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Abstract. Latrepirdine (Dimebon\textsuperscript{TM}) has been demonstrated to be a neuroprotective and cognition improving agent in neurodegenerative diseases that feature protein aggregation and deposition, such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) protein aggregates is a key event in the neurodegenerative process in AD. This study explores if latrepirdine modulation of protein aggregation contributes to its neuroprotective mechanism of action. Assessment of neuronal cell death showed that there was a significant reduction in lactate dehydrogenase release at an equimolar ratio of Aβ:latrepirdine and with lower concentrations of latrepirdine. The ability of latrepirdine to alter the formation of Aβ aggregates was assessed by thioflavin-T fluorescence, western immunoblotting and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Despite showing a reduction in thioflavin-T fluorescence with latrepirdine treatment, indicating a decrease in aggregation, immunoblotting and AFM showed a modest increase in both the formation and size of Aβ aggregates. The discrepancies between thioflavin-T and the other assays are consistent with previous evidence that cyclic molecules can interfere with thioflavin-T binding of amyloid protein preparations. The ability of latrepirdine to modulate Aβ aggregation appears to be independent of its neuroprotective effects, and is unlikely to be a mechanism by which latrepirdine offers protection. This study investigates the effect of latrepirdine on Aβ aggregation, and presents evidence suggesting that caution should be applied in the use of thioflavin-T fluorescence based assays as a method for screening compounds for protein aggregation altering properties.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of senile dementia. It is clinically characterized by a progressive deterioration of memory, cognitive function, and the ability to perform daily tasks. Pathologically AD is characterized by severe cerebral atrophy due to a loss of hippocampal and neocortical neurons [1]. Major neuropathological hallmarks include neurofibrillary tangles (consisting of aggregates of the tau protein), and extracellular deposition of amyloid plaques, comprised mainly of aggregates of a protein known as amyloid-β (Aβ) [2]. The accumulation of Aβ is thought to occur early in the disease process, prior to onset of clinically relevant symptoms [3]. Increases in cerebral levels Aβ have key roles in downstream events that lead to neurodegeneration, including tau hyperphosphorylation and accumulation [4].
The Aβ protein is generated from the processing of its parent protein, the amyloid-β protein precursor (AβPP), (reviewed [5]). Enzymatic cleavage of AβPP via β-secretase, followed by γ-secretase, generates multiple Aβ species, including the more common soluble monomeric peptides of 40 amino acids and the more insoluble Aβ peptide of 42 amino acids (Aβ42). In AD, Aβ42 monomers aggregate into progressively larger species under various physiological conditions, and these different forms can differentially affect neuronal function [6]. Both soluble and insoluble Aβ forms exist in brain [7], and it is now recognized that an aberrant increase in soluble Aβ correlates to a disease phenotype and is correlated with disease severity [7, 8].

Oligomeric and fibril forms of Aβ are the most common species found in the brains of AD patients. Both synthetic and naturally secreted human Aβ oligomers have been shown to reduce long-term potentiation [9]. Furthermore, the effects of Aβ oligomers on long-term potentiation, and the accumulation of aggregates, can be reduced in vivo through an application of anti-Aβ antibodies [10, 11]. These results strongly support a causative role for Aβ aggregation in the cognitive dysfunction observed in AD. Enhancing effective clearance of Aβ aggregates, and the modulation of Aβ aggregation to reduce deposition and toxicity in the brain, have been considered as potential therapeutic strategies in AD [12].

One of the more recent drugs to be tested for its efficacy against AD is latrepirdine. Latrepirdine (Dimebon®) has been shown in vitro and in vivo to be neuroprotective [13]. However, its mode of action is largely uncharacterized and poorly understood. Latrepirdine is an orally-available, small molecule previously approved in Russia as a non-selective antihistamine [14]. Preclinical trials in rats with induced cognitive impairment showed that latrepirdine improved their learning skills and memory when compared to both untreated rats and rats treated with anticholinesterase inhibitors [14]. Furthermore, latrepirdine has been shown to protect neuronal cultures against Aβ42-induced toxicity [14]. Clinical trials for latrepirdine as a treatment for AD, however, have produced mixed results. The initial clinical study on a Russian cohort showed that patients receiving latrepirdine had improved measures of cognitive ability, function, and behavior when compared to both baseline and placebo patients [15]. However, in subsequent phase 3 clinical trials, a 6-month US-based replication trial (CONNECTION) and a 12-month trial with patients enrolled in the US, Australia, New Zealand, and Western Europe (CONCERT), latrepirdine treatment showed no benefits [16–18]. The exact causes for the mixed results in the AD clinical trials are unknown, in addition to trial design and targeting patients with late stage symptoms and significant neuronal damage, a lack of understanding of the exact mechanism of action of the drug, could be contributing factors [19].

Latrepirdine has been shown to have effects on a number of cellular functions (reviewed [20, 21]) as well as protect neuronal cultures from Aβ toxicity [14], and significantly reduce intracellular Aβ42 levels in in vitro cell based and in vivo animal based AD studies [22]. A protective role of latrepirdine has been shown by the clearance of α-synuclein and γ-synuclein in mouse brain and neuronal cells [23, 24]. Latrepirdine has also been shown to inhibit the aggregation of the TDP-43 protein involved in the pathology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [25]. Our own findings in a yeast model have shown that latrepirdine can reduce Aβ42 aggregates [26]. These findings suggest that the ability of latrepirdine to modulate protein aggregation may account for its action in promoting the clearance of protein aggregates and thus contribute to the underlying neuroprotective mechanism of action. This study addressed this by investigating the effect of latrepirdine on Aβ aggregation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) purified (95% purity) synthetic human beta amyloid (Aβ; Lot 2534), was purchased from WM Keck Foud- dation (Yale University, New Haven, CT). Latrepirdine (Dimebolin dihydrochloride MW: 392.37) was pur- chased from Biotrend AG (Zurich). Thioflavin-T was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Mouse monoclonal antibody WO2, raised against amino acid residues 5–8 of N-terminal Aβ (sequence [27] was kindly provided by Professor Colin Masters (University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated anti-mouse antibodies were purchased from GE (Rydalmere, NSW, Australia). NuPage Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris gels, lithium dodecyl sulfate (LDS) sample buffer (40% glycerol 4% LDS, 0.025% phenol red, 0.025% serva blue G250, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) disodium, pH 7.6), 2–(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid (MES) running buffer (50 mM Tris base, 50 mM MES, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS at pH 7.3), and the iBlot Western transfer kit.
were all purchased from Invitrogen (Mulgrave, VIC, Australia). 3-(N-morpholino propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) sample buffer (50 mM MOPS, 50 mM Tris, 20% glycerol, 0.05% Coomassie), MOPS/Coomassie running buffer (50 mM MOPS, 50 mM 50 mM Tris, 0.002% Coomassie), and MOPS running buffer (50 mM MOPS, 50 mM Tris) were used for blue native gel electrophoresis.

The SHSY5Y neuronal cell line was obtained from ATCC Global Bioresource Centre, and was cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Cell culture medium used was Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, purchased from Gibco (Mulgrave, VIC, Australia), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Hanks F12 media was purchased from PromoCell (Heidelberg, Germany). Cytotoxic One Homogenous Membrane Integrity assay (LDH assay), and Cell Titer 96 th AQeous One solution Cell Proliferation assays (MTS Assay) were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA).

Preparation of Aβ aggregates

Aβ aggregates were prepared according to the protocol outlined by Stine et al., with some modification [28]. Synthetic Aβ was first dissolved in hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP), to produce a 1 mM solution. Varying volumes of this solution was then aliquoted into 1.6 mL Eppendorf tubes and left to evaporate overnight. The films produced were stored at –80°C.

For AFM, Aβ (100 μM) was prepared in the presence or absence of 1 mM latrepirdine. Samples were diluted to 25 μM and 5 μL was loaded onto freshly cleaved V1 grade muscovite mica for AFM. After 5 min incubation of the sample on the mica it was washed 3 times with deionized water, and dried under nitrogen gas. Samples of 100 ng and 200 ng quantities were analyzed by 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (MES/Blue Native Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) MOPS buffering). Gels were electro-transferred to nitrocellulose or polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes and analyzed by immunoblotting using WO2 as previously described [26, 27]. Membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk in TBS solution for 1 h, primary antibody (WO2) was diluted 1/5000 in TBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (TBST) and 0.5% skim milk solution for 2 h, followed by three washes with TBST. HRP conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody was diluted by 1/5000 in TBST and incubated for 1 h. After washing the membranes were incubated for 2 min with enhanced chemiluminescence reagent and exposed to Hyperfilm (GE) for periods ranging from 15 s to 16 h.

For AFM, Aβ (100 μM) was prepared in the presence or absence of 1 mM latrepirdine. Samples were diluted to 25 μM and 5 μL was loaded onto freshly cleaved V1 grade muscovite mica for AFM. After 5 min incubation of the sample on the mica it was washed 3 times with deionized water, and dried under nitrogen gas. Samples were visualized using a NT-MDT microscope in semi-contact mode with the following parameters: a minimum contact force, amplitude between 0.5–2 V (dependent on cantilever) magnitude 20 nA, and scan rates 0.5–1 Hz.

To analyze the aggregation of detergent-solubilized Aβ fibrils, AFM images of 100 μM Aβ solution with and without latrepirdine were acquired using a freshly cleaved V1 grade muscovite mica substrate. The images were magnified with the software used to view the entire area of interest. The images were produced by the probes movement across the mica, and the measurements used in the cross sectional analyses were made from the displacement of the probe as it moved over the sample.

Neuronal cell viability

The SHSY5Y neuronal cell line was cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% FBS.
FBS. Cells were cultured and treated with oligomeric preparations of Aβ. Prior to treatment Aβ was incubated for 16h in the presence, or absence, of a latrepirdine concentration range (3–300 μM). Cells were plated in 96-well plates, 10,000 cells per well and incubated for 24h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Prior to cell treatment the incubated Aβ samples were desalted using 3KDa molecular weight cut off, Amicon Bioseparations Centricon columns (Millipore). These samples were diluted to 30 μM Aβ in DMEM containing 1% FBS and Hams F12 media and used to treat the cells. The cells were incubated for 4 days at 37°C, with 5% CO₂, and cell viability assayed for release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, USA).

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from neuronal cell viability and thioT assays were evaluated using a one-way ANOVA with planned contrasts followed by a post hoc Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Data was graphically represented using means ± standard deviation (n = 4), *p < 0.05 after correction for multiple testing, when compared to total lysis control).

RESULTS

Latrepirdine has been shown to be protective in serum starved SHSY5Y cells [29] and SHSY5Y cells expressing α-synuclein [24]. To determine if latrepirdine can protect against Aβ induced neuronal death, LDH release from SHSY5Y cells was assessed in cells treated with Aβ oligomers in the presence of vehicle and 0.05 to 20 μM of latrepirdine (Fig. 1). Treatment with 20 μM Aβ in the presence of vehicle led to 60% LDH release. A one-way ANOVA was conducted comparing the effect of latrepirdine treatment on % LDH released. Latrepirdine significantly reduced LDH release from 1 μM latrepirdine (p < 0.005) reaching a 35% reduction at 20 μM (p < 0.005, 1:1 Aβ-latrepirdine molar ratio, Fig. 1). Treatment with 20 μM Aβ in the presence of vehicle led to 60% LDH release. A one-way ANOVA was conducted comparing the effect of latrepirdine treatment on % LDH released. Latrepirdine significantly reduced LDH release from 1 μM latrepirdine (p < 0.005) reaching a 35% reduction at 20 μM (p < 0.005, 1:1 Aβ-latrepirdine molar ratio, Fig. 1). A similar reduction in cell death at this concentration has been reported previously [30]. LDH release from cells treated with latrepirdine only was similar to vehicle only treated cells, indicating that at the concentrations used, latrepirdine did not cause significant cell death. Overall, consistent with previous
studies we have shown that latrepirdine can offer protection against Aβ toxicity [26, 31]. We next investigated whether inhibiting Aβ aggregation could be a mechanism underlying latrepirdine neuroprotective effects.

To assess whether latrepirdine can alter Aβ aggregation, monomeric Aβ42 was incubated at 4°C for 24 h in the presence of increasing doses of latrepirdine. Following incubation, samples were mixed with thioT solution, and fluorescence readings were measured. With reference to the Aβ only control, a significant dose dependent reduction in thioT fluorescence was observed upon the addition of latrepirdine (Fig. 2A), indicating a reduction in Aβ42 aggregation.

To investigate whether the reduction in thioT fluorescence was time dependent, a time course thioT assay was performed. Assay conditions were modified slightly to accommodate the instrument constraints. In particular, latrepirdine was added to Aβ42 just after the addition of thioT and incubated at room temperature (RT) with the fluorescence readings recorded every 10 min for the 16 h time course. The Aβ42 preparation was also prepared in a buffered solution (TBS) which was necessary for the prolonged incubations at RT. Direct comparisons by SDS-PAGE of oligomeric preparations with TBS preparations reveals similar aggregates were formed (Supplementary Fig. 1A). The TBS preparation contained similar sized aggregates to the oligomer preparation (0.05–0.2 μm in diameter and heights ranging from 2–15 nm) but also contained larger aggregates (from 0.2–1 μm in diameter, and their height ranged from 20–50 nm) (Supplementary Fig. 2B, C). Assaying the TBS preparations revealed a time dependent aggregation during the 16 h incubation period (Fig. 2B). However, a dose-dependent reduction in fluorescence units was observed in samples incubated with latrepirdine, where at an Aβ:latrepirdine molar ratio of 1:10, a 1.5 fold reduction was observed. It was noted that at time 0 (t0, 0–10 min) an almost 2-fold reduction at the 1:10 ratio compared to Aβ only was observed. Several possibilities could account for this observation, including a direct interaction between latrepirdine and Aβ thereby reducing the binding of thioT at t0. This would result in a decrease in fluorescence at the endpoint (16 h). Alternatively, latrepirdine may bind and displace thioT from binding to the Aβ aggregates either through binding to latrepirdine directly or by binding directly to the Aβ aggregates.

To obtain a better understanding of this phenomenon and to determine if latrepirdine has similar effects when added after Aβ aggregation has commenced, the previous time course experiment was repeated with modification. Latrepirdine or vehicle was added after Aβ aggregation had been proceeding for 6 h (Fig. 2A). As
Fig. 3. Addition of latrepirdine at 6 h post Aβ aggregation reduces Thioflavin T fluorescence but has minimal impact on formation of aggregates. 
A) Fluorescent intensity of Aβ42 with latrepirdine addition after 6 h of Aβ42 aggregation, and ThioT was measured every 10 min for 16 h. Fluorescence increased in a steady fashion prior to the addition of latrepirdine when a sharp decrease in fluorescence was observed. SDS-PAGE (B) and blue native PAGE (C) separation and western blot of 200 ng Aβ samples before aggregation (lanes 1–6), after 6 h of aggregation and the addition of latrepirdine (lanes 7–12), and after a total of 20 h aggregation (lanes 13–18).
Fig. 4. SDS-PAGE (A), BN-PAGE (B), and AFM (C) analysis of Aβ oligomers in the presence or absence of latrepirdine. A) Aβ (lanes 1, 2, 9, 10) was incubated in the presence of latrepirdine at molar ratios 1:1 (lanes 3, 4, 11, 12), 1:5 (lanes 5, 6, 13, 14), or 1:10 (lanes 7, 8, 15, 16) for 24 h. 200 ng underwent gel electrophoresis, prior to undergoing western immunoblotting. Quantification of higher and lower molecular weight species from western blots displayed as band intensity (values represent means ± standard deviation (n = 4), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 after correction for multiple testing). (C) A 10 μm² representative sections of Aβ alone (i) or incubated with latrepirdine in a 1:10 ratio (ii) observed by AFM. Magnified field of views and cross-sectional analyses were made using the Nova AFM software. Aβ oligomer preparations (i) contain aggregates 0.05–0.2 μm in diameter with heights from 2–15 nm. Aβ oligomer: latrepirdine preparations (ii) contain larger aggregates with diameters of 0.2–0.5 μm and heights from 10–35 nm.
shown before, fluorescence steadily increased prior to the addition of latrepirdine resulting in a sharp decrease in observed fluorescence. These results indicate that the observed time course experiment data could be either, a result of direct displacement of thioT binding or alternatively, latrepirdine reducing/influencing the rate of aggregation. To determine if the observed changes in aggregation detected by thioT are reflecting the progression of Aβ aggregation, an analysis of the formed products on SDS-PAGE or BN-PAGE was performed. Aβ preparations were incubated in the presence or absence of latrepirdine (at a 1:1 or 1:10 molar ratio) for 0 h (t0), 6 h (t6), and 16 h (t16) and then subjected to the gel electrophoresis under either denaturing conditions SDS-PAGE or non-denaturing BN-PAGE (Fig. 3B, C). At t0, lower molecular weight aggregates were particularly prominent in both SDS-PAGE (~4 kDa) and BN-PAGE (~70 kDa). However, at t6 and t16, higher molecular weight aggregates (40–160 kDa, 670 kDa) were observed, being most prominent at t16. Latrepirdine did not appear to reduce (Fig. 3B, C, lanes 9–12 and 15–16) the formation of these higher molecular weight aggregates.

Latrepirdine modestly effects the formation of Aβ aggregates

End point thioT analysis of Aβ oligomers (Fig. 2A) supported that latrepirdine dose dependently reduced oligomer formation. Similar results were also observed when latrepirdine was added after Aβ oligomers had been formed (Supplementary Fig. 2). Due to the observed disparity in results described previously by thioT analysis and gel electrophoresis, the formation of Aβ oligomers in the presence or absence of latrepirdine was analyzed using gel electrophoresis and AFM.

Western immunoblotting showed an increased amount of the lower and higher molecular weight species, most prominently observed at a 1:10 molar ratio of Aβ:latrepirdine (Fig. 4A, B, lanes 7 and 8). Collectively, these results suggest that latrepirdine may induce either more Aβ oligomer formation or promote the formation of larger Aβ oligomer species. To determine if the size and shape of the Aβ oligomers was altered, Aβ oligomers or Aβ:latrepirdine mixtures (1:10 molar ratio) were subjected to analysis using AFM. In the Aβ oligomer preparation, the size of Aβ aggregates was observed to range from 0.05–0.2 µm in diameter with heights from 2–15 nm (Fig. 4C, i, Supplementary Fig. 3A). Similar sized oligomers were also observed in Aβ:latrepirdine mixture, however, larger Aβ aggregates with diameters ranging from 0.2–0.5 µm and heights from 10–35 nm were also present (Fig. 3C, ii, Supplementary Fig. 3B). These results suggest that latrepirdine leads to the formation of larger aggregates. Overall, these results show that latrepirdine had modest effects on the formation of Aβ aggregates, which were only observed when latrepirdine is in 10-fold excess. Treating cells at a 1:10 Aβ:latrepirdine molar ratio or treating with a control containing the equivalent concentration of latrepirdine (300 µM) resulted in total cell death (data not shown). These findings indicated that latrepirdine at these supraphysiological doses was probably a major contributing factor leading to the observed cell death. Thus, the consequence of latrepirdine promoting the formation of larger aggregates could not be evaluated.

**DISCUSSION**

The accumulation and aggregation of Aβ is a key event in the pathogenesis of AD, and preventing the formation or disrupting Aβ aggregation, thereby reducing neuronal dysfunction in the brain has been proposed as a therapeutic strategy. Inhibitors and modulators of Aβ aggregation, including peptide, protein and small molecular classes of natural and synthetic origin, have been shown to modulate toxicity of Aβ in vitro and in vivo [32, 33]. Latrepirdine is a small polycyclic molecule belonging to a class of pro-neurogenic compounds [13]. Findings from previous studies suggest that the ability of latrepirdine to modulate protein aggregation may explain its action in promoting the clearance of protein aggregates and could contribute to its neuroprotective mechanism of action [23, 25]. This study has addressed whether latrepirdine alters Aβ oligomer formation and if these effects were related to the amelioration of Aβ neurotoxicity in vitro.

The Aβ protein has a natural tendency to self assemble into multimeric forms on the basis of cross-beta sheets which resemble a common folding pathway for amyloidogenic proteins. The thioT fluorescence assay is a commonly used technique to monitor this self-assembly/aggregation of amyloidogenic proteins and is a rapid method to determine the efficacy of inhibitors and modulators of aggregation. Molar ratios of Aβ:latrepirdine ranging from 1:1–1:10, showed a dose dependent reduction in thioT fluorescence in both end point and time course assays. In the time course assay, however, the dose dependent reduction was observed at the start of the assay (t0), before fluorescence increased in a time dependent manner. Similarly, a sharp reduction in fluorescence, followed
by a steady increase, was observed when latrepirdine was added 6 h after Aβ commenced aggregation, despite no observed reduction in aggregate formation by SDS-PAGE or BN-PAGE. A possible explanation for this observation is that latrepirdine displaces or prevents thioT binding to Aβ aggregates either through direct binding to thioT or by binding to the Aβ aggregates. Support for this hypothesis comes from a recent study that showed small molecular weight cyclic compounds with similar structure to thioT reduce fluorescence in amyloid protein preparations [34]. This study suggested that such compounds could actively compete for the same binding site, thereby showing decreased fluorescence in the absence of any apparent structural change [34]. In conjunction with the findings presented in this study, this indicates that more attention to the interpretation of using fluorescent dyes such as thioT in measuring protein aggregation kinetics is required. As we found that thioT could not reliably assess the effects of latrepirdine on Aβ aggregation, the direct characterization of the formation of aggregates, with particular focus on Aβ oligomers, was performed by gel electrophoresis and AFM.

Oligomer and fibrillar species represent the majority of the diverse structural assemblies formed by amyloidogenic proteins like Aβ. There is increasing evidence that supports a causative role of the soluble oligomeric forms in neurodegeneration observed in AD rather than the insoluble fibrillar Aβ counterparts [7]. The focus of this study was therefore to assess the effects of latrepirdine on oligomeric Aβ structures. Oligomers can be reliably generated in vitro, can exist as smaller or higher molecular weight species, less than 20 kDa and greater than 35 kDa respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1A, B), and are 0.05–0.2 μm in size (Supplementary Fig. 1C, D) [36]. The addition of latrepirdine during the generation of oligomers appeared to modestly increase their formation, however only when added in 10-fold excess. This was observed following immunoblotting where increased amounts of lower and higher molecular weight species were present. Furthermore, AFM analysis showed that in addition to 0.2 μm oligomer aggregates, larger 0.5 μm aggregates were also present and these may represent extensions of smaller oligomer species [36]. The significance of an effect of promoting oligomer formation by latrepirdine remains unclear. At higher molar ratio of Aβ:latrepirdine, latrepirdine could promote the extension of oligomers further to protofibrils (0.5–1 μm) [6, 36] or fibrils (3–4 μm) [36]. Cyclic small molecules have been shown previously to promote the formation of larger Aβ species resulting in reduced toxicity [37], and this is consistent with the proposed hypothesis that ‘amyloid’ structuring of proteins is a detoxification strategy to mask the promiscuous surface of the oligomeric building block [35].

Although the use of higher molar ratios of latrepirdine:Aβ could be investigated to provide further insight into what type of aggregates are generated, the findings of this study suggest that the neuroprotective effect of latrepirdine may be independent of its ability to modulate Aβ aggregation. Treating cells at a molar ratio of 1:10 resulted in complete cell death, due to the supraphysiological levels of latrepirdine required to show changes in Aβ aggregation. However, assessment of the neuronal cell death showed that there was a significant reduction in LDH release at lower ratios (1:0.05 to 1:1) where influences on Aβ aggregation were not evident. Zhang et al. showed that under non-stressed conditions, latrepirdine can increase mitochondrial membrane potential and cellular ATP levels in SH-SYSY cells, but not alter mitochondria DNA content. This indicates that mitochondrial function is enhanced [29]. In serum-starved cells, mitochondrial membrane potential of latrepirdine treated cells was maintained under conditions of increased intracellular calcium concentrations, indicating that mitochondrial function is preserved even under external stresses. Further evidence supporting the concept that latrepirdine improves mitochondrial function comes from recent reports that have shown that latrepirdine can decrease calcium retention capacity of rat brain mitochondria [38] and protect against Aβ induced changes in mitochondrial morphology and respiration [39].

The findings of this study indicate that latrepirdine can modulate Aβ oligomer formation, but this effect appears to be independent of its ability to reduce the resulting toxicity induced by oligomers. This finding is further supported by studies showing latrepirdine has no significant effect on the in vitro assembly of recombinant humans-synuclein[24]. The reduction in protein aggregates with latrepirdine treatment reported by others [22] may be associated with its ability to promote clearance of toxic protein aggregates via catabolic pathways, such as autophagy [24, 26, 40], rather than its direct effects on the amyloid structure. This, in combination with evidence indicating that latrepirdine maintains mitochondrial function in the presence of external stresses, may explain its neuroprotective activity.

Although, in the initial Russian clinical trials, latrepirdine was shown to improve cognition in patients with mild to moderate AD [15], recent phase III clinical trials have shown that the drug is of limited benefit to moderate-severe AD cases [16]. Differences
in trial design, population bias (Russian phase II trial versus multinational phase III trials) and targeting end-stage AD patients, have all been proposed as reasons for the different outcomes [19]. It is also important to consider the mechanism by which latrepirdine can target neurotoxicity induced by Aβ aggregation, particularly if more potent analogues are to be developed for AD. This study provided an investigation into the effect of latrepirdine on Aβ aggregation, and the methods used will be useful in assessing other amyloid disrupting compounds. However, the widely used thioflavine assay should be used with caution when investigating compounds thought to possess aggregation altering capabilities.
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