools here are terrible, especially mathematics, aren't they?

233. F: So, there was no point in learning it.

234. E: That's right. I didn't come across it even in the study of **physics**. When was I supposed to learn it? Perhaps, I was supposed to learn it at high school (in Japan).

Other speakers similarly did not engage in code-switching during certain passages of Japanese-related context. For instance, speaker A produced three passages with her partner, speaker B, employing no English expressions during the first and second passages. During the first passage, which lasted a total of 2:51 minutes, they discussed young people in Japan, including themselves. The second passage was a discussion of how to get a job in Japan after finishing university here in Australia. They argued that the general tendency of companies in terms of employment is still conservative. However, speaker A did employ a single English expression during the third passage, which was again a discussion of job-hunting in Japan. In contrast to this, speaker B, who received the highest average frequency of codeswitches per turn out of all the
speakers, did not engage in code-switching at all during this discussion.

In the case of pair 2 (speaker C and D), they produced four passages. They engaged in some code-switching during the first and second passages, however there was no occurrence of code-switching during the third and fourth passages. During the third passage, speaker C responded to speaker D's question about 'is father's job. He then explained the type of job that his father has. During the fourth passage, the speakers discussed their return visit to Japan during the next summer holiday. Speaker D was explaining that he is going to attend his cousin's wedding ceremony. Although the third and fourth passages were rather short (0:26 and 0:28 minutes respectively), the discussions concerning speaker C's father and D's cousin, which were strongly related to a Japanese context, appears to have had a significant influence on the occurrence of code-switching.

On the other hand, pair 5 produced only two passages, even though one of them was one of the longest recorded amongst the pairs. During the first passage, which lasted a total of 10:03 minutes, speaker I and J made 35 turns, but both speakers engaged in only one instance of code-switching. Their discussion covered crucial issues affecting Japanese society, such as religion, welfare, and pollution. In the second passage, the emphasis changed to a criticism of a traditional custom in Japan - the end of year gifts. During this
discussion, speaker I employed only one English word while speaker J did not produce any.

In a similar way to pair 5, pair 6 (speaker K and L) also produced two passages which were relatively long. During the first passage speakers K and L made 11 and 12 turns respectively (for 6:36 minutes), but no code-switching occurred. They discussed the current depression in Japan, arguing about the historical evidence in the Japanese economy in order to find the possible causes of the depression. Unlike their first passage, in the second they discussed (for 6:00 minutes) the Imperial Throne, a topic which was heavily related to Japanese culture. During this discussion, speaker K used only one English word, and her partner, L, likewise employed only a single English word, which was repeated five times in succession, with the purpose of emphasising his opinion about the topic.

Topic 2
Passage 3
61. L: Sore wa ne, akogare to iu yori, moshi soo iwareru to sore wa sugoi pressure dato omoimasu yo. Gyaku ni iwareru, kore wa pressure desu yo.
62. K: Dare ga purasshhaa desuka?
63. L: Otoko ni totte wa sokushitsu o motte danshi o tsukuranakya ikenai nante josei nino pressure desu kedo danshi o agenakya ikenai koto jitai ga pressure desu yo.
64. K: Soo ne. Dansei no kata ni ichiban puresshhaa ga kakatte kuru kamo shiremasen ne. Ja kore wa yameta hoo ga ii wa. kawaii ne.

Translation
61. L: There is going to be big pressure on (him the Crown Prince), rather than considering it to be something fortunate if (he) is asked (to have a mistress), this will only put pressure on him.
62. K: Who feels pressure?
63. L: Of course the woman would feel pressure, but the man would feel more pressure because he would have to face greater consequences.
64. K: I agree with you. Perhaps there is more pressure on the man. I feel sorry (for the Crown Prince). It is not such a good idea.

65. L: This is pressure, big pressure on the Crown Prince.

Romaine (1995, p304), in her study of Panjabi/English bilingualism in Britain has found that language and culture are perceived to be closely related amongst Panjabi/English speakers. Her informants assert quite strongly that culture could not be presented without language. One of them pointed out the difficulty of translating meanings between languages as one aspect of the link between language and culture.

Similarly, an earlier study by Ervin-Tripp (1964), who considered topic to be one of the three major variables, along with 'speakers' and 'situation', explored the concept of congruence in regard to topic and code choice amongst Japanese bilinguals. In her study of Japanese bilinguals in the United States, the subjects were asked to speak about Japanese topics, in English, to a Japanese interlocutor. These topics were associated with Japanese culture, for example Japanese festivals, Japanese New Year's Day, and Japanese cooking. Ervin-Tripp found that this unsettled the speakers, causing them to hesitate, pause, and finally resort to using Japanese words. The topics which were the focus of pair five and pair six's discussion were very much linked to core aspects of Japanese culture, especially that concerning the Imperial Throne and the customary end of year gift giving. As a result, a very low frequency of code-switching occurred in these
instances. This would seem to support Ervin-Tripp's contention that topic does in fact influence code choice. All of the occurrences described above provided evidence that the topic (which was related to Japanese context) influenced the frequency of the code-switching.

Speaker G and H, who were of the same pair (4), demonstrated a very different pattern of code-switching use from the other speakers. That is, they engaged in code-switching more often during their discussion of the Japanese-related context than their discussion of topic 1 (work) (as Table 5.1). It appears that amongst this pair, the Japanese-related topic did not influence, in the same way, the occurrence of code-switching. An explanation of why this may have occurred amongst these speakers will be given after the discussions of the occurrence of code-switching in relation to the other topics.

Faltis (1989, p119) explains that coordinate bilinguals (who attribute partly or wholly different meanings to corresponding lexical units in the two languages) come to learn each of their languages in a different, and often independent, social setting. Within this setting are distinct linguistic and cultural associations. Similarly, Hasselmo (1970, p196) describes what he terms as 'lexical conditioning', that is, when terms and concepts are innately attached to a topic as it exists in one particular language. Since the participants in this current study were born in Japan, and their parents' native language is Japanese, all of them have officially learnt
English in Japan and expanded their English proficiency in an English-speaking country, such as Australia. Therefore, the speakers have different sets of associations for words in Japanese, and their 'equivalent' in English. In the case of the frequent use of English items by the eight speakers during their discussion on topic 1, and the English-speaking context in topic 2, it indicates that English expressions are both more meaningful, and come closer to reflecting 'reality' in their recently lived experience (especially in terms of those activities - work and study which consume most of their time and energies) in the Australian cultural setting.

Amongst the six younger age group speakers, whose discussion was focused on their study, the codeswitches which most frequently appeared were 'assignment', 'exam', 'semester', and the name of the course and unit they were actually taking. Other codeswitches were also made which were closely related to their study at university. However, some of the name of the units, courses, and terms which are used to represent academic results (e.g. 'distinction', 'high distinction', 'credit') were recognised as borrowings by the researcher, basically because there are no Japanese equivalents. Some codeswitches which they produced were general terms within the university, such as 'report', 'project', 'presentation', 'essay', 'major', 'elective', 'pass', 'fail', 'writing', 'grammar', 'lecturer', and 'research'. Other switches were closely associated with the individual's field of study, for instance 'environment', 'ethics', 'travel agency', 'insurance', and 'service'. However,
only two speakers (B and F) employed some English items which
were not related to their study at the university (e.g.
"disappointed", "unfair") in order to express their opinions or
feelings, rather than simply describing their study.

Unlike the students in the younger age group, the older age
speakers tended to engage in more code-switching during their
discussion of the neutral context rather than their work. One
explanation of this may be that people who have been living in
an English speaking environment for a lengthy period of time
have a greater ability to employ English expressions outside of
the more restricted context of their everyday situation, and
apply them to a much broader field of topics. In fact, of these
six speakers, four speakers have been in Australia more than
ten years (G: 19 years, H: 14 years, J: 13 years, K: 13 years).
Speaker H obtained the highest score throughout the course of
the discussions.

Bentahila and Davies (1998, p32) explain that "particular
individuals may differ in the extent to which they use each
language, depending on the nature of their work or the people
with whom they are most frequently in contact". Of the six
speakers of the older age group, three speakers (G, H, and J)
have an English-speaking spouse. Furthermore, speaker G has one
child who can only speak English, whilst speaker J has two
children, both of whom are bilingual. Therefore, these
speakers, as a greater means of communication, most frequently
use the English language when they are with their family. In
terms of jobs, all participants except one (speaker K) possess a full time job which requires English language proficiency, while speaker K often takes a temporary job which also requires English language proficiency. These circumstances may contribute to the fact that the older age group, unlike the younger students, has a much more varied repertoire of English expressions, as English is used not only within the domain of work, but also the domain of family.

Within the discussions of the 'neutral' category, most speakers in the older age group freely exchanged their opinions about such things as the politics and economy of Japan. Since these speakers have been away from Japan and the Japanese system for a long time, their discussion mostly took the form of a comparison between Japan and other countries, or the influence other countries have had on Japan. Since the speakers generally receive information about contemporary Japan in relation to global events through the media in the English language, their discussions employed a higher number of English codeswitches which would probably seem more realistic to them. In fact, in the sociolinguistic interview, most of them stated that their expressions derived from television news, the newspapers, and radio. An example of one of these passages (speaker G and H) is as follows:

Topic 2
29.G: Yappari koonattara ato wa kokumin no doryokushidai de atte, yappari sono aru imi de jibuntachi no riten to shiteiru seijika ga tateruyoona system ni kaete iku to iukoto ga hitotsu nanja nai kana.

30.H: Ano seijika jitai ga kawaranakutemo kokumin tte iuka ano global, zenbu global ni natte irudesho? Ano kigyou ni shitemo
In addition to this, these speakers (G and H), who are of the same pair, actually recorded a higher frequency of code-switching during their discussion within the Japanese-related context, rather than topic 1 (work). Although the researcher recognised four passages within topic 2 as being Japanese-related (as listed in the Table 5.2), there was not such a clear-cut distinction (as there were amongst the discussion of other pairs) between this category and the ‘neutral’ category. Since these speakers have been living in an English-speaking environment for a lengthy period of time, and also because they both have an English speaking spouse, the speakers tend to discuss Japan from a more international point of view. They are blurring boundaries between their lived, contemporary experience in Australia, and the former experience they were exposed to when living in Japan.

Another explanation of this may be that they choose one of the two languages according to their intentions, but not topic. A short interview over the telephone to speaker G by the researcher was done in order to obtain her comments on the result. The aim of this was to find the reason she employed
more code-switching in the Japanese-related context, rather than topic 1 (work). She explained that she tends to employ English expressions when the discussion centres on criticism in general. She believes that negative opinions in Japanese are typically expressed in a humble manner, because of the concept of 'self-modesty' in Japanese society. In fact, during their discussion of the Japanese-related context, speaker G and H focused on criticism towards such things as Japanese politicians and company policies. Speaker G therefore chose to employ English expressions rather than Japanese expressions in order to create a more assertive tone, which she is not able to produce in the Japanese language with its limitations and characteristic 'humble' manner. An example of one of passages (of speaker G and H) is as follows:

Topic2
7.G: Soona no, dakara yappari dooshite leader ga inaikatte iuto yahari aruteido kokusaiteki na level de katsuyaku shite itadakeruyoona seijika ga inalitekoto....
8.H: Demo sore wa watashi wa omookedo sore wa politician no mondaija nai to omoo.
9.G: Sore wa dare no mondaikashira?
10.H: Sore wa politician jishin no mondaija nakute politician ga erabu bureaucrats no nooryokuda to omoo, tte iunowa tatoeba politician tee iuno wa tokubetsu na ano nante iuno... very very particular job. Politician tte iuno wa tokuni nihon dewa otoosan, ojiisan, ojiisan ga politician ni natte sonomata musuko ga politician de tsunagatte iru janai?

Translation
7.G: That’s it. There is no politician who can actually work at the international level, this is the reason why there is no leader..
8.H: I don’t think that it has anything to do with politicians.
9.G: who them?
10.H: I don’t think that there is a problem with the politicians themselves, rather, it is the ability of the politicians to select capable bureaucrats. For example, politicians are special.. what can I say... very very particular job. Politician, especially in Japan, their fathers and (their) grand fathers, the grand father becomes a politician, then his son becomes a politician, and then his son becomes a politician too. It seems to me that politicians hand their job down through each generation, keeping it within the family.
Similarly, during the sociolinguistic interview, speaker H stated that she often uses English Expressions (e.g. 'yes', and 'no') when she wants to emphasise her strong belief in regard to certain issues. She feels that clearly expressing 'yes' or 'no' in the Japanese language might be considered abrupt, therefore the use of English expressions allows her to avoid these negative implications. This type of incident was obvious during the discussion of the Japanese-related context.

**Topic2**
**Passage 3**
54.H: Un kuni wa ugoite ikumonoda to seijika ga inakutemo.
55.G: Ya, hontoo?

**Translation**
54.H: Yes, a country can carry on without a leader.
55.G: Is it true?
56.H: Yes, yes, I believe that. A country carries on without a leader.

**Passage 4**
62.H: No, ano watashi wa seijika no nihonjin ni kansuru kagiri wa seijika no leadership wa zenzen nai to omotte imasu.
63.G: Aa, ja anata ga ossharuno wa nihon no baai ne seiji..
64.H: Yes, nihon no seijika ni as far as leadership is concerned, seijika no leadership wa nai to omoo.

**Translation**
62.H: No, as far as Japanese politicians are concerned they don't have leadership.
63.G: Oh, you're only talking of the Japanese case, aren't you?
64.H: Yes, in Japanese politicians' case, as far as leadership is concerned, I don't think they have leadership.

As the case of speaker G and H shows, code-switching can be engaged in as a discourse strategy which the speakers use to achieve certain goals. A further discussion of 'strategies' or 'intentions' amongst the speakers will be given after the discussions of the occurrence of code-switching in relation to the attitudes towards code-switching.
Davies and Bentahila (cited in Bentahila et al., 1998) however argue that, for the individual, the first acquired language or 'mother tongue' retains a dominance which is not displaced when a speaker, such as a migrant, moves into a second acquired language environment, even over long periods of time. The first acquired language therefore retains a special status, and is the language that the speaker will most closely identify with.

A long established and habitual use of English expressions gained, through their experiences in the English-speaking context, coupled with the special status that they hold in terms of the Japanese language, seems to reflect the patterns of code-switching use that they displayed. This fact, along with different time durations in the English-speaking country, led to the different patterns of code-switching use from the younger age group.

Clyne (1982, p108) explains that certain circumstances are closely and uniquely associated with a particular language. The two languages represent a contrast between the speaker's present and past or between the home and away-from-home setting. Since these speakers possess a habitual use in each language which is oriented from their experience in the Japanese cultural environment, and in the English-speaking context, the individual comes to incorporate into their linguistic repertoire those language elements which are inherent in the specific activity. In fact, some speakers in this study commented that the language choice often depends on
the context of the topic in which certain social activities are played out, these include: the place where the incidents happen/happened, and people who are/were presented or talk/talked to, if the discussion is associated with their own experiences or incidents.

This strong relationship between the occurrence of code-switching and topic seems to be based on the cultural distance between Japan and various English-speaking countries. For instance, in terms of the use of the English word 'lunch' in speaker L's utterance, he explained that since the episode about 'lunch' took place in Australia (an English-speaking context), and was associated with his colleagues (English speakers) in a 'lunch bar' that he went to, he employed the English word. The speaker also commented that if the episode about 'lunch' had taken place in Japan, and had been associated with his Japanese colleagues at a 'sobaya' (a Japanese noodle restaurant) he used to go to, he would have used a Japanese word 'hirugohan' ('lunch').

**Topic 1**

83.L: Tatoeba koko e kite saisho no toki ni, eeto ku-gatsu ni ijuu shite kitandesu kedo sono toshi no juuni-gatsu atsukattadesu yo. Moo konna atsui oosotoraria de shigoto shitetandesu kedo ne, reibo no nai heya de ne. Ohiru wa nyoobo ga lunch tsukutte kureru wake dewa naidesukara. Iwayuru nante iundesuka, lunch bar, lunch bar ka nanka ni itte katte taberundesukedo.....

**Translation**

83.L: For example, I emigrated here in September and that December it was so hot. I was working in the Australian heat without an air conditioner. Since my wife did not prepare lunch for me, I usually got take away from, what it is called... lunch bar, lunch bar.
Similarly, speaker B stated that she used the English phrase ‘social security’ in her utterance because of the context of the English-speaking setting (Australia). Her main point is that the use of English expressions is much more authentic than employing Japanese expressions for experiences which occur in Australia. However, if she had focused on the social security system within Japan, she probably would have employed the Japanese phrase ‘shakai hoshoo’ (‘social security’).

**Topic 2**


**Translation**

74.B: I like it here too, but there are some things which are inconvenient. For example, there is no insurance system like the Japanese one and also, people have trouble because of the (social security) system, which is different from the Japanese one. I feel that social security here is not good enough. If you go to see a dentist, it would cost you $1000, and then if you have the complete treatment it would be a total.

A great deal of the code-switching literature would seem to suggest that code-switching occurs mostly in informal, in-group interactions. Myers-Scotton (1998b, p98), for example, states that code-switching is typically an in-group mode of communication. This indicates that code-switching as a language choice is strongly correlated with interlocutors and settings, rather than topic. The predetermined relationship of the speakers in this current study translates to in-group conversations. The research design also ensured that the participants would be interacting in an informal situation.
Therefore, if friends are interacting in an informal situation, they will engage in code-switching during their discussion regardless of the topic.

However, the data from this study suggests that in general, topic, which was related to the Japanese cultural context, does in fact influence the frequency of code-switching amongst speakers. In other words, the speakers in this study employed each code (i.e. Japanese and English) according to the topic which attaches to a specific cultural domain. When the individual becomes immersed in that culture and takes an a specific and active role such as, for example, a student in a university or employee within a company, they become exposed to the terms and concepts which are inherently associated with these roles. The habitual exposure to such language is an important aspect in the forming of a particular code-switching behaviour.

Topic is an important factor which influences code-switching behaviour. However, just as important are other, perhaps more internal factors, such as intentions and strategies of the speaker. What should be taken into account is that some topics set the opportunity for speakers employ English words and expressions in order to achieve certain outcomes. Further discussion on these internal factors will be forthcoming in a later section.
5.1.5 The Relationship Between the Frequency of Code-switching and the Gender of the Interlocutor

The data in Table 5.3 shows that of the six females, two speakers (speaker B and H), both of whom participated in an entirely female pair, marked the highest individual average number of codeswitches amongst the entire group (0.9 and 0.7) per turn throughout the course of the recording sessions. However, the partners of these two high scoring individuals (speakers A and G) did not achieve the same kind of high score, only 0.3 and 0.29 respectively. These scores share a similarity with one of the other females who participated in a mixed sex pair (speaker E: 0.35). On the other hand, the other female within a mixed pair (speaker K) marked the lowest number of codeswitches per turn (0.18) amongst all of the female speakers.

In contrast to this, the male speaker (of an entirely male pair) who recorded the highest average number of codeswitches per turn (speaker D: 0.67), had an interlocutor (speaker C) who recorded 0.4. These scores in fact are the highest recorded amongst all the males both in same sex and mixed pairs. Speaker I, who was in the other entirely male pair, made the lowest average number of codeswitches per turn (0.1) amongst all of the subjects both male and female, (while his interlocutor [speaker J] recorded 0.34). In addition, two male speakers (F and L), who were of a mixed pair, scored a relatively low average number of codeswitches per turn, which were 0.13 and 0.15 respectively.
Moreover, it appears that the same sex pairs, both in the younger and older age group categories, recorded a higher number of codeswitches per turn, than their mixed pair counterparts. For example, in the younger age group category, the all male and all female pairs recorded a $\bar{X} = 0.56$, compared to a mixed pair mean of $\bar{X} = 0.24$. In the older age group the all male and all female pairs scored a $\bar{X} = 0.33$, compared to a mixed pair $\bar{X} = 0.17$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total Topic1 &amp; topic2</th>
<th>Combined average for the pair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>1 A</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>0.3 (39/134)</td>
<td>0.6 (159/268)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>0.9 (120/134)</td>
<td>0.4 (159/268)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 C</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.4 (55/139)</td>
<td>0.53 (147/277)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.67 (92/138)</td>
<td>0.53 (147/277)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 E</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>0.35 (74/209)</td>
<td>0.24 (101/416)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.13 (27/207)</td>
<td>0.24 (101/416)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older</td>
<td>4 G</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>0.29 (28/96)</td>
<td>0.5 (94/190)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>0.7 (66/94)</td>
<td>0.5 (94/190)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 I</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.1 (14/134)</td>
<td>0.22 (59/267)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.34 (45/133)</td>
<td>0.22 (59/267)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 K</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>0.18 (16/90)</td>
<td>0.17 (30/181)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.15 (14/91)</td>
<td>0.17 (30/181)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results show that firstly, of the twelve speakers, the three speakers who most frequently engaged in code-switching were the speakers who had same-sex partners. Their partners, however, did not generally display the same tendency, although in one instance the divergence was not so significant. Secondly, the three pairs who demonstrated this relatively frequent occurrence of code-switching were the two entirely
female pairs, and one entirely male pair. Third, of the two mixed pairs, the speakers of one pair both obtained a similar score to each other (they recorded a quite low frequency of code-switching).

On the whole, only two speakers C and D (both of the same entirely male pair) received a relatively higher average number of codeswitches throughout all of the topics. Thus it turns out that it is difficult to establish a clear relationship between the frequency of code-switching, and the gender of the interlocutor. In other words, same-sex partners did not increase the frequency of code-switching.

McGregor and Li Wei (1991) investigated the patterns of language choice amongst Chinese students at the University of Newcastle upon Tyne. In this study, a sample of 117 Chinese students were asked to respond to 50 questions. These were designed to gather information in regards to 1) personal information about the respondents 2) language attitudes 3) language choice in a variety of situations. In connection to this last category, six hypothetical ‘types of interlocutors’ were listed. These included fellow students of the same gender, fellow students of the opposite gender, older local Chinese, younger local Chinese, strangers and people of a higher social status, and in the presence of third party. It was found that, in terms of language choice patterns for the various types of interlocutors, the Chinese language was used for the hypothetical interlocutors in most of the cases. The study also
found that code-switching was used in equal proportion for both the same gender, and opposite gender amongst the peer group. They conclude that their subjects are, by and large, Chinese-dominant bilinguals, and that code-switching occurs most frequently amongst in-group interactions. This study shows that among in-group members, code-switching occurs regardless of whether their interlocutor is of the same, or opposite gender. Similarly, in this current study, the gender of the interlocutor does not appear to influence the occurrence or frequency of code-switching.

5.2 Types of Code-switching

Stretches of code-switched items are either intersentential or intrasentential. Intersentential code-switching involves switches from one language in terms of whole sentences. It can occur within an utterance, and also between speaker turns. Intrasentential code-switching occurs within the same sentence, from the single word to the clausal level (more than a single word, including one finite verb). In this study, the participants engaged in both intersentential and intrasentential code-switching. These are examples of both types of code-switching from the data of this study:

1) Intersentential code-switching
64.H: Nihon de nihon no jooshi no tame ni hataraitakoto wa arimasu? 'Have you ever worked for a Japanese boss?'
65.G: Naidesu ne. 'No, I haven't.'

2) Intrasentential code-switching
In this study, the most common switches were intrasentential code-switching, especially single word. In fact, of the twelve speakers, only one participant engaged in intersentential code-switching. Therefore, only four of the categories previously outlined in the section 5.1.2 will be employed in order to establish the relationship between the types of codeswitching which occurs (single word, phrase, clause, and multiple switches) and the variables of topic and gender.

5.2.1 Types of Code-Switching in Relation to Topics

Table 5.4 shows that all participants produced both single and phrase switches for topic 1 (study or work). In fact, across all the topics, topic one came to record the highest total number of single and phrase switches (single switches: 4.19, phrase switches: 0.65). Topic one recorded no clause switches, whilst only one speaker (H) produced multi-clausal codeswitches and sentence codeswitches (intersentential code-switching). This in fact was the only instance of intersentential code-switching recorded throughout the entire research.

The data in Table 5.5 shows that single switches were likewise the most frequently recorded category of code-switching used by the majority of subjects in topic two. Phrase switches were produced by two speakers (B and E) within the Japanese related context, by two speakers (B and H) within the English-speaking context, and by four speakers (A, B, E, and F) within the 'neutral' category. This shows that of the twelve participants, only speaker B produced both single and phrase switches across
the topics. Moreover, this speaker also received the highest and the second highest average number of phrase switches per turn (0.35 and 0.33) for the topics which referred to the neutral context and the English context respectively. While only one speaker (H) produced clause switches for both the Japanese related context and neutral context, and multi-clausal switches for the Japanese related context. The interesting thing is that no one produced clause and multiple-clause switches for the topic which referred to the English-speaking context.

In addition to this, the four speakers (A, B, E and F) who produced phrase switches within the 'neutral' category were all participants of the younger age group, even though, as can be seen in Table 5.1, the total average number of codeswitches per turn is lower than that of the older age group within this category. Furthermore, the two speakers who produced phrase codeswitches within the Japanese related context were also members belonging to the participants in the younger age group. Within the English-speaking context, two speakers produced phrase switches, one from the younger age group (B), and one from the older age category (H).
Table 5.4 Average Number of Different Types of Codeswitches per Turn by Each Speaker in Relation to Topic 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker (Younger)</th>
<th>Intra-S CS</th>
<th>Inter-S CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Phrase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.93</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.47</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.26</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.18</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.19</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.65</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5.5 Average Number of Different Types of Code switches per Turn by Each Speaker in Relation to Topic 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker (Younger)</th>
<th>(content) Japanese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-sentential code switches</td>
<td>SPCM-C</td>
<td>SPCM-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.06 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.36 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.23 0.08 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>5/14</td>
<td>6/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.32 0.11 0 0</td>
<td>0.17 0.33 0 0</td>
<td>0.5 0.35 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/19</td>
<td>2/19</td>
<td>2/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.15 0 0 0</td>
<td>- - - -</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.14 0 0 0</td>
<td>- - - -</td>
<td>0.2 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.15 0.05 0 0</td>
<td>0.6 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.32 0.22 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/55</td>
<td>3/55</td>
<td>3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.4 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.09 0.06 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>3/33</td>
<td>2/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>0.82 0.16 0 0</td>
<td>1.53 0.33 0 0</td>
<td>1.34 0.7 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker (Older)</th>
<th>(content) Japanese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-sentential code switches</td>
<td>SPCM-C</td>
<td>SPCM-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.31 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.33 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/9</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.63 0 0.06 0.06</td>
<td>0.18 0.25 0 0</td>
<td>0.39 0.03 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/35</td>
<td>2/35</td>
<td>1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.02 0 0 0</td>
<td>- - - -</td>
<td>0.14 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>0.05 0 0 0</td>
<td>- - - -</td>
<td>0.05 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.03 0 0 0</td>
<td>- - - -</td>
<td>0.67 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.16 0 0 0</td>
<td>- - - -</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>1.2 0 0.06 0.06</td>
<td>0.71 0.25 0 0</td>
<td>2.05 0.03 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2.02 0.16 3.06 0.06</td>
<td>2.24 0.58 0 0</td>
<td>3.39 0.7 0.03 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4 and 5.5 reveal that firstly, single switches appeared the most frequently throughout the topics. Second, all participants produced both single and phrase switches within topic 1, whilst less phrase switches were employed within topic 2. Only one speaker demonstrated use of all types of code-switching, ranging from, single, phrase, clause, multi-clause...
(both within topic 1 and 2) and sentence codeswitching (intersentential code-switching) (This occurred only in topic 1)

These results indicate that the speakers employed more phrase switches during their discussion of topic 1 (study or work). Many English phrases are used to express certain things, such as the name of a unit, system, section, or job. These English phrases seemed to be employed as a single unit. For instance, environment science, double major, a summer school, a cake room, day shift, night shift, and public servant.

An interesting phenomenon was that a few phrases appeared to be a combination of a Japanese numeral and English words, these include: juuni point (‘twelve point[s]’), and ni semester (‘the second semester’). The (younger) speakers who demonstrated this type of code-switching commented that they tried to avoid their utterances becoming more English dominated. These phrases are in fact interchangeable, that is, the switched item can remain constant (as in the cases above), whilst the numeral, which is spoken in Japanese, can be subject to change. This differs from the situation where phrases are employed as a single unit because these words are not interchangeable in the same way. This can partly account for the frequent use of single word switches across topics amongst the speakers.

**Topic 1**

55.E: Ja sore ga dekinakkatara (institution’s name) toka de yaru no? Ja tsugi no semester de juuni point toranakkatara summer school de yaranakya dame? Ma, docchi ni shitemo...
Translation
55.E: If you can't take it, then are you going to do it at
(institution's name)? if you don't get twelve points for the
next semester, do you have to do it in summer school?

35.B: De benkyoo do? Nan semester me korede?
36.A: Eeto ne. Ni semester, san semester atto, chigau ninenme ni
naruno kana.

Topic 1
35.B: So, how's your study going? Which semester is this for you?
36.A: Ah.. the second semester, the third semester, no, this is the
second year.

Translation
17.D: ....but we had a different lecturer, this semester, and it made
the unit much more difficult. I had a funny story when the old
lecturer with us. I got about 50% in my first assignment.

86.C: Oredatte law yaranakya ikenaindakara. Ore mo kon semester, law
ga arukara ne. Dooshiyoo kana.

Translation
86.C: I also have to learn law. I have a unit studying law this
semester. What should I do?

Even though the older age category speakers produced a higher
overall average number of code switches per turn within the
neutral category, the younger age group speakers produced
specifically more phrase switches when compared to the older
age group members. The discussion amongst one of the younger
pairs (pair 1: speaker A and B) centred on the ‘millennium
bug’, since both speakers' study was related to computer
engineering. Therefore, most of the English phrases which were
employed during their discussion, derived from the speakers' study at university (e.g. embedded system, data system). Pair 2
(speaker E and F) discussed atomic energy, which was related to speaker E’s study, also at university. English phrases, such as ‘capital cost’, and ‘unlimited growth’ were used during this course of discussion. Additionally, the discussion between speaker C and D, (pair 3) was about a friend of speaker C, and no phrase switches were employed within the ‘neutral’ category. Thus, it appeared that the use of the younger speakers phrase switches was based on their restricted knowledge acquired through study at university.

Topic 2
14.B: Soo toriaezu naka ni moo sono data system, embedded system ga naka ni haitte irumono wa subete soonanda yone. Dakara hontoo ni konpuuttaa dake’ja nakutte ningen ga kangarerareru han’i de koko wa kore haitte iru kara naosanakya naranai tte iunde hitotsuzutsu imamade nannenka de naoshitekite mochiron daigaku toka government toka soo iu tokoro no organisation no konpuuttaa tokamo fix up sareterunda kedo, demo doko ni irerare te iruka kizuite inai kaisha toka mo arushi, ato chuushookigyoo toka soo iu mono ni okane o kakeru budget ga naikurai no reberu no chuushookigyoo toka no kaisha tokamo moshi nakattari surukara, maka chuugata no kigyou wa chanto .atte iru to omoo kedo...

Translation
14.B: That’s right. Anything containing a data system or embedded system is going to be effected. Actually, several things have been fixed up, one by one, including the computers in the government and organisation. But still, many things haven’t been fixed up, because we don’t know about all the items which are contained in the system. And also, small-scale companies have only a small budget for doing such things. Middle scale companies can afford to do it, I think...

5.2.2 Types of Code-switching in Relation to the Gender of the Interlocutor

The data in Table 5.6 illustrates that entirely female pairs in both the younger (pair 1: A and B) and the older age groups (pair 4: G and H) recorded a relatively higher than average number of single switches per turn. In fact, of the twelve subjects, speaker B received the highest average number of
single switches per turn (1.89), while her partner (speaker A), scored 0.9. On the other hand, speakers G and H, who together comprised the other entirely female pair in the older age group, marked a similar score between each other for single switches (G: 1.61, H: 1.64). However, the total average number of codeswitches per turn by each of these individuals was quite different (G: 0.29, H: 0.7), since speaker H, unlike her partner (G), engaged in a range of codeswitching behaviour which was scattered across the various typologies (single, phrase, clause, and multiple clause).

The same phenomenon was recorded by the other paired speakers, but in the category of phrase switches. They were C and D (an entirely male pair in the younger age category), I and J (an entirely male pair in the older age category), and K and L (a mixed pair in the older age category). All of these speakers obtained a similar average number of phrase switches per turn. (C: 0.05 and D: 0.04, I: 0.04 and J: 0.02, K: 0.02 and L: 0.04). However, again there was a difference in the total average number of codeswitches per turn by each individual, except in the case of the paired speakers K and L (C: 0.4 and D: 0.67, I: 0.1 and J: 0.34, K: 0.18 and L: 0.15).
Table 5.6 Types of Code-switching and Gender (of the Interlocutor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Speaker Gender</th>
<th>Intra-S CS</th>
<th>Inter-S CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Phrase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A f</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B f</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C m</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>D m</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E f</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F m</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>G f</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H f</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>J m</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>K f</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>L m</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results show that of the six pairs, five speakers recorded a similar average number of either single or phrase switches per turn, when compared to their partners across the topics. This occurred even though the total average number of switches per turn differed from their partners. Moreover, amongst these speakers, all the speakers who were paired with an interlocutor of the opposite gender produced a similar average number of phrase switches per turn. This indicates that the frequency of the certain type of code-switching as they are being produced by the speakers if this study is somehow being influenced by their partner. One clear piece of evidence is that speaker H received a similar average number of single switches per turn when compared to her partner, even though
speaker H demonstrated different types of code-switching use across the topics.

5.3 Attitudes Towards English and Japanese

In this section, the relationship between the attitudes towards both the Japanese and English languages, and the occurrence of code-switching amongst the speakers will be discussed. Firstly, in order to gain the respondent's general attitude toward the two languages, of a set of 15 statements, answers were elicited from the questionnaire and their results were tabulated. Then the average score of the evaluation amongst the speakers (Table 5.7) was calculated. Second, the average scores of the evaluation of two languages by each speaker were also calculated (Table 5.8). Third, in order to elicit more detail of the speakers' attitudes towards English, ten questions were asked in another questionnaire. An overall average score of the evaluation amongst the speakers as well as by each speaker, was tabulated (Table 5.9 and 5.10).

5.3.1 General Attitudes Towards English and Japanese

The result of the first section of the questionnaire shows that most speakers positively evaluated both Japanese and English. Table 5.7 shows that in the evaluation of the English language, statement 6 ('English is a language worth learning') was the most highly ranked by the speakers (4.67). For statement 7, which received the second highest positive evaluation, ('English is useful for talking about study/work'), the average evaluation score was 4.42. While, for statement 8 ('Japanese is
useful for expressing my feelings’) and for statement 9
(‘Japanese is useful for corresponding with my best friend’),
the average evaluation score was 4.50 and 4.33 respectively.
Moreover, statement 4 (‘I like talking in Japanese’), received
an average score 3.92, which was slightly higher than the
evaluation score of English. Out of the 15 statements
concerning both English and Japanese, three statements (5, 10
and 15) were negatively posed. These statements were ranked
relatively low. For instance, for statement 10
(‘English/Japanese has no place in the modern world’), the
average evaluation scores were 1.83 (English) and 2.42
(Japanese). Statement 15 (‘English/Japanese is no longer
important’) scored 1.83 and 1.75 respectively. On the other
hand, statement 5, (‘There are more useful languages to learn
than Japanese’) scored 3.92, while the same statement about
English scored 2.92.

Table 5.7 Evaluation of English and Japanese

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I like hearing Eng/Jap spoken</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I prefer to watch the TV News in Eng/Jap rather than in Japanese</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Eng/Jap is essential in order to take part fully in life</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I like talking in Eng/Jap</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. There are more useful languages to learn than Eng/Jap</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Eng/Jap is a language worth learning</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Eng/Jap is useful for talking about studies/work</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Eng/Jap is useful for expressing my feelings</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Eng/Jap is useful for corresponding with my best friend</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Eng/Jap has no place in the modern world</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. We need to perfect Eng/Jap</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I prefer to be spoken to in Eng/Jap</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. If I have children, I would like them to be Eng speaking/to speak Jap only</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. I would like to marry an Eng/Jap speaker</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Eng/Jap language is no longer important</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The speakers' positive evaluation of both English and Japanese may be due to the existence of different roles for each language, which operate amongst the speakers. This can be explained by the speakers' evaluation for statement 7, ('English/Japanese is useful for talking about studies/work'), 8, ('English/Japanese is useful for expressing my feelings'), and 9, ('English/Japanese is useful for corresponding with my best friend').

In fact, for statement 7, seven of the twelve speakers (A, B, C, E, I, K, and L) ranked 5 ('strongly agree'), three speakers (F, G, and J) evaluated English slightly higher than they did Japanese, and two speakers (D and H) evaluated Japanese higher than they did English. For statement 8, six speakers (A, C, D, E, H, and K) ranked 5 for Japanese, three speakers (B, F, and G) evaluated Japanese slightly higher than they did English, and three speakers (I, J, and L) evaluated equally both English and Japanese.

These attitudes toward the two languages reflected the patterns of code-switching amongst the speakers. For example, firstly, a higher frequency of codeswitches which was demonstrated by most of the speakers during topic 1 (study or work) is evidence of this. Second, the first acquired language (i.e. Japanese) retains a dominance which is not displaced even though some speakers in this study have been residing more than ten years in a second acquired language environment. In fact, only a few
speakers employed English items to express their feelings through the course of recording sessions.

Although most speakers positively evaluated both Japanese and English, many of them evaluated English slightly higher than they did Japanese. That is, of the twelve speakers, nine speakers (A, C, F, G, H, I, J, K, and L) evaluated English slightly higher than they did Japanese, and one speaker (B) ranked both languages equally. Only two speakers (D and E) evaluated Japanese higher than they did English. Additionally, these speakers (D and E), who rank English lower than Japanese, are from the younger age group. On the other hand, speakers I and K, who are of the older age category, most positively evaluated English amongst all of the twelve speakers (I: 4.1, K: 4.5).

Table 5.8 Average Evaluation Score of English and Japanese by Each Speaker and Average Number of Codeswitches per Turn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Younger</th>
<th>Older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A  B</td>
<td>C  D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>3.6 3.7</td>
<td>3.9 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>3.1 3.7</td>
<td>3.3 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>0.3 0.9</td>
<td>0.4 0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Average numbers per turn)

For those statements in the negative form (5, 10 and 15) the score has been inverted so as to conform to the dominant (i.e. positively evaluated) scale.

A more positive evaluation for English rather than Japanese amongst most of the speakers indicates that English holds a
preferred status. As the researcher has discussed in the section ‘status of English’ in Chapter 2, this is due to the fact that English is one of the most prestigious disciplines in the educational system in Japan. At both middle school, and senior high school, the study of the English language is built into the school’s program, even though no special language is required in the national curriculum. In fact, of the twelve speakers, four speakers (F, G, H, and J) had studied English for six years at both middle and senior high school. Similarly, the remaining eight speakers (A, B, C, D, E, I, K, and L) had studied English for more than six years. Furthermore, four speakers (A, C, D, and I) had taken one of the standardised English examinations (e.g. IELTS, TOEFL).

The speakers’ positive evaluation of English, based on its preferred status, is represented in the scores elicited by statement 6 and 11. For statement 6 (‘English is a language worth learning’), seven speakers (A, B, C, F, H, K, and L) scored 5, however most of them also positively evaluated Japanese. Similarly, for statement 11 (‘We need to perfect English’), three speakers (B, K, and L) scored 5, six speakers (A, C, G, H, I, and J) scored 4. Whist one speaker (D), and two speakers (E and F) scored 3 and 2 respectively. In addition to this, in terms of statement 5 (‘there are more useful languages to learn than English/Japanese’), four of the speakers’ (A, B, D, and E) responses were in the ‘agree’ for English (the remaining eight subjects recorded responses which ranged from somewhat A/D to S/D). For the Japanese alternative in the same
statement, eleven speakers' (A, B, C, D, E, F, H, I, J, K, and L) responses were 'strongly agree'. This response may be thought of as a reflection of this attitude, that is, the esteem in which the English language is held, and in the case of the Japanese alternative to this statement, because it already exists as the first acquired.

These scores however do not clearly reflect the frequency of code-switching amongst the speakers as it occurred in this study. Table 5.8 shows that even though speaker I and K evaluated English higher than the other speakers, both speakers produced a relatively low average number of codeswitches per turn (I: 0.1, K: 0.18). On the other hand, speaker B, whose evaluation of the two languages was very much the same at 3.7 for each, marked the highest average number of codeswitches amongst all these speakers (0.9). Similarly, speaker H, who employed various types of codeswitches and recorded a high average number of switches, evaluated English slightly higher than Japanese, just as most of the other speakers did. Moreover, speaker D received the third highest average number of codeswitches per turn, but his evaluation of English was the lowest out of all the twelve speakers.

5.3.2 Instrumental and Integrative Attitudes Towards English

Unlike the statements in the initial section of this questionnaire which attempted to elicit the speakers' general attitudes towards both Japanese and English, the second portion was aimed at the elicitation of instrumental and integrative
attitudes toward English only. An instrumental attitude derives from pragmatic or utilitarian motives, while an integrative attitude to language may concern attachment to, or identification with, a speech community (Gardner and Lambert, 1972, p14). Baker (1992, p32) quotes examples of instrumental and integrative items taken from Gardner (1985) Attitude/Motivation Test Battery. These are follows:

Instrumental items
Studying French can be important to me because I think it will some-day be useful in getting a job.
Studying French can be important for me because it will make me a more knowledgeable person.

Integrative items
Studying French can be important for me because it will allow me to meet and converse with more and varied people.
Studying French can be important for me because other people will respect me more if I have knowledge of a foreign language.

Baker (1992, P32) discusses the fact that the casting of language attitudes under these two headings (instrumental and integrative) has often been criticised. One of the major criticisms concerns the tradition of measurement of these two orientations. Since the studies carried out by Gardner and Lambert in 1959, the methods which have been employed to measure both integrativeness and instrumentality have typically been diverse and small in number. For example in Gardner's study, only four questions were directly aimed at measuring integrative and instrumental attitude. This has led to questions being raised as to the reliability of such a measurement. Nevertheless, this distinction integrative and instrumental attitude has, from its inception, been thought of
as conceptual rather than empirical. In other words, it could be said that a 'common-sense' perception should prevail, even with the obvious inadequacies in the measurement process.

In this current study, five distinct questions were used for each instrumental and integrative attitude. As the study is interpretive, with quantitative analysis only complementing what are primarily qualitative assertions, the small number of questions employed should be sufficient for the occurrence of code-switching in relation to attitude towards English amongst the speakers who took part in this study. Of the ten items in this questionnaire, five questions were used to determine instrumental attitude, whilst another five questions were chosen to elicit integrated attitudes. The questionnaire stated as how important or unimportant do you think the English language is for people to do the following? There are no right or wrong answers.

(i) Instrumental attitudes
item 2 To earn plenty of money
item 5 Get a job
item 6 Become smarter
item 7 Go shopping
item 10 To do business overseas

(ii) Integrative attitudes
item 1 To make friends
item 3 Read
item 4 Write
item 8 Be accepted in the community
item 9 Talk to people out of university/work

Each speaker was asked to evaluate each item, and place their answer within one of these categories: 'important' (4 points),
'not so important' (3 points), 'relatively unimportant' (2 points), and 'unimportant' (1 point).

Table 5.9 shows that of the twelve speakers, only two (A and D) received higher average scores for 'instrumental' items than they did for 'integrative' items. On the other hand, six speakers (B, C, E, G, I, and L) obtained high average scores for 'integrative' items than they did for 'instrumental' items. The remaining four speakers (F, H, J, and K) scored equally both in terms of the 'instrumental' and 'integrative' items. Additionally, it is significant to note that none of the speakers in the older age group evaluated 'instrumental' items higher than they did 'integrative' items, though half of this age group scored equally on both items.

Table 5.9 Average Scores of an Instrumental and Integrative Attitudes by Each Speaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEAKER</th>
<th>Younger</th>
<th>Older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Switches (Average numbers per turn)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Younger</th>
<th>Older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.10 shows also that most of the speakers marked as 'important' both item 5 ('Get a job') and 10 ('To do business overseas') which fell within the category of 'instrumental' attitudes (nine and eleven speakers respectively). Within the
category of 'integrative' attitudes, item 1 ('To make friends') was evaluated as 'important' by ten of the speakers. The other three items (3. 'Read', 4. 'Write', and 8. 'Be accepted in the community) were evaluated as 'important' by nine speakers, eight speakers and nine speakers respectively. In terms of item 8 ('Be accepted in the community'), all of the older speakers responded to this as being 'important'. On the other hand, for item 9 ('Talk to people out of university/work) within this integrative category only five speakers (A, B, E, F, and K) responded as being 'important'. Interesting enough, four speakers out of the five belong to the younger age group.

Table 5.10 Elicited Responses for Each Item by Each Speaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrumental</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. To earn plenty of money</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Get a job</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Become smarter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Go shopping</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. To do business overseas</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrative</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. To make friends</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Read</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Write</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Be accepted in the community</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Talk to people out of university/work</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results show that most speakers possess favourable instrumental and integrative attitudes, though there are some different evaluations between the younger and the older age speakers. The younger speakers (A and D) who recorded higher scores for the instrumental attitude may be expressing their motivation for getting a better job in Japan. Their perception is that their improved English capacity will give them an edge over others in their struggle to gain a good job. In fact,
during the course of the recording session, both speakers clearly emphasised both anxiety, and the hope for possibly getting a job after completing their course at university. A less favourable attitude towards item 8 ('Be accepted in the community') amongst the younger speakers rather than the older speakers might be due to the fact that they are overseas students, and most of them are planning to go back to Japan after finishing their course at university. Therefore, perhaps they have no strong future links to the community. Yet, the younger speakers' more favourable scores than those of the older speakers for item 9 ('Talk to people out of university/work') illustrate that they do have the will to expand their social network and social activities outside of the university.

Romaine (1995, p315) argues that migrants in particular may feel as though they are caught in a cultural no-mans-land. That is, after severing ties with their native country, and not being fully absorbed into their present environment, they may be overwhelmed by a feeling of anxiety at not belonging to either. The term 'anomie' has been used to describe such a feeling of disorientation. This statement may be an explanation for why all the older speakers demonstrated favourable scores for the item 'Be accepted in community'. In fact, speaker K commented that one of her strong motivations for acquiring the English language is establishing a strong link to the community in Australia. She believes that her difficulty in assimilation with English-speaking people here is based on her poor
proficiency in the English language. On the other hand, the older speakers received less favourable scores for the item 'Talk to people out of work'. This may indicate that they have already established some form of social network here.

The results again are not representative of the occurrence of code-switching since the speakers' evaluations for the items were diverse and particularistic. For instance, speaker B, who most frequently engaged in code-switching, and speaker I, who received the lowest average number of switches per turn, marked very similar average scores of both 'instrumental' (speaker B: 3.4, speaker I: 3.2) and 'integrative' attitude (both speakers B and I: 3.8). On the other hand, speaker H, who both employed various types of code-switches, and recorded the second highest number of switches, recorded the lowest average scores of both 'instrumental' and 'integrative' attitude amongst the speakers (both 2.8). Moreover, although speaker K marked as 'important' all of the items, the frequency of switches did not represent her favourable attitude towards these two attitudinal aspects.

The results of the elicited responses for 'instrumental' and 'integrative', for each item, by each speaker, may be expressed in terms of a rank order of attitudes given to English. Table 5.11 shows that there is quite a balanced response between integrative and instrumental responses, and also a tight clustering of mean scores.
Table 5.11 Ranked Responses of Both Instrumental and Integrative Responses and the Speakers' Corresponding Means Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of Importance</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>To do business overseas</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Read</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>Get a job</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>To make friends</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>Write</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>Be accepted in the community</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To earn plenty of money</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Talk to people out of university/work</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Become smarter</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Go shopping</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Attitude Towards Code-switching

As the results from the questionnaires which elicited the speakers' 'general' attitude towards both the Japanese and English languages, and 'instrumental' and 'integrative' attitudes toward the English language have shown, most of the speakers hold relatively positive views. However, these attitudes seem not to represent the occurrence of code-switching. In this section, each speaker's attitude towards code-switching in relation to its occurrence will be investigated. Each speaker was asked their opinion with regard to the mixing of Japanese and English, during the course of the interview. This is the first point in time the researcher had actually made any mention of the 'mixing' of languages. The questions were firstly, 'Do you hear a mixing of Japanese and English at university/college/or at work? If so when do they speak like that?', second, 'Do you mix English and Japanese when you speak? When and to whom do you speak like that?', and
third, 'What are your views on the mixing of English and Japanese? Why do you think people mix the two languages?'.

For the first two questions (Do you hear a mixing of Japanese and English at university/college/or at work? If so when do they speak like that?), all of the participants responded that they do often hear people mixing Japanese and English at university/work. For example, all of the younger speakers stated that students frequently engage in 'language-mixing' when they talk about study to close friends. Of the six younger students, two speakers (A and E), who both have part time jobs at different Japanese restaurants, reported that they hear their coworkers mixing Japanese and English at work. According to speaker A, this often occurs when they instruct new waitresses about the job, or even when they talk to customers. Speaker A considers these incidents as a natural phenomenon amongst bilinguals, while speaker E commented that it is considered rude. She feels that older people and one's boss would feel uncomfortable or even slightly offended if they were spoken to in this manner.

Similarly, the older speakers reported that they often hear bilingual coworkers mixing Japanese and English when they interact in a casual situation. One states however that these people do not engage in code-switching in a formal situation, for instance, when they talk with their boss. Speaker G comments that her coworkers mix two languages not only during casual conversations, but also when they ask their coworkers to
do certain tasks (e.g. asking to make a photocopy). Most of the older speakers state that people engage in code-switching only when they interact with bilinguals, not monolinguals.

In terms of the second group of questions (*Do you mix English and Japanese when you speak? When and to whom do you speak like that?*), all of the younger speakers commented that they mix the two languages when they talk to their close friends, who can speak both English and Japanese, at university and at work. Two speakers (E and F) reported that they tend to codeswitch more frequently when they talk about study, rather than other things. Speaker E states that she always tries to avoid mixing the two languages when she interacts with older people. Another speaker (C) commented that he tries not to do so, if he talks with someone who has just come to Australia, or with a monolingual person.

The older speakers reported that they mix the languages when they talk to people who have been residing in Australia, and not people who are visiting here. Unlike the younger students, the older speakers emphasise that they try to speak without mixing the two languages to a Japanese person at first, even though they know the person is capable of understanding English. Speaker G commented that if the person keeps talking in Japanese only, speaker G will also continue to talk to the person without mixing the languages. Yet, if the person eventually begins to mix English and Japanese, then speaker G
will converge with the person's code-switching discourse, even if it is the first time they have ever spoken to each other.

Speaker L, on the other hand, states that he mixes the languages when he talks to anyone who has been residing in Australia, because he assumes that code-switching discourse is a common occurrence amongst bilinguals. Unlike speaker L, speaker I explains that he tries not to mix the languages if he speaks to an older person, or a person who is not close to him. Moreover, speaker H commented that she sometimes uses English expressions when she interacts with her boss. She does this in order to create a certain 'mood', in some certain instances, one of informality and casualness, that is not particularly easy to achieve when adhering to the more formal structures of Japanese discourse.

The third group of questions *(What are your views on the mixing of English and Japanese? Why do you think people mix the two languages?)* are direct questions aimed at eliciting the speakers' opinions about the occurrence of code-switching. Of the six younger speakers, four positively evaluated the practice of code-switching. They commented that it is a natural phenomenon amongst Japanese people who have been residing in an English-speaking country. Two speakers (B and C) pointed out that employing English expressions is useful for expressing certain things, and bilinguals choose one of the language items according to what they are talking about. Speaker C states that mixing English and Japanese is an indication of cultural
assimilation. Speaker D comments that embedding English expressions in a Japanese sentence is acceptable, since a huge number of English words find themself in the Japanese language and common in a Japanese society, but not whole English sentences. Speaker D however expresses a little anxiety for his future life in Japan, due to his habitual use of English expressions.

Two speakers (E and F) negatively evaluated the occurrence of code-switching. Speaker E commented that Japanese people should separate the two languages (English and Japanese). She assumes that people mix the two languages because they have gradually come to forget their first language. She also believes that some people mix the languages on purpose, to 'show-off' their English language proficiency. According to her, in most cases, those who mix the languages the most are the ones who have been residing in Australia for only a relatively short period of time. Similarly, speaker F thinks that the mixing of the two languages is an indication of a lack of competence in the Japanese vocabulary.

Of the older speakers, three speakers (J, K and L) positively evaluated the occurrence of code-switching. For instance, speaker J thinks that mixing the two languages constitutes habitual behaviour amongst bilingual people, and therefore it is what could be termed a 'natural' incident. Speaker K similarly states that it is acceptable in an English-speaking context. It is the bilinguals' unique prerogative based on
their proficiency in both English and Japanese. She points out that there are some advantages in the mixing of languages, such as: firstly, that it is usually efficient to express actual meanings; second, it can be used for a euphemistic purpose; and third, using English expressions in Japanese discourse is useful for expressing something specific regarding situations in the English context (Australia). In the same manner, speaker L believes that mixing the two languages is often an efficient way to convey exact meanings, especially if there are no equivalent words in either Japanese or English. It is also an effective strategy for making conversation more interesting. He, however, comments that the excessive use of English expressions is annoying (e.g. intersentential code-switching).

Speakers G and H consider it to be acceptable under certain circumstances. For example, speaker G comments that it is acceptable, unless a speaker mixes the languages on purpose, in order to show off one's English proficiency. She personally prefers to separate the two languages, even though she believes that the mixing of the two languages is a much more efficient way of conveying meanings when she interacts with bilingual people. While speaker H considers it to be a natural phenomenon amongst people who have been residing in Australia, but it may be rude to Japanese people who are just short-term visitors here. She also comments that mixing languages is an indication of a lack of proficiency in both the English and Japanese languages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation of Code-switching</th>
<th>Positive views</th>
<th>Negative views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speaker</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Bilingual's natural behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Bilingual's natural behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Convenient to express certain things</td>
<td>Anxiety for non-acceptance in Japanese society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Bilingual's 'natural' behaviour</td>
<td>Indication of a lack of proficiency of both E/J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Bilingual's 'natural' behaviour</td>
<td>Indication of a lack of Japanese vocabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Effective for communication with bilingual people</td>
<td>Can be showing off of one's English proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Bilingual's 'natural' behaviour</td>
<td>Can be rude to the non-residing Japanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Bilingual's 'natural' behaviour</td>
<td>Indication of a lack of proficiency of both E/J</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| J                           | Habitual behaviour | A lack of Japanese identity 'Showy'
| K                           | Bilingual's natural behaviour Bilingual's unique prerogative Effective to express actual meanings Can be useful as euphemism | |
| L                           | Bilingual's natural behaviour Makes for more interesting conversation Effective to convey actual meanings | |
Unlike speakers G and H, speaker I expresses rather negative views about code-switching. He believes that Japanese people have to speak proper Japanese, and not mix the languages. He however comments that embedding a small portion of English expressions into Japanese sentences is acceptable, but using long English expressions may be 'showy'.

Gardner-Chloros (1991) conducted a similar survey in her study of language selection and switching (French/Alsatian), in Strasbourg. Her 40 subjects were all very much aware that the people around them were mixing the two languages. She found that her subjects, when questioned, would either admit that they codeswitched just like everyone else, or would claim that although the habit was widespread, they themselves refrained from doing so. Their attitudes towards code-switching varied. Their reactions ranged from a consideration of it as being quite natural in a bilingual context; and thus acceptable, to the conviction that it was a bad habit, one which was both irritating and impolite (Gardner-Chloros, 1991, p105).

The speakers in this present study were also well aware of their coworkers, friends, and themselves mixing the two languages. Most speakers described this phenomenon as 'natural' in an English-speaking context. However, their responses to the direct question about code-switching show that their attitudes varied from negative to positive. Moreover, some speakers indicated that they recognised the roles or functions of code-switching which operate within bilinguals' daily interactions.
Gumperz (1982, pp62-3) reports a range of differing attitudes towards code-switching cross-culturally. Some characterise it as a display of impoliteness, or perhaps an obvious consequence of a lack of education, whilst some see it as a sign that the speaker is incapable of controlling the two languages. In Grosjean's study (1982, p146) for example, speakers commented that code-switching was a grammarless mixture of two languages, a jargon or gibberish, which therefore insulted the monolingual's own rule-governed language. Lüdi (1986) also found that in her study, code-switching had a lack of societal acceptance. Furthermore, Romaine (1995, p237) reports that in the case of bilingual children, many professionals, such as speech therapists, perceive the mixing of languages negatively. They see it as being harmful in that it is an impediment to the complete mastering of either language. The advice given therefore is to keep the languages separate - this however is perhaps not in tune with normal bilingual development in other communities.

Such negative views often create stereotypical reactions and stigmatise code-switching. For instance, many bilingual communities use such pejorative terms as 'mixed language'. More specifically, among Spanish and English bilinguals, language code-switching is labeled as 'Tex-Mex' or 'Spanglish' (Jacobson, 1982). In Panjabi, the term 'tuti futi' (broken up) is used to refer to code-switching (Romaine, 1995). Moreover, 'Joual' is employed among French and English bilinguals in
Canada (Chana and Romaine, 1984). In Willis's (1992) investigation into the use of language amongst high school students at the Canadian Academy (CA) - which is an international school - in Japan, he notes that code-switching is one of the features of the stigmatised variety used by the students.

'It should be stressed that the Japanese spoken is not the delicate formal language of polite society. Rather, it is a patois born from street language, liberally mixed with English vocabulary. It is a CA language, a chanpon-go, a CA-go (a hotchpotch or potpourri is perhaps the best analogy)' (1992, p83).

Amongst the participants in this current study, no such labels were given to code-switching, although some displayed similarly negative views toward code-switching such as have been given above. These were: 'The mixing of the two languages indicates a lack of proficiency in both the English and Japanese languages (speakers E and H) and 'Practising code-switching is an indication of a gradual loss of competence in the Japanese language (speaker F).

An emphasis on the 'purity' of the Japanese language was clearly expressed by some speakers. They stated that Japanese people should speak proper Japanese, therefore, they should completely separate the Japanese and English languages. This type of attitude has also been seen amongst bilinguals in other communities. Treffers-Daller's study (1992), which focused on code-switching between the local varieties of French and Dutch in Brussels, investigated the causes for its disappearance
amongst the younger generation. He concludes that the disappearance of intrasentential code-switching is attributable to the puristic influences of standard Dutch speakers.

Similarly, Poplack's (1988) study demonstrates the contrasting patterns of code-switching use between French-speakers in Ottawa-Hull, and Puerto Ricans in New York. She argues that the non-occurrence of intrasentential code-switching amongst French/English bilinguals in Ottawa-Hull is a reflection of their attitudes towards the use of English. The puristic notions that they hold are attributable to the historical legacy of conflict between the French and the English. She did not find this evident in the New York Puerto Rican situation. Myers-Scotton (1998b, p100) states that in speech communities which hold puristic notions with regard to their own language, it is unlikely that code-switching will occur.

Many Japanese people feel that their identity is consistent with, and linked to, patrilineal descent, language, and notions of supposed racial purity. Increased awareness of Japanese ethnicity has been triggered by contact with, and comparisons between, other cultures (Miller, 1995, p190). A strong connection between the Japanese language and identity seems to exist in Japanese society, and some may feel that a loss of competence in the Japanese language correspondingly equates to a loss of Japanese ethnic identity. In fact, in this study, speaker I (who produced the lowest number of codeswitches) clearly expressed this notion during the sociolinguistic
interview. There is a strong possibility that Japanese people who have resided for a long period of time in an English speaking country will continue to maintain these beliefs. This assumption is supported by Kanazawa and Loveday's (1988) study which demonstrated that first generation immigrants in Brazil continue to show strong ethnocentric tendencies.

Another speaker (L) demonstrated similar, but more moderate expressions of this notion. He felt that the excessive use of English expressions was annoying, even though he held positive views about code-switching. This indicates that the practice of code-switching may be considered to be acceptable up to a point, as long as the speaker makes an effort to retain a Japanese ethnic identity. This can partly account for the frequent use of single word switches amongst the speakers. In fact, some of the speakers commented that they usually try to restrict their code-switching to single words in order to avoid letting their utterances become overtly dominated by English.

Speaker I commented that he usually tries to pronounce English items in a Japanese way when he mixes the two languages. This is a strategy which admits the use of code-switching, yet in the effort to retain a Japanese identity, presents codeswitched discourse as a monolingual utterance. This reflects the familiar Japanese practice of incorporating foreign words (usually English) into the Japanese phonological system.
Others feel that the frequent use of English items, or the employment of lengthy English expressions, may be done on purpose, to 'show-off'. Similarly, Malcolm's study (1997, p58) has revealed that the use, in certain contexts, of Standard Australian English is regarded as 'flashy' or 'posh' amongst Australian Aboriginal speakers. One of Saragih's (1997) subjects similarly commented that her interlocutor's use of Bahasa Indonesia expressions in discourse in the regional language (Simalungun) was an obvious sign that she was 'showing off'.

On the other hand, some speakers' negative views towards code-switching seemed to derive from their awareness of the existence of certain social norms and values with respect to the practice of code-switching. This socially sanctioned, acquired attitude is held to be distinct from one's own personal preference for proper speech. For instance, they believe that the mixing of the languages may offend certain Japanese people, such as older people, strangers, people who are just short-term visitors and people who have been living in Australia for only a short period of time. Most commented that they tried to avoid English expressions which were not commonly used as loan words in Japanese society, during the interaction with the above type of interlocutors. It seems that the speakers pay attention to how they should speak in accordance with social convention, with the desire to obtain acceptance from their interlocutors, just as monolingual speakers choose
different forms of one language in adjusting their style to the situation (e.g. O'Donnell and Todd, 1992).

Some speakers state that they engage in code-switching for certain reasons, even though these same speakers hold negative views of it. They believe that using English expressions is an effective way of communicating with bilingual people. They point out some advantages in the mixing of languages, such as: firstly, it is usually an efficient way to express actual meanings; second, it can be used for a euphemistic purpose; and third, using English expressions in Japanese discourse is useful for expressing something specific regarding situations in the English context (i.e. Australia).

As in this present study, Gardner-Chloros (1991) asked her subjects their opinion as to the reasons for code-switching in her study of language selection and switching (French/Alsatian) in Strasbourg. She classified the subjects' replies in the following way. These are: 1) reasons which were associated with language domains (i.e. certain professional and technical areas), that is the roles that each language performs with the specific society (Alsatian); 2) reasons which relate to the speakers' individual language capabilities. It was noted that quite often code-switching serves as a way of overcoming linguistic inadequacies. In interpersonal and group situations compromise forms of speech were produced so that all speakers could equally participate in the conversation; 3) reasons which were tied to identifying an association with a specific group,
an abstract way of sending a coded signal, as a way of creating a more relaxed mood in what might otherwise have been a very formal situation (such as a job interview) (Gardner-Chloros 1991, p106).

Even though these subjects clearly demonstrated perceptive replies in the interviews, they characterised code-switching as a non-deliberate, unconscious mode of speech. Gardner-Chloros concludes that 'the unconscioness is clearly not carried over into their reasoned perceptions'.

Bilingual speakers' 'unconsciousness' in terms of code-switching has been reported on by several researchers. For example, in Blom and Gumperz's (1972) study, which focused on code-switching between local and standard Norwegian in Hemnesberget, they found that code-switching was frequently employed by people during the recordings, even though they claimed that the standard dialect was used only in certain situations (i.e. school, church, or some formal meetings). Gal (1988, p246) argues that speakers often do not realise that they code-switch, and if they do, are sometimes reluctant to admit it. Nevertheless, some speakers actually monitor and comment on this practice. Poplack (1988, p218) points out that 'unawareness' of certain alternations between languages is one characteristic of 'skilled' or fluent code-switching amongst Spanish/English bilinguals (Puerto Ricans in New York). Moreover, Gumperz (1982, p52) states that there would be no utility in asking a bilingual to recount incidences of
particular switches, for it would be like asking native English speakers to record and self analyse their use of future tense forms. Gumperz (1982, p61) explains that speakers are usually unaware of which language is being used at any one time, since their main concern is with the communicative effect of what they are saying.

One example of this in the current study is the case of speaker E, despite the fact that she holds strong negative views towards code-switching. She explained that this attitude derives from her mother’s puristic notion about the Japanese language. She reported that her mother remains anxious over the perceived loss of speaker E’s Japanese identity, since she has been in an English-speaking country for a lengthy period of time (9 years). During the course of the recording sessions, speaker E often showed her hesitation by pausing in her codeswitched discourse. Romaine (1989, p142) refers to this as ‘flagging’ which is an indication of the speaker’s awareness of code-switching. Speaker E appeared to control her use of English expressions at the beginning of the discussions. Yet the ‘flagging’ disappeared when she gradually became more involved and relaxed as in the discussions with her partner as time went by.

Topic 1
23.E: Omotta ijoo ni toreta. Dakara watashi no itteiru koto wa koo.. nante iuno.. ethics ni kankei surukoto dakara. Watashi wa risuukai no soo iu report o kakuno wa nareteru kedo. Nani ga tadaashitoka soo iu.. ethics no koto ni tsuite kaita koto ga nakatta kara kekkoo...
Translation
23.E: I got a much better mark than I expected. As you know, what I wrote was something related to, what can I say ... ethics. I'm used to writing reports in the science or mathematics field, but I haven't written anything which is related to ... ethics which is supposed to discuss what is correct or wrong. So, I was very...

Topic 1
79.E: Yoku wa nannai kedo. Demo sore wa point ni.. nante iuno... add sereruka dooka wakaranain janai?

Translation
79.E: I don't understand. Anyway, we don't know if it can be.. what can I say.. added to our point, do we?

Furthermore, during the interviews in this study, some participants showed that they were unaware of their actual use of English expressions. For instance, speaker H, who demonstrated smooth intra and intersentential code-switching, even though she holds some negative views of its practice, often had difficulty in explaining the reasons why she engaged in code-switching during the course of the discussions. This may be evidence of an 'unconscious' mode of speech.

Swigart (1992) maintains that the contradiction between the use of code-switching, and negative views held, can be explained in the following way. His study focused on what he calls the 'urban' variety of Wolof used in the city of Dakar, Africa. This is the mixing of French and Wolof, in what constitutes a distinct and separate code. The code-switching that takes place in this environment represents the unmarked (conventional) choice. In the informal situation, the urban Wolof code is done with very little conscious awareness. Swigart (1992, p90) argues that in most cases, the switching occurred in informal
situations, and it was not carried out deliberately, or as the strategic negotiation of expected or unexpected codes. On the other hand, in formal situations, the nature of the code-switching process amongst the speakers changed: it became more marked, the speakers manipulating it to create desired situations or meanings.

The users of urban Wolof in Swigart's study may differ from the speakers in the present study in terms of the proficiency in the two languages which code-switching involves. However, the code-switching that was employed amongst the speakers in the present study showed similar characteristics to the above. Code-switching was common amongst participants. The subjects were placed in an informal, relaxed situation, with partners who they were familiar. Their code-switching tended to be relatively non-deliberate and with minimum conscious awareness. It was not employed strategically as a means of creating ulterior effects.

As it turned out the strong 'puristic' attitude did influence the occurrence of code-switching. However, negative attitudes towards code-switching do not always correspond to the practice of code-switching. This is primarily due to the fact that code-switching and its associated functions seem to be conventionally operating amongst the bilingual in-group. Moreover, the speakers choose a speech style, that is, they produce either predominantly codeswitched or predominantly monolingual utterances in a particular interaction.
5.5 Pragmatic Factors in Code-switching

Sociolinguistic research has, in general, endeavoured to uncover societies’ rules and norms in relation to varieties of language behaviour. It has focused on the ways in which language exists as an aspect of the social norm which sets constraints upon individual action. However, even considering that the individual is restricted by these limitations, he is not completely tied down by social norms. With language, the individual has the ability to transcend these limitations and express an individual social identity (Williams, 1992, p92). In this section the way in which bilingual speakers make choices regarding the usage patterns of code-switching will be discussed.

*Style Shifting and Code-Switching*

Speakers possess a wide range of speaking styles, which they employ when speaking to various members of different speech communities. Just as the monolingual shifts styles, the bilingual switches languages. Gal (1979, p91) explains that ‘codes’ are the linguistic varieties in a speaker’s repertoire, including, in the case of bilinguals, different languages as well as different styles. Similarly, Romaine (1995, p170) states that style shifting and code-switching are coexistent at the level of discourse. In other words, style shifting accomplishes for the monolingual, what code-switching does for the bilingual.
According to Labov (1972, p208) 'Styles can be ranged along a single dimension, measured by the amount of attention paid to speech'. His study, which looked at different phonological patterns across social classes in New York City, came to the conclusion that when people come together in a formal activity setting, they give greater attention to their speech. In this instance, he recorded a scale which included five styles which gradually increased in formality: A) casual style, B) careful style, C) reading aloud from text, D) reading aloud from word-lists, D') pronunciation of minimal word-pairs. The speakers in Labov's study, in general, showed that as the degree of formality increased, the speakers became more aware of their speech. For instance, it appeared that the motivation of the lower-class group when in a more formal context, was to adapt a manner of speaking which simulated the middle-class group (Labov, 1997).

Some other linguists have a different approach to the study of the style dimension of language from Labov's study. Bell's (1984) study, for instance, is a more interpretive and speaker-based approach which closely looks at interaction and language use. Bell emphasises how speakers manipulate their language in order to create a path for understanding, but still remain within the framework of conventional social values and symbols. He proposes that each speaker creates their conversational contribution to their audience (the audience can be the addressee, auditors, overhearers and eavesdroppers). He then states that stylistic variation is parallel in function to
bilingual code-switching behaviour. That is, 'the formal/informal continuum is simply expressed in different code sets in different societies: by language choice in bilingual societies, by dialect switching in diglossic situations, and by style shift in monolingual societies' (Bell, 1984, p176).

In this present study, the interaction between each participant was supposed to be 'informal' since the relationship of each pair was based on an established 'friendship', and the setting was familiar to both speakers. Most of them seemed to discuss topics in a relaxed manner and participated enthusiastically although some showed a little awkwardness at the beginning of the recording sessions. Unlike the others, speaker (G) commented that she was self-conscious about her speech during the recording sessions, even though the researcher was absent, and there was no mention that this was being carried out in order to study code-switching behaviour. She explained that she disliked mixing the Japanese and English languages and tried hard not to do it. In fact, the result shows that across the topics, speaker G recorded only half the amount of code-switches when compared to her partner, speaker H - except within the 'neutral' category (speaker H's score within this category was about three times that of speaker G's). In contradiction to this however, speaker G's partner and all of her friends reported that speaker G frequently employs English expressions when conversing with her close friends. This indicates that the level of formality causes the speaker to pay more attention to her own speech and in turn this decreased the
frequency of code-switches. In other words, the speaker tailors her speech to suit the particular situation, and to obtain acceptance in particular from her audience (in this case the audience was the researcher or third party who was not present during the recording sessions but who would be an overhearer over the microphone). These examples are shown in the following passages:

**Topic 1**

**Passage 1**
1. G: Nani o hanaseba iinokashira?
2. H: I don't know. Why do you work then?
3. G: Well... mochiron soodesu ne, watashi nimo anata nimo nanika yuueki na koto ga arukarade...

**Translation**
1. G: what should we talk about?
2. H: I don't know. Why do you work then?
3. G: Well... of course...there is something good for you and as well as for me....

**Passage 9**
64. H: Nihon de nihon no jooshi no tame ni hataraita koto wa arimasu?
65. G: Naidesu ne.
67. G: No,...pari demo yappari huransujin, watashi no shigoto wa huransujin bakkari de konkai ga hajimete nihonjin.
68. H: What's the difference between the Japanese boss and foreign boss?
69. G: Totally.

**Translation**
64. H: Have you ever worked for a Japanese boss?
65. G: No, I haven't.
67. G: No,..., In Paris I had a French (boss). My job always deals with the French. This is the first time I've ever worked for Japanese.
68. H: What's the difference between the Japanese boss and foreign boss?
69. G: Totally.

Line 3 in passage 1 shows that speaker G began her utterance with the English word 'well' in order to answer speaker H's question in English. However, speaker G paused after producing 'well' and then switched to Japanese. Speaker G may have been
tempted to utter a full English sentence, since her partner asked speaker G's opinion in English. Similarly, in passage 9, after her partner switched to English and confirmed speaker G's reply (in line 66), speaker G uttered 'no' in English. Yet again she made a pause, and switched to Japanese. Speaker H continued to produce another English sentence (in line 68) to ask speaker G's point of view, but Speaker G blocked her utterance after producing an English word 'totally'.

**Linguistic Styles as Strategies of Politeness**

Formal style is associated with 'politeness'. Most speakers in this study believe that the mixing of the languages may offend certain Japanese people, such as older people, their employer, strangers, people who are just short-term visitors, and people who have been living in Australia for only a short period of time. Fraser (1990, p233) states that politeness as an expression derived from language, is determined by its occurrence in communicative contexts, rather than by any innate properties which it may contain. That is, 'polite' is attributable only to speakers, not to language and is routinely assessed as more or less polite relative to community values and norms.

Ide (1991, p64) argues that 'For the Japanese people, linguistic politeness is mainly a matter of conforming to social conventions for a choice of linguistic forms'. Ide (1989, p232) points out Brown and Levinson's face constructs (negative face - the want of every 'component adult member'
that his action be unimpeded by others, positive face - the want of every member that his wants be desirable to at least some others) do not consider the acknowledgment of social relationship. She then suggests that the concept of 'discernment' ('wakimae') which signals social relationships has to be added to an aspect of politeness 'volition' (strategic politeness attending to face concerns).

Similarly, Matsumoto (1988, p403) explains that in Japanese culture, people are expected to act 'properly' according to their relative position or rank with regard to other member of group. This is due to the fact that a person's self image (i.e.'face'), as a group member who actively participates in specific relations with others (rather than as an independent individual). Loss of face results in the perception - in terms of the other members of the group - that this individual has somehow, failed to fully understand their place in society, that is the 'proper' manner which they should behave as a member of it.

Yoon (1996, p397) agrees with Ide and Matsumoto's position and emphasises that the respect for age, and status, which derive from the concepts of Confucianism governs social interaction and influences the choice of linguistic forms. In his study of code choice and code-switching amongst Korean/English, his subjects displayed more use of monolingual utterances and small-size switches when they interacted with members of an 'out-group' (this refers to people who are socially distant
from each other due to their difference in status, age, rank, and lack of closeness).

The present study focused only on 'informal' interaction between paired participants. However, the comments, which derived from most of the speakers (as it was explained above), indicated that these speakers are likely to produce Japanese monolingual utterances or use a smaller number of English expressions in those particular instances.

**Convergence**

Individuals monitor their speech carefully, and have the ability to modify their behaviour. Both Le Page and Giles have developed theories based on this concept. Le Page and Andree Tabouret-Keller (1985) state that the individual creates their own patterns of linguistic behaviour so that one can, when desired, create a sense of distance or separateness between oneself and certain groups. Le Page (1998, p28) explains that speakers monitor their speech according to the contexts they find themselves in. They always (for the most part unconsciously) adopt a style which is felt to be appropriate to their relationship with the interlocutor.

Similarly, Giles' speech accommodation theory argues that when an individual speaker interacts with an interlocutor, they may, in an effort to gain that interlocutor's acceptance and approval, resort to modifying their own speech ('convergence'). He also suggests that individuals may shift their speech style
away from the speech styles of their interlocutors as a tactic of social differentiation ('divergence') (Giles and Byrne, 1982, p105).

The comment taken from one of the speakers (G) in the present study is illustrative of the process of 'convergence'. This is an experience which happens to her often. Speaker G explains that when she interacts with a Japanese person for the first time, and the person talks in Japanese only, she will also continue to talk to the person without mixing the languages. Even if she knows the Japanese person is capable of speaking English, speaker G will interact in the same monolingual manner. Yet if the person does eventually begins to mix English and Japanese, then speaker G will converge with that person's code-switching discourse, even if it is the first time they have ever spoken together. Moreover, speaker G comments that if the speaker tends to talk in English only, she will also accommodate to the person's language choice.

In the broader analysis of the recording session, half of those who attained the highest individual frequency scores were paired across the topics. That is, they possessed similar patterns of code-switching use to their partner. One explanation for this may be found in the theory above. In fact, speaker F, who was of pair 3, commented that he has come to use more English expressions since he has become closer to speaker E. On the contrary, speaker E states that she used to use English expressions much more frequently than she now does. She
has completed high school in Australia (after studying at high school in Japan for one year), and has been in Australia for a total of nine years (while speaker F’s length of time is six years). Speaker E has also had only a small amount of contact with Japanese people. Therefore, these factors may contribute to the different range of linguistic repertoire between them. While frequent conversation with speaker F has moderated speaker E’s usage of English expressions. Even though these speakers’ individual total number of codeswitches differed from each other, their scores for each topic seem to illustrate a similar pattern of code-switching use.

Moreover, the trend of scores which was displayed by speakers G and H for each topic, illustrated similar patterns, even though speaker G did not display her actual use of code-switching as explained above. Speaker H therefore appeared to be unique because she interacted with speaker G in a similar manner to their usual interaction during the course of recording sessions, even though speaker H did not converge with speaker G’s codeswitched discourse. However, both speakers G and H produced a higher score within the Japanese-related topic than topic 1 (work). This pair scored the highest individual frequency results within the ‘neutral’ category, with their similar patterns of code-switching. This provides evidence to suggest that these speakers were accommodating in their pattern of code-switching use.
Unlike the two pairs above, speakers C and D, who made up pair 2, demonstrated very similar patterns of code-switching. For instance, both received not only the highest individual scores of codeswitches during their discussion of topic 1 (study), but also recorded similar scores for the rest of the topics. This may be due to the fact that speakers C and D often spent their spare time together, and therefore the frequent contact with each other has allowed them to adapt to each other ('convergence') especially in terms of code-switching.

The speakers' use of English expressions shows that a pattern of mutual convergence is occurring. O'Donnell and Todd (1992, p70) state that vocabulary modification is probably one of the most conspicuous indicators of a shift in style. It is for this reason that vocabulary is central to the discussion of style (and register). Clyne (1987) has developed the notion of 'triggering' in relation to the patterning of code-switching, including its syntactic characteristics and the convergence between the bilinguals' two codes. Some examples from the current study are shown in the following passages:

Topic1
Passage 5
35.B: De benkyoo doo? Nan semester na korede?

Translation
35.B: So, how's your study going? Which semester is this for you?
36.A: Ah.. the second semester, the third semester, no, this is the second year.

Passage 7
72.A: Moo chotto rai semester yattemitee...
73.B: Watashi rai semester wa chotto... Moo sandome no shoojiki de watashi imakara nihon ni kaette hon, aiyoo mite junbi shite okoo to omotte..
Translation
72.A: Why don’t you try next semester?
73.B: I’m not sure about next semester. I’m thinking I might go to Japan and check some materials for further study.

Topic 1
Passage 4
73.E: Demo sore level one datta no?
74.F: Iya, level two de.
75.E: Sore wa level two datta no? ja level two mo arunjanai.
76.F: Iya, demo hon yondara guidebook mitara chigau.
77.E: Ja, soo kiite mitara iijanai. Level two ga arundesuka tte itte.
78.F: Chau, chau, chau, level one de part two ni haitte iru (elective) yanka toreru hazu yanan...

Translation
73.E: Was it in the list of level one?
74.F: No, (it) was in level two.
75.E: Was it in level two, then the level two has...
76.F: No, the guidebook doesn’t say so.
77.E: Then why don’t you ask if the subject is available in level two.
78.F: No, no, no, that is level one and in part two, so it must be taken (as an elective).

As these examples show, speakers A and F accommodated to the codeswitched items which were produced by their partners. For instance, in passage 5, speaker A took the form which was a combination of a Japanese numeral and English noun - ni semester (‘the second semester’) and san semester (‘the third semester’) because her partner (speaker B) used the combination of a Japanese adjective and English noun - nan semester (‘which’ semester). A similar instance occurred in passage 7. Speaker A produced a combination of the Japanese adjective rai, and the English noun semester (‘the next semester’), and speaker B took the same form of the phrase when answering speaker A’s question.

In contrast to this, speakers E and F, who were in the same pair (pair 3), employed full English phrases, which consisted
of an English noun and numeral. In passage 4, speaker E produced the English phrase 'level one'. In order to confirm this, and provide the correct information, speaker F used the same English phrase. Other than speakers E and F, all of the younger speakers demonstrated this type of code-switching, that is, a combination of Japanese and English items. They also commented that they tried not to let their utterances become dominated by English.

The sequences of accommodation between the speakers may be understood as being a 'repetition' of English expressions. In Auer's study (1998, p12), which closely investigated the sequence of language negotiation, 'reiteration' or 'repetition' was interpreted as a phenomenon which occurs in the process of the speakers' gradual convergence. Some examples which have been taken from the present study are shown in the following passages:

**Topic 2**
**Passage 5**
92.F: Sono United Nation ga tsubushite, United Nation ga karande iru njanai no?
93.E: Chigau, nato ga tsubushitanda yo. Nato ga tsubushite United Nation ga kendo heiwajo yaku mitainano to aida ni haitte nato wa datte betsu ni Serbian no hoo attack suru dake daata kara.
94.F: A soonano, de Serbian ga Kosobo o attack suru?
95.E: Soo, soo, soo, de, dakara Serbian ga Kosobo ni iruka saishuutaki ni nato ga Serbian, Kosobo o attack suru koto ni natta kara Kosobo no hito ga nigechatta no.

**Translation**
91.E: Funds are available from the United Nations. What do you think (the United Nations) is for?
92.F: That United Nations began the destruction. The United Nations caused (the war), didn't they?
93.E: No, NATO caused the destruction. NATO began the destruction and the United Nations brought about the peace. The only thing NATO wanted to do was attack Serbia.
94. F: Oh is that right? Then **Serbia attacked** Kosovo?
95. E: That’s right. Because **Serbia** was in Kosovo, NATO eventually **attacked Serbia**, Kosovo, and they were evacuated.

Examples 92 and 94 in the above passage show that speaker F repeated the English phrase ‘**United nation**’, and the English words, ‘**Serbian**’ and ‘**attack**’ which were used by speaker E. This indicates that speaker F accommodated speaker E’s preference in linguistic choice. In other words, repetition is one of the ways in which speech can show accommodation.

Other examples are shown in the following passages which were produced by speakers C and D (pair B).

**Topic 1**
**Passage 1**
3. D: Konkai wa **major**, **major** niko ni shite.
4. C: **Repeat** shiteruno ga, datte...
5. D: Att, **repeat** shiteruno aru.

**Translation**
3. D: This time, **major**, I’ll take a double **major**.
4. C: I thought you have to **repeat**, because...
5. D: Yes, I have to **repeat**.

**Passage 3**
30. C: Sono **Aussie** no yatsu wa nante itteru no?
31. D: Sono **Aussie** tachi?

**Translation**
30. C: What did the two **Aussies** say about it?
31. D: Those **Aussies**?

**Passage 5**
58. C: Sore wa **Spanish**?
59. D: **Spanish** dakara sa
60. C: Jaa, **Ok**?
61. D: Ma, **Spanish** wa ore ni totte wa...

**Translation**
58. C: Are the other units **Spanish**?
59. D: Yes, **Spanish**.
60. C: Then, (you’ll be) **Ok**, (right)?
61. D: Well, **Spanish** for me is...
Passage 5
84.C: Oyaji ga soonandesho? Demo nani yatte mo law toka yaranakya ikenaikara ne.
85.D: Maa ne.
86.C: Oredatte law yaranakya ikenaikara. Ore mo kon semester law ga arukara ne. Dooshiyoo kana.

Translation
84.C: Your father’s job is in marketing, isn’t it? Whatever you study, you have to know about law.
85.D: Perhaps.
86.C: I also have to learn law. I have a unit studying law this semester. What should I do?

Similar instances were also seen in the interaction between speakers I and J. As passage 5 shows, speaker J repeated a phrase which contained an English word ‘holiday’, right after speaker I produced that phrase.

Topic 1
Passage 5
47.I: [person’s name] mada holiday?

Translation
47.I: Is [person’s name] still on holiday?
48.J: (He is) still on holiday.

A different form of ‘repetition’ was observed in the case of speakers I and J. In passages 1 and 10, speaker I repeated the English words ‘manager’ and ‘packer’, both of which were used by speaker J in preceding utterances. Speaker I however pronounced these words in a Japanese way - ‘maneejaa’ in passage 1, and ‘pakkaa’ in passage 10, even though speaker J uttered both words with a normal English pronunciation. As an explanation to this, speaker I commented that he usually tries to pronounce English items in a Japanese way when he mixes the
two languages during the interviews. This is a strategy which admits the use of code-switching, yet in the effort to retain a Japanese identity, presents codeswitched discourse as a monolingual utterance.

Giles and Smith (1979, p64) have demonstrated that the processes of convergence and divergence may occur simultaneously. At one level for instance a speaker might attempt to converge with his or her interlocutor at one way particular level (for example by way of speech rate), yet on another level, they may attempt to diverge or create a sense of dissonance by, for instance, employing speech with a different accent. In the case of speaker I, it can be interpreted that he converges with his partner's linguistic choice, but maintains his intent.

**Topic 1**

**Passage 1**

14.J: Dakara sono kijun ga, kocchi no hito no kijun ga wakaranainda yone. **Owner**, **owner** ni kiitara **owner** wa kiraida tte. **Manager** atari de, dokomade, neikaku ni natto naiwake ne, **manager** atarimade itte stop suruka, sono keeki tesuto no.

15.I: Ii yo, sonna **manager** made ikanai yo. Sanpuru dasu koto mo aru kedo, daitai [company's name] ja kore tesuto tte iunomo keeki mo arudesho. [company's name] de kanarazu yatterundakara.

**Translation**

14.J: Actually, I don't know the standard of it. I asked an **owner** his opinion about it (a cake), and he said he doesn't like it. It's not clear whether the **manager** has the authority to be able to stop a sample cake from being sold in the shop or not.

15.I: No, it's not (be stopped) by the **manager** we sometimes put a sample in the shop. But there are some test cakes. We definitely do it.

**Passage 10**


100.I: Darega? **packer** ga?
99.J: I told her that she has to work faster. I said to her 'Hang the brush on the oven rack after you use it, 'Don't you say anything to a packer who helps you'. So packer grinned. and then she blew up my face.

100.I: Who? The packer?

**Code-switching as an In-group Marker**

Giles and Coupland (1991, p76) explain that when interlocutors converge their speech, they become liberated from some of the normative social constraints that may prohibit them from using particular speech patterns. In another sense, some may feel obligated to establish their own identity with their interlocutor before any accommodation of alternative speech patterns might occur. Tabouret-Keller (1983, P147) on the other hand states that the sharing of what may be called mixed discourse can also be used to consolidate one's own preferred identity - separated from the social norms of one specific culture. For instance, bilinguals who prefer a hybrid identity (such as Japanese and western) can use code-switching as a means of validating a particular preferred social identity.

Some researchers who focused on code-switching have found this phenomenon amongst bilinguals. For instance, Goyvaerts and Zambele (1992, p79) state that speakers who codeswitch often are demonstrating their "mutually multiple identities". Wardhaugh (1992, p108) shows that a feeling of both pride and solidarity may come from bilinguals who are capable of mixing language, and who do so frequently, as a means of consolidating their shared notion of who they are. Poplack (1980, p599) for
instance states that intrasentential code-switching in particular operates to bond speakers in a more intimate relationship. As code-switching can only be accomplished by those bilingual members who are proficient in both target languages, code-switching acts as a kind of in-group marker. That is, the speakers evaluate code-switching in the same manner to each other, as a result, they share the norms and values of its practice. In fact, most of the speakers in this study pointed out the effectiveness of the practice of code-switching, due to its spontaneity, it is natural.

**Code-switching as an 'Unmarked' Choice**

Speakers' regular use of code-switching within the context of in-group membership therefore results in code-switching itself as the main medium of in-group conversation. Myers-Scotton (1988, 1993, 1995a) calls this type of code-switching 'unmarked' (which is expected or conventional), and code-switching itself is the unmarked choice rather than either language alone. She reported that some multilingual urban communities, such as in Africa and India, often show code-switching as their unmarked informal medium. Similar instances have been found in some immigrant communities or families in Europe and North America, even though one of their languages still dominates in setting the dimensions of code-switching (Myers-Scotton, 1998d, p232)

This type of code-switching is distinguished from code-switching in formal settings where relationships are being
negotiated. Heller (1988a, p81) refers to this in situations where no clear unmarked conventions of language choice may exist, or where there may be competing conventions (while code-switching itself as unmarked choice exists in situations where there are clear unmarked conventions of language choice).

Similarly, Myers-Scotton (1995a, pp114-119) calls this type 'sequential' unmarked code-switching which can be distinguished from code-switching itself as the unmarked choice. She explains that 'sequential' unmarked code-switching occurs when there is a distinct shift in the unmarked rights and obligations set which is caused by a parallel change in the conversations situational factors (such as participants and topic). This occurs when speakers who are unfamiliar with each other come together in conversation, during which they endeavour to negotiate the socially appropriate or expected set of rights and obligations which apply to that topic. An instance of this can be seen in the recognition of a shared ethnicity when two speakers who are unfamiliar with each other come together. The rights and obligation set would then change from being shaped by 'unknown' relation to that of 'shared' relations (in terms of ethnicity). Thus Myers-Scotton asserts that code-switching behaviour is influenced by the topic only in the above way, she categorises this as 'sequential' unmarked code-switching.

Code-switching itself as an unmarked choice on the other hand can be held as a community norm, or at the same time, it can be the norm for specific speakers alone, or specific interactions. Myers-Scotton (1995a, p119) suggests that there are four
conditions for bilinguals to produce code-switching as the unmarked language choice. First, the speakers must be bilingual peers. Second, the speech interactions which occur must be of the type whereby the interlocutors wish to consolidate some form of inclusive group membership. Third, the speakers must possess a positive attitude towards the target languages. And finally, the speakers must possess a degree of proficiency in terms of being able to speak both languages. According to her the characteristics of this type of switching is that it is the overall pattern which carries the communicative intention, and most often takes the form of intrasentential code-switching (and sometimes within the single word) (Myers-Scotton, 1995a, p117).

In the current study, code-switching seems to have been chosen as a code in itself. In fact, most speakers (A, B, D, H, I, J, K, and L) described the practice of code-switching as "bilinguals' natural behaviour" or "habitual use". Each of the interactions between the speakers in a their pairs during the recording sessions has demonstrated that in general, they communicated fluently - rapidly switching without pause and smoothly switching from one language to another. This indicates that the speakers share established code-switching usage with their partner. Moreover, the type of code-switching which was most frequently produced was intrasentential code-switching. It seems that codeswitched discourse amongst the speakers is a psychologically unified mode of speech, that is, there is little or no disconnection between the two languages.
However, during the course of the discussions, the choice of topic did have an overall influence on the frequency of code-switches which were recorded (for the Japanese related context had the lowest occurrence of code-switching). This result contradicts Myers-Scotton's assumption that code-switching is only influenced by topic in instances where the speakers are in the initial stages or process of establishing the conventions for code-switching use (what she calls 'sequential' unmarked code-switching). Certainly, Myers-Scotton's assumption seems to possess a great degree of accuracy or validity when limited to language pairs derived from 'homotropous cultures'. That is cultures which share certain similarities. It is more difficult however to come to the same sort of conclusions when applying these assumptions to 'heterotropous cultures', or cultures which are radically different. The main point here is that even though the concept basically remains the same in both societies (i.e. 'having lunch'), the cultural experience may be so totally different, that applying the Japanese term for lunch 'hirugohan' seems to be inadequate in converging the actual cultural experience here (this analogy has been explored in slightly more detail in 'The Relationship between the Frequency of Code-switching and Topic' section).

The ability to use two languages, and as well as switch between them involves knowledge of the appropriate speech in relation to specific interactions. Hymes (1972) described this sense of recognition as a part of 'communicative competence'.
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Communicative competence may be defined as the speakers' knowledge of what is a norm, and what is expected in a particular context. It involves 'the socially appropriate and interpretable ways of speaking which draw upon an implicit knowledge of what is appropriate and inappropriate in which social context' (Williams, 1992, p115). Verhoeven (1998, p392) calls this type of competence 'sociolinguistic competence' referring to knowledge of stylistic differences (or register variation). Verhoeven suggests that an individual's sociolinguistic competence is honed through the relation of three categories of knowledge. A) Person knowledge: this refers to an awareness of the interlocutor's current state of mind, overall temperament and disposition. This also includes a reading of interlocutor's intentions or motivations. B) Knowledge of social categories (age, sex, status). C) Knowledge of how events are organised: this involves Knowledge of conventionalised dialogues in the appropriate situations - established 'script' - such as in greetings and in telephone dialogues.

Linguistic choice is limited because particular modes of speech, within the confines of particular settings, are ruled out. Yet there is always some room for negotiation within a conversation (Gardner-Chloros, 1991, p39). Researchers like Gal (1983), Le Page and Tabouret-Keller (1985) and Myers-Scotton (1983, 1995a, 1998d) place an emphasis on the individuality of interactions. For example, Gal (1983, p68) believes that linguistic behaviour does not slavishly adhere to social norms.
Rather, the speaker takes an active role in the construction of language in any given social situation, as well as in the interpretation of their interlocutors' communicative intent. In such cases the individual may tune his/her linguistic behaviour with the aim of resembling a group which they want to be identified. Conversely, their speech may be constructed in such a way as to be blatantly distinguishable from others with whom they do not wish to be identified (Le Page et al., 1985, p181). "The whole process, and each style, are 'natural', parts of their 'acts of identity'" (Le Page, 1998, p28). Myers-Scotton (1995a, p92) argues that interpersonal relationships, rather than the situation, have a greater bearing on code choice. Following from this, code variation may be interpreted as being a way for the speaker to influence the final outcome of interpersonal relations.

One of the examples of this may be found in the comment which came from speaker H during the course of the interview. Speaker H stated that she sometimes used English expressions when she interacted with her Japanese boss. She did this in order to create a certain 'mood', one of informality and casualness, which was not particularly easy to achieve when adhering to the more formal structures of Japanese discourse. For instance, if speaker H intends to confirm the time which her boss is supposed to be back to the office, she uses an English sentence such as 'what time will you be back?'. Speaker H believes that this is a way of eliciting from her boss a response (an indication of the time he will be returning), without giving
him the impression that she is imposing upon him the demand that he be punctual. According to established Japanese custom the interaction between employee and employer is expected to be formal. In such instances therefore Japanese is the expected code, for it is based on respect for status, which has previously been discussed. However, speaker H engaged in code-switching which was associated more with an informal atmosphere in order to achieve her intention. This indicates that a speaker can make a code choice which is motivated by a particular outcome rather than making a code choice accordance to the social norm.

Speaker H also stated that she often used English Expressions (e.g. 'yes', and 'no') when she wanted to emphasise her strong belief in regard to certain issues. She felt that clearly expressing 'yes' or 'no' in the Japanese language might be considered abrupt, therefore the use of such English expressions allowed her to avoid these negative implications. This type of incident was obvious during the discussion of the Japanese-related context.

**Topic 2**
**Passage 3**
56.H: Un kuni wa ugoite ikumonoda to seijika ga inakutemo.
57.G: Ya hontoo?

**Translation**
56.H: Yes, a country can carry on without a leader.
57.G: Is it true?
58.H: Yes, yes, I believe that. A country carries on without a leader.
Passage 4
62.H: No, ano watashi wa seijika no nihonjin ni kansuru kagiri wa
seijika no leadership wa zenzen nai to omotte imasu.
63.G: Aa ja anata ga ossharuno wa nihon no bawai ne seiji..
64.H: Yes, nihon no seijika ni as far as leadership is concerned,
seijika no leadership wa nai to omoo.

Translation
62.H: No, as far as Japanese politicians are concerned they don’t
have leadership.
63.G: Oh, you’re only talking of the Japanese case, aren’t you?
64.H: Yes, in Japanese politicians' case, as far as leadership is
concerned, I don’t think they have leadership.

Another example is evident in the case of speaker G, who
produced a higher frequency of code-switching in the Japanese-
related context, rather than topic 1 (work). She explained that
she tends to employ English expressions when the discussion
centres on criticism in general. She believes that negative
opinions in Japanese are typically expressed in a humble
manner, because of the concept of 'self-modesty' in Japanese
society. In fact, during their discussion of the Japanese-
related context, speakers G and H (speaker G’s partner) focused
on criticism of such things as Japanese politicians and company
policies. Speaker G, therefore, chose to employ English
expressions rather than Japanese expressions in order to create
a more assertive tone, which she is not able to produce in the
Japanese language with its limitations and characteristic
'humble' manner. An example of one of the passages (of speaker
G and H) is as follows:

Topic 2
7.G: Soona no, dakara yappari dooshite leader ga inaikatte iuto
yahari aruteido kokusaiteki na level de katsuyaku shite
itadakureyooona seijika ga inai tte koto. ...
8.H: Demo sore wa watashi wa omookedo sore wa politician no
mondaija nai to omoo.
9.G: Sore wa dare no mondaikashira?
10.H: Sore wa politician jishin no mondaija nakutte politician ga erabu bureaucrats no nooryokuda to omoo, tte iuno wa tatoeba politician tte iuno wa tokubetsuna ano nante iuno... very very particular job. Politician tte iuno wa toku ni nihon dewa otoosan ojiisan, ojiisan ga politician ni natte sono mata musuko ga politician de tsunagatte iru janai?

Translation
7.G: That's it. There is no politician who can actually work at the international level, this is the reason why there is no leader.
8.H: I don't think that it has anything to do with politicians.
9.G: who them?
10.H: I don't think that there is a problem with the politicians themselves, rather, it is the ability of the politicians to select capable bureaucrats. For example, politicians are special... what can I say... very very particular job. Politician, especially in Japan, their fathers and (their) grand fathers, the grand father becomes a politician, then his son becomes politician, and then his son becomes a politician too. It seems to me that politicians hand their job down through each generation, keeping it within the family.

As speaker G’s comments indicate, she usually engages in code-switching when the discussion centres on criticism, in any situation. In other words, speaker G makes a code choice in order to both express her clear opinion about a topic, and to avoid abruptness. In fact, speaker G employed a higher frequency of code-switches during topic 2 (which consists of Japanese-related context, English-related context, and neutral) than topic 1 (work) since the discussion between her partner (speaker H) and herself consisted chiefly of a critical analysis of Japanese politicians and company policies, comparing them with such systems in Western societies. Even though speaker G was very much aware of her speech and tried not to mix the two languages, her awareness of her language use seemed to diminish as she became engaged in a code-switching of this sort.
Speaker H displayed a similar code choice to speaker G during topic 2. Example 78 in a following passage shows that speaker H first produced a sentence which contained an intrasentential code-switch then she started the second sentence in Japanese. However, she switched to English after producing a Japanese phrase, and chose to stay in English the rest of her utterance for that turn. This may be due to the fact that her discussion came to be a criticism of European people. However, speaker H’s clear explanation of this code-switching was not obtained therefore it is not certain whether she made a code choice in order to both express her clear opinion about a topic, and to avoid abruptness, or whether she would make this code choice in any other situations.

**Topic 2**

76.H: Sore wa dakara power yone.
77.G: Nooryoku, nooryoku. Yappari nooryoku...
78.H: Watashi wa ne kokumin wa tomokatu top leader wa sooshite moraitai wane. Kokumin ni kanshite ieba I'm very very pessimistic actually... Even even English people, ordinary people, are they global? Can you say that? No, I don't think so. French ordinary people, are they global? No, I don't think so. (laugh)
79.G: True. Sore wa zettai ni ieru koto ne...

**Translation**

76.H: It’s power.
77.G: That is ability, ability, their ability...
78.H: I hold hope that a top leader does it, but I’m doubtful about the citizen. In terms of the citizen, I’m very pessimistic actually. Even even English people, ordinary people, are they global? Can you say that? No, I don’t think so. French ordinary people, are they global? No, I don’t think so.
79.G: True. You can definitely say that.

Furthermore, code-switching might be employed on purpose, to ‘show-off’ speakers’ English language ability. Some speakers comments (speaker G, H, and E) during the interview illustrate that they have encountered this sort of code choice by Japanese
bilinguals. Speaker E, in fact, reported that in most cases, those who mix the languages the most, are the ones who have been residing in Australia for a relatively short period of time.

As the case of speakers G, H, and the speakers' comments show, code-switching can be engaged in as a discourse strategy in which the speakers use it to achieve certain goals. In such case, the speakers seem to be not influenced by situational factors (i.e. topic, interlocutor, and setting), or social norms in making their linguistic choices. That is, the speaker are aware that code-switching can be used as a marked choice (unexpected) as a strategy to gain certain outcomes.

5.6 Interactional Functions of Code-switching

Gal (1979, p12) explains that the consequence of members of a speech community engaging in frequent and significant interactions, is that a code develops which is not readily understandable to outsiders. Speakers and their addressees within a particular speech community therefore share knowledge about the use of and appropriate response to code-switching. Gumperz (1982, p75) points out that 'Since speakers do understand each other and can agree on what is being accomplished in particular settings, there must be some sharing of codes and principles of interpretation'. This suggests that code-switching fulfils certain conventional or shared functions within a speech community. The evidence here suggests that
code-switching was operating in this sense amongst the paired participants throughout the course of this study.

The functions of code-switching have been studied at both the 'in-group' level, and at the individual level. In terms of the former, Blommaert (1992) has shown that code-switching functions as a marker of social identity amongst the academic staff at the university of Dar es Salaam (i.e. boundary creation or establishing mutual role relationships). Goyvaerts and Zembele (1992) have likewise found that code-switching is a way of encoding power and solidarity in Bukava town in Zaire, Africa. Christie (1990) also concludes that English/Patois code-switching in Dominica is a means of elaborating or consolidating a shared identity between the speaker and their addressee.

However, the main focus of this study is on the speakers' individual interactions and intentional meanings. The interactional functions have been analysed and often developed into taxonomies by many researchers (e.g. Gumperz 1982, Auer 1984, Romaine 1995, De Heredia-Deprez 1990, Goyvaerts et al., 1992, Nishimura 1995). Some examples of function which have been noted by these researchers, include: quotation, message qualification, reiteration, addressee specification, joking, emphasis, seeking agreement or parody, discourse marker, and repair. In this study, the researcher has identified a number of functions based on the speakers' comments or interpretations.
which code-switching performs, and has categorised them as follows:

1. Quotations

As has been previously shown, quotations are often marked by a language switch (e.g. Gumperz 1982, Poplack 1988, Romaine 1995). Both Gumperz (1982) and Romaine (1995) have observed that quotations are not always made in the original language used. For example, in Gumperz's (1982) study, not all speakers produced quotations in the original language in which they were first said. Romaine (1995, p162) explains that 'the accuracy of the representation of the reported speech with respect to its linguistic form is not important, but a switch itself must be significant'. This suggests that the purpose of switches which mark quotations is to bring about a stylistic effect, rather than to reconstruct the original language used.

Nishimura (1995) has observed quotations which were made for the purpose of stylistic effect amongst second generation Japanese in Canada. She points out that the accuracy of the language originally used is not always preserved. Second generation Japanese place an emphasis on quotations by code-switching, in order to make their speech livelier. For example, one of the speakers in her study produced quotations which were all in English, even though the original language used was Japanese. Nishimura (1995, p177) maintains that this is due to the speaker's intention which was aimed at animating or bringing to life the speech of the quoted characters.
In the present study both direct quotations, and reported speech, were marked by code-switching. Unlike Nishimura's study, this study demonstrated that in all cases, a single English word (a noun, an adjective or an infinitive) was used or embedded into a Japanese phrase or sentence as a quotation. This may be interpreted as showing that even though the speakers intended to make quotations as a stylistic effect, they chose to use only a main English word, so that they could avoid the situation whereby their utterances would become completely dominated by English. Some examples are follows:

8.A: 'Soo soo koko ga important dawa' tte 'Exa~ exam' tte itsumo sen hiiteru none. (She said 'That's it. This is important'. She also said 'Exam, exam' and underlined it.)

11.B: Demo [lecturer's name] no baa wa 'Koko wa important' tte, ano hito yukkuri shaberu desho? (But [lecturer's name] speaks slowly and says 'This is important', doesn't he?)

25.B: Demone question zitai wa nanika, watashi no tomodachi wa itteta kedo 'Sugee simple dayo' tte itte. (The question itself is.... Actually, friend of mind said 'Pretty simple'.)

87.B: Kurasumeeto wa nanka koo yatte planning o kangaeruno ga sugoi ii toka itte... (My classmate said that she likes to think planning ahead...)

19.D: ..Senseitoko itte 'Mani, nani kono nanaten' tte. 'Iya, watashi ga yondara, ma nanaten, aoregurai no assignment'. (.I went to see the lecturer, and I said 'What do you mean by giving me this mark?' She said 'I thought the assignment was worth seven points').

23.D: 'Zenkai no assignment o motte kurukara zenkai no assignment de ten kure' tte. 'Soreja zenkaino assignment motte kinasai' tte. (..I said 'I'll bring in my old assignment, so that you can mark it.' She said 'Well, bring the assignment in then').

2. Semantic Authenticity

Code-switching is more likely to occur when the semantic differences between two languages are greater. That is, code-switching can be employed as a means of guarding against
unwanted semantic loss or gain. Researchers like Gysels (1992) and Li (1999) demonstrated that code-switching has a role to play in solving problems of 'untranslatability' between languages. Gysels (1992, p.9) points out that the motivation for employing French elements in Lubumbashi Swahili is based on the speakers' semantic choice. Similarly, Li's (1999) study has demonstrated that even though a dictionary 'equivalent' exists between two languages, there remains a semantic incongruence.

In the current study, some incidences of code-switching were recorded that clearly showed that the speakers were selecting their code as a sort of instrument to facilitate the convergence of meanings or semantic nuances. The following utterances are some examples of this:

**Topic 1**

110.K: *Cash de yatowareru toka. business ga kekkoo mada teichaku shitei ru yoona kiga surundesukedo... (I think *business* which is kind of "cash in hand" is still popular).*

93.L: *So ino mono ni chakumoku shite nanika *business* de aitemu o mitsukenai to ikenaindeshoo ne. (Perhaps it is important to look at those things which are likely bring success in *business*).*

Both speakers K and L employed an English word, 'business', instead of the using Japanese equivalent. Speaker K explained that she used the word 'business' in order to indicate a wide range of activities in the business field, as it relates to the Australian economy. This was more advantageous, because the Japanese language does not have an all-embracing term which can easily cover all of these separate aspects within the sphere of business. Similarly, speaker L commented that his choice in
using the English word 'business' in example 93, was done for the reason that it covers a wide range of meaning. The Japanese equivalent which he refers to, 'zigyoo', overemphasises, or expresses too strongly what he wanted to convey.

**Topic 2**

**61.L:** Sore wa ne, akogare to iuyori moshi soo iwareru to sore wa sugoi pressure dato omoimasu yo. Gyaku ni iwareru, kore wa pressure desu yo. (There is going to be big pressure on [him the Crown Prince], rather than considering it to be something fortunate if [he] is asked [to have a mistress], this will only put pressure on [him]).

Speaker L also used the word 'pressure' in example 61 because the Japanese equivalent 'yokuatsu' has both too strong a meaning in this context, and refers mostly to physical, rather than what he meant as mental, pressure.

**Topic 2**

**15.G:** Demo sore wa yappari shigoto de atte sono ideology tte iuka sono kanga o ositooseruno wa yapperi arute:do seijikada to oomoo wayo. (The politician's job is to present their ideology. I mean some politicians can achieve this better than others).

**17.G:** Un, sore wa adviser. Un, demo tada hitotsu chigaku wa warai ni ano koodooryoku ga arujanai, nihon no seijika tte iuwo wa... (Yes, there are advisers. But there is one difference between them and Japanese politicians...).

The use of the English words 'ideology' and 'adviser' by speaker G, also can be attributed to semantic reasons. She commented that 'ideology' can indicate a set of ideas based on a political or economic system, but the Japanese equivalent 'kuuron', has a quite a vague meaning. Similarly, 'adviser' in this instance indicates a person who gives advice to a government whilst the Japanese equivalent 'komon', and
'soodanyaku', have meanings which are associated more with business rather than politics.

Semantic difference is also clearly manifest in the diversity between the Australian and Japanese university systems. Terminologies highlight this diversity. Some examples from data are the following:

**Topic 1**

8.C: A. areodesho? **Lecturer** ga salaku toka tte iiteta yatsu desho?
Nanka.. (Oh, is that one? You told me that you got a terrible **lecturer**, didn't you? You said something...)

In example 8, speaker C uses the English word 'lecturer'. The Japanese equivalent 'sensei', which is used throughout primary school, junior high school, high school and university, is completely different from the terms which are commonly employed in the Australian education system. Speaker C also commented that the word 'sensei' is too intimate in this context as in Japan the term denotes a more personal relationship between the student and his or her teacher. Yet another Japanese equivalent 'kooshi' sounds, to him, a little too stiff and it is often used to indicate a temporary lecturer. Therefore, the English word 'lecturer' directly indicates a university 'lecturer' in Australia.

**Topic 1**

167.E: Un, sore wa [her supervisor’s name] ga yarunda yo. Mukookare e? Iya, **supervisor** tte iuno wa **supervise** surudake. ([her supervisor’s name] is going to do it. He... ha? no, the **supervisor** only supervise{s}).

163.E: Unn, dakara. Dakara ne shiranaide watashi ga omotteiru koto o **paper** ni kaichatte sore o yonda hito ga nihon wa koodattanoka to omotte zenzen sore ga jissaito chigatte itara sore wa sugoi watashi wa... (No, if the readers get the wrong idea about Japan, just because of my **paper**, based on my
own interpretation and not accurate research, I would be so...).

In example 167, speaker E believes that the meaning of the Japanese equivalent 'kantoku' (supervisor) and 'kantoku suru' (to supervise) emphasise 'control' or 'authority', which was not the meaning she wanted to convey in this utterance. She also explains that she employed an English word 'paper', because it indicates 'the science assignment', which the Japanese equivalent word does not.

Topic
138.C: Eetoo, assignment mittsu to... presentation hutatsu to... (Ah, they were three assignments, two presentations...)

In example 138, speaker C employed the English words 'assignment' and 'presentation'. The Japanese equivalent 'shukudai' indicates an assignment of a much smaller scale, therefore he employed an English word 'assignment'. Similarly, the use of the English word, 'presentation', is a more accurate way of explaining his study, since the Japanese equivalent 'happyoo', involves a different format from that of a presentation which is usually given at an Australian university.

3. Contextual Association
In this function, code-switching often seemed to be used as a medium for sending contextual meanings which were related to the speaker's study or work. Since many English expressions are directly related to the speakers' life in an English-speaking
context (Australia), and the speakers share their experience with their interlocutors, code-switching aided the speakers in creating a more successful communicative interaction. Some examples are the following:

Topic1
38.A: Watashi (institution's name) kara kiteru kara (institution's name) tte ittara foundation course mitainano. Ichioo under graduate no ichi-nen kan issho nanda kedo yappari gakuhi mo zenzen chigaushi.. (I'm actually from [institution's name], like foundation course. This is also under graduate, but the tuition fee is different.

The use of the English items 'foundation course' and 'graduate diploma' in example 38 by speaker A was made possible through her partner's knowledge about a specific course which exists here in Australia. She commented that she often used English expressions like these in order to exchange information or talk with her partner (speaker B) about courses, units, and general university system here.

Topic1
21.L: Sore o kakudai shite asoko ni aru sign board. Are watahi ga tsukutta ano zigata nandesu... (Yes, I made it bigger. The sign board I make with the stencil...).

Since speaker L holds in his mind the episodic memory of the sign board, this experience, which took place in the context of an English-speaking environment, influenced him to use this English word, rather than the Japanese equivalent. Speaker L also knew that he could share his memory with speaker K (speaker L's interlocutor) if he uses the English word, because speaker L has seen the 'sign board'.
Both speakers I and J, who work at the same bakery, explained that they normally used the Japanese equivalents ‘taimucaado’ (time sheet), ‘kankisen’ (fan), and ‘kama’ (oven) when they were working at the bakery in Japan. They only began to use the English equivalent expressions after working in a bakery here in Australia. It seems that these English expressions are directly associated with the speakers’ working environment. Code-switching therefore functions as a means of not only carrying meanings, but is also of reflecting the environmental context the speakers finds themselves in.

4. Linguistic Efficiency

Certain English expressions appear to be much easier to use than their Japanese equivalents. Some explanations for this include firstly, that code-switching can operate as a mechanism for avoiding the misinterpretation of words which have the same pronunciations, but contain different meanings; and second, the grammatical order of the English language can better emphasise speaker’s opinion. Some examples of these are following:
Since the Japanese language possesses a number of homophones, sometimes speakers are required to provide additional explanations in order to convey actual meanings. In the case of speaker C, he tried to avoid using the Japanese equivalent 'kagaku', because it has another meaning, chemistry, which has a different writing form. He felt that using the English word was more convenient, rather than the Japanese equivalent which would have to be elaborated upon by the use of extra information.

In English, the word which receives the most emphasis is placed first; In Japanese, the opposite holds, with the exception that the verb always has to be at the end of the clause. In example 14 speaker H therefore started her utterance with the English phrase ('Personally, personally, I think..') in order to emphasise her own opinion. Similarly, in example 20 she started her utterance with the English sentence ('Unless, you have a very active life'). This is due to the fact that the English subordinating conjunction 'unless' precedes a dependent clause,
but the Japanese equivalent 'kagiri' comes after a dependent clause. Speaker H commented that the order of English grammar, in this case, is much effective in emphasising her point in this utterance. The speakers seem to be very aware of different property which exists between the Japanese and English language, and able to manipulate to convey their intentions.

5. Euphemistic Efficiency

In Japanese society particular English loan words play a euphemistic role. For example, Honna (1995, p53) explained that the English word 'loan' has been widely used instead of the Japanese equivalent 'shakkin' which holds rather negative connotations. Li (1999, p15) has also shown that loan words have an important role to play in expressing sex-related taboos (e.g. 'toilet', 'make love'), which, in Japanese society are, often thought to be affectively neutral. Similar to this phenomenon, code-switching appeared to play a euphemistic role in this study. Some examples are as follow:

**Topic 2**

52.K: Demo ima no wakai, wakai to iuuka wareware subete josei wa daihantai surudeshoo ne. Datte sore wa nihon no symbol nandakara. (Not only young people, but also all women would strongly disagree with that idea, because he [the emperor] is a symbol [of Japan]).

**Topic 2**

71.E: Chinese mo, yoppodo, chuugoku mo abunai to omookedo. (I think Chinese are also, China is quite powerful).

Code-switching functions, as has been demonstrated in speakers K and E's utterances, as a way of avoiding undue associations. Speaker K commented that she uses the English word 'symbol' when referring to the new Emperor of Japan. The Japanese
equivalent 'shoochoo' evokes an older image which is found in Japanese history regarding past Emperors. In this case the word 'symbol' pertains to the current Emperor. Similarly, speaker E felt that the use of the Japanese word 'chuugokujin' vilifies Chinese people since the term is associated with the image of excluding them from Japanese society.

Topic 1
38.K: Are wa mukunde iru to iyou ni mo Australian style no hokorashii huni no karadatsuki ni nararete. (No, you looked quite strong, more like Australian style).

The aim of speaker K's use of the English phrase 'Australian style' in this utterance was to describe her interlocutor's (speaker L) physical appearance as she remembers him several years ago. This English phrase was being used as a way of avoiding direct expressions about physical characteristics which may carry negative connotations especially because her interlocutor was of the opposite sex.

Topic 1
110.K: Cash de yatowareru toka, business ga kekkoo mada teichaku shiteiru yoona ki ga surundesu kedo... (I think business which is kind of 'cash in hand' is still popular).

In many cases Japanese people are unwilling to use terms which directly refer to money. The use of the English word 'cash' by speaker K in this utterance results from this tendency and can be accounted for in this way, for she believes that the Japanese equivalent 'genkin' is too direct. The English word 'cash' can be perceived as being a more indirect or neutral expression which avoids the negative implications which are associated with its Japanese counterpart.
In example 73 speaker A agreed with her interlocutor’s (speaker B) opinion with regard to people in Australia. As the Japanese equivalent ‘namakemono’ or ‘darashinai’ has a much stronger, and more negative meaning than ‘lazy’, speaker A came to employ the English word. Speaker A commented that she made the choice as a way of reducing such connotation.

Pandharipande’s (1998) study of Marathi/English code-switching illustrates that Marathi borrows a certain image which is thought to be associated with the English language what she calls ‘social function’ (for instance, modernity, prestige). She then points out that the most crucial feature of code-switching is that it is motivated by this social function. The positive evaluation of the English language amongst the speakers has been shown in the section of ‘Attitudes towards Languages’, whereby the speakers in general seem to hold a positive idea – such as ‘modernity’ and ‘sophisticated’ - of the English language. This suggests that a certain perception of code-switching functions as euphemistic effectiveness.

5. Pragmatic Consideration
In this study the choice of English items amongst some speakers appears to have derived from what is thought to be the language’s inherent casualness. In other words, the speaker
employed certain English expressions in order to avoid undue formality or to create a friendly or perhaps more intimate mood. Some examples are following:

**Topic 1**

59.G: Watashi no baai wa **lucky** datta no. Ichiban hajime ga sugoku totemo li jooshi de subarashii jooshi de totemo **lucky** datta no. (In my case I was *lucky*. The first boss was really nice, a wonderful boss, so I was so *lucky*).

24.H: **Lucky** yone, huntooni. ([They would be] *lucky*, really).

**Topic 2**

79.G: **True**. Sore wa zettai ni ierukoro ne... (*True*. You can definitely say that).

Both speakers G and H commented that the Japanese equivalent 'kooun' ('lucky') sounds rather formal and stiff when compared to the English equivalent. Speaker G also employed the English word 'true' for the same reason. The English items here function as reducing formality, thereby softening their utterances and making them sound much friendlier.

**Topic 2**

11.A: Soo soo soo, **thank you**. yon keta no yatsu de ni keta ni kaeteruyatsu dakara imadani nisen-nen ni kitara zerozero ni natte maeno data ni modotte shimakara sono data ga zenbu kiteshimau tte iu ..(That's right. *Thank you*. It is normally supposed to be for figures, but it was changed into two figures, so when the year 2000 comes up all of the data is destroyed...)

In this case speaker A thanked her partner (speaker B) because she was given the information from speaker A, so that she could continue to discuss a specific topic with speaker B. Speaker A felt that using the Japanese equivalent 'arigatoo' sounded too serious and overly polite in this context.
Similarly, speaker C used the English item 'OK' in order to avoid his utterance becoming too formal. Speaker C asked about his partner's (speaker D) result in his studies. He thought that the use of the Japanese equivalent 'daijoobu', in this context, was not appropriate. Code-switching thus has a function which serves to express the speakers' friendliness.

'Ninety percent' is the mark speaker A gained in one of her assignments. Speaker A explained that she used the English phrase 'ninety percent' in order to avoid being seen as showing off. In this case, code-switching has been employed as a way of circumventing what could be an uncomfortable situation, where the individual is perceived to be boastful or immodest.

6. Familiarity
Some English expressions which have been demonstrated by the speakers in this study are closely related to aspects of their everyday life. Since the speakers repeatedly come across certain English expressions, these tend to become more familiar than the Japanese equivalents. This sense is shared by both the speakers and their interlocutors, therefore code-switching comes to play an important role in these speakers' communication. Some examples are as follows:
Topic 1
76.C: De, major doo suru no? (Then, what about a major?)

The English word 'major' to Speaker C and his partner (Speaker D), is directly related to university life here, since neither speaker has ever studied at a university in Japan. Speaker C in fact commented that the Japanese equivalent 'senkoo' is not familiar to him, because the word is used only when related to studying at university. Therefore, the English word 'major' is a much more practical and familiar word to him.

Topic 2
47.D: Kocchidattara sa contract ga nannen de yometari toka nantoka ga arukedo. (But here, there are many cases in which [people] quit [their job] after completing their contract or something like that).
48.C: Nihon de contract naikara ne. Hyookajitai ga ikuikara ne. (Contract doesn't exist in Japan. It's evaluated as low).

Even though both speakers (D and C) knew the Japanese equivalent 'keiyaku', they used the English word 'contract'. They commented that they had not come into contact with this word 'keiyaku' when they were in Japan. Therefore, the English word 'contact' is much more familiar to them. This was perhaps due to their young age.

Topic 2
124.A: Work experience o hitsuyoo to suru desho? Kocchi no hito minna itteta none. Daigakuo sotsugyoo shitahito tte work experience jan? Detabakkari de ano requirement ni kaite atta none, detabakari de wakai experience o motte iru hito boshuu tte kaite atta no. (Work experience is required, isn't it? Every one here says that. A person who has just finished has no work experience, has he? In the advertisement, the requirement was that the person should be young, have just completed a university degree, and have work experience).
Both 'work experience' and 'requirement' are often seen in everyday life. Getting a job is very important to speaker A, she often looks through advertisements in the newspaper. The English words, which she usually comes across, seem to be much easier for her to use than Japanese equivalents. 'shigoto no keiken' and 'shikaku'.

Topic 2

19.G: Nante iu yokashira, kono iza huta o aketara yakari ginkoo no soshiki datoka iumono ga iwayuru globalisation towa makkaku k sheeranarete itato uudesho? (I mean we realised that the banking or organisation in Japan is totally different from the globalise banking system, isn't it?)

30.H: ...ano kigyou ni shitemo zenbu combine sarete amerikato nihon no kigyou to zenbu issho ni natte nanika doitsu no ginkoo ga BT o kattari toka zenbu ano merger ga tsuite irukara zenbu kaisha zentai no keizai ga keizai tte iuno ga ittaika shiteiru to omoo none. (...Even many enterprises were combined and the German bank bought BT. It seems to me the whole economic system has been integrated due to merger).

34.H: Public servant igai hutsunoo ano. (Except the public servant).

These English expressions are often seen and heard in the newspaper and on television. Both speakers G and H believe that when they discuss specific things, these terms, which are often used in everyday life, are much easier to use than the Japanese equivalents. There is no doubt that the use of certain 'key words', such as 'globalisation' and 'merger', is linked the media in general and is particularly common in discussing current affairs.

7. Affective Association

The expression of feeling in English was demonstrated by only one speaker (speaker B). Her relatively short duration of time (ie. two years) in Australia may have stimulated speaker B's
feelings. Speaker B in fact commented that she uses certain English items specifically in the context of life in Australia, as distinct from her former life experience in Japan. She believes that these English expressions convey more authentic meanings. The Japanese equivalent also expresses meaning, but only in terms of her life in Japan. Code-switching in this case functions as a means of expressing the speaker's feelings which are specifically related to her/his experience in an English-speaking country.

Topic 2
20.B: . . . Kocchi ni kite mite hoka no ajia no kuni no kotachi ga sugoi benkyoo shite iruno ga sugoi impressive datta. Ano impressive datta none. ( . . . Since I came here, I've noticed that Asian students study very hard, it is so impressive to me, really impressive.

Topic 1
81.B: Watashi konkai wa disappointed datta karane. So disappointed. Andake ganbatte watashi sugoku ganbatte to omoonda kedo daigaku ni zutto tomatteta mon. (I was disappointed, so disappointed about this semester, even though I did my best. I really studied hard I think. I actually stayed over several times at the university).

Topic 2
125.B: Koko de na seikatsu wa satisfied nanda kedo yappari benkyoo de mada ni ni tsuitenai ippai wakannai koto ga mada ippai aru mon yunitto owatta tokoro de wakannai mon. ( . . . I'm satisfied with life here, but I still don't understand many things in our course of study).

These interpretations for the codeswitched items are based on the speakers' own understanding of why they used certain English expressions. In most cases, the speakers showed some difficulty in giving reasons for their use in the beginning of the interview. However, after they were given the passages which contained the codeswitched items by the researcher (in some cases, the recorded conversation was played), most of the speakers were able to interpret their use of English items.
Poplack (1988) concludes that different communities perform different types of code-switching in her study of Ottawa-Hull and Puerto Rican communities. The present study has found some different functions from other communities which were previously studied. These functions appear to partly determine code-switching amongst the speakers. One of the most significant reasons why certain codeswitched items are being employed is to avoid being overly formal, and to escape the complexities of established Japanese politeness forms. Japanese bilinguals who find themselves in an English speaking environment can appropriate certain English words which can then replace the more elaborate politeness strategies inherent in the Japanese language. In this sense, the speakers seem to be very aware of the different properties which exist between the Japanese and English languages, and are able to manipulate these in order to convey intentional meanings.

5.7 Structural Factors in Code-switching

Discussions in the previous sections have demonstrated that although the speakers make their own code choice, certain social constraints frame permissible patterns of code-switching. However, these constraints are also largely attributable to structural factors. Therefore, in order to fully account for code-switching behaviour, it is necessary to study the linguistic perspective, along with the social and pragmatic.
The purpose of this section therefore is to examine what linguistic features characterise switched items, and the environments in which they are embedded. As the results from the recorded data have shown, the type of code-switching most frequently produced by the speakers was intrasentential. This refers to code-switching which occurs within the same sentence, from the single word to clausal level (more than a single word, including one finite verb). Since intrasentential code-switching involves the interaction between syntax and the lexicon, the nature its structure, in relation to linguistic constraints on intrasentential code-switching, has been studied by many researchers (e.g. Pfaff 1979, Joshi 1985, Poplack 1980, Azuma 1996, Myers-Scotton (1992, 1993, 1995b, 1995c, 1997, 1998b, 1998c, 1998d).

Amongst these researchers, Myers-Scotton (1995b, 1995c) demonstrates the nature and organisation of language production, which is based on her systematic model (what she calls the Matrix Language Frame Model). The Matrix Language Frame Model suggests that when a speaker decides to engage in code-switching, the very first thing which is decided upon is the matrix language. The selection of the matrix language is based on a number of factors including sociolinguistic and psycholinguistic considerations, as well as semantic-pragmatic ones. That is, speakers engaging in code-switching perceive the matrix language to be "the language we are speaking" (for them code-switching has a psychological reality as discourse in a single language). "A basis for this perception is that the
matrix language, relative to the embedded language(s) in the
code-switching discourse, coincides with the unmarked choice
(Myers-Scotton, 1998b, p96)". In other words, the matrix
language is often the speaker's first acquired language, since
code-switching is typically an in-group mode of discourse.
Moreover, she explains that the matrix language in general, is
the source of relatively more morphemes. A way of analysing
this is to take, as a minimum sample, two sentences (either
from a single speaker or from an adjacency pair produced by two
speakers) and find the language which contains the most
morphemes. This is taken then as the base or matrix language.

In this present study, the Japanese language was clearly
dominant over the English, in quantitative terms as well as
sociolinguistically, because the subjects are all native
speakers of Japanese. Therefore, employing the Matrix Language
Frame (MLF) model enables the researcher to investigate the
nature and the organisation of production of intrasentential
code-switching which derived from the speakers' naturally
occurring conversation. Hereafter, the matrix language (i.e.
Japanese) and the embedded language (i.e. English) will be
called the ML and the EL respectively.

The Complement Phrase as the Unit of Analysis

Within the MLF model, a Complement Phrase (it is synonymous
with a clause with a complementizer) is considered to be a
relevant unit of analysis (Myers-Scotton, 1998d, p220). Complementizers for example include that, and conjunctions such
as if and whether. It is a constituent headed by an element in complementizer position, although the element is often null (empty or zero). The Complement Phrase encompasses the IP - Inflection Phrase - which is equivalent to a sentence.

**Content vs. System Morpheme Distinction**

The MLF model suggests that content morphemes and system morphemes do not show similar patterns of occurrence in code-switching. Content morphemes include nouns, adjectives, time adverbials, most verbs, and prepositions. (That is, nouns and descriptive adjectives receive thematic roles, and verbs, predicate adjectives and some prepositions assign thematic roles). System morphemes are: articles which restrict the possible reference of nouns either to a smaller set (the boys vs. Boys) or to an individual (the boy), tense and aspect which restrict the possible reference of predicates (ie. verbs and adjectives), and degree adverbs which restrict the reference of events and adjectives (eg. very). System morphemes neither assign nor receive thematic roles (eg. Inflections and most function words). Myers-Scotton (1995b, 1995c, 1998b, 1998c, 1998d) claims that the possibility for the occurrence of items depends on whether they are content or system morphemes.

**The Validity of the Matrix Language Hypothesis**

The MLF model assumes that essentially only EL content morphemes, not system morphemes, may occur in mixed constituents (the matrix language + the embedded language). The model also assumes that two principles - the morpheme order
and the system morpheme restrict the role of the embedded language in mixed constituents in intrasentential code-switching. The morpheme order principle is that mixed constituents contain content morphemes from both the ML and the EL, but have a grammatical frame from the ML. The system morpheme principle is that in mixed constituents, all system morphemes that have grammatical relations external to their head constituent will come from the ML.

In this section, some utterances from the recorded data which include intrasentential code-switching will be examined with regard to both the morpheme order and the system morpheme principles.

In the examples below, the placement of two English content morphemes follows the Japanese language requirement. "No" is the genitive case marker, and is normally the inverse of the English construction, since in Japanese, the modifier always precedes the modified. This therefore shows that the EL content morphemes are inserted into a frame prepared by the ML (i.e. Japanese).

109.B: Sono semester no average ikuraka toka sugoi ki ni shite that of how much and very mind DAT do itten demo sugoku ki ni shite .... one point even very mind do "They also worry about the semester average, so (they) worry about even one point..."

39.E: Dakara moshikashitara exchange no program de ikeru so possibly of on go-POTEN kamoshirenai shi ... might CONJ "I might go (there) on an exchange program, so..."
According to Tsujimura (1996, p193), in the Japanese language, numeral quantifiers are often separated from the modified nouns. This does not occur in the English language. The incongruence which is evident in the word order between the Japanese and English language seems to be solved thorough the morpheme order principle.

Japanese is a consistent subject-object-verb (SOV) language thus the verb always comes at the end. In example 20, the English adjective impressive appears before the Japanese copula "da" which takes all verbal inflections. This also shows the morpheme order is that of Japanese.

In example 91, the system morpheme marking plurality (i.e. the suffix -s) does not appear with the English compound noun 'United Nation' and the noun 'fund', because there is no counterpart in the Japanese language. In example 31, on the
other hand, a Japanese plural marker "tachi" follows right after the English noun 'Aussie'. This can support the system morpheme principle, which calls for all relevant system morphemes to come from the ML in mixed constituents. However, the plural noun "tachi" is always attached only to personal pronouns or to human (proper) nouns. Because of this it does not appear in example 91.

GEN NOM COP-INTERRO-NEG what GEN for DAT COP FP
"Funds are available from the United Nations. What do you think (the United Nations) is for?"

31.D: Sono Aussie tachi?
That PL
"Those Aussies?"

Moreover, Myers-Scotton (1998b) suggests that English verbs which appear within the Japanese grammatical system do so without any inflection when they take a "do-verb construction". This construction consists of an EL verb stem with no inflections and an ML "do" verb inflected with ML system morphemes (e.g. tense and aspect). The Japanese "do" verb (suru) is marked with the ability of altering tense and aspect, as well it can also be marked with the negative potential inflection.

In the example below, for instance the English verb describe occurs with the Japanese auxiliary "suru" (do) which in this case is carrying the potential negative inflection. Since "do" can be inflected for tense as well, an EL content verb like
describe, will appear without any inflections. This will be further discussed in one of the following sections.

161.E: ... De watashi ga ne zenzan nihon ni aru mono o koo
and I TOP FP at all Japan DAT be NOMI ACC like
dekinaku tte jibun de ne katte ni...
do-POTEN-NEG if myself ABL FP own's way DAT
"...(If) I can't describe, or if I misunderstand something about Japan then ..."

Compromise Strategies

a) "Bare form" with "Do" verb

In the example above (91), the English compound noun United Nation and the noun Aussie did not occur with definite articles (in addition to the demonstrative soro [that]) as well as the suffixes -s. This is because Japanese has no article system and no counterpart to the suffix -s (which has previously been discussed). Myers-Scotton calls these EL content morphemes "bare forms". She states that EL content morpheme can occur as "bare forms" in a mixed constituent frame prepared by the ML, but the EL form is missing the required ML system morphemes (Myers-Scotton, 1995c, p994).

In this study, English lexemes often took a "bare form" in the mixed constituents. For example, the English verbs did not occur with their inflections, yet they did occur as nonfinite forms with an inflected "do" verb from Japanese. Myers-Scotton (1998b, p104), states these "do" constructions actually frequently appear in many language pairs, and in such among Japanese and English pairs. Some examples are as follows:

35.D: Paperwork to zenbu awasereba pass shiteru kedo hoidemosa.
with all together do-PROG but still...
"Altogether with paperwork, I could pass, but still..."
These examples show that the English verbs pass and restrict appear as "bare forms". These EL morphemes are therefore embedded into a frame prepared by the ML auxiliary "suru" (do), with the auxiliary taking all verbal inflections. Under those conditions, the English verb infinitive becomes a functional noun as such becomes integrated with the Japanese "suru" (do) construction.

In examples 79 and 31, both the English verbs 'add', 'combine' and 'isolate' occur as bare forms with an inflected "do" verb (sareru). "Reru" is the passive voice, it is attached to the negative stem of the verb. One would have expected to have the Japanese verb for 'add' and 'combine' to carry the passive voice inflection, but on account of the code-switching, these English verbs were transformed into Japanese verbs, thus it is the "suru" (do) verb forming which carries the passive voice inflection.

79.E: Demo sore wa point ni, nante iu no.. add sareru kadooka
 anyway it TOP what say FP do-PASS if
 wakaranainjanai?
 know-NEGATIVE
 "Anyway, [we] don't know if it can be, what can [1] say.. added
to [our] point, [do we]??"
Moreover, the English past participle appears without its suffix (-ed) within the "do" construction, as in example 23. 'Confused' was transformed from its original form, 'be confused' to be brought into conformity with the Japanese grammar. In fact, many nouns (acting nouns) can be rendered into verbs by adding "suru" (do) to them. "Do" takes all the inflections, including, as in this example, causative, desiderative, and past, to accomplish the appropriate meanings. Thus 'confuse' is embedded into a frame prepared by the ML, as a bare form.

23.B: Watashira no koto confuse sasetain da.
I-PL GEN NOMI do-CAUS-DESI COP
"(He) wants to make us confused".

The following are additional examples taken from the study which illustrate how the English past participles appears as a bare form with the addition of the "do" construction.

9.A: Are desho, ano bitto ga, nandakke are? Ikinari iwareru to that is the bit NOM what ah suddenly ask-PASS when confuse shite shimau.
do have
"That is, ah... bit... what? ah (I) was confused because (you) asked suddenly".
b) Past Participles as a Single Unit

According to Myers-Scotton (1995c, 1998b), certain EL inflected forms occur in mixed constituents. For instance, an English past participle (e.g. worried) can appear as a "completed content morpheme" of the same order as an EL noun or adjective.

In the current study, the English past participles, 'ashamed' and 'disappointed' occurred in mixed constituents. In both examples 58 and 81, the past participles are treated as adjectival nouns in a frame prepared by the Japanese language. In Japanese, the adjectival nouns form a predicate (as nouns generally do), by adding the copula "da" or "desu" (Akiyama and Akiyama, 1991, p152).

Example 12 shows that the irregular form of the past participle 'stuck' appears with a "do" verb. In the examples above, all of the past participles are nonfinitive verbs with their own inflectional suffixes, while the past participle in example 12 does not possess the inflectional suffix -ed. Because of this,
'stuck' is treated as a noun to produce a verb phrase (by adding "do") and embedded into the frame prepared by the Japanese grammar system. Yet the speaker's recognition of the past participle 'stuck', which is from 'be stuck', retains the irregular form of the past participle.

12.A: Nanka stuck shiteru yo ni shaberu kara...
   something do like speak so
   "When [he] speaks, he is always stuck, so ..."

c) Embedded Language Islands

In the model of the constituents of the complement phrase, Myers-Scotton (1995b, 1995c, 1997, 1998b, 1998c, 1998d) categorises matrix-language islands, and embedded-language islands, along with mixed constituents (matrix language + embedded language constituents). This study concentrates only on embedded language islands and mixed constituents. The major characteristics of the matrix island (ML island) is that it keeps its own grammatical frame. However, ML islands consist of only morphemes from the ML. The embedded language islands (EL islands) are consistent with EL grammar, and all morphemes derive from the EL.

"Congruency" is defined by Myers-Scotton (1995b, p247) as a match between the EL content morpheme and the ML content morpheme. In other words, an EL content morpheme must match its ML counterpart in terms of its subcategorisation features. However, if its counterpart the ML system morpheme, then there is no match at all. These features specifically refer to theta roles (their assignment in the case of verbs and prepositions
and their reception in the case of nouns, descriptive adjective and pronouns).

According to Myers-Scotton (1995b, 1995c, 1998b, 1988d), an EL island is produced, when there is a problem with congruence across the code-switching language pair with regard to a semantic/pragmatic mismatch, or incongruence with regard to predicate-argument structure.

In this present study, several EL islands were produced. For instance, example 119, the EL island 'at least two years' appears as the predicate of this sentence, since "wa" is the topic marker. It may be interpreted that an idiomatic expression like 'at least', can be combined with other independent English lexemes (such as 'two years'), to be then treated as a single unit in the complement phrase. It is still however, under the control of the Japanese grammatical frame regarding its placement. That is, the placement of an EL island follows ML requirements.

119. B: Are dakedo, at least two years wa ano, jiyuu ni shiteru kara. so "So (they have a lot of) free time, at least two years."

In a different case, an EL island occurred due to an incongruence in the grammatical structures. The lack of congruence between the English and the Japanese language regarding the prepositional phrase in the example below shows
that Japanese prepares the grammatical frame. In English, 'view point' requires in most cases "from" which precedes it to make the surface form of the prepositional phrase. Since the Japanese counterpart of the prepositions in English is the postpositions, "de" (from) appears after the EL island 'view point'.

The theory that the production of EL islands derived from problems regarding congruence (in terms of a semantic/pragmatic mismatch) was also supported in this study. Example 81, which has been previously discussed with regard to the past particles (disappointed), shows the EL island 'so disappointed'. It appears after the mixed constituent which contains the English past participle 'disappointed'. It is repeated in the EL island, with the addition of the adjective 'so', which was produced in order to emphasise her feelings. An interpretation of this is that the speaker's semantic/pragmatic reason, the intention to emphasise her feelings, does not fit the Japanese counterpart 'totemo' (so). It was for this reason that the speaker produced the EL island.
81.B: Watashi konkai wa disappointed datta karo ne. so
I this time TOP COP-past conj FP
disappointed.
"I was disappointed about this (semester), so disappointed."

50.H: As far as leadership is concerned, nihon no hitotachi wa
Japanese GEN person-PL OP
zenzen kitai shite inai.
at all expect do-NEG
"As far as leadership is concerned, Japanese people don't
expect that from politicians at all."

In example 50, the EL island 'as far as leadership is concerned' is the dependent clause. It consists entirely of EL morphemes. Although the number of morphemes from the EL and the ML is similar in this utterance, the Japanese language is recognised as the ML. This is because the dependent clause does not express a complete thought and cannot stand by itself as a sentence. The production of an EL island is a strategy employed to deal with insufficient congruence, since it fits the requirements of both the ML and EL (Myers-Scotton, 1995c, p1011). For instance, 'as far as' - the Japanese equivalent (conjunction 'kagiri') always comes at the end of the dependent clause of the sentence which does not occur in English. In the case of example 50, the incongruence which is evident in the word order between the Japanese and English languages lead to the production of the EL island.

Moreover, the speaker's intention may also influence the occurrence of EL islands. Myers-Scotton (1995c, p991) assumes that at certain level ("conceptual") in language production, speakers' consideration of which surface lexemes would best convey the semantic as well as pragmatic and sociopragmatic features of their intentions, involves the production of EL
island. In fact, the speaker commented that she felt the Japanese word order was insufficient for emphasising her intentions in this content.

Collection of Compromise Strategies

a) "Bare form" with the Stative verb "naru"

In this study one will encounter different types of strategies which were used in an attempt to overcome problems with congruence. For example, in the case below, the English verb attack appears as a "bare form" with the stative verb "naru" (to become) because it takes the 'past' inflection. Although the verb 'attack' is followed by "do" (suru), the mixed constituent itself is treated as a noun phrase due to the nominalizer "koto". A combination of the postposition "ni" and the stative verb "naru", usually suggests the completion of the event, and "naru" can take certain verbal inflections. Thus 'attack' occurs as a bare form with noninflected "suru" (do) because of "naru" (to become).

95.E:..saishuutake ni nato ga Serbian... Kosovo o attack suru koto..eventually DAT NATO TOP Kosovo ACC do NOMI
ni natta kara ...
DAT become-PAST so ....
"...NATO eventually attacked Serbia... Kosovo, so....."

Similarly, in example 30, the English past participle 'globalised' appears as a bare form in combination with the postposition "ni" and the stative verb "naru" (to become) which takes verbal inflections.
30.H: Ano, seijika jitai ga kawaranaku temo kokumin tte iuka ano, well politician itself NOM change-NEG CONJ citizen CONJ all global, zenbu global ni natteiru desho? DAT become-PROG COP "Although politicians have not changed, nations have globalised, everything has globalised."

b) Adjectival Noun as Unit of Embedded

English adjectives in mixed constituents appear to be under the control of the Japanese grammatical frame. Japanese adjectives can be divided into two major groups: first, true adjectives which are like verbs in some respects; second, adjectival nouns (quasi adjectives) which are formed similar to nouns. Most adjectival nouns are followed by the particle -na. These appear before the noun they modify (Tanimori, 1998, p248). In the examples below, -na is added to the English adjectives 'intelligent', 'international' and 'inconvenient', since they are placed before Japanese nouns. This shows that the English adjectives are treated in the same manner as the Japanese adjectival nouns, and thus they are followed by the particle -na, in order to modify the Japanese nouns.

36.K: Nakanaka intelligentna kata ga omie ni harareta na to Very person NOM come DAT HON-past FP CONJ omo tte.. think CONJ "I thought that a very intelligent person came (to me) ..."

64.B: Ma ima wa kaisha wa internationalna jinzai o well recent time TOP company TOP talent ACC hoshigatte iru kedomo want PROG but-CONJ "Although it is considered that in recent times, many companies seem to need (employees) who have international thinking in reality..."

74.B: Watashi mo sukida kedo inconvenientna kototo ga oosugiru. I too like-COP but thing NOM too many "I like here too, but there are too many inconvenient things."
c) Different types of EL island

According to Myers-Scotton (1995b, 1997, 1998d, 1998b), EL islands are grammatically well-formed constituents entirely in the EL. However, different types of EL islands occurred in this study which were not grammatically well formed. The example below demonstrates that an EL island appears with the "do" verb (suru). As has been discussed above, English past participles occur in mixed constituents since they are treated as single units in code-switching. According to this, 'organise' can carry an inflection. However, it appears without its suffix -ed in the "do" construction. This is the same reason for 'confuse' and 'adjust' which were also given in the previous examples (i.e. the past participle was brought from its original form in 'be organised' into conformity with the Japanese grammar, since many nouns can be rendered into verbs by adding "suru" [do] to them). In this sense, noninflected 'organise' meets the system morpheme principle - all the system morphemes must come from the ML. Myers-Scotton's hypothesis (1992, p27), which states that "the more formulaic in structure a constituent is, the more likely it is to appear as an EL island". Thus the English adjective 'well' can occur with 'organised' as the EL island, but 'organise' appears as a bare form in the "do" construction.

75.B: Soredattara chanto well organise shite konkai kaette in that case perfectly do-CONJ this time back
nihon de shiryoo atsumete...
Japan ABL material get-CONJ
"I'm planning to go to Japan to get materials so that I can be
well organised ..."
The section has attempted to discover the characteristics of the embedded language (i.e. English) and the grammatical environment of the matrix language (i.e. Japanese) with regard to intrasentential code-switching. The analysis of the data which derived from the speakers' naturally occurring conversation has shown that in most of the cases, a single English content morpheme is selected, and embedded into the Japanese grammatical structure. That is, the English content morpheme is inserted in place consisting of the Japanese morpheme order and the Japanese system morphemes.

Japanese is a language in which words are formed primarily by means of agglutination, and words can contain several morphemes, but the words are easily divided into their component parts (normally a root and affixes). In such languages, each affix is clearly identifiable and typically represents a single grammatical category or meaning (O'grady, Dobrovolsky and Katamba, 1998, p381).

Since all system morphemes are from Japanese, and Japanese governs the grammatical feature which is based on consistent subject-object-verb, there are certain ways by which the English content morpheme is accommodated to the Japanese grammatical frame. These are some of the findings of the features which characterise the English morphemes: first, both the English content verb and the past participle occur as "bare forms" with the Japanese auxiliary "suru" (do) which will take
all necessary inflections. Second, the English verb also appears as a "bare form" with the Japanese stative verb "naru" (to become). Third, the English adjective is inserted with the Japanese particle -na as an adjectival noun. Fourth, the English past participle can occur with their English inflections as they are treated as single unit.

Several English phrases and clauses have also been incorporated due to linguistic reasons or speakers' intentions. The former one is that English idiomatic expressions trigger the production of the English phrase. Yet, it is still under the control of the Japanese grammatical frame regarding its placement. The later one is that the semantic/pragmatic mismatch between the two languages led to speakers' decisions which produce a well-formed English phrase or clause.

A variety of mixing patterns can be found, which depends on the participants' respective competence of different varieties along with on sociolinguistic factors (Gardner-Chloros, 1997, p362). Myers-Scotton (1998c, p103) argues that it is clearly easier to embed a single English lexeme in a frame of the ML than it is to produce an entire constituent up to the level of complement phrase. This indicates that structural analysis regarding to intrasentential code-switching should also take speakers' proficiency in languages into fully account for code-switching behaviour.
Chapter 6

Conclusion

This study has examined the code-switching behaviour of native speakers of Japanese in an English-speaking context. The purpose of this study was to find; first, whether certain components (i.e. topic and gender) influence the frequency of code-switching; second, in what ways the occurrence of code-switching relates to the speakers' attitudes towards both Japanese, English, and code-switching, or the speakers' communicative intent/strategies; and finally, the linguistic features which characterise switched items and the environments in which they are embedded.

One of the conclusions which may be drawn from this research is that the different topics did have an impact upon the code-switching behaviour of the participants. Most telling were the results obtained from the discussions related to the Japanese cultural context. Here, it is obvious that there was a clear reduction in the frequency of code-switching compared to the other topics. It seems appropriate to suggest that the association between culture and language should play some part in explaining this occurrence. For instance, when talking about a topic related to the Japanese context, the speakers possess a repertoire of native terms which capture the fullest and most accurate meanings of those concepts. Inversely, when analysing
the frequency of code-switches for the English related topics, it is obvious that the frequency of code-switches is substantially higher. In this case, native Japanese terms are not able to fully convey the real meaning of these concepts. In other words, we can see that topics generally tend to be associated with a particular cultural domain and hence, a specific language repertoire.

As all of the participants in this study have been living in Australia for at least two years, each has taken on a specific and active role in the community - whether as a student in a university, or as an employee. As such, they have been immersed in the culture and have been exposed to the terms and concepts which are inherently associated with these roles. The habitual exposure to such language is an important aspect in the forming of a particular code-switching behaviour.

In addition to this, there are different patterns in code-switching use between the younger age group and the older age group. The results show that all speakers who are in the younger age group produced the highest frequency of code switching per turn for the discussion of either study (topic one) or the English related context (within topic two). Moreover, their discussion, in general, was focused on their study at university, or the prospect of looking for future employment. In contrast to this, the older age group displayed the highest frequency of code-switching in their discussion of what has been categorised as 'neutral' (that is, including both
the English speaking and Japanese cultural context, or not related to either) rather than work (topic one) and the English related context in topic two. That is, the younger speakers tended to engage in code-switching when they were discussing a topic which directly related to the English-speaking context alone, whereas the older speakers tended to produce more codeswitches during their discussions related to both the Japanese cultural, and English-speaking context, (or not related to either). This indicates that the people who have been living in an English-speaking environment for a lengthy period of time have a greater ability to employ English expressions outside of the more restricted context of their everyday situation, and apply them to a much broader field. A suggested reason for this may be that the participants in the older age group, who have been residing in Australia for a much longer period of time than their younger counterparts, have a greater command of the English language. Similarly, they may also have a more expansive knowledge of social issues both at the community level, and in terms of international affairs. However, such observations are in reality only speculative, one of the limitations of this research being it did not incorporate a detailed measurement of the participants' English language capabilities.

In terms of code-switching in relation to the gender of the interlocutor, it is difficult to establish a clear relationship between the two. Only two speakers C, and D, (both of the same entirely male pair) received a relatively higher average number
of codeswitches throughout all of the topics. On the other hand, of the two mixed pairs, the speakers of one pair both obtained a similar score to each other (they recorded a quite low frequency of code-switching). Of the twelve speakers, the three speakers who most frequently engaged in code-switching were the speakers who had same-sex partners. Their partners however did not generally display the same tendency. One interpretation for this is that each individual holds a different speech style. Thus it turns out there is no clear relationship between the frequency of code-switching, and the gender of the interlocutor. In other words, it made no difference to the frequency of code-switching, whether the participants were of the same or different sex.

In this study, the most common switches were intrasentential code-switching, especially single word. In fact, of the twelve speakers, only one participant engaged in intersentential code-switching. Although the speakers employed phrase switches, especially during their discussion of topic 1 (study or work), many of them seemed to be used as a single unit. These English phrase switches are used to express certain things, such as the name of a unit, system, section, or job.

Moreover, a few phrases appeared to be a combination of an English word and a Japanese numeral, these include: juuni point (‘twelve point[s]’), and ni semester (‘the second semester’). This was most common amongst the younger age group, some of whom commented that this was one way of guarding against the
dominance of English in their speech. This is a fairly convenient switch in that the English item remains constant whilst the Japanese numeral can easily be subject to change. This differs from the situation where phrases are employed as a single unit because these words are not interchangeable in the same way. This can partly account for the frequent use of single word switches across topics amongst the speakers.

General attitudes towards both the Japanese and English languages were examined within the first section of questionnaire two. Although most of speakers positively evaluated Japanese, a more positive evaluation for English rather than Japanese amongst the speakers was presented in the scores elicited by this questionnaire. In general then, it was clear that English came to hold a preferred status.

This overall tendency however did not clearly reflect the frequency of code-switching amongst the speakers as it occurred in this study. In other words, a markedly positive attitude towards English did not necessarily translate into a higher frequency of code-switches. For example, speaker H, who employed various types of codeswitches and recorded a high average number of switches, evaluated English only slightly higher than Japanese (just as most of the other speakers did). Moreover, speaker D received the third highest average number of codeswitches per turn, but his evaluation of English was the lowest out of all the twelve speakers.
The second section of questionnaire two, which was aimed at eliciting responses for 'instrumental' and 'integrative' attitude towards English, for each item, by each speakers, shows that there is a tight clustering of mean scores, and thus a balanced response between integrative and instrumental attitudes. This illustrates that the participants possess a favourable attitude in terms of both the instrumental and the integrative aspects. In other words, the speakers hold both pragmatic or utilitarian motives, and attachment to, or identification with, a certain speech community. On the whole, the frequency of code-switches was not then representative of the speakers' positive attitudes towards the two languages, nor the favourable attitudes towards the two attitudinal aspects with regards to English.

In the analysis of attitudes towards code-switching, it turned out that a negative attitude takes either one of two forms. The first is derived from their awareness of the existence of certain social norms and values with respect to the practice of code-switching. These include for instance what might be termed a 'purist' notion, which is an emphasis on the 'purity' of the Japanese language. Another might be 'politeness' with some of the subjects stating that it is rude to codeswitch in some situations, especially in discussions with older people and their employer. The second is derived from one's own preference for proper speech. The concern with code-switching is that its practice may be an indication of a lack of competence in the Japanese language.
The speakers, in commenting generally on the perceived Japanese social attitudes towards code-switching, revealed that in formal situations, they try to produce predominantly monolingual utterances. That is, they choose predominantly code-switched utterances in informal in-group contexts, and predominantly monolingual utterances in the formal situation. It indicates that the speakers pay attention to how they should speak in accordance with social convention, with the desire to obtain acceptance from their interlocutors, just as monolingual speakers choose different forms of one language in adjusting their style to the situation.

Certainly, linguistic choice is constrained by social norms and values (including 'politeness' concern) or influenced by situational factors (i.e. topic, interlocutor, and setting). However, in the case of speakers G and H, as well as information derived from the speakers' comments, showed that code-switching can be engaged in as a discourse strategy in which the speakers use it to achieve certain goals. In such cases, the speakers' code-switching behaviour does not seem to be influenced by these factors. That is, the speakers are aware that code-switching can be used as a marked choice (unexpected), as a strategy to gain certain outcomes.

As it turned out, the holding of a negative attitude, clearly in at least one case (the 'purist' notion), did influence the occurrence of code-switching in this study. Of the twelve
speakers, only six speakers held a positive evaluation of code-switching, whilst three speakers held negative views of code-switching only. Additionally, three speakers possessed both negative and positive evaluations. Nevertheless, negative attitudes towards code-switching do not always correspond to a reduction in the frequency of code-switching. Because the speakers are aware of the role of code-switching which operates within the participants’ daily interactions, because they have established ‘permissible’ patterns of code-switching use in in-group situation, and because amongst these subjects discussions were of an informal nature (thus, little attention was paid to their own speech), the negative attitudes towards the mixing of languages which may be held is overridden.

In fact, the researcher has interpreted several functions of code-switching based on the speakers’ own understanding of why they used certain English expressions. These are: quotations, semantic authenticity, contextual association, linguistic efficiency, euphemistic efficiency, pragmatic consideration, familiarity, and affective association. Most of these functions are different from other speech communities. It indicates that different speech communities perform different types of code-switching. The functions in this speech community show that the speakers seem to be very much aware of the different properties which exist between the Japanese and English language, and they appear to partly determine code-switching amongst the speakers.
In addition to this, the structural analysis with regards to intrasentential code-switching which derived from the speakers' naturally occurring conversation has confirmed the principles suggested by Myers-Scotton in her Matrix Language Frame model. The study has shown that: firstly, in most cases, a single English content morpheme is selected, and embedded into the Japanese grammatical structure ('The morpheme order principle'), and second, all system morphemes are from Japanese, and Japanese governs the grammatical feature which is based on consistent subject-object-verb ('The system morpheme principle').

Moreover, the study has found some features which characterise the English morphemes in order to accommodate the Japanese grammatical frame. These are including: taking 'bare forms' with the Japanese auxiliary 'suru' (do), or with the Japanese stative verb 'naru' (to become). The Japanese particle 'na' also takes a part in the English morpheme to accommodate the Japanese grammatical frame.

It was also found that the process of producing intrasentential code-switching is not only determined by the linguistic constraints, but also through the speakers' intentions. A few speakers produced well-formed English phrases or clauses in intrasentential code-switching for semantic/pragmatic reasons. This indicates that the speakers make a decision to create their own code-switching patterns during their individual interactions and try to convey intentional meanings.
To summarise, this study has shown that all participants taking part in this study engaged in code-switching during the course of the recording sessions. As it turns out, code-switching amongst the participants is a conventional language choice in informal in-group interactions, since the relationship of each pair was of an established friendship, and the setting was designed to be an informal situation. Each of the interactions between the speakers in their pairs, during the recording sessions, has demonstrated that in general, they communicated fluently - rapidly switching without pause from one language to another. This indicates that the speakers share established code-switching usage with their partners. Moreover, the speakers' intrasentential code-switching, which was the most frequently produced, indicates that codeswitched discourse amongst the speakers is a psychologically unified mode of speech, that is, there is little or no disconnection between the two languages.

The subjects were particularly aware of the advantages and convenience of incorporating English into the Japanese language. One of the most significant reasons why certain codeswitched items are being employed is to avoid being overly formal, and to escape the complexities of established Japanese politeness forms. Japanese bilinguals who have long been operating in an English speaking environment, may become familiar with certain English terms which can then be substituted for the more elaborate and conventional politeness
strategies inherent in the Japanese language. Thus, code-switching amongst the speakers should not be perceived as being an indication of a lack of balanced proficiency between the two languages, but rather, as a sign of communicative competence. For bilinguals, code-switching is an important resource which can be used to achieve certain communicative goals. Code-switching therefore should be thought of as expansion on the monolinguals' linguistic repertoire.

The research has attempted to give a fuller account of the code-switching behaviour as presented by twelve native speakers of Japanese in an English-speaking context. In order to this, two approaches have been employed (i.e. social/pragmatic and linguistic), as well as the incorporation of the speakers' attitudes towards both Japanese, English and code-switching. However, the research has been limited in that it has not systematically taken into account the varying degrees of English language proficiency which exists amongst speakers. This has been a limiting factor in the endeavour to present a full account of code-switching, since proficiency must come before the speakers' intentions/strategies, and the production of intrasentential code-switching. Therefore, future research should address such proficiency issues in a systematic way.
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Appendix 1 Questionnaire Form

Name:

1. Please circle which age you are in: (20-25, 26-30, 31-35, 36-40, 41-45, 46-50, 51-55, 55-60, 60+)

2. Gender: (Male, Female)

3. Please circle and fill out appropriate section: (a. Student b. Working, c. Home maker d. other)
   a. Student
   • Type of course/degree
   • Which year of study?
   
   b. Working
   • What is your occupation?
   • What duty does your job entail?

4. How long have you been in Australia?

5. Have you been to any other English-speaking countries? If so, for how long, and at which age?

6. At which age did you start learning English? And at what type of institution did you begin your studies of the English language? (e.g. primary school, high school, language school)

7. Have you taken any English examinations (e.g. TOEIC, STEP)?

8. During the course of your day, under which circumstances, and to whom, do you speak English?

9. How would you rate your ability in English? Please check (√) one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Natives</th>
<th>Near-Native</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1. Speaking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2. Understanding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3. Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4. Writing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1 Questionnaire Form

Circle the best description for each statement about English and Japanese.

Key: SA = strongly agree  
A = somewhat agree  
A/D = agree and not agree  
D = somewhat not agree  
SD = strongly disagree

1.1. I like hearing English spoken ........................................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.2. I prefer to watch the TV News in English rather than in Japanese... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.3. English is essential in order to take part fully in life....................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.4. I like talking in English................................................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.5. There are more useful languages to learn than English............... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.6. English is a language worth learning............................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.7. English is useful for talking about studies/work ............................ (SA A A/D D SD)
1.8. English is useful for expressing my feelings ................................ (SA A A/D D SD)
1.9. English is useful for corresponding with my best friend ............... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.10. English has no place in the modern world ................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.11. We need to perfect English .......................................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.12. I prefer to be spoken to in English.............................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.13. If I have children, I would like them to be English speaking.... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.14. I would like to marry an English speaker................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.15. English language is no longer important .................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.16. I like hearing Japanese spoken..................................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.17. I prefer to watch the TV News in Japanese rather than in English.. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.18. Japanese is essential in order to take part fully in life................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.19. I like talking in Japanese................................................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.20. There are more useful languages to learn than Japanese .......... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.21. Japanese is a language worth learning........................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.22. Japanese is useful for talking about studies/work ...................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.23. Japanese is useful for expressing my feelings .............................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.24. Japanese is useful for corresponding with my best friend .......... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.25. Japanese has no place in the modern world ............................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.26. We need to perfect Japanese .......................................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.27. I prefer to be spoken to in Japanese.............................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
1.28. If I have children, I would like them to speak Japanese only..... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.29. I would like to marry a Japanese speaker..................................... (SA A A/D D SD)
1.30. Japanese language is no longer important ................................. (SA A A/D D SD)
Appendix 1 Questionnaire Form

How important or unimportant do you think the English language is for people to do the following? There are no right or wrong answers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'Important'</th>
<th>'Not so important'</th>
<th>'Relatively unimportant'</th>
<th>'Unimportant'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FOR PEOPLE TO:

1. To make friends
2. To earn plenty of money
3. Read
4. Write
5. Get a job
6. Become smarter
7. Go shopping
8. Be accepted in the community
9. Talk to people out of university/working
10. To do business overseas
PAIR 1 (speaker A & B)

TOPIC 1: STUDY
Passage 1
7.B: Kurasumeito desho?
8.A: "Soo soo koko ga important dawa" tte "exam, exam" tte itsumo sen hiiteru none.

Translation
6.A: The lady often did it. And she ....
7.B: She is our class mate, isn't she?
8.A: She said "That's it. This is important". She also said "exam, exam" and underlined it.

Passage 2
12.A: Nanka stuck shiteruyoo ni shaberu kara, kochi shabbeta to omottara kochhi shabette demo kuesuchon sugoku muzukashii no tsukuru naa.
14.A: Soonano?
15.B: Answer wa "Kore nandesho" tte iieba iinoni "Nantoka nantoka" tte iuunda yone. Ueno sa gobun no yon gurai wa zenbu imi no nai general information ga kaite atte saigo ni question toka tte dakara kono mae no gobun no yon wa nankanee mitai na...
16.A: Datte ushiro no hoo no sa juuichi peegi kara juuyon peegi no sa

Translation
11.B: But [lecturer's name] speaks slowly and says "This is important", doesn't he? I can understand his English better than [lecturer's name]. I often don't understand what he's talking about.
12.A: When he speaks, he always gets stuck and switches to other things. Besides, he makes very difficult questions.
13.B: The answer for these questions are quite simple though.
14.A: Is it?
15.B: He doesn't give us an answer straight away. Most of his explanation is meaningless and rather general information. The question appears right at the end. I don't see any point of that long explanation.
16.A: Yeah, they are from page eleven to fourteen.
17.B: They are in the manual, aren't they? They don't help me to understand.

Passage 3
peegi gurai atte sa imi nai yone.

25.B: Demo no, question zitai wa nanika, watashi no tomodachi wa itteta kedo "Sugee simple dayo" tte itte. Puroguramu zitai wa moshiki shinpuru ni kakeba 'A kore wa yaranakya ikennai' to iuno ga seito ni wakaru no, seito ni. Dakara 'Wakkatta' tte itte sore o suttepu hunde yatte iku kedo nani o shitara iika saisho ni wakaranai kara, ano question no imi ga...

Translation
23.B: That makes everything complicated. It wants to make us confused. Terrible! We had an assignment which six or seven pages. Nonsense!
25.B: The question itself is.... Actually, friend of mine said "Pretty simple". If program was written in a simple way, the students would understand exactly what they have to do, step by step. Because we don't understand the question, it's difficult to know which part we have to do first.

Passage 4

Translation
31.B: The questionnaires might be changed because it has been changed to an open book. I don't think open book was difficult like this. I don't like the open book system. Nonsense. I always run out of time and get tired because of the open book system.

Passage 5
35.B: De benkyoo doo? Nan semester me korede?
37.B: Ja kore ffoo semester? Foo semester dattara wakaru yone.
38.A: Watashi (institution's name) kara kiteru kara. (institution's name) tte ittara foundation course mitai nano. Ichioo under graduate no ichi nennkan issho nandakedo yappari gakuhi mo zenzen chigaushi.
39.B: Takai no?
40.A: Yasui no.
41.B: Att honto.
42.A: (The institution's name) no hooga yasui no. Demo asoko diploma.
43.B: Aa asoko foundation dakara desho? Iine watashi mo soouuno yatte okeba yokatta.
44.A: Isshoda to omoo yo. Arekisandaa desho?
45.B: Un college. Demo are wa sono foundation no .. sooda ne.
46.A: Diploma ga torerundesho?
47.B: Diploma ga torerundakedo watashi diploma wa totte nai no watashi. Ichii semester shika yatte nakutte, de sono ichii semester toranakutemo yokatta ndakedo, demo are yatte sugoku yokatta yo. Arega atte, are ga nakattara kokode stuck shiteta yo. Kotoshi no semester de zenbu otoshitetato omoo. Datessa ano soouu chishiki ga nakattara doouuuu ni yatte ittara ilka wakaranakattaza, demo watashi ne soko de diplom totte kotoshi no ichinenkan yareba yokatta to omotte soshitara motto
So, how's your study going? Which semester is this for you?

A: Ah., the second semester, the third semester, no, this is the second year.

Then, is this the fourth semester? (You) must understand everything, because this is fourth semester (for you).

I'm actually from Edith Claremont, like foundation course. This is also under graduate, but the tuition fee is different.

Is it expensive?

A: It's cheaper.

B: 'Oh, really.

A: The course at (institution's name) is cheaper, but diploma....

A: Ah, because it's foundation that's why it's cheaper. That's good. I should have done the course.

A: I think its similar to (institution's name). You have studied at (institution's name), haven't you?

B: Yeah, the college, but that is foundation.

A: You can get a diploma if you complete it, can't you?

B: I could get a diploma, but I didn't. I just took one semester, and actually, I didn't have to take it. Taking the semester was good for me. Otherwise I would have become stuck on the study I am doing now. I would have failed all my subjects this semester if I didn't have this knowledge I wouldn't know how to deal with it. I regret that I did not take the diploma, if I did, I would have understood even more. Any way, taking that semester was really helpful for the studying I am doing now.

That (unit) covers too much ground [lecturer's name] makes it complicated. We are talking about him again. I don't like him.

That's right.

Besides we work. It's hard, because we can't control it, although I ask them if I can have a day off when I have an exam.

Moo chotto rai semester yatte mitee...

Watashi rai semester wa chotto... Moo sandome no shoojiki de watashi inakara nihon ni kaette hon, siryoo mite junbi shite okoo to ...

Erai.

C++ mata toru kara arette advanced dakara [another lecturer's name] jan, [lecturer's name] ja nakatta mitai. Mata [lecturer's name] dayo. [Another lecturer's name] zennen helpful janaishi ano hito hon yonde irudakejan. Lecture note yonderudakede sa, nani no oshiete kurenai kara mata [lecturer's name] to onaji de jibun hitori de yaranakya naranai jan. Soredattara chanto well organized shite konkai

Translation

wakatteta to omou. Demo sono semester dake demo sugoi helpful de yokatta.
kaette nihon de shiryoo atsumete ato daata sutorakuchaa mo yaranakya naranai shi. Yappari konpuuta science de 'data structure' yarazu ni owacchau, course owacchau to mottainai to omookara ne.

Translation
72.A: Why don't you try next semester?
73.B: I'm not sure about next semester. I'm thinking I might go to Japan and check some materials for further study.
74.A: Good for you!
75.B: I'm going to take C++. That's advanced and [lecturer's name] will be with us not [another lecturer's name]. Again we are talking about him. [lecturer's name] is not helpful at all. He just reads books or lecture note, and teaches nothing. We'll just have to study ourselves, like before. I'm planning to go to Japan to get materials so that I can be well organized. I'll actually take 'Data structure'. I think it is pointless to study computer science in the course, without studying 'Data structure'.

Passage 8

Translation
81.B: I was disappointed, so disappointed about this (semester), even though I did my best. I really studied hard I think. I actually stayed over several times at the university.

Passage 9
87.B: Kurasureeto wa nanka, koo yatte planning o kangaeruno ga sugoi ii toka itte, planning o kangaeruno ga suki de sore o zutto shite itte jikkoo shita ato no tasseikan mitai nano ga sugoi suki datoka itteta kedo, sugoku yoku wakarun dakedo mazu planning o hajimeru made ni hajimerarenain dayone. Yaranakya ikenain dayone. Demotte itte sorede....

Translation
87.B: ....my classmate said that she likes to think planning ahead, it's such a nice feeling after this planning has been accomplished. I understand the feeling, but it's a bit hard to begin this planning, even I know that I have to.

Passage 10
95.B: Watashi ga hatarai te iru jikan ni karera wa eiga o mini ittetari toka relaxation nanda yone. Demo watashi mo hataraku koto wa iyaja nai kara sugoi rirakkusu shite yatterushi sukina yooni yarasete moratteru dakara hakkiri itte sore mo rirakkusu to ieba rirakkusu to ierundakedo ne. Ma toki ni assignment toka exam no toki wa taihendakedo konkai ippai yasunda ne dakedomo sorede kokomade yatte dekinakatta kara sugoi disappointed. Sugoi tsuraku nai? Yatte go wakaranainotte.

Translation
96.A: Un tsurai.
97.B: Sore ni kurasureete toka ni setsume ni shite morau to wakaru jan? De nande wakarun tte kikita yone.

Translation
95.B: They enjoy going to see a film for relaxation while I work. I don't mind working because I feel relaxed during my work, so perhaps working is a way of making relax, instead of going to see films. But it's hard if I have to finish my assignment, and especially before my exams. During the last semester, I often took a day off for my study. I tried doing my best, but I could not get a good result. I'm so disappointed. Even if you work hard, often you still don't understand them, don't you feel sad?

96.A: Of course, yes.
97.B: If a classmate explains to me something that I don't understand, then I can understand. But I'm wondering why they understand, and I don't.
98.A: That's right. Most of our classmates are able to understand. I actually asked the students if they understood every thing we did in the computer lab on the very last day. I found that all of them understood.
99.B: I wonder how they do it. They seem to get information about the unit of this semester from their friends who have taken the same semester, I've heard that the content of the assignment is the same as the last one, like "networking". One thing that is different is the size of class, but the rest is the same. Anyway it's hard. Studying at university here is different from studying at a Japanese university, isn't it?

Passage 11
107.B: .....Moo yoginaku otoshite sore de average mo nai yoona monda monme. Demo taitei average moo hotondo no seito nanka average ki ni shiteru no.
108.A: Att, soo nano?
109.B: Un minna average, moo dakara assignment kaette kita shunkan ni moo average. Sono semester no average ikuraka toka sugoi ki ni shite itten demo sugoku ki ni shite watashi no average wa ikuradakara toka itteru kara moo sonna no dashitaku nai yo to omotte. Exam no kekka wa shiritai ne. Hayaku shiritai ne.

Translation
107.B: .....marking is very strict, so it's hard to even get an average. Most students are really concerned about the average, always average.
108.A: Is that right?
109.B: Yeah, everyone concerned about average. when they get their assignment back, they think about average straight away. They also worry about the semester average, so every one point is very important to them. I don't want to know average, but I want to know my exam result. Don't you want to know?

Passage 12
119.B: .....Sonoten yondai wa sannenkan kurai wa toriaezu asoberu kara sankaisei de shuushoku katsudoo shiteru kara, are dakedo at least two years wa ano jiyuu ni shiteru kara minna baito shitari toka kaigai ryokoo toka ittari shiteru kara.
120.A: Aaa honto.
121.B: Daigaku no benkyoo ga owatte shigoto ni hairu to seikatsu ga mattaku kawaru kara sono daigaku jidai de rirakkusu sore ni kurabete kocchi no benkyoo wa areda yone.

Translation
119.B: ......the four year post graduate students usually start looking for a job in their third year. So they have a lot of free time, at least two years. Most students work in a part time job or go abroad during that period.
120.A: Really?
121.B: Their life style totally changes once they start work, it becomes really hard, so I think this is a precious time for the university students in their life. In contrast, studying at university here is what can I say?
122.A: It’s hard.
123.B: It’s hard...

Passage 13
126.A: Demo are wa half, e? half gurai ja nai no. Tsugi no semester ni arukara.

Translation
124.A: Work experience is required, isn't it? Every one here says that. A person who has just finished has no work experience, has he? In one advertisement, the requirement was that the person should be young, have just completed a university degree, and have (work) experience.
125.B: That's unbelievable. I have often been told by my friends that I'm lucky because I have work experience in Japan, and it's going to be useful in the future. But only long term work in a specific country can really be considered as useful
work experience, don't you think? It's not going to be an advantage in getting a job just because you have a lot of work experience. Of course it's better than nothing. It also depends on which company you have worked at. I'm wondering what will happen to me in the future. I don't think I can enjoy life in Japan anymore. I might be in trouble. I don't want to go back to the company I worked before, but ... Do you think you have learnt something? I don't feel like I have learnt anything. But I think my English has improved and I have experienced many things. I'm satisfied with life here, but I still don't understand many things in our course of study. If I did understand it, I could have got 90% or even 100%. If "networking" makes it possible then, it's going to be great, isn't it. But I have difficulty in understanding it.

126. A: But it is half, half. The unit is on again next semester, so...

Passage 14

130. B: ... E? demo stream arenanjani no, (her interlocutor’s name) chan programing nanja nai no? Soreni...wataishi ikko dakena no elective toru no, are elective janai?
131. A: Soo soo soo, demo watashi kooiuno ni sorosoro toriagenakya ikenaindakedo made amaari tottenai. Itsumo hotondo ga elective de...
132. B: Aaa totteruno nanko elective toreruno?
133. A: E? kekkoo sannenkan no uchini gorokko torerun janai kana.
134. B: Elective ga? Soonanda, demo watashi wa omookedo ne, networking yattoitahoo ga iito omoo yo. Mini tsukeba nanka wakareba sugoi omoshiroito omoo.
135. A: Soo soo soo, are wa shokugyoo ga aru. Shoku ga aru to omoo wa.

Translation

130. B: Your stream is that, your..., programming, isn't it? and ..., I'm going to take just one elective. It's called elective, isn't it?
131. A: Yes, that's right. Actually, I have to take something else sooner or later, because I've almost only taken elective units, and not enough of the others.
132. B: Oh, you have taken elective! How many electives can you take?
133. A: E? I think you can take five or six during the three years.
134. B: Elective? can we? I see, but I think you should take "net working". If you can manage to understand it, then it will be very interesting.
135. A: I think so. I think you can get a job if you understand it.

Passage 15

136. B: Watashi wa networking no assignment ga warukatta kara sa, bikkuri shicchata yo.
137. A: Minna onajiyoo ni are jan, yoku comento ga nakattari yoku tensuu no sa, kooyu koto ga nanten te iuno o mitemitara, watashi no advantage toka soo iuno kaitenaikoto ga atta none.
139. A: Soo, ano, watashi CIM no benkyoo no konpuutaan no specification ga atte watashi no assignment ga usuppera de
dashita none. Soshitara chigau tomodachi wa konnafuu ni atsui none. 'Iya senna ni yattenaiyo' tte omotte asetta asetta. Sono tomodachi wa 'specification' no irunda tte iu none.

140.B: Att, demo sore wa assignment no hooniwa kaite arundesho?
141.A: Ichioo nantonaku watashi no specification no haaku no tsukaikata ga warukattato omoo no. Ichioo kaiteirukotowa kaiteiru no 'specify' microprocessor toka cache toka soo iunode keisan tte iuno omoshiroi kakikata shiteitakara watashi no kaita hooga iiokana to omottandakedo, kekyoku watashi ga tottano wa assignment ichi no nanajuu-go paasento.

142.B: Yokattandesho?
143.A: Ninety percent.

Translation
136.B: I was shocked by the result I got for my assignment in "net working".
137.A: This happens often, there are no comments (on the assignment). When I looked at it, I realized that there were no marks for the section on "advantage".
138.B: That's very unfair, isn't it? For example, I worked very hard in the content of the assignment, but I could not get a good mark for my presentation. It is important to find out what kind of things a lecturer likes to emphasise, isn't it?
139.A: That's true. I had an assignment on computer specification of CIM. Since I didn't know how much I had to on "specification". I thought it was not really necessary. When I saw the thick assignment of my friend, I was so upset.
140.B: But did you do it in your assignment, didn't you?
141.A: Yes, perhaps my understanding of "specification" was not good enough. It was written in a strange way "specify" microprocessor or cache. I thought I did well, but I only got 75% for assignment one.
142.B: On the whole you got quite a good result, didn't you?
143.A: Ninety per cent.
144.B: We often don't know what lectures expect from us, so we need good friends. Natives have friends who tell them which unit they took the last semester, and give them information about the assignment and the exam. Natives can obtain that type of information. But we can't, can we? We can't get that sort of information.

145.A: Yeah, that's right.

146.B: It's especially because we don't have enough friends, both Japanese and Aussie. I wonder if I have some friends.

147.A: Eq? I don't have many university friends. It's difficult to make friends at university.

148.B: Besides, if you have close friends who have taken the same units during the last semester, then you can get useful information from them. I think natives have developed that kind of networking within the university.

149.A: That's right.

150.B: We don't have it at all. We also don't have information about what kind of things a lecturer wants. That's why we have so much difficulty in getting better marks, don't we?

151.A: We should make many friends here...

152.B: But studying at university is an individual thing, so it's rather difficult to make friends.


TOPIC 2: The year 2000

Passage 1


8.B: Ako iu sisutemu ni natteiruka shitteru?


10.B: Sao, ano date ga kankei shiteite..

11.A: Soo soo soo, thank you. yon-keta no yatsu de ni-keta ni kasteru yatsudakara imadani nisen-nen ni kitara zero zero ni natto maeno date ni modotte shimaukara sono data ga zenbu kiteshimaatte iu software dakedato iuhan no hoka no hito mo iundakedo, hoka no hito wa haadouea mo kakkite kurukara. Haadouea ni kakkite kuru to zenbu tomacchautte iu jan.

12.B: Konpuuta ga dakeja nainda yone. Konpuuta wa denkara shitan too desu kana no kumikomarete ku naka ni kumikomarite naizoo ni natte sore ga ereteeta toka jidoo no manshon no jidootobira moshii date toka kumikomaretari toka spurinkurra toka sooiono mo jikan toka hinichi ni yotte imano jikan ni tsukeru yooni to iuhan ni embedded system no naka ni kumikomaretame irumono wa subete soodakara kuukoo tokasa are no soodato anoo... traffic light, shingo no sooyishi naka ni kumikomarete irukara.


14.B: Soo toriaesu naka ni moo sono date system, embedded system ga naka ni haitte irumono wa subete soonanda yone. Dakara honcho ni compuuta dakeja nakutte ningen ga kangeesaru hani de koko wa kore haitte iru kara naosanaka naranaitte iunee hitotsuzutsu imamade nannenka de naosshite kite mochiron daigakutoka government toka soolu tokoro no organisation no compuuta toka no fix up sareterun dakedo demo doko ni izerarete iruka kizuite inai kasho toka mo arushi, ato
Translation

7.A: Scarely. I've heard it being talked about on the news (the millenium bug). JNN says that the computer is going to be destroyed in the year 2000, due to the system...

8.B: Do you know about the system?

9.A: That is, ah... bit... what? ah, I got confused because you asked suddenly.

10.B: It relates to the date...

11.A: That's right. Thank you. The date is normally supposed to be four figures, but it was changed into two figures, so when the year 2000 comes up all of the data is destroyed. Some say it will only affect software, but others say it will also affect the hardware. It means that most of the system is going to be disturbed.

12.B: It's not only the computer, but also some appliances which involve the date and contain an embedded system. For example, elevators or the automatic doors of a flat, or anything on which you can set the date or time in an embedded system so that it will work at a certain time or date, like at the airport and ah... traffic light. They also contain (an embedded system).

13.A: It includes the electric company, is that right? The electric company itself can stop and then we are going to be in trouble...

14.B: That's right. Anything containing a data system or embedded system is going to be effected. Actually, several things have been fixed up, one by one, including the computers in the government and organisation. But still, many things haven't been fixed up, because we don't know about all the items which are contained in the system. And also, small scale companies have only a small budget for doing such things. Middle scale companies can afford to do it, I think....

Passage 2

20.B: ....Kocchi ni kitemite hokano ajia no kuni no kotachi ga sugoi benkyoo shiteiruno ga sugoi impressive datta. Ano, impressive datta none. Nihon igai no ajia no kunitte nanka kocchi kanjide..... Watashi ga atta imamade no Indonesian no ko toka sonohoka no ajia no kotachi nanka saki no shoorai no koto toka kuni no koto toka seijikeizai no koto toka nihonjin nanka yori mo sugoku yoku shitte irushi, toki ni ajia no ko dakeja nakutte western culture demo shoogakkoo no ko datte kuni no koto shitte iru jan, demo nihonjin nante minna shiranai no ga, toku ni onnanoko toka shiranai no ga toozen mitai na kanji de amari kinishitenai tte iuka 'sore nani?' toka shiranai kotota oosugiru. Sorette yokunaitte omou. Minna wakatte irundamon kunino kototoka kuniga ima doo natte irunoka. Tada jibun ni doo katatte kiteru toka, tatoeba shoohizei ga go-paasento, nana paasento, ju-paasento ni natta toka, soo iu hanashi, soo iu jibun ni katatte kurukara sore ni bigu na mondai dakara terebi demo nyuusu demo yatterushi, sore wa global de shitte irundakedo, sono hoka no koto wo selji toka ga doo natte irutoka...


24.B: Watashi no shitte iru tomodachi no ajia no kotachi wa zenbu shitteru yo. Kuni de ima seiji ga dooiu huu ni ugoite iru toka finance no kototoka. Ima nihon no jinkoo ga nanninka shitteru? Shitteru hito ga nannin iru to omoo? Shiranaidesho?
26.B: Shiranaidesho, ima choodo one hundred thirty four million toka nihon no soojinkoo ga.

Translation
20.B: ...Since I came here, I've noticed that Asian students study very hard, it is so impressive to me, really impressive. Before I came here I didn't know much about Asian people, or give any attention to Asian countries. The Indonesian and other Asian students I've seen so far seem really concerned about their future, and the politics and economics of their country, much more than Japanese people are. Even school children in Western cultures know quite a bit their country. I've noticed that Japanese people, especially young girls, don't know anything, and they don't realize how ignorant they are. I feel so bad. Other people know about what's going on in their country. Japanese are interested only in those things which directly affect their daily life, like consumption tax, because it is usually a controversial and global issue on TV, or in the newspaper. But people don't know much about anything else, like politics....
21.A: Yeah, we don't care much.
22.B: Not at all, in Japan, do we? That's really sad.
23.A: I agree with you.
24.B: My Asian friends know about everything, like politics in their country, and finance. Japanese people don't even know about thing like population numbers. Do you know what the population is? What do you think, how many Japanese people know what the population of their country is. Do you know the Japanese population?
25.A: I don't know.
26.B: You don't know. One hundred and thirty four million, that's the total Japanese population now.

Passage 3
42.B: Corruption dakedo hokano ajia no kuni datte motto minna corruption de tai mo soudashi, un de mo generaru ni itte watashi ga kanjita nowa hoka no kuni no kotachi wa nihonjin yori mo seijikeizai no koto o generaru ni yoku shitte iru to omotta, de kandoo shita.
43.A: Watashi no Indonesian no tomodachi wa yoku shitte irunone, to iunowa Indonesia ga sugoi kitanaikara. Moo seiji jitsai ga okashi karatte yoku shitte iru none. Sono hito wa Indonesian Chinese dakara shiroi none, skin ga. Dakara hontoo ni soko ni itara mazu korosaresoo ni nattari toka, sore dakara sono jootai o nantoka shitai tte ima tsuyoiindakedo demo....
44.B: Manmo dekinaiikara ne. Moo..
46.B: Sore ni karemo no taio mo sooda yo. Corrupt damon.
47.A: Seijikeizai tte yokunai are wa zettai naihoo ga lito omoo.
48.B: Demo government ga nakereba kuni wa ugoite ikanai yo.
Translation

42.B: Corruption is not only occurring here in the (Indonesian government), but also corruption in other Asian countries, like Thai land. Generally speaking, young people from other countries know quite a lot about politics and economics, more than Japanese people. I was impressed by this fact.

43.A: My Indonesian friend thinks that the Indonesian government is untrustworthy, and the politics in Indonesia is corrupt. Since this person is Indonesian Chinese, and has got light skin, (she) could be killed if she were over there. She has a strong will to change that condition though....

44.B: She can do nothing about it...

45.A: That's why she feels so sad. Japan is an advanced country among other Asian countries, so Japan can compete economically with America. In contrast, most Asian countries are not economically stable, and for this reason the people hold a lot of anxiety, I think.

46.B: Including Thai land, It's government is corrupt.

47.A: I think if politics and economics didn't exist, everything would be much better.

48.B: But countries can not continue if there is no government.

Passage 4

58.B: Nihon eno kiboo. Unnn, kiboo tte iuka, motto minna ga kiki o kanjite koko no kuni nimo me o mukeru hekkido omoo. De sore mo tokute no hito janakutte, wakai hitotach minna, kocchi ni kite watashi wa sore ni kizuitakara watashi mo so iu huu ni naritai to omoo. Dakara shiranai koto ga oosugiru koto mo ashamed dane.

59.A: Nisen nen ni natte keizai ga yokunatte shigoto no waku mo hirogatte hoshii naa to omoo.

60.B: Ima unemployment rate ga sugoiwara taihenda ne.

61.A: Sooda yone.


63.A: Hontooni? Ara, eigo de deterukara totte kureru hito ga iruka to omotta.

64.B: ....tada kaigai de daigaku de kawas naberu koto wa nihon de mananderu coosu to ozajija nai? Sore wa eigo de yatteru ka yattenai ka dakede. Sore wa eigo de yatteru to useful dato kaisha no omotte kurute, sono elgojitai niwa advantage ga arukerudo nai wa nai daigaku o detemo tada kagai ni itte itadake nanoyone tte. Naa, ima wa kaisha wa international na jinzai o hoshigatte iru kedomo. Sore wa tashika ni aru kedomo, toru nowa yappari toru nowa....demo kekkoo kocchi de daigaku de kara karakoso shuushoku ga mukashii tte iu baajon ippai aru.


66.B: PR ja nai to dameda monne.

67.A: PR no hito demo nakanka mukushii kara. Watashi no tomochi singapooru made itta mon.

68.B: Oosutoraria no hito tte ippanseki ni lazy dakara kono kuni owatteru yone. Higashi no hoo wa wakannaike do sa. Pasu wa toriaezu third world dayo.


Translation

58.B: The hopes for Japan? Well, this is not really a sort of hope, but I think anybody, not only specific people, have to be concerned about the crisis which is happening in the world.
and look at other countries, not just Japan. Since coming here, I've noticed that this is very important, so I'm going to try to do it myself. You feel ashamed when (I) don't know many things.

59. A: I hope the Japanese economy gets better and there is an increase in the number of jobs.

60. B: The unemployment rate now is terrible.

61. A: It is.

62. B: Degree, a degree from here is not going to be useful in Japan.

63. A: Is it true? Oh, I thought that it's going to be useful because I'm getting (a degree from an English-speaking country).

64. B: ....we can study a similar thing at a Japanese university, can't we? The one thing that is different is that we are being taught in English some companies may think that this is useful and an advantage because of the proficiency we have the English language. But most companies don't see the value in studying abroad, unless you can get a degree from a famous university. Although it is considered that in recent times, many companies are aware of the need to have employees who have international thinking or sense, in reality, they employ.... Besides, I've heard that studying abroad makes it even more difficult to get a job.

65. A: I know, I know it's difficult to get a job here as well, especially an overseas person.

66. B: It's difficult unless you are a PR (permanent resident)

67. A: It's difficult ever for a person who is a PR. A friend of mine went to Singapore to get a job.

68. B: Generally speaking, Australian people seem to be lazy. I don't know about the eastern states, but Perth is like the Third World.

69. A: That's right.

Passage 5


75. A: Choo takai!!

Translation

73. A: There are many. I like to feel lazy. I feel relaxed. I like it here.

74. B: I like it here too, but there are some things which are inconvenient. For example, there is no insurance system like the Japanese one and also, people have trouble (because of the social security system), which is different from the Japanese one. I feel that social security here is not good enough. If you go to see a dentist, it would cost you $1000, and then if you have the complete treatment it would be a total...

75. A: Awfully expensive!!

Passage 6

78. B: Demo benkyoo suru noni watashitach shinuhodo gakuhi harawanakya ikenai nowa erai.

79. A: Sugeee takai.

Translation
78.B: It's so tough to pay the very expensive tuition fee.
79.A: Extremely expensive.
80.B: That's unfair, isn't it?

Passage 7
95.A: Ee! Course owatte moo sugo ni kaetta jiten de yarukamo shirenai.
96.B: Shuishokukutsudoo tte kocchi ni iru oida kara yaruu hoo ga iiyo.
97.A: Hontoo?
98.B: Amerika no daigaku ni itteru tomodachi tokamo ichinen mae toka ichinen-han mae toka coosu ga ato ichinen-han de owaru kara imakara yattokanaite ka tte.
99.A: Ya nandemo iika to omotte, tatoeba hora...part time...
100.B: Aa, part time job toka tte koto? Ah, soredattara sugo...

Translation
95.A: Ha? I might start job hunting straight after finishing the course in Japan.
96.8: You'd be better of starting during your stay here.
97.A: Really?
98.8: A friend of mine who's studying at an American university thinks that she has to start looking for a job about one year or eighteen months before her course finishes.
99.A: Well, I'm not fussy, I can even take a job like ...part time...
100.8: Ah, part time job? Then you can get it easily.

Passage 8
111.A: Kocchi de program de sotsugyoo shitato shite mo amari sonna ni kakeru wakeja naikara, nihon de eigo o tsukatte program kaku wakeja naidesho? Soredattara watashi wa engineering tottoita hoo ga yokattana to omtte.
112.B: Hoka no coosu tte koto? Computer science no tte koto? Soretomo computer engineering tte koto?
113.A: Soo soo soo, komputaa no engineering dattara hora, betsu ni program janai kara betsu ni koozoo sae wakatte ireba nihon demo kumitatetari mitari surukoto ga dekirudesho?

Translation
111.A: Even when I finish (computer) programming, I don't think I can create much, and it's not necessary to write programs in the English language in Japan. I guess I should have taken engineering.
112.B: Do you mean a different course? computer science, or computer engineering?
113.A: That's right. If I took computer engineering not programming and understood structure, then I could build a computer, or fix it.....

PAIR 2 (speaker C & D)

TOPIC 1: STUDY
Passage 1
3.D: Konkai wa major, major niko ni shite.
4.C: Repeat ahiteru noga, datte...
5.D: Att, repeat ahiterunoo aru.
6. C: Sore wa daijoobuna no?
7. D: Yabai.
9. D: Saiaku to iu ka, ikko otoshita no ga arundakedo, travel agency management to iunode, sore zenkai wa ma, zenkaika sa, [person's name] to iu onnano ko ga ite, sono ko ga saa...
11. D: [person's name] to sannin de yattandakedo [person's name] ga, to iu ka moo, assignment ga ma ni awanakkata none hotondo, presentation to issho ni. Hoide, ma ni awanakkata monyake, dooshoo to iu koto ni natte [person's name] ga moo honto, kaze o hiitotta, moo mattaku, [person's name] ga kaze de dekinai tte koto ni shiyoo to togen o tsugi no shuu ni shite moroo to. Demo sore ga saishuu no shuuyatta, juu-san shuu ka, juu-yon shuu yatta. Sore de sensei ni ittanyakedo, iya moo konshuu ga saigodakara moo dekirude tte koto wa shinasai tte.
12. C: Hutari ni yare tte?
14. C: Jaa, [person's name] wa kawaii ni...
15. D: [person's name] wa daai ikkaime no kojin assignment ga sugoi yokatta nanaju ppa gurai, nanaju-oo gurai kana? Demo orera wa gojuu gurai shika torenakkata, gojuu-yon pa gurai. Damente ore wa yonjuu pa choi gurai de otsoshite shimatte, futaritomo exam mo yoo yarikata ga wakarande sore wa ochita. Mo, ato no mitsuu wa ukuttandakedo, sore wa muri.
16. C: Konkai ochitaara doo narundaroo?
17. D: Konkai ochitara doo narunkana tte kyohushin wa arukedo. Konkai no wa sensei ga kawatta no, sono kyooaka. Mae no sensei wa obasan no sensei dogara donna koto shite mo pass wa sasete kuretano ne. Imano sensei tte waraeru no. Waraeruno wa dai ikaiime no assignment o gojuu ppa choi shika torenakkata yan. Dakara gojuu ppa choi shika toretse nakakkataa kon semester ten toran to yabai to omotta kara. Ore no mae no assignment to issho yatta. Sorede, mae no ohoridashite kite [person's name], [person's name] no assignment tte aru yan. [person's name] no (assignment) ga zenkai nanaju ppa torete iru kara, so ko kara aidea o nukidashite onaji bunsho niwa shite inai kedo aruteido nukidashite agency ni konkei shite ita koto o bonbonbon to ireta no. Soshitara doo kangaete mo nanaju-go pasento gurai totta assignment tosa, ore no zenkai no gojuu pasento no assignment jan. Hoide, sore o mikkusu shite umai koto structure mo ireta n. Dakara, moo orera to shite wa sa [person's name] mo [person's name] mo ore no warukute credit, credit wa kurudaroo to.
18. C: Rokujuu ppa?
19. D: Rokujuu ppa. Dakara, sore wa niju-oo ten chuu, mae wa juu-san ten yatta no, zenkai wa. Konkai wa niju-oo tenchuu nanaten. [person's name] wa juu-i tten yattan. Fail ya, atama kita sa. Sensei toko itte 'nani, nani kono nana ten tte'. 'Iya watashi ga yondara, ma nanaten, soregurai no assignment'. 'Chotto matte te zenkai no tensuu to chigaisugiru tte'. Ore ga ittano wa zenkai no assignment de, ma hoka no sensei ga koko wa dekite inai, koko wa dekite nai tokoro...

Translation
3. D: This time, major, I'll take a double major.
4. C: I thought you have to repeat, because...
5. D: Yes, I have to repeat.
6. C: Is it Ok?
7. D: Not really.
8. C: Oh, is that one? You told me that you got a terrible lecturer, didn't you? You said something....
9. D: Yes, She was terrible. I actually failed a unit called travel agency management. This last semester, there was a girl, her name was [her name], she.....
10. C: Is that the one? You did it with [another person's name], three people...
11. D: I did it with [that person's name], that means three people. But [the girl's name] could not finish her assignment which was associated with our presentation, she had a cold at that time actually, so we decided to put off our presentation until the following week. That week, we were supposed to give the presentation, it was the last week (of the semester), week thirteen or fourteen, I think. We asked the lecturer to postpone it, but she said that we have to do it because it was the last week (of the semester).
12. C: Did she mean you had to do it only with him?
13. D: She said I had to do it only with him. All we could do was able to do well in the exam, so...anyway, she gave me a pass.
14. C: What about [the girl's name] then?
15. D: She got quite good mark in her first assignment. I think she got 70% or 75%. We only got about 50% or 54% in our assignment, and I got 40% as a total result. I think I failed that unit because we didn't know how to deal with the exam. I passed the other three units, but I don't think I passed that unit.
16. C: What would happen to you if you really failed that unit?
17. D: I'm pretty worried about it. We used to have an old lecturer who always let students pass, but we had a different lecturer, this semester, and it made the unit much more difficult. I had a funny story when the old lecturer with us. I got about 50% in my first assignment. Since I thought I was going to fail unless I got enough marks for (my assignment), I took this idea about agency out of the girl's assignment in which she got more than 70%. Then I combined this idea with my old assignment because the topic was the same as last (semester). Of course I didn't copy her writing. I thought, also my friends thought, that I could get at least a credit (for that assignment), because I mixed elements from both the girl's assignment which got about 75%, and my old assignment, which got 50%. Besides I made a nice structure (for the assignment), but....
18. C: 60%?
19. D: Yeah 60%. I got 13 out of 25 for the last (semester), but this time I only got 7 out of 25. [a person's name] got 11. We failed. I got so upset. I went to see the lecturer and asked her. 'what do you mean by giving me this mark? She said 'I thought the assignment was worth seven points'. I said 'wait, that is very different from the mark in my old assignment'. I explained to her that I had improved some parts which had been pointed out by my old lecturer, so...

Passage 2

23. D: Ma sore wa arunyanai. Spelling misu toka ga aru, soo iu nomo kondo komattanyaroo kedo toriaezu nanatenyata. De ore itta no 'ore repeater nandakedo, zenkai ore wa failed. Failed no tokimo assignment wa juu-san ten totta' to. Ore wa sono zenkai, [person's name] no o mita toka itte nai yo, sono,
It could have been something to do with that I guess, something like spelling mistakes could have been taken into consideration. Anyway, I got seven. I said to the lecturer 'I'm a repeater. I failed the last (semester). I got thirteen points in my last assignment, even though I failed'. I didn't tell her that this time I used the girl's assignment. But I said to the lecturer, 'I definitely improved all the parts pointed out to me by my old lecturer, so I don't understand why I got only seven points, it is so different from the mark I got last assignment'. Then the lecturer said 'All right, I'll give you another two points. That's all I can do for you'. That meant I got nine points and still failed. I was going to really be in trouble this time, if I were to get only nine points. So I said to the lecturer 'I'll bring in my old assignment, so that you can mark it. Anyway, that was the same assignment. She said 'Well, bring the assignment in then'. Actually, my old assignment was out of the question. I wrote about something else, ah...what was it? ...'management development', instead of writing about 'Tourism development'. That's right, I wrote about the wrong topic.
agency ni itte orera wa, mistery shopping tte itte, ikinari soko ni itte ryokoooken o kauyoona furi o suru no. De, soko no hitotachi ga insurance no koto o hanashitaka toka, sono hitotachi no taido wa doo datta toka, dore dake mata sareta toka, soiu koto o orera ga happyoo suru no. Orera ga itta assignment no koto. Dakara, orera no research kekka shika hanasenai noni, sorede yo presentation juu-ten chuu santen. Sabetuto shika iiyoo ga nai. Huzaketeru.

30.C: Sono Aussie no yatsu wa nante itteru no.
32.C: Nande sore wa?
33.D: Ma, sore wa exam no mae ni wakatta koto o akakara orerato wa jikan ga nakatta kara soo iu, awanakkata kedo ne.
34.C: Kekka moo sorosoro dekiterudesho. Konshuu atari derudesho.
35.D: Exam no kekka wa moo sorosoro dekiri yone. Demo ma, overall, purinto no nani... pempawamoomu to zenbu awasereba pass shiteru kedo hoidemo sa.
36.C: Pass shiteru?
37.D: Pass wa shita. Demo...
38.C: Ja, exam wa dekita tte koto?
39.D: Exam wa ore kekkkyoku benkyoo shite inai kedo, dekita kedo, sensei no ten no tsukekata ga kibishii kara.

Translation
29.D: The content was OK. It was based on the research we did. That assignment was called mystery shopping. That's when we pretend to be customers and go to (the travel) agency and buy travel tickets. We have to comment on the sales-person's attitude, whether they mentioned insurance, and how long they made us wait. Anyway, we only got three out of ten, for that assignment, even though the presentation was based on our research. This was definitely discrimination, terrible.

30.C: What did the two Aussies say about it?
32.C: Why?
33.D: We found out about it just before our exam, so we didn't have enough time to talk about it.
33.C: The result must be on the notice board by now, about this week.
35.D: Yes, the results of the exam must be available by now. Well overall, something like print, ... altogether with paperwork, I could pass, but ....
36.C: Did you pass?
37.D: I guess I passed, but ...
38.C: Do you mean you did your exam very well?
39.D: I didn't study much for my exam, but I did well. I don't know, the lecturer is quite a strict marker, so...

Passage 4
46.C: Doo yattate sa, nanka ajiajin toka hanashite nanka pause shitari nanka chinnoku ga zutto tsuzuitari shitara zenzen dameda tte koto arujanai? Soo iu yatsura to kurabete sa, jibunra no hoo ga dekitaana tto omottara soo iu noto kurabete mitara ii janai.
47.D: Demo yatsura wa pawaa pointo tsukatte itakara ne.
48.C: Ah, soona no?
49.D: Jissai pawaa pointo wa orera wa pawaa pointo tsukatte nakatta kara ne. Demo tensuu wa ito omowanakatta kedo fail ni suru koto nainjanai.
50.C: Tsukae tte iwareta no?
51.D: Sonna koto iwarete nai yo. Sonna koto iwarete nai, sonna...
52. C: Demo, saikin no **business** no toka no purezen wa zenbu arejanaai.
53. D: Soo pawaa pointo.
54. C: Pawaa pointo tsukaunjanaai?

Translation

46. C: It can't be a good (presentation) if Asian students like us make a long **pause**, or a long silence, can it? If you think you did well (but you could not get a good mark) then why don't you compare your mark with those other Asian students?
47. D: But most of them used power point.
48. C: Oh, is that so?
49. D: Actually, power point was used by most of the people in the class, but we didn't use power point. I didn't expect us to get a good mark, but (she) didn't have to make us **fail**.

Passage 5

57. D: Mata konkai yattsu totta kedu hutatsu wa **tourism** no yatsu yaro...
58. C: Sore wa **Spanish**?
59. D: **Spanish** dakara sa
60. C: Ja? Ok?
61. D: Ma, **Spanish** wa ore ni totte wa
62. C: Annari benkyoo shinakutemo...
64. C: Inijanaai.
66. C: Sore demo, ma korekaramo tottekundesho?
67. D: Ha?
68. C: Ima **Spanish**, intorodakushon de sorekara mata...
69. D: Iya, moo **Spanish** toranai kamoshirenai.
70. C: Nande?
71. D: Unn to...iuka **tourism** no **major** yameyooka to omotte. Iketenai kara. Annamon totta tte shoorai nammo yaku ni tatanaishi.
72. C: Ya, shoku ga? Nihon ni kaette doo suru no?
73. D: Kekkyoku **tourism** nante kocchi de hatarakanakereba nannmo yaku ni tatanai. Annari ponto nai no. Kekkyoku domestic na Kotobakari dakara. Dakara **international** no koto annari naikara **agency law** toka tte law narattate...
74. C: Ja, korekara mata jikan kakari janai.
75. D: Ya, **minor** ni mawaseba ii.
76. C: De, **major** doo suru no?
77. D: Dakara, ima sugoku mayotteru no. Maaketingu ni shiyoo ka konpyuuat..
78. C: konpyuta wa yappari dame?
79. D: Ore datteee.
80. C: konpyuuata wa ii tte. IT wa... nihon tekunorojii wa iiyoo. Are wa shigoto ga yoku mitsukaru yo. Ore no tomodachi nankadeoto.
81. D: Ore wa garaja nai jan. Dakara, maaketingu ni ikoo kana tte omottari surundakedo ne.
82. C: Mata hito to hanasuno ga tokui dakara toka, soo iuno ga areba...
83. D: Idea toka soo iuno ga areba, demo maaketingu tte muzukashii no shitterunda yone.
84.C: Oyaji ga soonandesho? Demo, nani yatte mo law toka yaranakya ikenaikara ne.
85.D: Maa ne.
86.C: Oreda tte law yaranakya ikenainakara. Ore mo kon semester arukara ne. Doo shiyoo kana.
87.D: [interlocutor's name] exam wa daijoobu nano?
88.C: Ore wa... repeat wa nai kedo mitsude tte iu ka. exam wa daijoobu tatta. Dakara exam wa ikko ochite iru kana tte, yabai kana tte omotte sore o kakunin shi ni itta no. Sidonii kara kaette kite iissuuukan, iissuuukan tatte sugu itte sore ga ichiban haundatta kara ne. Sore de moo ok yo. Dakara credit totta toka. HD totta toka wo soo iuno wa wakaranai kedo. Ukattaka ukaranakattaka oshiete kureru kara. ‘Ukatta yo’ tte itte kureta kara, sorskara goruhu janjan yo.
89.D: Iinai.
90.C: Dakedo, demo sono hitotsu igai wa ato daijoobu de distinction ka HD wa toreteru yo. Hitotsu wa tabun...
91.D: Benkyoo shiteru naa.
93.D: Ore, dotte benkyoo shinai kara ne.
94.C: Dakara saigo ni zuuto yaranakya ikenasai ga soo iuno ga tsurai. Ore mo exam kiraida kara ne. Exam de roku-juu ppa toka. nanajuu ppa toka toranai to ikenai tte naruto....
96.C: Dakara, assignment toka... assignment toka daita ni kiko arujanai. Hotondo distinction tte iuka nanajuu paaento ijo tooteru exam ga ikura warukutemo tooru tte.
97.D: Sore wa torenai.
100.C: Dakara yoku toetteru yo. Assignment de...
101.D: Ore kekkoo rakkican yo. Imamide ore essay toka annari umai hoo janai noni ne. Nanya kanya, dipuromamo ne...adobansu mo...

Translation
57.D: This time I took four units, and two of them are tourism.
58.C: Are the other units Spanish?
59.D: Yes, Spanish.
60.C: Then, you’ll be Ok, right?
61.D: Well, Spanish for me is...
62.C: You don’t even have to study hard...
63.D: I’ll be all right even if I don’t study hard. I usually don’t attend the class actually.
64.C: You sound all right.
65.D: I’m sure I passed. It wasn’t so difficult because that was an introduction.
66.C: So, you’re going to continue it, aren’t you?
67.D: Ha?
68.C: You took Spanish, introduction. You’ll keep studying (it) and ...
69.D: No, I won't take Spanish anymore.
70.C: Why?
71.D: Uh... I'm thinking. I might not take tourism as a major because I didn't do well. I don't think it's going to be useful for me in the future.
72.C: You mean for getting a job? What are you going to do after you go back to Japan?
73.D: Studying tourism isn't going to be useful unless you work here. So, there is not much point for me. Basically, we learn only domestic things, there's not much in the way of international things, so studying law, agency law...
74.C: Then, it's going to take time (to get degree).
75.D: I can study it as a minor.
76.C: Then, what about a major?
77.D: That's why I'm thinking that may be I should study marketing or computer ....
78.C: Aren't you good with a computer?
79.D: I ... because...
80.C: Studying the computer is useful. IT...., Japan technology is the best.
81.D: That doesn't suit me, that's why I think I should study marketing.
82.C: If you're good at dealing with people, then
83.D: If you have a unique idea, then, but I know how difficult studying marketing is.
84.C: Your father's job is in marketing, isn't it? Whatever you study, you have to know about law.
85.D: Perhaps.
86.C: I also have to learn law. I have a unit studying (law) this semester. What should I do?
87.D: What about your exam?
88.C: I didn't have to repeat any units. I did well in my exams, actually, I took three. I was bit worried about one of my exams, so I went (to University) to make sure that I had passed after coming back from Sydney. It was one week... yeah, one week after I came back, because I was worried about it. But it was Ok. I was told that I had passed. I don't know if I got a credit or HD, but I've been playing golf since I found out the result.
89.D: I envy you.
90.C: The other two units must be all right. I might have got a distinction or HD. Perhaps, one of them...
91.D: You must have studied hard.
92.C: Not really. I had a hard time during the semester, because we had to write three reports every week. To write reports, I had to study constantly like reading to get information. Because of that I could make a note little by little, and by the end of the semester, all I had to do was read them.
93.D: I, I don't study much.
94.C: If you study hard just before the exam, it's tough. Of course, I don't like (exam). If you have to get 60% or 70% for the exam, then...
95.D: I don't want put myself in that kind of situation, but I'm always like that.
96.C: You usually get an assignment, two assignments, don't you? If you get a distinction, I mean more than 70% for them, you would pass even if you can't do well your exam.
97.D: I can't get a good mark like that.
98.C: I can't either. Anyway, you're better of getting (information) earlier for the topic of the assignment from someone who has done the unit. Sometimes, you can't get any books in relation
to the topic if you start working on it at a similar time to other students. Especially, because there are many business students, so it's better to work on it before any one else starts. Even us science students often complain 'There are no books available'. You're going to be in trouble if you can't get any books.

99.D: No books at all.
100.C: Anyway, you've done very well on your assignment.
101.D: I'm just lucky. I'm not good at writing essays, but I've got a diploma, and advance....

Passage 6

111.D: Finance.
112.C: Finance otosanakatta no?
113.D: Otosanakatta ne. Datte yume no, yume no ikkyooks datta no.

Translation

111.D: Finance.
112.C: You didn't fail finance.
113.D: No, I didn't. That was my favorite subject.

Passage 7

118.C: [person's name] wa major nandatta no?
119.D: Issho, issho, tourism.
120.C: Kaeru?
121.D: Iya, aitsu wa double major desho?
122.C: Economics?
123.D: Iya, nani suru tte ittetakke? Aitsu wa ...nandakke... tourism arudesho, ato wa e-komaasu, intaanetto tte hontoo ni intaanetto tte nani yaru nokana?

Translation

118.C: What is [person's name] major?
119.D: Same, same as me, tourism.
120.C: (Is he going to) change?
121.D: No, he is taking a double major.
122.C: (Is his other major) economics?
123.D: No, what did he say? He.....ah....what was that ... tourism, e-commerce and internet. I'm wondering what kind of things I can learn about studying the internet.

Passage 8

130.C: ...Sorega nakattara moo environment science owatterukara ne. Sen semester kara atarashiino ga dekita no, environment chemistry tte iuno ga, kankyou kagaku tte, kagakuno hoo no, sore ni norikaete tte iun janai kedo sorede...
131.D: Nande, nande mata moo ikko degree o troo to omotta no?
132.C: Dakara ore ne... sono, kankyoogaku no anmari seiseki ga yokunain da.
133.D: Imano yatteru yatsu?
134.C: Kankyoogaku no imano, kon semester no tottano wa kagaku no hoo, sore wa atarashii hoo no environment, hurui yatsu wa kankyoogaku nanda kedo, environment science de anmari ore seiseki yokunainida. De mitenaino wakatta kedo sa. Kakuno toka, writing toka tokuija naikara assignment wa torerunda kedo sa. Yoku nakatta kara sa. Sore de kagaku toka ore ni muliteru to omotta.

Translation

130.C: ....If there was no problem, I could have completed environment science. The new unit, called environment
chemistry, commenced last semester, environment chemistry, study of chemistry. I don't mean I switched to that course...

131.D: Why, why did you decide to take another degree?
132.C: Because I..., well (I) couldn't get a better result for environment science.
133.D: You mean, the course you are taking now?
134.D: I took environment science, no, chemistry this semester. This is a new course in the study of the environment. The old one is called environment science. I actually couldn't get a good result (for environment science). I realised that it doesn't suit me. I was able to get quite good mark in my assignment, but basically I'm not good at writing. The result was not good. That's why I thought studying chemistry suited me.

Passage 9
140.C: Sorede sono saki tatoeba honors toka ue ni ikitakutemo seiseki ga warukattara ikenai mon. Dakara ore environment science demo onaazu made ikitakatta kedo. Datte yaritai to omowanakatta kara. Demo kaete kagaku no hoo dattara omoshiroooodana to. Kagaku no hoo dattara tabun master toka yaresoona ki gâ shita kara yaroo kana to omotte.

Translation
140.C: You can't go any further, like getting honors is impossible, if your result isn't good enough. I was not going to make it to honors in environment science, because I didn't feel like it. But I think I probably can take master in chemistry. I think studying chemistry is interesting.

Passage 10
157.D: Datte sa maaketingu ni suruka konpyuuta ni suruka soreto imano tourism o sonomamayaruka.
160.C: Computer science toka wa kekkoo omoshiroi to mooodakedo ne. Manabumono ga. Gogaku tokamo omoshiroin janai?
161.D: Gogaku wa omoshiroi yo. Demo imakara gogaku ni iku ki mo naishi ne.
162.C: Nande?
163.D: Unnn. Spanish toka imakara yattemo... major wa yappari major jan. Daiji yan. Imakara gogaku no major toru wate ni ikanashi ne.
164.C: Nande?
165.D: Nanno major yo? Spanish? Oosutoraria e kite...shoku toki ni major...
166.C: Oosutoraria de shoku sagashiteru no?
167.D: Oosutoraria de shigoto sagashite nai mon.

Translation
157.D: (I'm wondering which unit I should take) marketing, computer, or should I continue in tourism.
158.C: Something like business is what I can say, you can't find anything new in it. Environment science has more possibilities, so I feel excited. Ah...business is going to be hard. It would be better if you find a job as soon as possible.
159. D: You're right. I can't find anything interesting in tourism. There is nothing to learn, nothing.

160. C: I think computer science would be quite interesting to learn. Language too. Don't you think?

161. D: Language is interesting, but I don't like taking language.

162. C: Why?

163. D: Ah... if I take Spanish now... my major, major is important. I can't take language as a major.

164. C: Why?

165. D: What can I take as a major? Spanish? I came to Australia... if I try to find a job and my major...

166. C: Are you going to get a job in Australia?

167. D: No, I'm not, not in Australia.

Passage 11

168. C: But if, ...have you heard from your friends? I've heard about when people start complaining about the Japanese life... style when they go back and start working in Japan.

Translation

168. C: But if, ...have you heard from your friends? I've heard about when people start complaining about the Japanese life... style when they go back and start working in Japan.

TOPIC 2: THE YEAR 2000

Passage 1

12. C: He (my brother) was going to get a job (at the company) because of his basketball skills. However the students from his university in the past did not record any wins. For this reason he has been struggling.

Translation

12. C: He (my brother) was going to get a job (at the company) because of his basketball skills. However the students from his university in the past did not record any wins. For this reason he has been struggling.

Passage 2

19. D: Environmental studies is really a competition ga nai, tabun.

20. C: Ah, hikuikara ne.

21. D: If I try to go abroad... isn't it an advantage to have a university degree? Or... tourism or major competition ga nai, tabun.

Translation

21. D: If I try to go abroad... isn't it an advantage to have a university degree? Or... tourism or major competition ga nai, tabun.
25.D: Datte, ore iwareta mon. Konpyuutaa toka yatte mo dareka ga
ittanda kedo, shosen chiisaai kotachi wa shoogakoo no toki kara
konpyuutaa ijkuridashite iru kara ojisan tachi wa kanawan te.
Ma, demo soo wa itta mono no ima no update de oretachi wa
konpyuutaa suruno wa, sore wa ima no oretara no jidai no hito de
oretara no ojisantachi ga konpyuutaa dekinai kara orera no
konpyuutaa dekiruno ga iru wake yan. Dakara, oretachi wa sono,
oretara wa konpyuutaa yatte mo ii to omoo. Dakara ima no
kuitsunagi ni naru to omoo.

Translation
19.D: There is no competition in getting a job which is related to
environment science, perhaps, because it's so specific.
20.C: Oh yeah, it's less popular.
21.D: That kind of thing requires skill since it involves something
like research. But anyone can be your competitor, when trying
to get a job in a travel agency, even if you take tourism as a
major. Possibly, the competitor can be a person who is highly
trained (in the field of tourism) at high school. Besides,
Japan is experiencing a serious depression now. and if it gets
worse, people would start travelling individually, without
relying on a travel agency. That's the Japanese present style.
People realized that it's not necessary to take advantage of
luxury tours which are organized by a tour company.
22.C: That's right. Language study then becomes an advantage. Don't
you think?
23.D: Language study may be an advantage in that case. But, in the
future even little kids could become good English speakers and
computer users in Japan, I guess.
24.C: Do you think so? But computer, but...
25.D: I was told, actually. Somebody told me that middle aged men
are no better than little children because they start learning
the computer at primary school. That's true, but we are
learning something update about the computer which others have
not yet learnt. Since those middle aged men are not able to
use the computer well, people in our generation are going to
be a necessity. That's why the study of the computer now is
good. Up till now, you can eke out a living with it.

Passage 4
47.D: ....Danseino hooga sa docchika tte iu to ima no jidai shinu
made shuushinkoyoo nayoo na huniki ga moda nokotte iru wake.
Kocchidatara sa contract toka nannen de yametari toka nantoka
ga arukedo.

Translation
47.D: ...Even now, men expect life employment. But here, there are
many cases in which (people) quit (their job) after completing
their contract or something like that.
48.C: Contract doesn't exist in Japan. It's evaluated as low.

Passage 5
58.C: Nenkoojoretsu datte iu yorimo nani, koko no rain ni sotte
pireba koredake no kyuuryoo ga moraerushi, ano hito ga yamereba
ore wa tsugi no ue ni agareru kara tte iu huu ni narun jan.
Soshitara kyooosankoku janai kedo hontoo ni roshia mitai ni
naru janai. Kaishajitai ga ne. Kaisha zenbu no, kaisha ga
soodattara kyooosoritsu mo zennen nai wakedashi shakaijita ga
soo iu huu ni nacchate zennen competitive ja naijanai.
59.D: Demo sono, kaisha ni haittara dooki no ningen ga ippai iru
wake janai, juunin, nijuunin. Sono naka no chisana competition wa machigainaku aru wana.

Translation
58.C: It's not something like the seniority system, but if you follow the line (of a company), you would receive a certain wage, and if someone quits, you might get promoted to the next stage. It's not like the communist bloc, like Russia, I mean the company itself. If the whole company, company is like that, no competitive rate exists, and therefore, society itself could not be competitive at all.

59.D: But there would be many people of the same period in a company, ten or twenty, so there is a small amount of competition which happens amongst them.

Passage 6
62.C: Demo banban kirareru nowa kowai kedo ne. Aru imi dewa dekiri yatsu wa dondon dondon, dakara shita no hito ga sa, yonjussai toka gojussai no occhan ga hataraiteru. contract de ikinari ueno hito ga niju nansei toka sanjussai de bari bari shigeto ga dekiri tte yatsu ga sa, dete kuru kamo shirena. Sore ga jibun dattara ii desho?

Translation
62.C: It's scarely to be sucked. It means that because of the contract, a man of ability who is in his 20's or 30's can quickly get to a higher position than a middle aged man who is in his 40's or 50's. Don't you hope it will be yourself?

Passage 7
76.C: ....Nihon te sa, sisutemu ga machigatte iru to wa omowanai kedo ka ga arutte iu ka, nenreitekini mo. sore ga ikenai to omoo. Dakara nihon de moshii sa, kyoomi toka sa, gogaku to shitsai to omotte mo daigaku de benkyoo suru hito nanka inain janai kato omoo. Soo iuno ga areda sa, datte nansai ni naroo to jinsei niwa manabu koto ga ippai aru to omoonda yone, ore wa. Ore wa ne. Dakara sore o yamechattara soko ni stay suru dake janai. Sore wa omoshiromi ga nai janai.

Translation
76.C: ....I wouldn't say the education system in Japan is wrong. But I think it's so limited, especially for mature people. I think that's bad. I don't think anybody who wants to study language as a hobby, can study language at university in Japan. There're lots of things you can learn in a life time, even when you get old. I, I think. If you stop doing it. then you would just stay in the same position. It's not enjoyable.

Passage 8

Translation
88.C: No, (he) doesn't. Not design, but something like engineering, making the basement of buildings, with concrete. That's his speciality.
PAIR 3 (speaker E & F)

TOPIC 1: STUDY
Passage 1
22.F: Omotta kurai toreta?
23.E: Omotta ijo no toreta. Dakara watashi no itte iru irukoto wa koo nante iuno... ethics ni konkei surukoto dakara. Watashi wa risuukei no soo iu report o kakuno wa nareterukedo. Nani ga tadashii toka soo iu.. ethics no koto ni tsuite kaitakoto ga nakattara kekkoku...
24.F: Demo kekkoku umaku dekitan yaro?

Translation
22.F: Did you get the mark you expected?
23.E: I got a much better mark than I expected. As you know, what I wrote was something related to ethics. I'm used to writing reports in the science or mathematics field, but I haven't written anything which is related to ethics which is supposed to discuss what is correct or wrong. So, I was very....
24.F: But eventually you got a good mark for it, didn't you?
25.E: I think my lecturer was tolerant. That lecturer respects the content of a paper, and (he/she) does not check grammar.

Passage 2
38.F: Mata nihon ni ikana akan no?
39.E: Dakara moshikashitara exchange no program de ikeru kamo shirenai shi.

Translation
38.F: Do you have to go to Japan again?
39.E: I might go (there) on an exchange program.

Passage 3
51.E: [interlocutor's name}, kana tsugi nani suruno? Are no koto kiita? Level one ga dekiruka dooka.
52.F: Iya mada. Tabun dekeshen to omoo.
53.E: Ja doo suru no sono kawari ni.
54.F: Yaritainyakedo na, ano unit.
55.E: Ja sore ga dekinakattara tefu toka de yaruno? Ja tsugi no semester de juu-ni point toranakattara summer school de yaranakya dame? Ma docchi ni shitemo...
56.F: Docchini shiro summer school.
57.E: Demo summer school tte ni-kyooka wa dekinain desho?

Translation
51.E: [interlocutor's name], what are you going to do next? Have you asked about it? if you can do level one.
52.F: No, not yet. I don't think I can do (it).
53.E: Then what are you going to do instead?
54.F: I wish I could take that unit.
55.E: If you can't take it, then are you going to do it at Tafe?, or if you don't get twelve points for the next semester, do you have to do it in summer school?
56.F: Anyway I have to do it in summer school.
57.E: But you can't take two units in summer school, can you?
Passage 4
67.E: Nande mae no gakki de toranakatta no, serekutibu no tokini mae no gakkide serekutibu de torerutte itta desho? Nande toranakatta no?
68.F: Kono na, selective no na, chau elective no part two no naka ni haitte ita nya. Kono aida ano are da, seminna chau wa...
69.E: Ano course guide book mitai nano?
70.F: Session, session itta nya. 
71.E: Nani? sesshon? enrollment...
72.F: Enrollment. Honnara betsuni erande iiyo tte iu, nanka example mitaina mon ga..... unde, sono kyooka haittetan yakedo hon mitara.....
73.E: Demo sore level one datta no?
74.F: Iya, level two de.
75.E: Sore wa level two datta no? Ja level two mo arunjanai.
77.E: Ja, soo kiite mitara iijanai. Level two ga arundesuka tte itte. 
78.F: Chau, chau, chau, level one de part two ni haitte iru yanka, (elective) toeru hazu yanen...
79.E: Yoku wakannai kedo. Demo sore wa point ni.. nante iuno.. add sareruka dooka wakaranainjanai?

Translation
67.E: Why didn't you take it last semester as a selective. You said that you could take it as a selective? Why didn't you take it?
68.F: It was listed in part two as selective, no elective. The other day, that was seminar, no that wasn't.
69.E: Do you mean like a course guide book?
70.F: Session, session, that was what I went to. 
71.E: What session? Do you mean enrollment?
72.F: That was enrollment. There was an example which shows that the subject can be taken, but when (I) look at the book.
73.E: Was it in the list of level one?
74.F: No, (it) was in level two.
75.E: Was it in level two, then the level two has...
76.F: No, the guide book doesn't say so. 
77.E: Then why don't you ask if the subject is available in level two. 
78.F: No, no, no, that is level one and in part two, so it must be taken (as an elective). 
79.E: I don't understand. Anyway, we don't know if it can be, what can I say.. added to [our] point, do we?

Passage 5
87.E: Service tte, service tte hospitality tte koto?
88.F: Chigau yo.
89.E: Nanka retail toka soo iu koto?
91.E: Denwa toka soo iu koto?
92.F: Chigau tte, butsuritekina monoja naidesho.
93.E: Dakara denwa toka soo iuno desho?
94.F: Denwa dakeja nai.
95.E: Gasu toka?
96.F: Betsu ni sonna nanka uestoresu demo uestaa toka demo service ya.
97.E: Ja, hospitality tte koto?

Translation
87.E: Service....service... do you mean hospitality?
88.F: No.
E: Retail or something like that?
F: It's not service. It relates to the dividing of a product.
E: Do you mean telephone or something like that?
F: No, it doesn't mean objects or anything.
E: So, telephone or something like that, isn't it?
F: Not only telephone.
E: Then, gas?
F: Something like waitress and waiter are considered to be a service.
E: So, you mean hospitality, don't you?

Passage 6
E: So, I have to be careful about grammar, especially marketing.
F: Do I?
E: Perhaps. Because marketing involves visual things, doesn't it? May be, something... what can I say... If you write a brochure or something.

Passage 7
E: Are you going to see [person's name]? Are you going to have a meeting for a project or just have tea?
F: Yes, we just had tea...
E: Did you see (her) at the exam?
F: No....
E: Haven't you seen her? Were there many people? Have you got a result?
F: Which result?
E: Ah....
F: Project?
132. F: I got a B.
133. E: You got a B. What was your overall percentage?
134. F: About 30 percent.
135. E: What else...? What about the exam?
137. E: What was the remaining 45 percent?
138. F: Ah... They were three assignments, two presentations....
139. E: Did you do these? test, test, presentation, presentation.
140. F: I got C or B in my presentation.
141. E: C or B?
142. F: Ah...?
143. E: What about the assignment?

Passage 8

153. E: Watashi no hoo ga saki ni owaruno ga ikenaina. Demo kinoo datte watashi yatteta janai, hora, honors no ano....
154. F: Sonna taipu surudake yan.
155. E: Proposal....
156. F: Jibun no yaritaikoto, enrollment to kawarahenyanai. Ichiban uki uki shiteru toki ya.
159. E: Sooyo, sugoi nervous yo. Koo, expectation tte iuno ga arukara [person's name] ga yappa hajimete nihonjin ga ...nante iuka nihon no tochikeikaku no soo iu detail no koto o kaku wake janai? Imamade [person's name] wa dekinakatta wakejanai. Nihon no sono shiryoo ga yomenakatta kara.
160. F: So iuno wa chan to enrollment ni kaku wake?
161. E: Chigau noyo. Sore wa sugoi kowai yo. De, watashi ga ne, zenzen nihon ni arumono o koo describe dekinaku tte jibun de ne katte ni...
162. F: Demo, ano [person's name] hanbun gurai shiranain janai nihon no koto.
163. E: Unn, dakara... Dakara ne, shirenai de watashi ga omotte iru koto o paper ni kaichatte sore o yonda hito ga nihon wa koo datta noka to omotte zenzen sore ga jissai to chigate itara sore wa sugoi watashi wa..
164. F: Shokkuda yona.
165. E: Shokku to iuka, ikenai koto shichatta na tte kanji jan. Sore wa kowai kana. Sore ni nihon wa amari. Watashi wa nihon ni anmari ii o omoi o nante iuno... ii omoiire o mottenai tte iuka, nihon no toshikeikaku wa tadashii to omotte inai kara soo iu view point de zenbu semete ikuwake janai?

Translation

153. E: Perhaps, finishing my (exam) earlier than you is not good for you. But I was doing ... you know... honors....
154. F: That's only typing
155. E: Proposal....
156. F: That's something you want to do, like the enrollment. That must have been a very exiting moment for you.
157. E: No way. I was fed up with (doing it), because I was worried if I would really be able to write something I wanted to.
158. F: Is that true? That's not good.
159. E: Of course, I'm so nervous, because I feel that I am the object of a lot of expectation. I'm going to be the first Japanese person who write the detail of Japanese city planning, aren't I? Until now [person's name] was not able to
do it, since (he) could not understand the materials written in Japanese.

160.F: Are you going to write it down on the enrolment?
161.E: No, I was so scared. If I can't describe, or if I misunderstand something about Japan then....
162.F: Anyway, you don't know much about Japan, do you?
163.E: No, if the readers get the wrong idea about Japan, just because of my paper, based on my own interpretation and not accurate research, I would be so....
164.F: Shock, wouldn't you?
165.E: Not shock, but I would feel guilty. That's what I'm worried about. Besides, I will approach (to my topic) from my view point which derives from critical opinion about the city planning of Japan. Do you understand what I mean?

Passage 9
181.E: zurich wa GNP ga takai wake, sekai de ichiban minna kanemochi nawake. Soredakedo sono gomi wa ichinichi ni hitori nan guramu toka tte kimete iruwakejanai. Dakara sugo yuuhukuna hito nanoni jibun no seikatsu niwa restrict shiteru wakejanai? Sono seikatsu no nante iuno....
182.F: Yoyuu ga arukara dekirun janai?
183.E: Kankyoo o mamoru tame ni...
184.F: Yoyuu ga arukara dekirunjanai?
185.E: Demone, Osaka toka nihon de ne sugo yuuhukuna hito ga sonna koto suru ka? Omoikkiri suteru mono wa suterushi kau mono wa kaudesho?
186.F: Datte kaumono ga sore ni tsuite kuru nya, gomi to issho ni kangaete mii.
187.E: Kaumono ni gomi ga tsuite kuru tte koto? Soo iu mono wa package janai? Datte, package ga nai to nihonjin wa kawanai jan.

Translation
181.E: Zurich has very higher GNP, and as the largest concentration of wealth in the world. In spite of this, the people restrict the amount of rubbish they are allowed to discharge. For example.
182.F: They are wealthy. That's why they can afford to do it, can't they?
183.E: In order to protect the environment ....
184.F: They are wealthy. That's why they can afford to do it, can't they?
185.E: Think about people in Osaka, in Japan. Do wealthy people do it? They easily throw things away and by new ones, don't they?
186.F: Because, anything you buy comes with litter. Think about it.
187.E: Do you mean you buy something with litter? That is a package, isn't it? Because Japanese wouldn't buy anything without a package.

Passage 10
233.F: Zenzen imi nakattanjanai?
Translation
232.E: I was expecting to use my knowledge at Junior high school, but I didn't have to use it. High school here are terrible, especially mathematics, aren't they?
233.F: So, there was no point in learning it.
234.E: That's right. I didn't come across it even in the study of physics. When was I supposed to learn it? Perhaps, I was supposed to learn it at high school.

TOPIC 2: THE YEAR 200

Passage 1
3.E: Demo yappari nihon ni taishuru kiboo wa motto soo iu, nante iu no... kankyoo o yoku suru tte iu, sono yoku suru mono ima no nihon no yarikata wa engineering solution to itte jinkooteki na solution shikanai wake. Sō janakute motto ningen to shizenkai ga tasukeatte ikeru yoo na shakai o tsukutte hoshito iuno ga watashi no kiboo. De motto, nante iuno, sono nammo shiranai hito ga, economics no koto shika kangaenai yoo na hito ga policy making surunja nakkutte, hontoo ni community no shita kara iken o motte kuru yoona shakai ni suru ko' [her interlocutor's name] wa?

Translation
3.E: My hope for Japan is to create a society where human beings and nature can coexist. It must not be achieved by current means, that is an engineering solution, this is an artificial solution. This is my hope for Japan. And I also hope to create a society which respects people's opinion, through the community. I hope that the people who do policy making are not only concerned with or think about economics. What about you?

Passage 2
9.E: Motto, sono nante iuno, shizenkai ni ningen wa hukumarete irunda yo tte iu kanji no education o shite ireba....

Translation
9.E: What can I say? It would be much better if education (in Japan) becomes based more on the idea that the human being belongs to nature.

Passage 3

Translation
17.E: Limited growth is the idea that the human being belongs to nature. What about you? In Japan, the economy should not be based on unlimited growth, should it? I think limited growth is better. What do you think? Japan is becoming more dependent on limited resources.
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27.E: Datte, are attadesho? 'discount cash flow analysis' tte. Are yaru to zettai ni capital cost ga takai wake. De, maintenance koso mechakucha takai wake.

28.F: Yappari, are wa aruyanai no, sensoo de.

29.E: Politics desho?

Translation
17.E: I've read about unlimited growth. That is, what can I say.. the idea that the economy becomes an old belief, because we have limited resources, and therefore our activity is limited. Can I say another hope for Japan? I hope Japan forgets about atomic energy.

18.F: I think it can be dropped anytime.

19.E: I don't understand why they don't stop it. Why do they... atomic, what can I say?

20.F: Maybe, because of the benefits.

21.E: Considering about contamination, it must...

22.F: If you think about personal expenses and maintenance for (it)...

23.E: Maintenance is most costly. Do you know why? Because (it) must be destroyed every two or three years. But if you use solar...


25.E: The capital cost of solar is....

26.F: Can the same electricity be (used)?

27.E: You know about 'discount cash flow analysis'. If you consider it you would find out that capital cost is costly, and maintenance is even more costly.

28.F: I think it becomes associated with wars.

29.E: Politics?

Passage 4
71.E: Chinese mo, yoppodo, China mo abunai to omoo kedo.

72.F: Chuugoku wa mada...

73.E: Mada tooi?

74.F: Chikara wa aru kedo mada nanka.

Translation
71.E: I think Chinese are also, China is quite powerful.

72.F: China is still...

73.E: Still it is far?

74.F: They have the power, but still..

Passage 5
85.E: Kosobo wa United Nation no hata agetete.

86.F: Moo owatta no?


88.F: Shusen ni natte mani ga aruno?

89.E: Ya, moo nanka kasetekurun jan. Tabun canpu ni itte iru hito kasete kuru to omoo. Ie toka tatenashitari.

90.F: Kane nai yan.


92.F: Sono United Nation ga tsubushite.. (United Nation) ga karande irun janai no?

93.E: Chigau, nato ga tsubushitanda yo. Nato ga tsubushite United Nation ga kondo heiwa jooyaku mitainano to aida ni haitte nato wa, datte betsu ni Serbian no hoo attack suru dake daata kara.

94.F: A souunano, de Serbian ga Kosobo o attack suru?
95.E: Soo soo soo, de, dakara Serbian ga Kosobo ni irukara saishuuteki ni nato ga Serbian... Kosobo o attack suru koto ni natta Kara kosobo no hito ga nigechatta no.

Translation
85.E: Kosovo holds the flag of the United Nations.
86.F: Has (it) already finished?
87.E: The war has finished. I saw the news, and the flag of the United Nations was...
88.F: What is going to happen after the war is finished?
89.E: I think the people, in the camps, may go back to build their houses, or something like that.
90.F: They haven't got any money.
91.E: Funds are available from the United Nations. What do you think the United Nations is for?
92.F: That United Nations began the destruction caused (the war), didn't they?
93.E: No, NATO destroyed. NATO began the destruction and the United Nations brought about the peace. The only thing NATO wanted to do was attack Serbia.
94.F: Oh is that right? then Serbia attacked Kosovo?
95.E: That's right. Because Serbia was in Kosovo, NATO eventually attacked Serbia..., Kosovo, and they evacuated.

Passage 6
160.F: Sono supervisor no [her supervisor's name] to dokoka e itte shimau no?
161.E: Betsu ni [her supervisor's name] ja nakattate ii jana i.
162.F: Kaeru koto wa dekiruno?
164.F: Iya, saiten suruno ni.
165.E: Umn, datte honors to PHD wa kankeinainda tte, honors no...
166.F: Chigau, chigau, chigau, omae no korekara thesis yarun yaro?
167.E: Un, sore wa [her supervisor's name] ga yarunda yo. Mukoo kara wa, iya, supervisor tte iuno wa supervise surudake.
168.F: Dare ga ten tsukeru no?
169.E: Gonin gurai select suru. Sore wa iin dakedo, nanka kiboo wa aru?

Translation
160.F: Are you going somewhere with your supervisor [her supervisor's name]?
161.E: It's not necessary going to be [her supervisor's name].
162.F: Is it possible to change?
163.E: What? what do you mean 'change'? There is no relation between honors and PHD.
164.F: I'm talking about marking.
165.E: There is nothing to do with honors and PHD. Honors...
166.F: No, no, no, I'm talking about your thesis.
167.E: [Her supervisor's name] is going to do it. He..., ha? no, the supervisor only supervises.
168.F: So, who's going to mark it?
169.E: About five people are going to be selected. Anyway, do you have any hope (for Japan)?

Passage 7
180.E: Demo senkyo katsudoo tte iuno wa too ga back up shite iru wake desho?
181.F: Nanman tsukatte ii kurai chigauno?
182.E: Usodoro? Datte, too no hito representative de yatte iru representative...
183. F: Chan to budget ga mawatte kuruno kana?

Translation
180. E: But parties back up an election campaign, don’t they?
181. F: They may only give some of the money, don’t you think?
182. E: That’s not true, is it? Candidates are representative of the party, representative...
183. F: I wonder if they have a budget.

PAIR 4 (speaker G & H)

TOPIC 1: WORK
Passage 1
1. G: Nani o nanaseba iinokashira?
2. H: I don’t know. Why do you work then?
3. G: Well...mochioron nahika ii koto ga arunde anatani mo watashi nimo...

Translation
1. G: what should we talk about?
2. H: I don’t know. Why do you work then?
3. G: Well...of course...there is something good for you and as well as for me...

Passage 2
6. H: Genjitsu ni nanika soto no kuuki o suwanai to nanika hakike ga suruycona ki ga suru noyone. Hutsuka, mikka OK. I can manage.
I can sleep everyday, yokka...
7. G: moo soro soro ira ira shite kite...
8. H: What can I make for him lunch?
10. H: What can I make for him dinner? Ie ni itara nani mo surukoto ga nai desho? Ha sooji. ironing, vacuuming, you can do that, but you can not do that twenty-four hours a day. You can sleep, you can read a book, that’s Ok. That fine. You can watch TV. That’s ok. But if you stay home whole week, I don’t know what to do, then I think I’m so glad I have a job.
11. G: Genjitsu ni soo kanjiru?

Translation
6. H: If I don’t get outside and get some air, I get fed up with it. The second day, the third day is OK. I can manage. I can sleep every day. The forth day...
7. G: You are getting irritated...
8. H: What can I make for him lunch?
9. G: I can imagine how you feel.
10. H: What can I make for him dinner? If you stay at home, you don’t have anything to do, do you? Well, cleaning, ironing, vacuuming, you can do that, but you can’t do that twenty-four hours a day. You can sleep, you can read a book. That’s ok, that’s fine. You can watch TV. That’s ok. But if you stay home whole week, I don’t know what to do. Then I think I’m glad I have a job.
11. G: Do you really feel like that?
Passage 3
19.G: Ittan soo naru to hai doozo, nijuu-yo jikan doozo, to naru to ne, ningen tte... yomitakumo nakunarun desu tte hon o.

20.H: Sonnamon yo. Ningen tte, ano naimono o itsudemo naimono o hoshigaru desho? Dakara shigoto ga nai toki wa shigoto ga attara iinoni to omoo kedo shigoto ga takusan arisugiru to retire shitai, hayaku retire shitai to omoukemono ne. Ano, retire tte hontoo tte sonna ni tanoshii mono ja nai to omoo. Unless, you have a very active life. Nanika going out everyday, today is [ ] tomorrow is swimming and tomorrow is, you know, going out for dinner. Sore mo maiahuu maiabuu tsuzuku to yappari....

Translation
19.G: People lose their desire for reading, if they are given twenty-four hours a day to do it.

20.H: People are always like that. They usually want to get something they don't have, don't they? That's why, when you don't have a job, you want to have a job, but if you have too much to do at work, then you want to retire, thinking about retire as soon as possible. I don't think retireen is enjoyable. Unless, you have a very active life, something like, going out everyday, today is [ ] tomorrow is swimming and tomorrow is, you know, going out for dinner. But if you do it continuously through the week, ....

Passage 4
21.G: Areba ne. Hashite sono shigoto ga jibun no talent datoka jibun no nooryoku, soshite jibun no shumi o ikaseru shigotodato itte omoo. So iu koto ga dekinaide iyada iyada to omotte iru sono hito no karada mo waruku narush yappari, senkoo mo waruku natte shinu. Dakara sono hen ga korekara jibuntachi no kodomo nimo... dakara omoo noyo. Jibun no yaritai koto o shigoto ni erabeta hontoo ni ii to omoo.


Translation
23.G: That's right. if you have a job. Besides if the job is based on your talent or skill, or if feels like a happy, then I think that to me, it's a good job. if that's not possible, and you don't like the job, you would become unhealthy. I hope that our child also.... so I think it's so nice, if they can pick up a job which they really like.

24.H: (They would be) lucky, really.

Passage 5
32.H: Watashi wa ne. Watashi ni wa kodomo ga inaikara ie ni kaette kitemo sewa o suru hitsuyoo mo naishi mon'ai naiakedo [interlocutor's name] no tokoro wo okosan mo ite, mata goshujin mo ite, sore de mata shigoto o motte to, watashi wa sono hahaoa no power tte erai monda na tte shocchuu omotte ita no.

Translation
32.H: I don't have any children, so I don't have to look after or worry about anybody when I get home. But you have a child, husband and besides a job. You often make me think about women's power.

Passage 7
46.H: Sore de dooshite shigoto ni modoroo to omotta no. Sore wa taikutsu shita kara, yappari ie ni zuotto ite...
47.G: Uuuu watashi wa hachi nenkan yappari...
48.H: Shuhu to iu home maker to iu position ni.
49.G: Sugoku munashii to omotta no, itsu mo oshime o hoshite.

Translation
46.H: Then why did you get a job? It's because you got bored at home all the time...
47.G: Well, I thought I should stay home for eight years...
48.H: In the position of a house wife, a home maker.
49.G: I felt so empty. I just washed diapers all the time...

Passage 8
58.H: Hajimeta koro ~a omoshirai to omoteta?
59.G: Watashi no baai... all lucky datta no... ichiban hajime ga sugoku totemo mo jooshi de subarashii jooshi de totemo lucky datta no.
60.H: Sono jooshi tte iuno wa French?

Translation
58.H: Did you find (your job) interesting in the beginning?
59.G: In my case, I was lucky. The first boss was really nice, a wonderful boss, so I was so lucky.
60.H: Was your boss French?

Passage 9
64.H: Nihon de nihon no jooshi no tame ni hataraita koto wa arimasu?
65.G: Naidesu ne.
67.G: No, ...pari demo yappari huransu;... watashi no shigoto wa furansujin bakkari de konka; ga hajimete nihonjin.
68.H: What's the difference between the Japanese boss and foreign boss?
69.G: Totally.
70.H: Totally. Wa, anata no baai wa shigoto to shite wa tokutetsuna keesu yone. Hutsuu no jooshi to matakku chigau wa yone. Diplomat dakara chigau noyo. Hutsuu no jooshi to chigau noyo.
71.G: Demo huransu de hataraita toki wa amerika no keissha de hataraita koto ga aru no, shinbunsha no... sono toki no jooshi mo mata totally different. Mattaku chigau wane. Yappari. sorezore chigatta wa. Sorezore ni benkyoo ni narimashita.
72.H: Demo zenzen warui boss ni attata koto ga naino?
73.G: Kore wa cut shimashoo ne. Demo ningen desu kara...

Translation
64.H: Have you ever worked for a Japanese boss?
65.G: No, I haven’t.
67.G: No, ... In Paris I had a French (boss). My job always deals with the French. This is the first time I’ve ever worked for Japanese.
68.H: What's the difference between the Japanese boss and foreign boss?
69.G: Totally.
70.H: Totally. Well, in your case your boss is different from others on account of your working place. They are a diplomat, so it’s different from an ordinary boss.
71.G: But I've worked at an American company, a newspaper office when I was in France... A boss I had at that time was also totally different. Of course, each one is totally different from the other. I've learnt something from each of them.
72.H: Have you ever had a nasty boss?
73.G: Should we cut this topic, shall we? Of course, we are all human beings....

Passage 10
79.G: .... Nanimokamo gisei ni suru toki ni kiken ga aru to omoo. Shigoto mo taisetsuda keredomo jinsei o enjoy suru koto mo taisetsu dato omoo. Yappari ishiki shite inainda to omoo kedo, hitotsu no mono o motteru tame ni amarinimo gisei ga okattara watashi wa shooohin nanokamo shirenai kedo aru teido enjoy life, jinsei tanoshiminagara no shigoto dakara taishite seikoo shinaai wayo.

Translation
79.G: ... There is a danger when you sacrifice everything for (your job). Of course (your) job is important, but it is also important to enjoy your life. I think I'm not really aware of it, but I try to enjoy life, especially when it comes to the stage when too many things are being sacrificed. I'm a bit of a coward. I work, but I also like to enjoy life, this is why I have never been very successful in my job.

TOPIC 2: THE YEAR 2000

Passage 1
6.H: Yappari riidaa ga inai to iu koto ne.
7.G: Soona no, dakara yappari dooshite leader ga inaika tte iuto, yahari aruteido kokusaiteki na level de katsuyaku shite itadakere youna seijika ga inai tte koto. Dakara kekkkyoku ikura keizai de ne. Immade isshokenmei keizai keizai de yatte kita wa yone. Sore de sekaiteki ni wa keizaitaikoku ni natta desho. Demo izu huta o akete mitara yappari imamade no nihon to onaji youna kankaku de, yahari supaapawaa no amerika to, sorkara hokano yooroppa no kuni no katagata no inari ni natteitawake. Soshite inari ni naruno ga ii warui janaku 'te yappari nihon to iu kuni ga moo sukoshi sekai to dooyo no onaji level de katsuyaku dekinai?
8.H: Demo sore wa watashi wa omoo kedo, sore wa politician no mondai janai to omoo.
9.G: Sore wa dare no mondei kashira?
10.H: Sore wa politician jishin no mondai janaku tte politician ga erabu bureaucrats no nooryoku dato omoo, tte iu nowa tateoba politician tte iuno wa tokubetsuna ano nante iuno... very very particular job. Politician tte iu nowa, toku ni nihon dewa otoosan, ojiisan, ojiisan ga politician ni natte, sono mata musuko ga politician ni natte. Nanka kakei de zutt politician de tsunagatte iru janai?
11.G: Tte iu koto wa sunawachi nihon no seiji to iuno wa wariai ni ano chokusetsusenkyo ja nakute kansetsutekina mono de... kokumin ga eraberu to iu, soo iu huuna mono ni shita hoo ga taiseitekini wa ii. Tashika ni sore wa aru wayone. Demo sore wa kangaeru koto wa dekitemo soo iu system ni garu tto kaeru wake ni ikai.
12.H: Demo watashi wa omoonda kedo politician tte iuno wa tada jissai ni shigoto o suru hitotachi de wa nai to watashi wa omo.
13.G: Dakara, dakara kanryoo....
15.G: Demo sore wa yappari shigoto de atte sono ideology tte iu ka sono kangaee o shitoosureruno wa yappari aruteido seijikada to
omo wayo. Yappari Clinton toka Thatcher demo ne. So iu hitotachi tte iuno wa wariai ni leadership tte iuno ga shidooryoku tte iuno wa kokumin ni dakeja nakute sekai o atama ni irete no shidooryoku desho?

16.H: Te,demo watashi wa, shidooryoku tte iu keredomo Clinton no shidooryoku tte kare hitori no chikara nya iwa desho? Kare wa kanara zu brain ga iru desho, advisor ga ...

17.G: Un, sore wa advisor.... Un, demo tada hitotsu chigaukoto wa wariai ni anu, koodoryoku ga aru janai, nihon no seijika tte iuno wa aldea o motte ite sore o sekaihukin butai de sekai no butai de koodoo ni utsusu tte iu sokomade itte nain janai. Sore wa doo shite, ja?

18.H: Sore wa yappari watashi wa soremo yappari advisor no sekinin da to omoo. Politician no sekinin ja naku te mawari ni iro hitotachi no monaida to omoo. Dakara, ano politician ga hito o erabu toki ni brain o erabu moto ni brain no naka ni tokubetsu ni iro hito ga ireba sono politician mo zutto kawatte iku to omoo, watashi wa...

19.G: Watashi wa yappari mawari ni iro hito no hoo no taietsu dakedo, yahari iwayuru sono jibun o kangaee no variai ni sekai no level made motte itte doodoo to hatsugen deki te mata koodoo ni utsuseru, soo iu seijika ga nihon no dete kureba imamade no keizaitaikoku to sono butatsu o kami shite butatsu ga dooji ni shinkoo shite iku to iu jootai dattara aru teido. Nante iuno kashira, kono iza irohata o soshiki datoka iu mono ga iwayuru globalization towa mottaku kakehane rete ita to iu desho? Tomokaku, soo iu seizika ga iza nihon o minagara taee ano, sekai no level de yatte itte kurete itaraba kona huu ni natte inakatta to omoo no yone.

Translation

6.H: Because, there is no leader.
7.G: That's it. There is no politician who can actually work at the international level, this is the reason why there is no leader. Until recently, (Japan) worked very hard at improving its economy, and it began to be an economically strong country. However, it appears that Japan has always obeyed the American super power, and other European countries. The main point here is not to discuss if obeying (them) is good or bad. But is there any possibilities for Japan to work at the same level in the world?

8.H: I don't think that it has anything to do with politicians.

9.G: Who them?

10.H: I don't think that there is a problem with the politicians themselves, rather, it is the ability of the politicians to select capable bureaucrats. For example, politicians are special... what can I say... very very particular job. Politician, especially in Japan, their fathers and (their) grand fathers, the grand father becomes a politician, then his son becomes a politician, and then his son becomes a politician too. It seems to me that politicians hand their job down through each generation, keeping it within the family.

11.G: Do you mean that Japanese politics is based on indirect election rather than direct election. It's better to create a system in which people can elect (politicians) directly. This is true, and it's easy to think, but it's not possible to change the system totally.

12.H: I don't think politicians are people who simply do their job.

13.G: so, so bureaucrats...
14.H: Personally, I think that in fact the bureaucrats actually do the job.

15.G: The politician’s job is to present... their ideology. I mean some politicians can achieve this better than others. Politicians like Clinton and Thatcher have leadership. Here the quality of leadership exists. This kind of leadership is based on considerations of not only the citizen, but also the world.

16.H: You say they have leadership, but Clinton's leadership does not only belong to him, does it? He has a brain, and he also has advisors.

17.G: Yes, there are advisors. But there is one difference (between them and Japanese politicians). They are decisive. Japanese politicians can’t take action at the international level, even though they have their own idea. What's the reason for it then?

18.H: That is also the advisor’s responsibility. It's the responsibility of the people who are around (them). Therefore, if a politician selects useful people, or brain, the politicians will be better. I think.

19.G: I don’t think so. I think if we had politicians who could improve their ideas, and present them at the international level, then take action, together with economic strength, Japan would not be like this. I mean we realized that the banking level of organization in Japan is totally different from the globalizing banking system, isn’t it?

Passage 2

24.H: Igirisu nanka zenzen shimaguni ja nai wayo. Datte karera wa sore koso sekai o common wealth de...

25.G: Keizaiteki ni wane, mochiron soo. Sangyookakumesi mo Igirisu de are shite...

Translation

24.H: England is not an island country, because they ruled the world common wealth...

25.G: Of course, economically. The industrial revolution occurred in England...

Passage 3

29.G: .... Yappari koo nattara ato wa kokumin no doryokushidai de atte, yappari sono aru imi de jibun tachi no riten to shite iru seijika ga tateru yoonai system ni kaete iku to iukoto ga hitotsumanai nai kana.

30.H: Ano, seijikazitai ga kawaranakute mo kokumin tte iuka ano global, zenbu global ni natte iru desho? Ano, kigyou ni shite mo zenbu combine sarete amerika to nihon no kigyou to zenbu issho ni natte nanika doitsu no ginkoo ga BT o kattari toka, zenbu ano merger ga tsuite irukara zenbu kaishinentai no keizai ga keizai tte iuno ga ittaika shite iru to omoo none.

30.G: ......Demo sore wa kawatte kuru keredomo sono taisei ga kichin to totonowanakereba ikura ano gakusei ga daigaku o sotsugyou shite kaisha ni haitte mo kono kaisha jishin no taisei ga kichin to globalization ni natte ikeruyoonai kaisha ja nakattara sore mo mata dame deshoo.

32.H: Sore wa, sore wa daijoobuda to omoo.

33.G: Daijoobuda to omoo?

34.H: Public servant igai hutsuu no ano...
29. G: ...Therefore, it is the responsibility of the people themselves to change the system which allows people to select the right person as a politician.

30. H: Although politicians have not changed, nations have globalize, everything has globalized. Even many enterprises were combined and the German bank bought BT. It seems to me the whole economic system has been integrated due to merger.

31. G: ...it can be changed, but it's not possible if the system itself is not stable, and the company can not follow the trend of globalization, even thought they employ people who are educated.

32. H: I think it will be alright.

33. G: Do you think so?

34. H: Except the public servant.

Passage 4

38. H: Sore wa moo jidai to tomo ni kawatte itteru. Shoosha wa...

39. G: Kawatte itteru?

40. H: Yes, shoosha nanka wa toku ni gaikoku tomo kooshoo ga ooidesho...

Translation

38. H: It has been changed recently. A trading company...

39. G: Changed?

40. H: Yes, especially the trading company, they deal much more with foreign countries, don't they?

Passage 5

46. H: Kitai wa dekinai kedo survive suru to omoo.

Translation

46. H: I don't expect them to change that much, but they will survive.

Passage 6

48. H: Watashi wa ne, ano seijika ni michibiite morau tte iu kangaewa nihonjin ni wa nai to omoo.

49. G: Hontoo? Demo yapparii...

50. H: As far as leadership is concerned, nihon no hitotachi wa zenzen kitai shite inai.

51. G: Yappari sore wa kitai subeki janai?

52. H: Demo sakkii no hanashi ni modoru kedo, tatoeba top no leader ga inakutemo seiji wa ugoku to omoo.

53. G: Soo omou?

54. H: Un, kuni wa ugoite ikumonoda to seijika ga inakutemo.

55. G: Ya, hontoo?


Translation

48. H: I don't think that the Japanese people expect leadership from politicians.

49. G: Really, but...

50. H: As far as leadership is concerned, Japanese people don't expect that from politicians at all.

51. G: But, don't you think they should expect it?

52. H: I'll go back to the previous story, for example, the government carries on without a top leader.

53. G: Do you think so?

54. H: Yes, a country can carry on without a leader.
55.G: Is it true?
56.H: **Yes, yes, I, believe that.** A country carries on without a leader.

**Passage 7**

61.G: Yappari de Gaulle ga inakattara yappari pari ga asokomade....
62.H: **No, ano watashi wa seijika no nihonjin ni kansuru kagiri wa seijika no leadership wa zenzen nai to omotte imasu.**
63.G: Ah, ja [interlocutor's name] ga ossharuno wa nihon no bawai ne seiji...
64.H: **Yes, nihon no seijika ni, as far as leadership is concerned, seijikano leadership wa naito omoo.** Demo Clinton mo Thacher mo soo dakedomo kekkyoku karetachi hitori no chikara dewa naidesho? Sakki to onaji koto ni naru kedo.

**Translation**

61.G: If de Gaulle did not exist, Paris couldn't ....
62.H: **No, as far as Japanese politicians are concerned they don't have leadership.**
63.G: Oh, you're only talking of the Japanese case, aren't you?
64.H: **yes, in Japanese politicians' case, as far as leadership is concerned, I don't think they have leadership. Both Clinton and Thacher can't stand by themselves. This is the same discussion.**

**Passage 8**

71.G: Kekkyoku sono kuni ni totte nani ga ichiban hitsuyoo de arooka. Tatoeba, privatization Igirisu dewa... sore ni me o tsukete jikko shite iku koodoryoku, sore wa seijika no talent dato omoo.
72.H: Demo sore wa seijika no talent kashira, demo sore wa kojino are kashira ne?
73.G: Sore wa leader ga eikyoo suru to omoo wa.

......

76.H: Sore wa dakara power yone.
77.G: Nooryoku, nooryoku. Yappari nooryoku...
78.H: Watashi wane, kokumin wa tomokatu top leader wa souchite moraitai wane. Kokumin ni kanshite iba I'm very very pessimistic actually. Even even English people, ordinary people, are they global? Can you say that?
No, I don't think so. French ordinary people, are they global?
No, I don't think so. (laugh)
79.G: True. Sore wa zettai ni ieru koto ne...

**Translation**

71.G: Consequently, the talent of politicians does exist. They are able to recognise what is the most important thing to a country, and decide how to achieve it, like privatization in England.
72.H: But, is it the politician's talent?
73.G: That is the influence of a leader, I think.

......

76.H: It's power.
77.G: That is ability, ability, their ability...
78.H: I hold hope that a top leader do it, but I'm doubtful about the citizen. In terms of the citizen, I'm very pessimistic actually. Even even English people, ordinary people, are they
global? can you say that? No, I don't think so. French ordinary people, are they global? No, I don't think so. (laugh)

79.G: True. You can definitely say that.

Passage 9
85.G: .... Doodoo to jibuntachi no iken nari, koodoo nari ga iwayuru global tekina mono de areba kekyoku kyakkoo o abirushi shizen ni sore wa shizen to natte iku mono de atte kyooseitekina monode wa nai.

Translation
85.G: ....If their opinion or activity is globalistic, then they would naturally gain approval.

Passage 10
89.G: ....Yappari wareware no sedai no kangae tte iu no wa imakara nijuu nen mae no kangae towa chigatte motto motto international dato omoo?
90.H: No, kawatte inai. Watashitachi wa kaigai ni kurashite iru kara soo omoo daro kedo...

Translation
89.G: ....Do you think our generation's thinking is more international than the one of twenty years ago?
90.H: No, it hasn't changed. You feel that way because, we have lived in a foreign county.

Passage 11
98.H: Nihon? watashi wane ano, seijika niwa kitai shite inai keredomo nihon no kokumin wa survive suru to omoo.
99.G: Survive suru to omoo?
100.H: Yes, watashi wa nihon jinshujitai wa shinyoo wa oite iru wakedakara. Hutsuu no nihonjin (laugh) nihonjin wa umaku survive shite iku wayone, kitto, nani ga okottemo.

Translation
98.G: Japan? I don't expect much from politicians, but I think Japanese people will survive.
99.G: Do you think (they) will survive?
100.H: Yes, because I trust the Japanese race itself, ordinary people. Japanese people will surely survive, whatever happens.

PAIR (speaker I & J)

TOPIC 1 : WORK
Passage 1
14.J: Dakara sono kijun ga kocchi no hito no kijun ga wakaranainda yone. Owner, owner ni kiitara owner wa kiraida tte. Manager atari de, dokomade, meikaku ni natte nai wake ne. Manager atari made itte stop suruka, sono keeki tesuto no...
14. J: Actually, I don't know the standard of it. I asked an owner his opinion about it (a cake), and the owner said, the owner doesn't like it. It's not clear whether the manager has the authority to be able to stop a sample cake from being sold in the shop or not.

15. I: No, it's not (be stopped) by the manager. We sometimes put a sample in the shop, but there are some test cakes. We definitely do it.

Passage 2
19. I: Wakannai ka. Kono mae ne, uchi no kanai to musume to kaettanda yo. Isshhu kan hodo asobi de, sono brochure o ne, moratte kaettara ne, ‘nonno’ toka nantoka tte iu takusan chiizu keki noetterun dayo.

20. J: Boku wa karuchaa sentaa toka no library kara nyoboo ga karite kurun desukedo ne, kekkoo chiizu keeki detemasu yo.


Translation
19. I: You don't know. Last time, I went back to (Japan) with my wife and daughter for about one week during my holiday. When I got some brochure, like 'nonno', I came across lots of articles about cheese cakes.

20. J: I often see some articles about cheese cakes here too, on books which my wife takes from the library of the cultural center.

21. I: Yes, It seems to be popular. Cheese itself is very cheap here, isn't it?

Passage 3

25. I: Sore wa moo ne, rain o tsukuranakya ne. Hiru demo yoru demo ikara, hiru demo iiya, patto lain tsukutte moo sore bakkari yatteruno yo. Doregurai kikai ga haireru ka sore wa wakaranakedo ne. Sore wa ookinai tani dacara iku to shitara, sore wa ni-man, san-man wa tsukuransakya ikenai isshhu kan de.

Translation
24. J: I'm wondering which section can produce something like this.

25. I: I think we create a production line. It doesn't matter whether it's day time or night time, day time would be ok. We can make a clear line and produce only that one. I don't know how many machines we can get. But anyway, we have to produce twenty thousand or three thousand each week, because it has to be big amount.

Passage 4

39. I: Ma, rakku jitai ga yogoreterukara ne. Dakara, rakku o kireinano ni shite special ni shite, shitara doo kana. Ma, mawari ga yogoreteruno kana, naka ga yogoreteruno kana.

38. J: (The dust) is scattered, because I turned on the fan. I had the same experience when I baked (cakes) with fan, and the dust flew everywhere. That oven is the same. Lots of dust.

39. I: Well, the rack itself is dirty, so why don't we get a clean and special rack. I wonder if around the rack is dirty or inside.

40. J: That rack is totally dirty.

Passage 5

43. I: [person’s name], nankane, time sheet o rokuji made yatteru noni goji ni kaeteru tte hanashi kii koto aru? aa iuno wa.. 

44. J: Wakaranai ne.

45. I: Soshitara wakaru yone. Time sheet o rokuji ni tsukete yo, go ji ni kaetara minna ni wakaru monne.


47. I: [person’s name] mada holiday?


49. I: Sueeden dayone.


Translation

43. I: I’ve heard something about [person’s name]. Have you heard that he leaves work at five o’clock but records (his) time sheet at six o’clock?

44. J: I don’t know.

45. I: If (he) does leave at five o’clock but records (his) time sheet at six o’clock, everyone can notice it, can’t they?

46. J: I don’t know.

47. I: Is [person’s name] still on holiday?

48. J: He is still on holiday.

49. I: Sweden, right?

50. J: Too long, isn’t it?

Passage 6

54. J: Mondai wa nai, tada anmari [company’s name] sisutemu sukija nai tte. Kekkyoku, sono nanka, cake room de, tatoeba freezer o day shift to night shift to wakaretete nikai tenken mo aru. Sore wa futsuu dattara hitotsu no gurupu de katanetara ikkai de sooji ga sumu wake. Sore toka hito no trade, sore mo ironna mondai ga aru. Yoosuru ni [person’s name] wa umaku hito o tsukaitai Wake, hito o ne, acchi itte, kocchi itte.

55. I: Sore wa sooda yone.

56. J: Sore wa sooda yone. Dakedo yappari nante ittara ii?.. Mukashihuu nanda yone. Hitotsu no section de osaete okitai tte iu, soo iu are de, dakara soko ni trade suru tabi ni mondai ga okuru to, tatoeba ne, tatoe ba ima nanka wa ne, night shift de, night shift de, ano zenbu oodaa o kaiteryoodesho? Day shift shite. Kore tsukure to itte, are tsukure to itte, ima nanka nanimo yattenai yo. Mukashi wane, black forest wa chan to cream o hasande, freezer no naka ni iretetoketa.

Translation

54. J: There is no problem, but (he) said that (he) doesn’t like the system of [company’s name]. For example, in a cake room, a freezer is supposed to be checked twice, because there are two separated groups, day shift and night shift. If there was one group, cleaning would be done only once. Besides, there are many problems in the trade. Basically, [person’s name] wants to manipulate workers.

55. I: That’s true.
56.J: It's true. How can I say, He's inflexible about the organisation of the section. He wants to swap the workers around. That's why each time the ordering is done, problems happen. For example, the order used be taken by the night shift... night shift to day shift, like 'can you more such and such', but not any more. There use be the order like 'put the cream between a black forest and put it in the freezer' in the past.

Passage 7
64.I: Ja, zenbu night shift ga shigeteru no? Saisho kara.

Translation
64.I: You mean (people in) night shift have to be involved in the whole process?
65.J: Night shift is doing.

Passage 8
73.J: Ima [person's name] ga kiterudesho?
74.I: Kiteru kiteru.
75.J: Ima night shift ni kiteru. De, minna okotteru.
76.I: [person's name] o doo shite...
77.J: Kaisha ni monku iitandaroo ne. Hutsuu no hitoyori sanjuppun mo yonjuppun mo shigoto ga osoi kara. Yonjuppun gurai osoidaro ne.
78.I: Osoino? tega? tega osoi no?
80.I: Osoi kara?
81.J: Kaisha mo bakada yo, day shift kara night shift ni kaete penalty rate mo haratte ne. Moo ikka-getsu, ikka getsu hanka, moo nikka getsu gurai ni naruno kana... haitte, demo shigoto ikkoo ni...

Translation
73.J: [person's name] is working with us, isn't (she)?
74.I: Yes, (she) is.
75.J: (She) is on night shift, so everyone gets upset.
76.I: About her? why?
77.J: I guess (they) want to complain about (her) to the company. (She) is so slow, thirty or forty minutes slower than anyone else forty minutes, perhaps.
78.I: You mean (she) is slow with her hands?
79.J: Every one gets upset.
80.I: Is it because she is slow?
81.J: The company must be stupid. (They) pay penalty rates, and transfer (her) from day shift to night shift, but (she) doesn't improve, even though (she) has been working a month...a month and a half...two months already...

Passage 9
89.J: Dakara packer no hito ga tasukete kureru no, furuutsu no moritsuke, osoi no, tonikaku osoino. Osokerya osoi de iin dakedo kondo shaberu desho.

Translation
89.J: (She) is so slow, really slow to arrange to arrange fruits, so a packer other helps her. (She) is not only slow, but also talks a lot...
99.J: Watashi ga 'motto hayaku yannaka yamede' tte. 'Moo owattakara burashi de nuttara chanto oven rack ni kakenasai', sashinasai' tte. 'Packer ni tasukete moatte nani mo iwanainoka' tte, de packer niya tte waratte. Kutte kaakatte kurun dane.

Translation
99.J: I told her that she has to work faster. I said to her 'Hang the brush on the oven rack after you use it', 'Don't you say anything to a packer who helps you'. So the packer grinned. And then she blew up in...

100.1: Who? the packer?

Passage 11
111.J: ...Watashi wa atsui oyuu kunde kite saat kakereba sugu waichau wake. Soshite katachi no pastry puff o cut shite, pa pa pa tto yareba ma, yonjuuppun ma, osokutomo yonjuuppun inai de owachau no.
112.1: Ma, nobo baiigurai no supiido de dekiru wake?
113.1: Aa, dekiru dekiru. Yatsura wa ne. Kujikara haitte sugu start shigoto shina no. Sono mae ni watashi ga kite moo namakuriimu, juugo hun shigoto ni hairu mae ni juugo hun mae ni, namakuriimu tatechau no. De, yatsura wa ne suponji o cut surudesho, soshitara moo sono cream sugu tsukaeru. Asobukara...
114.1: Ma, sore wa kuji tte ittara kocchi no hito wa kuji ni kuru wane. Demo, kyo nanka [person's name] wa rokuji mae kara chotto mae ni moo kore yatteta yo. Juppun gurai ma kana?
115.1: Chigunda, keeki no baai wo suponji cut shitara namakuriimu ka nakattara...
116.1: Nani mo dekinain desho?

Translation
111.J: ...I get hot water first and boil it, so that it doesn't take so long then, cut pastry puff quickly, so, forty minutes, takes maximum forty minutes.
112.1: You mean you can do it twice as fast?
113.1: Of course I can do. They don't start their job at nine o'clock. I usually go to work fifteen minutes earlier to make cream, so that they can use the cream straight after they cut sponge. They're always playing around.
114.1: That's normal for people here. If they are supposed to be at work at nine o'clock then they come at nine o'clock. But today, [person's name] came little bit earlier, and started working. Maybe about ten minutes earlier.
115.1: No, I mean if you don't make cream before you cut sponge, you can't do anything in making a cake.
116.1: That's right, you can't to do anything.
117.1: You can't do anything. That's why I usually go to work fifteen or twenty minutes earlier, and set there time with a machine. Then I leave it, and wait outside. When everyone comes to work, it would already have been done. The job can be done quicker after every one has cut (sponge). That makes the difference.
Passage 12
123. J: Tetsudatte kuretari, packer ga tetsudatte kurerushi.
124. I: Packer ga ne. [person's name] wa nani ga dekiru no?
126. I: Ma, sore gurai wa dekiru desho. Are mo baker dakara.

Translation
123. J: (He) helps us, and a packer helps us too.
124. I: A packer ...... what can [person's name] do?
125. J: Fruits...
126. I: Of course, he can do something like that, because he's also a baker.

Passage 13
133. J: Maene, hantoshi mae kana... omae dooyatte ie ni kaetteruno tte.
Soshitara charuzu storito tooranai de furiiuei tooranai de
doko ka river side kara arubanihaiuei dete, iya sore ga
docchikara...

Translation
133. J: (I) asked him before, maybe six months ago. how he can get
home. He said that he usually drives to Albany high way from
some where river side avoiding Charles street and the
freeway, and...

TOPIC 2 : THE YEAR 2000

Passage 1
7. J: Tada, watashi wa omoonda kedo shinpainano wa nihon o torimaku,
tatoeba kitachoosen toka..
8. I: Kitachoosen ne.
9. J: Korean toka ima wa chuuugoku to taiwan ga ima mondai ni natte
irutoka.
10. I: Natteru ne.

Translation
7. J: I feel danger about the countries surrounding Japan like North
Korea.
8. I: North Korea, that's right.
9. J: Korean, and now it’s a controversial issue about China and
Taiwan.
10. I: It is.

Passage 2
29. J: Sore to nisen nen de ieruno wa shigoto kara intaishita hito
ne. Sono population ga huetemasu yo.

Translation
29. J: And in the year 2000 the population of retired people would
increase.
30. I: Yeah, increasing, more elderly people.

Passage 3
82. I: Boku mo juken toka atta desho. Dakara moo kodomo wa, to iu
kane, juudai no uchi wa benkyoo shite ireba sorede iinda toka
ie no tetsudai nanka shinakutemo, soo janainda mon hontoo wa
ne. Benkyoo shite, tetsudai mo shite, ironna koto o shite
hajimete ichininmae ni natte ikunda to iu kane. Ma, ima no system dewa shoo ga nai yo.

Translation:
82.I: ...I also experienced the entrance exam of university, so I thought (at that time) I don't have to help my parents and all I have to do is study. But it's not true. You grow not only through study but also in lots of other ways, like helping your parents. But it can't help with the system now.

Passage 4
93.J: Soo iu koosei ga ne. Nihon no shakai dattara chot o ikkai nikkai hanzai shitara moo jinsei damedesho?
94.I: Sorya, oosutoraria wa sugoin janai? Soredattara, datte oosutiraria wa makashi convict no kunidattan damon. Are, chotto keiki sugitara jiyuuda ttan dakara ne. Sono ten wa nanka oosutoraria no keimussho nanka wario jiyuu desho. Ma Americka mo soo nandesho. Yappari soryaa nihon nanka to kurabetara soo iu imide wa chance ga aru yo.

Translation:
93.J: It's a kind of like starting a new life. If you commit a crime once or twice in Japanese society, it would be impossible for you to have a new life.
94.I: In that case, Australia is terrific. Because it was a county where convicts were sent, so (convicts) were given freedom after completing their sentence. Prisons in Australia seem to be much freer, America too, don't they? Compared to the Japanese case, There is much more chance (for person who has committed crime) to start a new life.

Passage 5
112.I: Nihonjin wa communication to iu ka, kotoba ga, eigo ga dekinai yone. Dekinai to iu ka ioto shinai to iu ka, tatoeba [person's name] nanka mite, kare ne, kana mae nani mo iwanakattadesho. Tabun ne, shittete mo iwanai yo.

Translation
112.I: Japanese people are not good at making communication, I mean not good at speaking English. Or perhaps, they try not too talk much. For example, [person's name], look at him, he didn't say anything last time. I guess he wouldn't say anything even if he knew (about it).

Passage 6
120.I: Sono oseibo toka ochuugen toka binboonin mo okurunda kara ne. Shoorai no mikaeri o mokuronde yo. Muda yone.
121.J: Sore yorimo anna tsutsumiigami toka rippana hako toka iranai kara sore yorimo price o yasuku shite kurrereba...

Translation
120.I: Even people who are not wealthy enough send a year end present and a midyear present, because they expect something in return. It's a waste of money.
121.J: I want to get a discount price when I buy something for a present, because I don't want it in wrapping paper or a beautiful box.
TOPIC 1 : WORK
Passage 1
12.K: Dochira de? Ano office ni irashite itadaita hoo ga saki desuka?
13.L: Ie, sore wa zutto zutto ato no koto desu yo.

Translation
12.K: Where? did I see you at the office first?
13.L: No, that was pretty much later.

Passage 2
20.K: Soshite sore o kakudai nasatta no? Ano board o?

Translation
20.K: And did you make the board bigger?
21.L: Yes, I made it bigger. The sign board I make with the stencil came from JAL WING magazine.

Passage 3
29.L: Ma Oosutoraria de shigoto o shiyoo to biza rna toremashite kyoojuken rna torimashite sore wa umaku ikanakkantan desu kedo sooshita toki ni tama the soko de tsukatte ita Chinese no accountant, rei no (company 's name) kare ga nihonjin no shiriai ga iru (speaker K) to iu, are dokoka de kiita koto ga aru nan to omotte itara sono ato pastii de attan desu yo.

Translation
29.L: Since I was planning to business in Australia. I've got a visa and permanent residence. I was not successful in the business actually. Anyway, at that time I had a Chinese accountant, and (he) said that (he) had a close Japanese friend. Then, I noticed that it was you when I saw (you) at the party later on.

Passage 4
36.K: Sono toki ni meishi o itadaita node ano toki wa (company's name) to iu no o itadaita no o oboete iro no. Nakanaka intelligent na kata ga omie ni nararetana tto omotte. Ano koro wa imagurai hosoku, ima mata ano kurai ni hosoku narareta kedo.
38.K: Are wa mukunde iro to iu yori mo Australian style no hokorashii funiki no karadatsuki ni nararete.

Translation
36.K: I remember you and you gave your business card to me. I thought you seemed to be very intelligent. You were thin at that time, and now you are thin as before.
37.L: That was about 1993, no 1994. I was fat in 1996, I was really swollen.
38.K: No, you looked quite strong, more like Australian style.
Passage 5
43. L: Oostalia de shigoto o suruno wa muzukashii desu ne. Shidonii, Meruborun ni ikeba mada chigau no kano, shiremasen kedo, pasu wa muzukashiindexshoo ne. Dooshite muzukashii n deshoo ne.
44. K: Yappari richiijookeken kana. Sorekara population ya sukun deshoo? Sorekara arayuru tokoro kara isolate sarete, nante iu no kashira sekai demo kore daake no machi to shite sakaete iru wariniwa mawarini ni nanimo nai to iu, sorekara ...

Translation
43. L: It's very difficult to start business in Australia. Business in Sydney or Melbourne might be different, but it is difficult in Perth. I'm wondering why business here is difficult.
44. K: It's probably because of location, besides small population. And Perth is isolated and there is nothing around ever though Perth itself is a vibrant town. And ...

Passage 6
47. L: Koko de shika nai mon tte nai deshoo?
48. K: Nanika koo arata ni tsukuridasu, yooshoku o shitari farm o mottari nanika shite tokubetsuna mono o tsukuri dasanai kagiri wa muri ne.

Translation
47. L: There are no special products available something here, aren't there?
48. K: Unless you can start something totally new, or create some sort of farm, its impossible to find.

Passage 7
83. L: Tatoeba koko e kite saisho no toki ni, eeto ku-gatsu ni ijuu shite kitandesu kedo sono toshi no juuni-gatu atsukattadesu yo. Moo kenna atsui oosutoraria de shigoto shitetandesu kedo, airconditionor mo nai heya dene. Ohiru wa nyoooboo ga lunch tsukutte kureru wake dewa naidesukara. Iwayuru nante iundesuka, lunch bar, lunch bar ka nanka ni itte katte taberundesukedo ...

Translation
83. L: For example, I emigrated here in September and that December it was so hot. I was working in the Australian heat without an airconditionor. Since my wife did not prepare lunch for me, I usually got take away from lunch bar lunch bar.

Passage 8
93. L: sooiu mono ni chakumoku shite nanika business de item o mitsukenaito ikenaindeshoo ne.

Translation
93L: Perhaps it is important to look at those items which are likely bring success in business.

Passage 9
106. K: Totemo kenjitsu de kenyakuka no kataga takusan irukedo gyaku ni iu to business ni yoyuu ga naideshoo? Dakara ryutsuu o, nihon nanka chotto hidosugiru gurai yoyuu ga
TOPIC 2: THE YEAR 2000

Passage 1

24.K: Ma, hito ni yoru to nihon ga kooiu baburu ni natte fnkyoo ni natta to iunomo nyuu yooku no dokode okonawaretakke, atto dowasure shichatta. Ano tatoeba, imamade sanbyaku-rokujuu yen datta beidoru ga Nihon yen ni, sorega nagakoto sore de kitawakedeshoo? Sore o adjust shiyoo to, soshite sekaijuu no omodatta kuni ga 140 yen ni shiyyoo toki tte Nihonen no enyasu o nihon no endaka ni chikazukeruyoo ni adjust shita jidai ga arimashita yone. Nyuu-yooku ka dochirakade...

Translation

24.K: It has been said that one of the reasons why Japan is currently experiencing a depression, following a period of prosperity is that the rate of Japanese currency has been forced to adjust to other major countries of the world. The Japanese yen was strong, and able to keep at a stable rate of 360 yen, but it was then adjusted to the rate of 140 yen. That took place somewhere in New York, I don't remember exactly where it was...

Passage 2

52.K: Demo ima no wakai, wakai to iuka wareware subete josei wa daihantai surudeshoone. Datte sore wa Nihon no symbol nandakara. Sore wa zuibun taihenda. Kore wa doo omoimasu ka?
Translation

52.K: Not only young people, but also all women would strongly disagree with that idea, because he (the emperor) is a symbol (of Japan). That’s going to be a controversial issue what do you think about this?

Passage 3

61.L: Sore wane, akogare to iuyori moshi soo iwareru to, sore wa sugoi pressure dato omoimasu yo. Gyaku ni iwareru, kore wa pressure desu yo.

62.K: Dare ga puresshaa desuka?

63.L: Otoko ni totte wa sokushitsu o motte danshi o tsukuranakya ikenai nante josei nimo pressure desu kedo danshi o agenakya ikenai koto jital ga pressure desuyo.


65.L: Motto ima no kootaishi no nantoka iu hito datte pressure desu yo.

Translation

61.L: There is going to be big pressure on (him the Crown Prince), rather than considering it to be something fortunate if (he) is asked (to have a mistress), this will only put pressure on (him).

62.K: Who feels pressure?

63.L: Of course the woman would feel pressure, but the man would feel more pressure because he would have to face greater consequences.

64.K: I agree with you. Perhaps there is more pressure on the man. I feel sorry (for the Crown Prince). It is not such a good idea.

65.L: This is big pressure on the Crown Prince.