2016

Investigation of the relationship between chronic stress and insulin resistance in a Chinese population

Yuxiang Yan
Municipal Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology, Beijing, China

Huanbo Xiao
Capital Medical University China

Sisi Wang
Capital Medical University China

Jing Zhao
Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University

Yan He
Capital Medical University China

See next page for additional authors
Authors
Yuxiang Yan, Huanbo Xiao, Sisi Wang, Jing Zhao, Yan He, Wei Wang, and Jing Dong

This journal article is available at Research Online: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/2168
Investigation of the Relationship Between Chronic Stress and Insulin Resistance in a Chinese Population

Yu-Xiang Yan1,2, Huan-Bo Xiao3, Si-Si Wang1, Jing Zhao4, Yan He1,2, Wei Wang1,2,5, and Jing Dong4

1Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China
2Municipal Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology, Beijing, China
3Department of Preventive Medicine, Yanjing Medical College, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China
4Health Medical Examination Center, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China
5School of Medical Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Perth, Australia

Received July 6, 2015; accepted September 28, 2015; released online January 30, 2016

ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic stress may facilitate the development of metabolic diseases. Insulin resistance is present long before the clinical manifestations of individual metabolic abnormalities. To explore whether chronic stress is an independent risk factor of insulin resistance, we investigated the relationship between the stress system, selected parameters of energy homeostasis, and insulin resistance in a Chinese population.

Methods: We recruited 766 workers employed at four companies in Beijing. The degree of insulin resistance was determined using the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). The highest quartile of HOMA-IR among all study subjects was further defined as insulin resistance in our study. The short standard version of the Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire (COPSOQ) was used to assess job-related psychosocial stress. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated between cortisol level and HOMA-IR and components of metabolic syndrome, with stratification by gender. The relationship between cortisol and HOMA-IR independent of obesity was analyzed using a linear mixed model with company as a cluster unit.

Results: The values of the two scales of COPSOQ, including “demands at work” and “insecurity at work”, were significantly associated with insulin resistance and cortisol concentration (P < 0.05). Cortisol was significantly positively correlated with glucose, HOMA-IR, and waist circumference in males and females (P < 0.05). After adjusting for potential confounders, cortisol was an independent positive predictor for HOMA-IR (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: These findings showed that chronic stress was associated with insulin resistance and may contribute to the development of insulin resistance.
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INTRODUCTION

Insulin resistance (IR) is a state in which physiologic concentrations of insulin produce a subnormal biologic response.1 IR is directly related to the risk of developing metabolism-related disorders, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), cardiovascular disease, and even cancer.2 China has experienced an epidemic of major chronic diseases, such as stroke, coronary heart disease, cancer, diabetes, and hypertension, in recent decades. In addition to genetic causes, environmental influences, such as chronic stress, behavioral disturbances, and dietary deficiency, have now emerged as contributors to the development of metabolic diseases.3 With urbanization, industrialization, and strong economic growth in China, psychosocial stress is highly prevalent in modern society. Psychological stress can affect health through complex interactions among neuroendocrine responses and energy homeostasis.3 One of the major neuroendocrine systems responding to psychological stress is the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, with cortisol secretion as its final hormonal effector. As an indicator of HPA activity, cortisol is an important hormonal signal of chronic stress response.4 Elevated cortisol level is hypothesized to induce visceral fat accumulation and stimulate gluconeogenesis and lipolysis pathways,5 which can result in IR.
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Preclinical status of diseases and their early detection have become major issues in the promotion of the basic health service in the reform of health care in China.\textsuperscript{6} IR is present long before the clinical manifestations of individual metabolic abnormalities. The high prevalence of IR and its association with dyslipidemia, hypertension, hyperinsulinemia, and high coronary and cerebrovascular mortality put it in the forefront as a plausible target for aggressive intervention.\textsuperscript{7} Although chronic stress may be associated with progression or development of IR, little evidence exists in the Chinese population. To explore whether chronic stress is an independent risk factor of IR, we investigated the relationship between the stress system, selected parameters of energy homeostasis, and IR in a Chinese population.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**
A cross-sectional study was conducted among staff who received routine annual physical examinations (for at least 2 consecutive years) from March to June 2014 at the health examination center of Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University. The subjects were required to be aged from 40 to 60 years. We excluded those who had a history of drug treatment for elevated plasma glucose, drug treatment for dyslipidemia, or recent use of steroid medication. Subjects were also excluded from the study if they had acute inflammatory diseases, hepatic or renal failure, cardiac failure, mood disorders, autoimmune disease, or cancer.

The sample size was calculated with power of 0.9 and Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) of 0.18 between cortisol and HOMA-IR in the pre-survey, which yielded a sample size of 320 male and 320 female subjects (PASS 11.0). The calculated sample size was increased by an additional 10% to account for the random cluster sampling, resulting in a total sample size of 704 participants. According to the medical records in 2013, there were 2693 eligible subjects from all 13 companies whose workers participated in annual physical examinations during March to June. Four companies randomly selected from these 13 were used for cluster sampling. Ultimately, 766 subjects who signed informed consent forms were enrolled. Both the university and hospital ethics committees approved the study.

**Data collection**
A structured questionnaire was used to collect information on demographic data, environmental exposure history, and medical and family histories. Current cigarette smokers were defined as those who smoked ≥1 cigarette/day. Alcohol use was defined as intake of wine/beer/cider/spirits ≥1 time per week. Physical activity was defined as walking or riding ≥15 min/day, doing sports or physical exercise >2 h/week, or lifting or carrying heavy objects at work daily.\textsuperscript{8}

The Chinese translation and adaptation of the short standard version of the Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire (COPSOQ) was used to assess job-related psychosocial stress.\textsuperscript{9–11} The COPSOQ version used in this survey comprises 5 scales including 34 items concerning (1) demands at work, (2) work organization and content, (3) interpersonal relations and leadership, (4) insecurity at work, and (5) job satisfaction. All scales had a 5-point Likert format (except scales measuring insecurity at work), reflecting either intensity or frequency. These scales were transformed into a theoretical range, extending from 0 (do not agree at all) to 100 (fully agree) points. The higher score for scales of “demands at work” and “insecurity at work” and lower score for scales of “work organization and content”, “interpersonal relations and leadership”, and “job satisfaction” indicate higher levels of job strain.

**Measurement of anthropometric parameters**
Body height and weight were measured with subjects wearing light clothing without shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}). Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 1.0 cm at the height of the navel upon breath intake using a non-extendable linen measure. Blood pressure was measured in the right arm using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer after 5 minutes of rest with each participant seated. The average value of three consecutive measurements was used in the analyses.

**Laboratory examination**
Following an overnight fast, venous blood samples were collected between 7:30 and 8:30 a.m. in a calm state. All samples were immediately centrifuged for laboratory measurements. Total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglycerides (TG) were measured using standard laboratory methods (Hitachi autoanalyzer 7060; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated using the Friedewald method. Fasting serum glucose levels were measured by the glucose oxidase method. Serum cortisol and insulin were evaluated using radioimmunoassay with a \( \gamma \) radioimmunoassay counter (GC-911) (USTC, Chuanxin, China). The intra-assay coefficient of variation were <5.5% and <10.0% for the assays.

The homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as (insulin [\text{iuU/mL}] \times glucose [\text{mmol/L}])/22.5.\textsuperscript{12} The highest quartile of HOMA-IR among all study subjects was defined as IR in our study.

**Statistical analysis**
Population demographic and clinical characteristics were described using means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables. Differences in characteristics between subgroups according to
Age and gender were significantly different between the two subgroups stratified according to the cortisol median (P < 0.05). Males had significantly higher cortisol concentrations compared to females (191.14 [SD, 37.94] vs. 182.06 [SD, 29.89], P < 0.001). No significant differences were observed for smoking, drinking, and physical exercise distribution across groups (P > 0.05). Waist circumference, glucose, TC, LDL-C, insulin, and HOME-IR were significantly higher in M2 than in M1. Distribution of BMI, blood pressure, TG, and HDL-C showed no difference between the two groups (P > 0.05).

The Cronbach’s α coefficient of the COPSOQ was 0.851, indicating good internal consistency. Overall, the IR subjects had a higher level of psychosocial stress than non-IR subjects (Table 2). Compared to the non-IR group, the mean values of scales on “demands at work” and “insecurity at work” were significantly higher in the IR group than in the non-IR group (P < 0.001). The results also indicated that staff who reported they were highly stressed from their job often showed a higher level of HOME-IR (Table 3). Significant association between COPSOQ scales of “demands at work” and “insecurity at work” and cortisol levels confirmed an

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of 766 subjects and the two subgroups stratified according to the cortisol median

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>46.98 (6.60)</td>
<td>46.48 (6.43)</td>
<td>47.47 (6.73)</td>
<td>2.080</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male/female</td>
<td>335/431</td>
<td>152/231</td>
<td>183/200</td>
<td>5.098</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC, cm</td>
<td>80.31 (10.24)</td>
<td>79.28 (8.63)</td>
<td>81.34 (10.73)</td>
<td>2.796</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.27 (3.03)</td>
<td>24.10 (3.02)</td>
<td>24.44 (3.03)</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>119.62 (14.60)</td>
<td>118.74 (14.95)</td>
<td>120.49 (14.22)</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>76.81 (10.38)</td>
<td>76.31 (10.57)</td>
<td>77.32 (10.17)</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.35 (0.90)</td>
<td>5.23 (0.78)</td>
<td>5.48 (0.99)</td>
<td>3.911</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC, mmol/L</td>
<td>4.79 (0.90)</td>
<td>4.66 (0.88)</td>
<td>4.92 (0.89)</td>
<td>4.142</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.31 (1.00)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.98)</td>
<td>1.36 (1.01)</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.60 (0.58)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.53)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.62)</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mmol/L</td>
<td>2.67 (0.87)</td>
<td>2.58 (0.84)</td>
<td>2.76 (0.90)</td>
<td>2.892</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, uIU/mL</td>
<td>8.06 (2.98)</td>
<td>7.64 (2.76)</td>
<td>8.48 (3.13)</td>
<td>3.921</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME-IR</td>
<td>1.94 (0.87)</td>
<td>1.81 (0.82)</td>
<td>2.08 (0.91)</td>
<td>4.372</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol, ng/mL</td>
<td>186.03 (33.93)</td>
<td>161.75 (14.86)</td>
<td>210.30 (30.04)</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity, n (%)</td>
<td>508 (66.3)</td>
<td>258 (67.4)</td>
<td>250 (65.3)</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>83 (10.8)</td>
<td>38 (9.9)</td>
<td>45 (11.8)</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, n (%)</td>
<td>161 (42.0)</td>
<td>80 (20.9)</td>
<td>81 (21.2)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are reported as mean (standard deviation), unless otherwise noted.

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOME-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference.

Table 2. Association between psychosocial stress and IR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>IR group (n = 194)</th>
<th>non-IR group (n = 572)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demands at work</td>
<td>58.53 (17.84)</td>
<td>60.07 (17.01)</td>
<td>33.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work organization and content</td>
<td>61.03 (17.51)</td>
<td>61.33 (17.52)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal relations and</td>
<td>60.04 (19.60)</td>
<td>60.92 (19.13)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insecurity at work</td>
<td>52.71 (41.77)</td>
<td>39.82 (40.55)</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job satisfaction</td>
<td>56.60 (22.32)</td>
<td>59.89 (21.91)</td>
<td>1.947</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IR, insulin resistance. Values reported as mean (standard deviation).

*Adjusted for age, gender, company, smoking, drinking and physical exercise.
interaction between psychosocial stress and HPA activity (Table 3). After adjustment for the influence of cortisol, HOMA-IR was also significantly associated with scales of “demands at work” (partial $r = 0.149$, $P < 0.001$) and “insecurity at work” (partial $r = 0.117$, $P = 0.001$).

Pearson’s correlation coefficients of cortisol with HOMA-IR and parameters of energy homeostasis are presented in Table 4. Cortisol concentrations were significantly positively correlated with glucose and insulin concentrations and HOMA-IR in males and females ($P < 0.05$). To explore the relationship between cortisol and abdominal obesity, Pearson correlations of cortisol with BMI and waist circumference were analyzed. Cortisol was significantly correlated with waist circumference in male and female subjects ($P < 0.05$). Pearson correlation between cortisol and components of metabolic syndrome, including SBP, DBP, TG, and HDL-C showed that cortisol was significantly positively correlated with SBP and TG in female subjects ($P < 0.05$).

To explore the relationship between cortisol and IR independent of obesity, linear mixed models were used among male and female subjects, with company as a subject-grouping variable (Table 5 and Table 6). The following categories including components of metabolic syndrome were also analyzed as independent variables: serum TG, HDL-C, SBP, DBP, and waist circumference or BMI. Possible confounders, including age, smoking, drinking, and physical exercise were adjusted in the linear mixed models.

For males, cortisol was a significant positive predictor of HOMA-IR ($\beta$ coefficient $= 0.003$; $P = 0.008$), independent of components of metabolic syndrome, including waist circumference. If waist circumference was replaced by BMI among the above independent variables in the linear mixed model, cortisol was also independently associated with HOMA-IR ($\beta$ coefficient $= 0.004$, $P = 0.006$).

For females cortisol was a significant positive predictor for HOMA-IR ($\beta$ coefficient $= 0.004$; $P = 0.002$), independent of components of metabolic syndrome, including waist circumference. If waist circumference was replaced by BMI among the above independent variables in the linear mixed model, cortisol was also independently associated with HOMA-IR ($\beta$ coefficient $= 0.004$, $P = 0.001$).
DISCUSSION

The present study examined the relationships between cortisol and related parameters of IR among employees at four companies in Beijing, China. Our results suggested that a higher degree of chronic psychosocial stress was associated with IR. In males and females, cortisol levels were positively associated with insulin, glucose, and HOMA-IR. Linear mixed models showed that IR was consistently associated with cortisol independent of abdominal obesity. Although methodological limitations of cross-sectional research need to be considered, these results support the hypothesis that stress might be involved in pathways leading to IR through disruptive interference between the stress system and mechanisms of energy homeostasis.

Growing evidence indicates that psychosocial factors play some role in the etiology and progression of visceral adiposity, metabolic syndrome, and T2DM. For example, work stress and low emotional support in women and sleeping disorders in men are stress factors that have been associated with development of T2DM. The possible pathophysiological mechanisms for the association between chronic psychological stress and IR or diabetes involve hyper-stimulation of the HPA axis due to stress. In this study, the valid and relevant short version of the COPSOQ instrument was used to assess psychosocial stress at work. This instrument covers the broad construct of work-related psychological factors using a multidimensional concept that includes seven major theories in occupational health psychology. Significantly higher levels of “demands at work” and “insecurity at work” were observed among IR subjects than non-IR subjects, suggesting that psychosocial stress may contribute to the development of IR. The significant association observed between COPSOQ and cortisol in the present study supports the concept that, as a stimulus, psychological stress activates the HPA and results in a physiological change or adaption.

According to Eller et al, cortisol levels increase in situations such as work stress and unemployment, and this is expected to lead to accumulation of abdominal fat. Our study showed that serum cortisol is positively correlated with waist circumference among male and female subjects, supporting findings that repeated or chronic stress plays a potential role in the development of overweight and obesity.

The positive relationship between cortisol and insulin in the present study corresponds with previously reported effects of dexamethasone administration on IR, compensated by an increase in insulin secretion and elevated plasma concentrations. The metabolic effects of cortisol are largely explained by its ability to antagonize the actions of insulin. Cortisol impairs glucose uptake in peripheral tissues like fat and muscle, and this can involve impaired translocation to the plasma membrane of glucose transporter 4. Cortisol might also influence glucose metabolism, possibly by stimulating gluconeogenesis in the liver, leading to increased plasma glucose. This phenomenon may be caused by cortisol enhancing expression of gluconeogenic enzymes (eg, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and glucose-6-phosphatase). Some of the insulin-antagonistic effects, both at the liver and in skeletal muscle, may be secondary to a lipolytic effect exerted by glucocorticoids. We also observed significant correlation of cortisol with TC and LDL-C in this study.

To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale epidemiological study to investigate the relationship between morning serum cortisol concentrations and parameters of IR in a Chinese population. The findings of this study support the hypothesis that stress might facilitate IR by modulating the stress system and mechanisms of energy homeostasis. However, there are several limitations in our study. A single-point determination of cortisol is not sufficient to be considered a definitive measure of HPA axis activity. Furthermore, the association of chronic stress with IR in a cross-sectional study does not prove causality; longitudinal cohort studies are needed to confirm our findings.

Conclusions

Our findings showed that chronic stress was associated with IR and may contribute to the development of IR. Chronic stress may emerge as a new target for prevention of IR.
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