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Abstract

Objective. Mechanisms underlying the anaphylactic reaction in humans are not fully understood. Here, we aimed at improving our understanding of anaphylaxis by investigating gene expression changes.

Methods. Microarray data set GSE69063 was analysed, describing emergency department (ED) patients with severe anaphylaxis (n = 12), moderate anaphylaxis (n = 6), sepsis (n = 20) and trauma (n = 11). Samples were taken at ED presentation (T0) and 1 h later (T1). Healthy controls were age and sex matched to ED patient groups. Gene expression changes were determined using limma, and pathway analysis applied. Differentially expressed genes were validated in an independent cohort of anaphylaxis patients (n = 31) and matched healthy controls (n = 10), using quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.

Results. Platelet aggregation was dysregulated in severe anaphylaxis at T0, but not in moderate anaphylaxis, sepsis or trauma. Dysregulation was not observed in patients who received adrenaline before ED arrival, compared with healthy controls. Seven genes (GATA1 (adjusted P-value = 5.57 × 10⁻⁸), TLN1 (adjusted P-value = 9.40 × 10⁻⁶), GP1BA (adjusted P-value = 2.15 × 10⁻⁵), SELP (adjusted P-value = 2.29 × 10⁻⁵), MPL (adjusted P-value = 1.20 × 10⁻⁴), F13A1 (adjusted P-value = 1.39 × 10⁻³) and SPARC (adjusted P-value = 4.06 × 10⁻⁴)) were significantly downregulated in severe anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival, compared with healthy controls. One gene (TLN1 (adjusted P-value = 1.29 × 10⁻³)) was significantly downregulated in moderate anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival, compared with healthy controls.

Conclusion. Downregulation of genes involved in platelet aggregation and activation is a unique feature of the early anaphylactic reaction not previously reported and may be associated with reaction severity.
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INTRODUCTION

Anaphylaxis is a rapidly developing, potentially life-threatening reaction that is increasing in incidence worldwide. Common triggers include drugs, foods and venom stings. Anaphylaxis classically involves IgE-dependent activation of mast cells, leading to the immediate release of mediators such as histamine, and a peripheral blood cell cascade which results in a systemic reaction. There is now emerging evidence of alternative mechanisms of anaphylaxis, including IgG-mediated reactions and contact system activation. IgG-dependent reactions have been demonstrated in mouse models of anaphylaxis and may be responsible for some cases of drug-triggered reactions in humans. While mouse models can provide insights into disease mechanisms, they are limited. For example, immunologic disparities exist between mice and humans, including expression of the high affinity IgE receptor, FcεRI, being limited to mast cells and basophils in mice. Unlike in mice, human platelets express FcεRI and FcεRII, and can be directly activated by IgE. IgG-mediated anaphylaxis is thought to be mediated through neutrophil, macrophage, basophil or platelet activation, and release of platelet-activating factor (PAF), with levels of this mediator correlating with reaction severity. The contact system involves a cascade of plasma proteins which drives inflammatory and coagulation pathways. The contact system can be activated in several ways, including by mast cell-derived heparin released during IgE-mediated anaphylaxis. Numerous factors of the contact system have been shown associated with the severity of anaphylaxis, including levels of plasma heparin, bradykinin formation and intensity of activation. Additionally, activation of the contact system can contribute towards clinical features of anaphylaxis, including vasodilation, increased vascular permeability, gastro-intestinal contractions, abdominal pain, angioedema and hypotension.

While our understanding of factors driving the anaphylactic reaction is improving, it is still not fully understood what progresses anaphylaxis into a severe, potentially life-threatening reaction, and why severity varies between patients. Increasingly, gene expression studies are being used to investigate allergy and anaphylaxis, providing mechanistic insights. An exploratory emergency department (ED) study showed involvement of the innate immune system (particularly neutrophils) and inflammatory response, during acute anaphylaxis in humans. A recent study on children with food-induced anaphylaxis demonstrated upregulation of microRNAs (miR-21-3p and miR-487b-3p) postfood challenge, which are involved in inflammation and immune system regulation. Gene expression studies utilising mouse models of anaphylaxis have supported human studies, by showing enrichment of genes involved in immune and inflammatory responses, and the complement and coagulation cascades, in the dendritic cells of mice isolated postchallenge. Other gene expression studies investigating allergy have demonstrated dysregulation of platelet pathways, pathways involved in B- and T-cell development and enrichment of neutrophil and macrophage signatures in allergic individuals.

We have previously interrogated a gene expression data set on anaphylaxis and sepsis, with the aim of identifying dysregulated genes and evaluating biomarker potential. We reported the upregulation of small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) networks during acute anaphylaxis, but not sepsis, and highlighted their potential to act as biomarkers. In the present analysis, we aim to further explore mechanisms driving anaphylaxis, and make additional comparisons with a cohort of trauma patients as an example of noninfectious inflammation in the ED. Overall, comparisons to both sepsis and trauma as examples of acute insults leading to systemic inflammation in ED patients were made to determine whether gene expression changes are unique to anaphylaxis. Further understanding mechanisms and mediators driving anaphylaxis would improve our overall understanding of the anaphylactic reaction, and potentially lead to new therapeutic and diagnostic avenues.

RESULTS

Unique biological processes and pathways are associated with severe anaphylaxis in the GSE69063 microarray

Previous analysis on gene set GSE69063 identified significantly dysregulated genes in anaphylaxis patients compared with healthy controls and sepsis patients. Upregulation of a small subset of snoRNAs was validated in an independent
The focus of this paper was to further interrogate this data set and make additional comparisons with trauma patients as an example of noninfectious inflammation in the ED.

The original analysis on GSE69063 comparing patient groups with healthy controls showed 13, 262 and 2434 downregulated genes at T0, and 12, 460 and 2448 downregulated genes at T1, for moderate anaphylaxis, severe anaphylaxis and sepsis, respectively. Analysis of the trauma cohort compared with healthy controls showed 874 upregulated genes and 916 downregulated genes at T0, and 1202 upregulated genes and 1131 downregulated genes at T1 (Supplementary figures 1 and 2).

The Database for Annotation, Visualisation and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) Bioinformatics Resource (version 6.8) gene ontology (GO) and pathway analysis was performed to associate genes downregulated in patients compared with biological processes and pathways. Significant terms associated with the 262 downregulated genes in severe anaphylaxis at T0 included ‘platelet aggregation’ (GO:0070527, P-value = 1.35 \times 10^{-4}), ‘actin cytoskeleton organisation’ (GO:0030036, P-value = 1.96 \times 10^{-4}) and ‘platelet degranulation’ (GO:0002576, P-value = 2.69 \times 10^{-4}; Figure 1a). At T1, the 460 downregulated genes in severe anaphylaxis were associated with ‘immune response’ (GO:0006955, P-value = 1.78 \times 10^{-6}), ‘embryonic hemopoiesis’ (GO:0035162, P-value = 1.67 \times 10^{-5}) and ‘negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter’ (GO:0000122, P-value = 4.31 \times 10^{-5}; Figure 1b). The only significant term associated with the 13 downregulated genes in moderate anaphylaxis at T0 was ‘mesodermal cell differentiation’ (GO:0048333, P-value = 3.27 \times 10^{-3}). No significant pathways were associated with the 12 downregulated genes in moderate anaphylaxis at T1. Significant terms associated with the 2434 and 2448 downregulated genes in sepsis at T0 and T1, respectively, included ‘regulation of transcription, DNA-templated’ (GO:0006355, P-value = 8.95 \times 10^{-11} and P-value = 1.30 \times 10^{-8} for T0 and T1, respectively), ‘rRNA processing’ (GO:0006364, P-value = 5.45 \times 10^{-10} and P-value = 9.74 \times 10^{-8} for T0 and T1, respectively) and ‘T cell co-stimulation’ (GO:0031295, P-value = 3.83 \times 10^{-10} and P-value = 8.09 \times 10^{-10} for T0 and T1, respectively; Figure 1c, d). Significant terms associated with the 916 downregulated genes in trauma at T0 included ‘immune response’ (GO:0006955, P-value = 8.38 \times 10^{-11}), ‘T cell co-stimulation’ (GO:0031295, P-value = 8.25 \times 10^{-10} and P-value = 4.09 \times 10^{-7}; Figure 1e). Significant terms associated with the 1131 downregulated genes in trauma at T1 include ‘adaptive immune response’ (GO:0002250, P-value = 9.38 \times 10^{-10}), ‘immune response’ (GO:0006955, P-value = 4.24 \times 10^{-9}) and ‘regulation of immune response’ (GO:0050776, P-value = 6.69 \times 10^{-8}; Figure 1f).

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed to confirm dysregulation of platelet pathways shown in severe anaphylaxis patients at T0. The REACTOME pathways of ‘platelet aggregation and plug formation’ and ‘response to elevated platelet cytosolic Ca^{2+}’ were found enriched in healthy controls compared with severe anaphylaxis patients at T0 (Figure 1g, h). These pathways were not shown significantly enriched through GSEA analysis in the other patient groups (data not shown).

**Administration of adrenaline before ED arrival results in altered gene pathways**

As adrenaline is known to affect platelet activation and aggregation, the data (GSE69063) was re-analysed to compare changes in genes expression in patients with severe anaphylaxis (n = 12) on the basis of prehospital administration of adrenaline (n = 5), and compared with healthy controls.

We found 114 and 419 upregulated, and 46 and 419 downregulated genes in severe anaphylaxis patients treated with adrenaline or no adrenaline before ED arrival, respectively, at T0 (Figure 2a). We found 949 and 222 upregulated genes, and 768 and 82 downregulated genes for the severe adrenaline and no-adrenaline groups at T1 (Figure 2b). DAVID GO analysis found significant terms associated with the 419 downregulated genes in the severe no-adrenaline group at T0 included ‘inflammatory response’ (GO:0006954, P-value = 4.67 \times 10^{-6}, cell adhesion’ (GO:0007155, P-value = 1.04 \times 10^{-5}) and ‘platelet activation’ (GO:0030168, P-value = 7.74 \times 10^{-5}; Figure 2c). No significant terms were associated with the 46 downregulated genes in the severe adrenaline group at T0.

Significant terms associated with the 82 downregulated genes in the severe no-adrenaline group at T1 included ‘platelet...
degranulation’ (GO:0002576, P-value = 5.67 × 10⁻⁹), ‘extracellular matrix organisation’ (GO:0030198, P-value = 7.77 × 10⁻⁹) and ‘inflammatory response’ (GO:0006954, P-value = 2.69 × 10⁻³; Figure 2d). Significant terms associated with the 768 downregulated genes in the severe adrenaline group at T1 included ‘immune response’ (GO:0006955, P-value = 6.05 × 10⁻⁷), ‘transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter’ (GO:0006366, P-value = 2.39 × 10⁻⁵) and ‘negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter’ (GO:0000122, P-value = 6.76 × 10⁻⁵; Figure 2e).

At T0, GSEA showed that REACTOME pathways of ‘platelet aggregation and plug formation’ and ‘response to elevated platelet cytosolic Ca²⁺’ were enriched in healthy controls compared with severe no-adrenaline patients (Figure 2f, g). Dysregulation of these pathways was not seen in severe adrenaline groups at either time point, or the severe no-adrenaline group at T1 (data not shown).

**qRT-PCR analysis validates downregulation of platelet genes**

An independent cohort of 31 anaphylaxis (12 severe, 22 no-adrenaline) patients and 10 age- and sex-matched healthy controls were selected for validation of the GSE69063 microarray. Clinical data characterising the anaphylaxis patients in the validation cohort are described in Table 1. Timing between anaphylaxis onset and blood sampling ranged from 17 min to 2 h and 30 min (median 65 min). Anaphylaxis was primarily triggered by drugs (n = 11, 35%), food (n = 9, 29%) and venom (bee sting, n = 6, 19%). All cases of severe anaphylaxis presented with cardiovascular features, as was seen in the microarray cohort (Table 1). While in the microarray cohort, the majority of severe reactions were caused by drugs (83%), and all moderate reactions were caused by foods, in the validation cohort 50% of severe cases were caused by drugs, and only 37% of moderate reactions were caused by foods.

The focus of this validation was to confirm the dysregulation of genes involved in the pathways of platelet aggregation and degranulation demonstrated in the microarray comparison of severe anaphylaxis and healthy controls in gene set GSE69063. A group of 10 genes – GATA1, SELP, ITGA2B, MYL9, MPL, F13A1, ITGB3, SPARC and TLL1 – were chosen for validation and included in the qRT-PCR analysis (Figure 1).
will subsequently be referred to as the ‘validation panel’ (Table 2). These genes were chosen based on microarray analysis of severe anaphylaxis compared with healthy controls at T0 (adjusted P-value < 0.05), and gene involvement in the DAVID GO pathways of platelet aggregation or degranulation, and/or core enrichment in the GSEA analysis of the REACTOME pathway of platelet aggregation and plug formation at T0 (Table 2).

An initial analysis was performed comparing all anaphylaxis patients (n = 31) to healthy controls (n = 10). Six genes (GATA1 (P-value = 2.52 × 10⁻²), SELP (P-value = 1.86 × 10⁻²), MPL (P-value = 2.72 × 10⁻²), F13A1 (P-value = 1.62 × 10⁻²), SPARC (P-value = 2.97 × 10⁻²) and TLN1 (P-value = 6.13 × 10⁻³)) were significantly downregulated in anaphylaxis patients compared with healthy controls (Figure 3). Subsequent analysis compared anaphylaxis patients grouped by severity (severe (n = 12) or moderate (n = 19)), with healthy controls (n = 10). Two genes (TLN1 (adjusted P-value = 5.47 × 10⁻²) and F13A1 (adjusted P-value = 3.32 × 10⁻²)) were significantly downregulated in severe anaphylaxis compared with healthy controls (Figure 4). TLN1 was also significantly downregulated in moderate anaphylaxis compared with healthy controls (adjusted P-value = 2.19 × 10⁻², Figure 4). No genes were significantly dysregulated between the moderate and severe groups.

Given the effect of adrenaline on gene expression previously noted, gene expression patterns were explored in this validation cohort considering anaphylaxis severity as well as treatment with adrenaline, and compared with healthy controls. The no-adrenaline and adrenaline anaphylaxis groups consisted of 22 patients (seven severe, 15 moderate), respectively. We found seven genes (GATA1 (adjusted P-value = 5.57 × 10⁻⁴), SELP (adjusted P-value = 2.29 × 10⁻²), GP1BA (adjusted P-value = 2.15 × 10⁻²), MPL (adjusted P-value = 1.20 × 10⁻²), F13A1 (adjusted P-value = 1.39 × 10⁻²), TLN1 (adjusted P-value = 9.40 × 10⁻⁴) and SPARC (adjusted P-value = 4.06 × 10⁻²)) significantly downregulated in the severe anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival, compared with healthy controls (Figure 5). One gene (TLN1 (adjusted P-value = 1.29 × 10⁻²)) was significantly downregulated (adjusted P-value = 9.40 × 10⁻⁴) in severe anaphylaxis patients compared with healthy controls (Figure 6). Subsequent analysis compared adrenaline anaphylaxis groups to healthy controls at T0.

![Figure 2](image-url) Pathways associated with severe anaphylaxis patients who did or did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival, in the GSE69063 microarray cohort. Numbers of upregulated/downregulated genes (adjusted P-value < 0.05, log fold change ≤ -0.6 or ≥ 0.6) in severe adrenaline (n = 5) and severe no-adrenaline (n = 7) groups at (a) T0 and (b) T1. Biological processes associated with downregulated genes (adjusted P-value < 0.05, log fold change ≤ -0.6) in severe anaphylaxis no-adrenaline groups at (c) T0 and (d) T1, and (e) severe anaphylaxis adrenaline group at T1. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis of the pathways of (f) ‘platelet aggregation and plug formation’ and (g) ‘response to elevated platelet cytosolic Ca²⁺’ in the microarray comparison of severe anaphylaxis no-adrenaline groups to healthy controls at T0.
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Table 1. Clinical reaction features of trauma, sepsis and anaphylaxis presentations assessed in the microarray and validation cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trauma patients</th>
<th>Sepsis patients</th>
<th>Anaphylaxis microarray</th>
<th>Anaphylaxis validation cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma microarray</td>
<td>Trauma validation</td>
<td>Sepsis microarray</td>
<td>Sepsis validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>38.6 (21.4)</td>
<td>43.8 (21.2)</td>
<td>58.20 (16.1)</td>
<td>59.25 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>9.0 (82.0)</td>
<td>13.0 (65.0)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg) (median) (IQR)</td>
<td>96.0 (32.0)</td>
<td>82.5 (36.8)</td>
<td>88.50 (32)</td>
<td>56.50 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCC (x 10^9 L^-1) (median) (IQR)</td>
<td>13.4 (7.6)</td>
<td>15.5 (6.7)</td>
<td>6 (32.0)</td>
<td>56.50 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mmol L^-1) (median) (IQR)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.55 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI (median) (IQR)</td>
<td>0.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>2.50 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med score (median) (IQR)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxia (blood oxygen ≤ 92%), n (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension (SBP &lt; 90 mm Hg), n (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay (days) (median) (IQR)</td>
<td>11.0 (29.2)</td>
<td>17.0 (24.7)</td>
<td>7.7 (11.4)</td>
<td>7.50 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death within 30 days, n (%)</td>
<td>5.0 (45.0)</td>
<td>8.0 (40.0)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anaphylaxis microarray</th>
<th>Anaphylaxis validation cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severe anaphylaxis</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moderate anaphylaxis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to ED only, n (%) 1 (8.3)</td>
<td>- (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In ED only, n (%) 7 (58.3)</td>
<td>5 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both prior to and in ED, n (%) 4 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay, median (IQR) (days) 0.55 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death, n (%) - (0.0)</td>
<td>- (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Validation panel genes, selected based on significance in microarray analysis, GSEA, or DAVID pathway analysis in severe anaphylaxis at T0 compared with healthy controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Microarray (adjusted P-value)</th>
<th>GSEAa</th>
<th>DAVIDc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GATA1</td>
<td>2.87 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELP</td>
<td>1.52 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITG2B</td>
<td>3.44 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYL9</td>
<td>1.02 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP1BA</td>
<td>1.09 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPL</td>
<td>4.23 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13A1</td>
<td>1.25 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGFB3</td>
<td>3.86 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>5.12 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLN1</td>
<td>3.85 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genes chosen for validation based on:

- The microarray analysis comparison of severe anaphylaxis groups to healthy controls (adjusted P-value < 0.05).
- Core enrichment in healthy controls compared with severe anaphylaxis at T0 in Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of the RECTOME pathway of platelet aggregation and plug formation.
- Gene involvement in the Database for Annotation, Visualisation and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) biological process of platelet aggregation or platelet degranulation (the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate q < 0.50 and P-value < 0.01).

Also significantly downregulated in moderate anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival compared with healthy controls (Figure 5). No genes were significantly dysregulated between severe and moderate no-adrenaline groups. No genes were significantly downregulated in patients who received adrenaline before ED arrival (severe or moderate) compared with healthy controls (Supplementary figure 3).

Platelet counts of anaphylaxis patients are within the healthy range

To further understand the involvement of platelets in anaphylaxis, platelet counts of anaphylaxis, sepsis and trauma groups were compared. A healthy baseline of 150–450 × 10⁹ platelets L⁻¹ was also used for comparison. The aim was to determine whether platelet numbers were altered during acute anaphylaxis, and whether this was a unique feature of the anaphylactic reaction. A combination of patients from the microarray GSE69063 cohort, anaphylaxis validation cohort and additional sepsis and trauma patients (sepsis and trauma validation cohorts) were used for analysis. Combined, the cohort included 100 patients (29 anaphylaxis (17 severe, 20 no-adrenaline), 40 sepsis and 31 trauma patients). Clinical characteristics of the sepsis validation cohort (n = 20) and trauma validation cohort (n = 20) can be found in Table 1.

Anaphylaxis patients were initially grouped based on severity and treatment with adrenaline before ED arrival. Overall, platelet counts of anaphylaxis patients were within the healthy range, and sepsis and trauma patients had lower platelet counts (Figure 6). While within the healthy range, severe anaphylaxis patients had significantly higher platelet counts compared with sepsis (adjusted P-value = 3.61 × 10⁻³) and trauma (adjusted P-value = 6.46 × 10⁻²) patients (Figure 6a). Similarly, patients who did and did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival had platelet counts within the normal range, which were significantly higher compared with sepsis (adjusted P-value = 7.02 × 10⁻³ and adjusted P-value = 2.61 × 10⁻², respectively) and trauma (adjusted P-value = 1.26 × 10⁻² and adjusted P-value = 3.46 × 10⁻², respectively) patients (Figure 6b).

Patients who had not received adrenaline before ED arrival were subsequently grouped based on severity. Sepsis and trauma patients had significantly lower platelet counts than severe no-adrenaline patients, which were within the
normal range (Figure 6c, adjusted \( P\)-value = 2.94 \( \times \) 10^{-2} and adjusted \( P\)-value = 4.42 \( \times \) 10^{-2}, respectively).

Figure 3. qRT-PCR validation of platelet-related validation panel genes for the comparison of anaphylaxis patients (n = 31) to healthy controls (n = 10). Log2 fold-changes were determined using the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \) method where groups were normalised to healthy controls. Welch’s unequal variance t-tests were used to determine statistically significant differences between experiment groups. *adjusted \( P\)-value < 0.05, **adjusted \( P\)-value < 0.01.

Figure 4. qRT-PCR validation of platelet-related validation panel genes for anaphylaxis patients grouped by severity. Log2 fold changes were determined using the \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \) method where groups were normalised to healthy controls. Comparison groups included severe anaphylaxis (n = 12), moderate anaphylaxis (n = 19) and healthy controls (n = 10). One-way ANOVA with the Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) adjustment, or the Kruskal–Wallis test with the Bonferroni adjustment, were used to determine statistically significant differences between experiment groups. *adjusted \( P\)-value < 0.05, **adjusted \( P\)-value < 0.01.
Figure 5. qRT-PCR validation of platelet-related validation panel genes for anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival, grouped by severity. Log₂ fold-changes were determined using the 2⁻delta ΔCt method where groups were normalised to healthy controls. Comparison groups included anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival (n = 22), grouped as severe (n = 7), or moderate (n = 15), and healthy controls (n = 10). One-way ANOVA with the Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) adjustment was used to determine statistically significant differences between experiment groups. *adjusted P-value < 0.05, ***adjusted P-value < 0.001.

Figure 6. Platelet counts of anaphylaxis, sepsis and trauma patients. Boxplots consist of (a) anaphylaxis patients grouped based on severity (severe anaphylaxis n = 17, moderate anaphylaxis n = 12), (b) anaphylaxis patients grouped based on no treatment (n = 20) or treatment (n = 9) with adrenaline before emergency department arrival and (c) anaphylaxis patients who did not receive adrenaline before emergency department arrival grouped based on severity (severe n = 10, moderate n = 10), and compared with sepsis (n = 40) and trauma (n = 31) patients. The healthy range of platelet counts is indicated by the grey area. The Kruskal–Wallis test with the Bonferroni adjustment was used to determine statistically significant differences between experiment groups. *adjusted P-value < 0.05, **adjusted P-value < 0.01.
DISCUSSION

While our understanding of anaphylaxis is improving, it is still unclear how the reaction progresses, and why severity varies between individuals. We analysed a microarray data set with the aim of identifying pathways and genes dysregulated during acute anaphylaxis, and validated findings. Analysis showed downregulation of platelet aggregation and degranulation in severe anaphylaxis patients, but not sepsis or trauma patients, suggesting this dysregulation is not a general feature of systemic inflammation. Dysregulation of platelet pathways including aggregation and degranulation was seen primarily at T0 in severe anaphylaxis patients who had not received adrenaline before ED arrival. This was confirmed by GSEA, which identified the pathways of platelet aggregation and plug formation, and response to elevated platelet cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$, to be downregulated in severe anaphylaxis. These results suggest the observed suppression of platelet responses occurs early during the reaction.

Platelets are known primarily for their role in thrombosis; however, evidence of their involvement in allergic inflammation is accumulating. Platelets have been shown to contribute towards allergic reactions through release of inflammatory mediators and recruitment of pro-inflammatory leukocytes. Platelet-activating factor (PAF) is released by mast cells in IgE-mediated reactions, and by basophils in IgG-mediated reactions, and can result in platelet activation and release of serotonin. Serotonin release contributes towards reaction severity by increasing vascular permeability. Interestingly, the lungs have been identified as a significant contributor towards platelet biogenesis, accounting for around 50% of platelet production, and platelets have been implicated in numerous allergic lung diseases. A recent study using human IgG receptor-expressing mouse models found platelet numbers dropped immediately post anaphylaxis. Platelet activation by human aggregated IgG was demonstrated in vitro and in vivo, and release of serotonin contributed towards the severity of anaphylaxis. Depletion of platelets in the mice before the induction of anaphylaxis attenuated the reaction, suggesting platelets are necessary for IgG-dependent anaphylaxis. Similarly, in a human cohort with drug-induced anaphylaxis, platelet activation and consequent reduction in circulating platelets were shown to be associated with reaction severity. Case series have also demonstrated lowered platelet numbers post anaphylaxis. Combined, this evidence suggests that platelet aggregation and subsequent reduction in circulating platelets is a physiological response to anaphylaxis, which may be linked to downregulation of genes involved in these processes as is observed in this study.

Our validation work demonstrated the downregulation of six platelet-related genes (TLN1, GATA1, SELP, SPARC, MPL and F13A1) in anaphylaxis patients compared with healthy controls. Seven genes (TLN1, GATA1, SELP, GP1BA, MPL, F13A1 and SPARC) were also downregulated in patients with severe anaphylaxis who did not receive adrenaline before ED arrival, compared with healthy controls. TLN1 downregulation was observed in severe and moderate anaphylaxis irrespective of treatment with adrenaline, suggesting downregulation of this gene is independent of treatment and severity. These genes have numerous functions relating to platelet activity, specifically platelet aggregation and activation. Talin-1, encoded by the TLN1 gene, is an integrin-binding cytoplasmic adaptor. Talin is crucial for platelet aggregation and adhesion, and is also involved in T-cell activation and crucial for T regulatory cell functions. Specifically, Talin-deficient mice were shown to have lower numbers of T regulatory cells with reduced function. Interestingly, T regulatory cell deficiency has been associated with the development of allergic diseases. No role of TLN1 in anaphylaxis has previously been described. GATA1 is a gene known to mediate platelet development. In in vivo models of anaphylaxis in mice, reduced GATA1 activity was shown to heighten IgE-mast cell-mediated anaphylaxis and was associated with an amplified T helper 2 cell response. While the role of GATA1 in anaphylaxis has only been linked to mast cell activation, reduced GATA1 activity in anaphylaxis patients may drive reaction severity through downstream effects on platelets and other cell types. SELP encodes the P-selectin protein which mediates platelet-leukocyte interactions post-platelet activation, and stabilises platelet aggregates. Interestingly, during IgG-mediated anaphylaxis in mice, 80% of circulating neutrophils and 90% of circulating monocytes were covered in platelets, although these
aggregates did not appear essential for the progression of anaphylaxis. Platelets bound to leukocytes can release leukocyte activating agents, for example PAF and leukotriene B4, which can activate leukocytes such as neutrophils. GP1BA encodes the glycoprotein Ib-alpha surface membrane protein, which facilitates platelet adhesion to the endothelium, and can promote platelet activation. MPL encodes the thrombopoietin receptor protein and is important during anaphylaxis. We therefore hypothesise activation of platelets and subsequent aggregation and observational studies have demonstrated the platelet genes during anaphylaxis, experimental independent analysis of this data set supported was downregulated in severe allergy patients. Our blood platelets, and binding of blood platelets ingenuity pathway analysis found activation of platelet-derived growth factor. Which regulates the activity of growth factors glycoprotein secreted by platelets upon activation, encodes the glycoprotein Ib-alpha surface membrane protein, which facilitates platelet adhesion to the endothelium. Lastly, SPARC encodes a glycoprotein secreted by platelets upon activation, which regulates the activity of growth factors including platelet-derived growth factor.

While our work demonstrates for the first time the dysregulation of platelet signatures during acute anaphylaxis in humans, downregulation of platelet pathways has been previously described in severe allergy. Microarray analysis on patients with profilin-mediated food allergy showed platelet activation, and aggregation was downregulated in severe allergy compared with moderate and mild allergy. Specifically, ingenuity pathway analysis found activation of blood platelets, and binding of blood platelets was downregulated in severe allergy patients. Our independent analysis of this data set supported these results (data not shown).

In contrast to results finding downregulation of platelet genes during anaphylaxis, experimental and observational studies have demonstrated the activation of platelets and subsequent aggregation during anaphylaxis. We therefore hypothesise that observed downregulation of genes involved in platelet activation and aggregation is a negative feedback response to enhanced platelet activity during anaphylaxis. This negative feedback is initiated early during platelet activation and involves downregulation of platelet adhesive receptors to regulate platelet aggregation, as suggested by the downregulation of GP1BA. Regulation of platelet adhesive receptors can occur via four different mechanisms: internalisation, microvesiculation, secretion and ectodomain shedding. Of particular interest, ectodomain shedding results in rapid downregulation of platelet receptors such as GP1BA, which our analysis showed to be downregulated at the genetic level during severe anaphylaxis. We therefore propose that platelet activation is initiated early during the anaphylactic reaction, followed by rapid downregulation of aggregation receptors to mitigate the response. Interestingly, our work has previously shown the pathway of, ‘cellular response to platelet derived growth factor stimulus’ was upregulated during severe anaphylaxis at ED arrival, further supporting this theory. Adrenaline is known to promote platelet activation and aggregation, and downregulation of platelet aggregation was not observed in patients who received adrenaline before ED arrival. This could suggest that treatment with adrenaline counteracts the negative feedback response hypothesised.

Study size is a limitation which should be noted. In particular, analysis of subgroups may be underpowered, and further investigations with larger sample sizes are needed to determine whether dysregulation of platelet pathways is dependent on severity and treatment with adrenaline, or universal to all anaphylactic reactions. Additionally, it should be noted that treatment of anaphylaxis patients in the ED depends in part on whether adrenaline was administered before arrival. Specifically, it is uncommon for patients who have had prehospital adrenaline to receive more on ED arrival. These differences in treatment post-ED arrival may impact T1 gene expression profiles for the adrenaline and no-adrenaline groups. Bleeding during trauma, and activation of the coagulation cascade, is a potential confounder which likely contributes to low platelet counts seen in this group. Despite limitations, findings from this study support recent literature suggesting an association between platelet activation and aggregation, and severe allergy and anaphylaxis. Specifically, we have demonstrated for the first time the downregulation of platelet-related genes during the acute anaphylactic reaction in humans. Our results suggest this involvement occurs early during the acute reaction, and we hypothesise this is a negative feedback response to platelet activation and aggregation. Additionally, our results suggest the downregulation of platelet-related genes is a unique feature of anaphylaxis, and not a general feature of systemic inflammation. Further understanding pathways and genes driving this platelet involvement would help improve our understanding of the reaction, and potentially guide therapeutic techniques to attenuate the reaction and reduce severity.
METHODS

Patient cohorts

We analysed two independent patient cohorts: (1) GSE69063 microarray cohort; and (2) validation cohorts (comprising anaphylaxis, sepsis and trauma validation cohorts). Full descriptions of all cohorts can be found below. All patients and healthy controls in the GSE69063 microarray cohort and validation cohorts were recruited through our Critical Illness and Shock Study (CISS), as previously described.19 Anaphylaxis patients in both cohorts were graded using the Brown severity scale by study investigators DF, GA and SB (acknowledged), who were blinded to results.50 Severe anaphylaxis was classified by presentation with cardiovascular symptoms including hypotension, cyanosis, loss of consciousness and collapse.

Gene sets

GSE69063 (McGrath et al. 2021)19 raw gene expression profile was downloaded from the public functional genomics data repository: Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). This data set was generated using the Affymetrix Human Gene 2.1 ST Array. This data set was generated using the Affymetrix Human genomics data repository: Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO). A profile was downloaded from the public functional genomics data repository: Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO).

Microarray analysis

Microarray data were analysed in the R environment for statistical computing (version 4.0.3), as previously described.19 Briefly, this included robust multi-array expression (RMA) measure normalisation using the oligo Bioconductor package, and identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs; false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted P-value < 0.05 and a log2 fold change ≥ 0.6, or ≤ –0.6) using the limma Bioconductor package.31 Probe sets were mapped to genes using hugene21transcriptcluster (version 8.7.0). DAVID Bioinformatics Resource (version 6.8) and GSEA were used for pathway analysis.

Validation: RNA Extraction and quality control

Samples taken at T0 from an independent cohort of 31 anaphylaxis patients (12 severe) and 10 age- and sex-matched healthy controls were selected to validate findings from the GSE69063 microarray. Total RNA was extracted employing the PAXgene Blood RNA Extraction Kits (PreAnalytiX GmbH, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) using an automated QIAcube protocol (QIAGEN, Melbourne, Australia), following the manufacturer’s recommendations. RNA quantity was measured using the Qubit Flex Fluorometer 4 Extended Range (XR) assay (ThermoFisher Scientific, Melbourne, Australia), and RNA integrity number (RIN) was measured using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States). Median RNA quantity was 444 ng μL⁻¹ (IQR 380–503 ng μL⁻¹). Median RIN was 8.9 (IQR 8.6–9.1).

qRT-PCR analysis

Triplicate quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) reactions were performed using TaqMan gene expression assay probes, on a ViIA™7 Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Melbourne, Australia). No template controls, no reverse transcription controls and water blanks were run for each target. Expression data were normalised to four housekeeper genes (PSMC4, ELF1, POP4 and ATP6), selected following analysis of samples using TaqMan™ Array Human Endogenous Control plates, employing GenEx software. The $2^{-ΔΔCT}$ method was applied to determine normalised relative expression values.

Statistical analysis

To determine statistically significant differences in gene expression between anaphylaxis patients and healthy controls, Welch’s unequal variance t-tests were used. Anaphylaxis patients were subsequently grouped based on severity or treatment before ED arrival, and one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) adjustment was used to determine statistically significant differences between normally distributed patient groups. In cases of skewed data, the Kruskal-Wallis test with the Benferroni adjustment was used to determine statistically significant differences between groups.
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