

2018

## A 'better' education: An examination of the utility of boarding school for Indigenous secondary students in Western Australia

Mary-Anne Macdonald  
*Edith Cowan University*

Eyal Gringart  
*Edith Cowan University*

Terry Ngarritjan Kessarlis  
*Edith Cowan University*

Martin Cooper

Jan Gray  
*Edith Cowan University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013>



Part of the [Indigenous Education Commons](#)

---

[10.1177/0004944118776762](https://doi.org/10.1177/0004944118776762)

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of:

Macdonald, M. A., Gringart, E., Ngarritjan Kessarlis, T., Cooper, M., & Gray, J. (2018). A 'better' education: An examination of the utility of boarding school for Indigenous secondary students in Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Education*, 62(2), 192-216.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0004944118776762>

This News Article is posted at Research Online.

<https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/4612>

*NB:* The terms 'Aboriginal', 'Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander' or 'Indigenous' are used in this article, dependent on the people groups being referred to, or the terminology used in the cited document.

## Abstract

Over the past ten years, great improvements have been observed in the Year 12 attainment rate of Indigenous Australians. This has been due, in part, to government funding of programs aimed at improving education opportunity for Indigenous Australian students, including funding of scholarships for students from remote areas to attend boarding schools. The current qualitative study investigated the perspectives of school leaders and Indigenous secondary students across the Australian state of Western Australia, on the utility and impact of this boarding provision. Students identified that boarding education allowed them to achieve a dual goal of meaningful career pathways and improved health outcomes, although they faced challenges unique to the Indigenous boarding school experience in terms of student self-concept, racism, homesickness and post-school transitions.

## Introduction

Recent decades have witnessed many improvements in Indigenous education outcomes relative to non-Indigenous outcomes in Australia (Ainley, Buckley, Beavis, Rothman & Tovey, 2011). The increase in the mandatory school leaving age, high levels of policy focus on Indigenous education outcomes through the *National Indigenous Reform Agreements*, the provision of Indigenous scholarships to high performing boarding schools and funding for public boarding to enable Indigenous students to attend large schools in regional centres, have all contributed to an increase in the Indigenous Year 12 completion rate from 46% in 2008 to 62% in 2015, and an increase in Indigenous tertiary enrolment of 93% between 2005 and 2015. (Australian Bureau of Statistics [ABS], 2015a; ABS, 2013; 2012; Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017). This has particularly been the case for those Indigenous students living in remote or very remote areas (COAG, 2013; Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017). In 2016, over 5000 students in Australia received an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Study Assistance Scheme (ABSTUDY) payment to assist with the cost of boarding related fees (Department of Social Services, 2017) and the Australian Government and philanthropic bodies have allocated significant sums of money to Indigenous boarding school provisions, with just one of these providers, the Australian Indigenous Education Fund [AIEF], reporting Commonwealth funding of over \$50 million in the decade to 2016 (AIEF, 2017). The *Closing the Gap: Prime Minister's Report 2017* explains such payments are intended

to increase Year 12 attainment for regional and remote students, and indicates that these payments have been a critical factor in the improvement of measures against this target in the last decade.

Yet, the literature is saturated with calls for high quality research into the efficacy, and impact, of strategies intended to address Indigenous education disadvantage (Auditor General Western Australia, 2009; Epstein & Sheldon, 2002; Gillan, Mellor & Krakouer, 2017; MCEEDYA, 2008; Purdie & Buckley, 2010; House of Representatives Standing Committee into Indigenous Affairs, 2017b; Zubrick et al., 2006). The current article joins recent research engaging qualitative methodology to understand the experiences of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students and families within Australian boarding schools (Bobongie, 2017; Mander, 2015). This article presents student and school leader perceptions of the benefits as well as challenges of Indigenous boarding, and suggests improvements to ensure greater efficacy of services.

## Literature Review

### **Education Provisions for Remote Indigenous Students and the Politics of Boarding School**

Many Indigenous students attending boarding school come from communities where remote location and socioeconomic disadvantage reduce their chances of completing an academic qualification or obtaining meaningful employment (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2012; Dusseldorp Skills Forum, 2009a; Biddle, 2007). For the one third of Indigenous students in remote and very remote areas who are attending school over 90% of the time, access to quality education opportunities with engaged peers and high academic expectations, can be a lifeline to future economic opportunity (Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017). Figures from the *Closing the Gap Prime Minister's Report 2017* indicate that in 2015, over 4000 students from Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander families in remote or very remote areas received ABSTUDY Away from Home benefits to access a senior secondary education (Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017). Whilst many of these students attended boarding, others utilised hostels or private accommodation to support their schooling choices.

This being said, 'the provision of boarding education and its outcomes' was one of the Terms of Reference of the *Inquiry into educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students* (House of Representatives Standing Committee on Indigenous Affairs, 2017a). The Inquiry identified a concern that ABSTUDY payments were not directly linked to student outcomes, and often were not sufficient for the costs associated with boarding (House of Representatives Standing Committee on Indigenous Affairs, 2017a, 2017b). Currently, there is no empirical data available on the *unique* contribution of boarding school provisions to the improvement in Year 12 completion

rates amongst the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations in the last decade, nor to post-secondary education and employment outcomes (Rogers and Biddle, 2015; ABS, 2015a; 2012), but initial evidence is promising. The most recent annual report of the Australian Indigenous Education Foundation [AIEF], one of Australia's largest funding providers for Indigenous boarding school and university scholarships, boasts a 94% Year 12 retention rate, compared with a national estimate of 60% Year 12 Indigenous retention (AIEF, 2017; Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017).

In her report for the *United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues*, Smith (2009) notes that boarding school education for Indigenous peoples around the world has had a long history of assimilationist policy. It is understandable, then, that caution be applied to Indigenous boarding school provision in its most recent form. As authors, we argue that the present Indigenous boarding frameworks in Australia are vastly different to previous ones in three important ways.

- 1) First, Indigenous parents and families have a choice as to whether to seek out boarding school opportunities or to remain at school within their community or local region (ABC, 2013). The large numbers of families choosing to send their youth to boarding school indicates that this is a desired and appreciated education provision. Although remote schooling infrastructure and provision, like many other things, can be improved, many remote Indigenous parents want their children to experience the scale of academic opportunity and cross-cultural engagement available in large urban boarding schools (Bobongie, 2017; Mander, 2015). Previous education policies were created with little or no input from Indigenous communities as it was believed that these communities did not know what was best (Smith, 2009). To remove the opportunity for Indigenous students in remote areas to attend boarding school, or question its value, without listening to the families who have chosen boarding would be a repetition of patriarchal attitudes of the past (Gillan, Mellor & Krakouer, 2017).
- 2) Second, previous policies often had a disclosed intention of removing the Aboriginality of the student by de-culturing them (Smith, 2009). Although problems remain with institutionalised racism and cultural incompetence as reported recently (Bobongie, 2017; Mander, Cohen & Pooley, 2015a), and further explored in the current article, these concerns are not unique to boarding schools (Bodkin-Andrews & Carlson, 2014; Smith, 2009). Furthermore, contemporary government policies such as the *Melbourne Declaration on Educational Goals for Young Australians* (MCEEDYA, 2008), the *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education Action Plan 2010-2014* (MCEEDYA, 2010) and the *Aboriginal Cultural Standards Framework* (Department of Education, 2015) support Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultural connectedness in a manner demonstrably different from the previous approaches.

- 3) Third, a key aim of the current funding for Indigenous boarding provisions is to ensure education parity, thereby contributing to employment and political parity for both Indigenous and non-Indigenous peoples (AIEF, 2015; Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017). This is vastly different from earlier days when boarding education was often only intended to make Indigenous people fit for domestic service roles (Smith, 2009). Although some have argued against the ontological value of Human Capital Theory compared with cultural connectedness (Guenther, Disbray, Benveniste & Osborne, 2017), there is a certain difficulty for remote schools to provide education services that allow social movement.

**What is known about the experiences and beliefs of boarding school staff, and Indigenous parents and families.**

In recent years, important ethnographic work has been published on the experiences of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students and perceptions of families and staff regarding boarding school (Bobongie, 2017; Guenther et al., 2016; Mander, 2015; Mander, Cohen & Pooley, 2015a; Mander, Cohen & Pooley, 2015b; O'Bryan, 2016). On the one hand, Bobongie's research with Torres Strait Islander girls in three boarding schools in Eastern Australia (2017), Mander's work with Aboriginal boys in five Perth boarding schools and O'Bryan's work with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander boarding alumni, have highlighted the motivations which drive Indigenous families to seek out boarding school. On the other hand, this work has also pointed out the difficulties faced by students transitioning to and from boarding school, the prevalence of Eurocentric attitudes amongst staff, and experiences that result in culture shock and identity dissonance.

The current article expands the body of scholarly knowledge by extending this research to a sample of students and school leaders from a broader geographic region in order to contribute further to the evidence base for Indigenous boarding school policy. The large size of Western Australia results in some secondary students, particularly from the Northwest attending boarding schools who are thousands of kilometres from 'country' – that 'place of heritage, belonging and spirituality' (New South Wales Government, 2018) – which heightens the degree of cultural unfamiliarity which remote students may experience in urban schools.

While the factors that affect Indigenous school engagement more generally have long been known (Biddle, 2007; Gray & Beresford, 2002; Lamb, Walstab, Teese, Vickers & Rumberger, 2004), the present study aims to:

- a) present a current snapshot of Aboriginal secondary students' perspectives on how school and family experiences impact education engagement, and

- b) compare and contrast these perspectives with those of the school leaders who guide learning at the grassroots level.

The study presented in this article is based on theoretical underpinnings that arose from a review of current literature and discourse surrounding Indigenous education outcomes in Australia. However, it utilises a different thematic framework from that presented by Mander and co-authors (2015a; 2015b), in light of the current study's focus on questions regarding the utility of Indigenous boarding school.

## Method

### Theoretical framework

The use of in-depth qualitative interviews forming multiple case studies is a form of narrative enquiry grounded in an ethnomethodological tradition that allows individuals to explain their perceptions of the environment in which they find themselves. The researchers utilised an Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), characterised by an understanding that individuals make subjective interpretations of their experiences. Furthermore, personal anecdotes, or 'yarning', are an Indigenous discursive strategy to communicate personal truth, and should be an important part of academic discussion in Indigenous fields (Aveling, 2013; Nakata, 2006). The non-Indigenous authors in this study have benefited from the emic perspectives of the Aboriginal author (Ngarritjan-Kessarlis) in interpreting the utility and impact on Aboriginal students of attending school in a Eurocentric system (Nakata, 2007).

By seeking out case studies across a diverse range of locations, the researchers were able to identify underlying phenomena common to the experiences of Indigenous boarding students. Furthermore, the decision to investigate students' own perceptions of the cultural safety of school environments reflects the ontological framework of Indigenous Standpoint Theory (Ardill, 2014; Nakata, 2007) and scholarly calls for attention to Indigenous voice in education policy and research (Gillan, Mellor & Krakouer, 2017). O'Bryan (2016) explains that whilst such evidence is not generalizable, it can be *relatable*.

### Participants

The boarding schools approached for this study were selected through a snowballing technique. To be considered for inclusion in the study, schools had to have at least ten Indigenous boarders (in urban schools) or had to be attached to a residential college (regional schools). The seven non-

government, co-educational schools from Western Australia that participated in this study were part of a larger investigation into the perceived benefit of education for Indigenous secondary students in Western Australia.

As can be seen in Table 1, the seven schools represented a mix of school in terms of socio-economic status, location and set-up. The schools ranged from very low to very high on the Index of Community Socio-Educational Advantage (ICSEA) and serviced both day and residential Indigenous and non-Indigenous students. According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics' Remoteness Structure categories (ABS, 2011), three schools were classified as urban, one as regional, two as remote, and one as very remote. Two schools were attached to a Residential College and five had their own boarding facilities for students. Each school provided both school leader and student participants for the study.

At each school, an individual, in-depth, semi-structured interview of approximately one hour was conducted with school staff. The staff sample consisted of one or more school leaders involved in directing Indigenous programs in the school and included four Principals, four Indigenous Program Coordinators (IPC) and one teacher (see Table 1). The school staff self-selected to participate in the study resulting in interviews with seven male non-Indigenous staff and two female Indigenous staff being conducted and recorded.

In addition, twenty-five Indigenous boarding students were interviewed, nine males and sixteen females, from Year 8 through to Year 12 with the majority in their final two years of schooling ( $M = \text{Yr } 11, SD = 1.25$ ). Students were interviewed individually, or with a peer at School A, B and G, where multiple students of the same gender and age were interviewed. The students interviewed were selected to form a purposefully heterogeneous convenience sample reflecting a diverse range of geographic backgrounds, from remote communities and farms to regional towns and urban environments. All students had received an ABSTUDY boarding-related payment, a scholarship, or both.

*Table 1: Participant information*

| <b>School</b>   | <b>School Description</b>                                             | <b>School Demographic</b>                                                 | <b>Participants</b>               |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>School A</b> | <i>Co-ed: K-Yr 6, Boys: Yr 7 – 12<br/>ICSEA: &gt; 1100<br/>Urban</i>  | <i>Population &lt; 1400<br/>Indigenous &lt; 10%<br/>Dist. &gt; 1000km</i> | <i>4 male students<br/>1 IPC</i>  |
| <b>School B</b> | <i>Co-ed: K-Yr 6, Girls: Yr 7 – 12<br/>ICSEA: &gt; 1000<br/>Urban</i> | <i>Population &lt; 1200<br/>Indigenous &lt; 10%<br/>Dist. &gt; 1000km</i> | <i>9 female student<br/>1 IPC</i> |

|                 |                                                       |                                                                           |                                                                                    |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>School C</b> | <i>Co-ed: Yr 7 – 12<br/>ICSEA: &gt; 900<br/>Urban</i> | <i>Population &lt; 1000<br/>Indigenous &lt; 10%<br/>Dist. &gt; 1000km</i> | <i>1 female student<br/>1 IPC</i>                                                  |
| <b>School D</b> | <i>Co-ed: K– 12<br/>ICSEA: N/A<br/>Very Remote</i>    | <i>Population &lt; 50<br/>Indigenous &gt; 95%<br/>Dist. &gt; 500km</i>    | <i>1 male student<br/>1 female student<br/>1 Principal</i>                         |
| <b>School E</b> | <i>Co-ed: 7 – 12<br/>ICSEA: &gt; 900<br/>Regional</i> | <i>Population &lt; 200<br/>Indigenous &lt; 50%<br/>Dist. &gt; 500km</i>   | <i>1 male student<br/>1 female student<br/>1 Principal</i>                         |
| <b>School F</b> | <i>Co-ed: K – 12<br/>ICSEA: &gt; 1000<br/>Remote</i>  | <i>Population &lt; 600<br/>Indigenous &gt; 50%<br/>Dist. &gt; 200km</i>   | <i>1 male student<br/>1 female student<br/>1 Principal<br/>1 IPC<br/>1 Teacher</i> |
| <b>School G</b> | <i>Co-ed: 11 – 12<br/>ICSEA: N/A<br/>Remote</i>       | <i>Population &lt; 100<br/>Indigenous &gt; 95%<br/>Dist. &gt; 500km</i>   | <i>3 female students<br/>2 male students<br/>1 Principal</i>                       |

---

*Notes*

*Dist.:* Average distance between school and home for Indigenous interviewees.

*ICSEA:* The Index of Community Socio-Educational Advantage is a scale created by the Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) to enable comparison between schools of similar socio-economic and educational demographic. The scale follows a normal distribution with a mean of 1000 and a standard deviation of 100).

*IPC:* Indigenous Program Coordinator

---

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Edith Cowan University Human Research Ethics Committee, Catholic Education Office, and permission to access schools was obtained from the Association of Independent Schools Western Australia (AISWA). An information letter was sent to school principals, who identified an Indigenous Program Coordinator for participation where appropriate. Parental consent was obtained through introductory letters sent out to students through their school, and informed active consent was provided by the participants themselves.

### Analysis

Interviews were transcribed during and immediately after they were conducted, then de-identified. One principal researcher conducted both the field work and the primary thematic analysis to identify emergent themes and subthemes that were significant insights into the participants' perspectives (Creswell, 2008). Atypically for an IPA analytical approach, a combination of inductive and a priori

coding was used to analyse responses after the preliminary exploratory reading of the interview material (Johnson & Christensen, 2012). Where responses contained themes already mentioned in the literature, a priori coding was used to enable the linking of interview responses to previous data. Where respondents raised ideas that had not been identified in the initial literature review an inductive approach was used to generate new codes using emic terminology.

A data display matrix was used to track codes before a hierarchical classification system was applied to link together thematically similar categories of codes that arose across interviews (Creswell, 2008). The analysis followed an iterative process where possible themes were refined by continuous comparison with the full set of transcripts (inter-subject consensus), resulting in a final set of themes that were consistent across the sample. Other themes that emerged intermittently but not consistently across the sample were not included in the final analysis.

Recurring themes were elucidated, described and augmented by additional interpretation by the supervisory team and additional Indigenous academics with expertise in education. This allowed the primary interpretations to be challenged and reviewed. The process involved multiple returns to the interview data, annotation of responses and refinement of coding. The thematic analysis followed a manual coding process with transcripts read and re-read transcripts for common themes.

#### Limitations

The current study investigates only the immediate and possible future impacts of the boarding school experience on Aboriginal secondary students themselves. Further research could examine whether provision of boarding school scholarships to the most capable students creates 'brain drain' and reduced educational resourcing for students who remain in remote areas for their secondary schooling, creating a further social and economic gap within Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities (Mander, Cohen & Pooley, 2015b). Furthermore, the effects on students' home communities was outside the scope of the study presented here.

#### Findings and Interpretations

Data collection covered a range of topics, including students' and staff perceptions of the utility of the boarding experience, and the particular factors that impacted students' engagement and retention decisions. During data analysis, it became apparent that responses regarding the theme Educational Utility of Boarding addressed three subthemes, namely *Developing Pathways to Employment*, *Improving Health Outcomes* and *Establishing Post-Secondary Transitions* (see Table 2). The first and third subtheme were a priori constructs, apparent in the original interview questions. The second theme, *Improving Health Outcomes*, arose during data collection and analysis as an emergent theme.

Student responses regarding factors affecting their decisions to remain in boarding education were more diverse. During analysis it became apparent that Indigenous students faced particular *Challenges of Boarding* that were either due to, or heightened by, the unique nature of geographic distance and cultural difference. Within the theme *Challenges of Boarding*, students discussed experiences of *Academic Self-Concept and the Deficit Discourse*, *The Cultural Interface and Racism*, and *Negotiating Family Obligations and Homesickness* (see Table 2).

Table 2: Themes and subthemes

| Themes                                 | Subthemes                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Educational Utility of Boarding</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Developing Pathways to Employment</i></li> <li>• <i>Improving Health Outcomes</i></li> <li>• <i>Establishing Post-Secondary Transitions</i></li> </ul>                               |
| <b>Challenges of Boarding</b>          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Academic Self-Concept and the Deficit Discourse</i></li> <li>• <i>The Cultural Interface and Racism</i></li> <li>• <i>Negotiating Family Obligations and Homesickness</i></li> </ul> |

In the following sections, each theme and subtheme is described and discussed using quotes from participants for illustrative purposes and with reference to the literature.

### Educational Utility of Boarding

The decision to send children for long periods of time to a school away from traditional homelands and often without strong awareness of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander culture requires a firm belief in the utility of such education (Bobongie, 2017; Mander, 2015). Students and school leaders expressed a similar hope for the utility of boarding school whereby it would result in positive futures for Aboriginal students from remote and disadvantaged communities. Most students expressed a hope that boarding school would provide opportunities that would allow them to obtain gainful employment. One student explained:

*“I wanted to finish Year 12, make something good out of life... If I had stayed at [town name] I probably would not be at school at all”.*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School C

In addition to employment utility, students and school leaders expressed the hope that boarding schools could provide a level of safety that was not always present in students’ home towns and communities. Such concerns are echoed in O’Bryan’s research with Aboriginal and Torres Strait

Islander boarding alumni and community members (2016). During interviews, most school leaders prioritised social and health outcomes for their students, viewing education about potential career pathways as a vehicle to this end. The following sections discuss these dual goals.

### **Developing Pathways to Employment**

In comparison with smaller District High Schools and Remote Community Schools, large schools in urban and regional areas have access to a wide range of opportunities that increase educational utility for secondary students. These include international tours, trips to university campuses, guest speakers from industry, work experience programs, and Career Weeks that involved students visiting and networking with employers.

The first sub-theme that emerged as a benefit of and reason for choosing to attend boarding school, was access to improved education and career pathways, a finding that was consistent with other recent research (Mander, 2015; O'Bryan, 2016). Within this broad goal, students fell into two categories: those who intended to enter employment or vocational training upon completion of secondary school, and those who planned to go on to tertiary education. Students were asked to discuss the impact that boarding school had on their goals, and self-efficacy.

### ***Creating access to employment and vocational training***

*“Things they teach us here are better ‘cos they teach us about work and you get opportunity to go into town and work. This school they set you up for the future and they set you up with [drivers’] license.”*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School G

Some schools in this study worked primarily with students from remote communities or from backgrounds of extreme socioeconomic disadvantage. Many of these students had peer networks that were not attending school, unemployed, and caught up in substance use. In these environments, school leaders had spent time developing curricula and programs that facilitated students’ capacity to create meaningful goals and to be work ready. Typically, such programs included driving license acquisition, literacy and numeracy, computer literacy as well as job readiness ‘soft skills’ e.g., punctuality and workplace discipline.

The principal at School G, a rural senior secondary campus, had created a program providing all students with the opportunity to obtain a drivers’ license, engage in paid work experience and

obtain basic qualifications (Certificate I and II), with the dual purpose of developing students' self-confidence as well as their capacity to capitalise on work opportunities once they returned home. The school used government grants for scholarships and residential allowances to create a pay-scale for students as they developed work skills from on-site unskilled work through to off-site skilled work. The paid work experience program was intended to allow students to experience the economic value of higher qualifications.

As schools in remote communities are often limited in their ability to provide vocational qualifications students greatly valued the opportunity to attend schools where they could obtain skills that increased their employability.

*"The other place [previous school] only taught about sport and you don't get money from that. But here [I'm] Working on Business Cert II at the moment, will probably do one more Cert before leaving."*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School G

This respondent's comment illustrates the finding that among senior secondary students in this study the decision to remain at boarding school was closely tied to the perception that better employment opportunities would follow school completion. This connection, verbalised across many of the interviews, echoes the findings of other major studies (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002; Lamb et al., 2004) that Year 12 retention is closely linked to student perception of the utility of schooling.

### ***Improving accessibility of further education***

Many interviewees attending large urban private schools explained that they had chosen boarding school to obtain a tertiary-focused secondary education. For such students, the higher academic standards and levels of resourcing and support available provided new career aspirations. It was not uncommon for students in this study to state that either they - or a sibling or cousin - would be the first member of their family to complete Year 12. One senior student explained that if he had remained in his hometown he would have '*dropped out already*', but that meeting successful Aboriginal mentors at his boarding school had led him to aspire to university study:

*"[Because of] people I've met, who've gotten through universities, you know that you can do something after you finish school; that you're not gonna be a dropkick for the rest of your life".*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School A

A fellow student then chipped in to explain that access to such experiences at boarding schools enabled Indigenous students from remote backgrounds to achieve education qualifications that they had previously believed unattainable.

*"...as an Indigenous person to graduate, well not many Indigenous people get these opportunities."*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School A

Although previous studies have found that Indigenous students tend to receive less support and information regarding tertiary education opportunities than their non-Indigenous peers (Helme, 2010; Munns & Parente, 2003), some of the schools in this study provided meaningful, timely and beneficial career pathway education opportunities for the Indigenous students.

### Improving Health Outcomes

During interviews, students emphasised that a second key reason that their parents had sent them to boarding school was to remove them from negative peer networks and potentially dangerous social environments. Statistics show that Indigenous communities experience higher rates of violence, unrest and incarceration than the wider Australian population (ABS, 2016; 2015b), factors which are known to be related to high levels of psychological distress (SCRGSP, 2014). The House of Representatives' *Inquiry into educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Students* supports this finding with evidence about the impact of exposure to high rates of anti-social behaviour in some Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander communities on school attendance and student behaviour (2017b).

Within this study, all school Principals and Indigenous Program Coordinators discussed at length the effect of social trauma on individual students' mental health, their engagement with education, and their relationships with other students. Some of these students, although removed from previous 'trouble', were highly traumatised and now faced the added emotional strain of coping with separation from their family, country, and familiar support networks. All schools focused on developing students' self-awareness regarding relationships, nutrition, sexual health and mental health. In this regard, there was a clear culture among school leaders of promoting student agency and ability to make healthy decisions, in recognition that students often came from environments where peers struggled with negative lifestyle choices.

In their discussion of Indigenous boarding school, Gillan, Mellor & Krakouer (2017) infer from a Canadian study on cultural continuity and suicide that the upheaval associated with boarding school puts Australian Indigenous students at 'an increased risk of self-harm' (p.67). This assertion is not supported by formal evidence and does not account for the important role of boarding schools -

identified by Indigenous respondents both in this study and that of Rogers (2017) – to provide a safe haven for youth whose family perceive them to be at risk if they remain in dysfunctional communities.

For example, one Aboriginal staff member stated:

*“For some of my [students], I will consider myself a success if they complete Year 12 without getting pregnant, and know how to recognise and avoid bad relationships.”*

Indigenous Program Co-ordinator, School B

This particular school leader did not have low aspirations for her students but acknowledged the significant amount of pastoral support some of her students required to make healthy life choices. Some schools had effective programs and experienced staff to respond to student needs. These schools utilised the opportunity to provide students with a safe and stable living environment, good pastoral care, development of life skills, and to surround students with peers who were motivated and positively employing their time. Where this occurred, students spoke of the critical difference these measures made in their lives and sense of identity.

*“(School G) made me feel like a changed man, without (School G) I would be nothing. I want a good reputation and work experience.”*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School G

It has been suggested that the political and financial disempowerment experienced by Indigenous communities over successive generations in modern Australian history has substantially contributed to disengagement from education (Dudgeon et al., 2012; Ivory, 2009; Trudgen, 2000). One Indigenous Program Coordinator raised the issue of disempowerment and welfare dependency on students' resilience:

*“I am happy to see the kids not graduate if it develops resilience and strength. It is frustrating to see kids expecting welfare and expecting tutors to do their work for them. In these kids' lives, there is suicide and trauma. I want to build the resilience and independence; coping mechanisms.”*

Indigenous Program Coordinator, School A

Certainly not all boarding schools provide equal care, however, the narrative of school leaders' within this research suggested a holistic approach to wellbeing and future success. While schools have finite resources with which to address student needs, employment and education are inextricably linked to physical and psychological health outcomes (Australian Bureau of Statistics,

2010). As such, post-secondary education and employment outcomes must remain a priority for all schools working with Indigenous students, as they form an integral part of improving health outcomes.

#### Establishing Post-Secondary Transitions

Previous research has acknowledged the challenges posed by geographic distance and connection to country for Indigenous boarding students seeking post-secondary education and employment opportunities (COAG, 2013; Dusseldorp Skills Forum, 2009b). The *AIEF Compendium of best practice for achieving successful outcomes with Indigenous students in Australian boarding schools* noted that ‘almost no schools have a formalised, in-house, targeted program to assist Indigenous students after Year 12’ (AIEF, 2015, p.103).

At some schools, career counsellors had clearly worked with students to identify appropriate education pathways to enable them to transition to employment when they left the residential school environment. One Year 12 student from Kununurra had a keen interest in becoming an Indigenous Tour Guide at a Kimberley cattle station. The school had helped her arrange work experience there, and was guiding her education choices to enable her to meet her goals.

*“I’ve been at [School G] for one and a half years. First I did Tourism Cert I and now I’m doing Cert II for Outdoor Recreation and Tourism...”*

*[This school] has saved my life, and given me an education. I would have had no life and didn’t know what to do... I wasn’t going to school hardly [before enrolling at this school].’*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School G

The provision of boarding school scholarships to remote Indigenous students is intended to address inequity by providing a pathway to post-secondary education and training. Yet, many of the school leaders interviewed explained that it was very difficult to establish gainful post-secondary transitions for students returning to their home communities, often many hundreds of kilometres from the boarding school. One principal felt that sometimes years of good work were undone when students returned home to communities of high unemployment and social issues, without access to support:

*“The difficulty is, we know once a student leaves [school], there may not be that person available in their new lives who will take a personal interest in mentoring them. That can be where it sometimes*

*breaks down. Some come home to their communities and end up in their old lives, not employed, pregnant, or sometimes worse.”*

Principal, School G

Given that the current policy focus on Year 12 attainment is intended to ensure access to employment and further education opportunities (Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017), it is important that effective post-secondary transitions for Indigenous boarding students be given greater consideration in policy development and evaluation.

### Challenges of Boarding

The experience of boarding school is formative for teenagers, and particularly for Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander students from remote and very remote areas, who typically experience new academic, cultural, social and economic norms (Bobongie, 2017; Mander et al., 2015a; O’Bryan, 2016). These experiences can create dissonance, frustration and culture shock, as well as the opportunity for growth and development. The following section explores these experiences as reported by Aboriginal students, and invites readers to consider possibilities for improvement within current boarding practice and policy.

### Academic Self-Concept and the Deficit Discourse

Often school leaders in elite schools reported selecting students for scholarships in part because they were relatively academically successful in their home communities. Yet, these students found they were multiple academic years behind their age group benchmark at their new boarding school, a likely outcome of the lower Indigenous literacy and numeracy attainment rates that have been identified in successive *Closing the Gap* reports (Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017). During interviews, Indigenous students at ‘elite’ boarding schools spoke of believing a discourse that Indigenous people are unlikely to graduate and unlikely to succeed at tertiary education.

*“My standards of where I wanna be has lowered since I’ve been here (at this boarding school) because of the workload and expectations. It hits you how hard it is to finish Year 12 so I can go to university. When I was in (my home town) and knew I was coming (here) I thought I could do it all.”*

Yr 10 Indigenous female, School B

Without an appropriate conceptual framework with which to understand the obstacles they face, the stress of limited academic achievement can cause students to either ascribe an internal cause to

their failure, or to believe that Indigenous students will not be afforded success in an urban, middle class, or 'white' environment (Harwood, McMahon, O'Shea, Bodkin-Andrews and Priestly, 2015). The work of Lazarus and Folkman (1999) explains such thinking as a coping mechanism. The experience of limited success at school creates stress for Indigenous students, which in turn causes students to make a cognitive appraisal that further education will be a threatening experience, and therefore less valuable as an individual goal. This experience is not limited to Indigenous students attending boarding schools (Harwood et al., 2015), but can be heightened by the degree of academic difference between students' previous schools and some more prestigious boarding environments.

At three schools, Indigenous Program Coordinators (IPCs) spoke of their frustration that because of disadvantage in students' backgrounds, teaching staff believed attendance and Year 12 retention to be sufficient goals for Aboriginal educational success. Such a low benchmark in the current knowledge economy would prevent many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students from achieving financial independence, and likely contribute to generational economic and social disadvantage for Indigenous Australia (Smith Family, 2014).

Whilst such experiences were commonly reported by students in this study, there were instances of students feeling academically supported, as explained by the following interviewees:

*"The teachers here want you to pass and want to see you achieve your opportunities and they help you achieve your dreams. That is the biggest thing."*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School E

*"...they help you with your work and demonstrate what you got to do. They help you with your homework. There are lots of teachers to respect, which makes it a good school."*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School F

Teachers who work with Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander boarding students may benefit from training to create a safe framework for students to receive educational scaffolding, literacy support and tuition, whilst simultaneously developing students' self-worth and aspirations.

### **The Cultural Interface and Racism**

Australian schools have come a long way forward in recognising their obligation to Indigenous students through culturally inclusive practices (Bodkin-Andrews & Carlson, 2014), however, contemporary literature reveals that racism and cultural discrimination remain a significant cause of

disengagement for Indigenous students in Australian schools (Bobongie, 2017; Bodkin-Andrews & Carlson, 2014; Mander et al., 2015a; 2015b; O'Bryan, 2016).

For those students who have left the communities and towns of remote Western Australia, boarding school in Perth can be the first time they have experienced being an ethnic minority on a daily basis. Typically, urban boarding schools accessed cultural events and experiences such as dance workshops and Indigenous leadership programs to allow their Indigenous students to maintain cultural connection and pride. Certainly, such experiences are a crucial part of building wellbeing and engagement at school, however, they also reflect only the more 'visible' aspects of Indigenous culture. In this study, Indigenous students were asked:

"Do you think this school is a place that respects Indigenous culture? Can you give some examples to explain your thoughts?"

#### Appendix M – Student Interview Schedule

Across the interviews, students recounted a diversity of experiences that left them feeling as though teachers were ignorant of Indigenous dialects, ways of learning, and ways of being.

*"I don't think they do (respect Aboriginal culture) because they correct your English when you speak like where you're from instead of White English."*

Yr 9 Indigenous female, School B

*"Kids sometimes are not used to this type of school, trying to sit at the back of the class and work out how each classroom works and how people interact. But the teacher might pressure them to interact."*

Yr 8 Indigenous female, School B

Furthermore, students felt that school staff were ignorant of kinship relations and the way that 'family' is defined in Indigenous society. During school photographs, one school refused to allow Aboriginal boarding students to have 'family' photographs with other boarding students who had stated they were family, but were not birth siblings, which left interviewees feeling discriminated against. The school had explained its policy by stating that if they allowed Aboriginal students to have a group photo, then they would have to allow students of all ethnic groups to do the same. This response conveyed the message to students that Aboriginal Australians' cultural protocols were not valued at the school.

Where Aboriginal students felt that their culture was respected in the school, they did not attribute this to cultural events such as Acknowledgment of Country or the celebration of NAIDOC and National Reconciliation Week, nor to specific curricula. Rather, Aboriginal students felt respected when teachers allowed them to think and act in Aboriginal ways without being penalised for their differences.

*“This school gives proper respect for Aboriginal culture ... ‘cos the teachers and the students understand what it means. Things like respect for elders, don’t talk about certain Aboriginal stuff.”*

Yr 11 Indigenous female, School G

Nakata’s Cultural Interface theory (2002) highlights the difference between Indigenous people’s knowledge, and knowledge *about* Indigenous peoples. The first knowledge, is the emic understanding of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultures by those with lived experience, whilst the second, is the etic understanding of non-Indigenous people regarding the experience of being Indigenous. The quotes above illustrate that within Australian schools, Indigenous and non-Indigenous understandings of Aboriginal culture remain divergent. As long as Indigenous school engagement policies rely on non-Indigenous perspectives of cultural inclusion, improvement in Indigenous school engagement may be limited (de Plevitz, 2007).

According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics (2016), one third of all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander adults 15 years and over reported experiencing racial discrimination. Speaking about their experiences of discrimination and racism in boarding schools, one student had the following to say:

*“I don’t think they know what it feels like to be an Aboriginal but they aren’t racist”.*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School A

This quote illustrates a thread that became apparent in many of the interviews, namely that Indigenous students differentiated between cultural ignorance and intentional racism. Such differentiation is at best a kindness, for cultural discrimination and ignorance is both a cause and effect of institutionalised racism in Australia (Ardill, 2013; de Plevitz, 2007; O’Bryan, 2016). It is also possible that a social defense mechanism that might be at work in these students’ minds: Non-Indigenous Australians who create stress for Indigenous Australians (through discrimination and racism) are presumed innocent of intentional cruelty. This rationalization allows those who are victims of racism to feel a lessened degree of stress than if the discrimination was believed intentional (Ogders & Biebers, 2010).

Students also spoke of experiencing overt racism from other students, particularly when they attended schools where Indigenous students were an ethnic minority.

*“Some of the day boys try to joke around but they take it too far sometimes... they do all the stereotype stuff, walk up to you asking for drugs, do accents”.*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School A

Two students attending a school through financial sponsorship explained that they were often in a position of having to defend their placement to other students who were ignorant of the interaction between socioeconomic disadvantage and Indigenous status in Australia.

*“Normally they’re like “youse get everything, youse don’t have to pay for everything, where we have to work hard” and that happens quite a bit.”*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School F

Her friend then added

*“the first couple of times you explain it and then you just go yeah well I’m not going to explain if they’re not trying to understand”.*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School F

Racism and cultural discrimination are not unique to boarding schools (Bodkin-Andrews et al., 2010; Smith, 2009), however, it is disconcerting that students who travel great distances for education and forego day-to-day connectedness to their own country and community, should face discrimination and racism as a ‘reward’ of their choice. The authors agree with Mander et al. (2015a) that it is insufficient for boarding schools to provide Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students with scholarships for the purpose of social justice, but then not to provide a culturally safe environment for those students.

Even more disconcertingly, only one of the four school Principals interviewed for this study organically discussed racism as a source of student disenfranchisement with education. That non-Indigenous school leaders still identify socioeconomic and geographic factors affecting Indigenous engagement much more readily than they identify racism and discrimination in the curriculum, in expectations, in understanding of identity, and in student adaptability to school system requirements, highlights the very need for improved cultural competence of school staff that has

been argued previously (de Plevitz, 2007; Macdonald, Gringart, & Gray, 2016; O'Bryan, 2016). Such issues are likely to be heightened in urban boarding schools, where the wider school community often has little interaction with Indigenous Australia, or with their Indigenous students' families and communities (Rogers & Biddle, 2015).

If schools and policymakers believe that disengagement is only due to poverty and social issues in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, they are far less likely to address historical abuses in the school curricula, or redress discrimination through deep engagement with Indigenous worldviews in true reconciliation. In this regard, school leaders mirrored an entrenched policy discourse that disregards the impact of past policies in creating Indigenous disadvantage and minimises the importance of culturally reflexive practice (Hogarth, 2017).

### Negotiating Family Obligations and Homesickness

A recurring topic in interviews was the contrast between Indigenous and non-Indigenous understandings of family and community. Many students attending boarding school perceived the cultural importance of fulfilling obligations to family not to be well understood or valued by school staff. Secondary students in this study explained that such obligations included funeral planning and attendance, caring for the sick, elderly or children, law business, and solving feuds or conflicts.

Previous research has already identified that family obligations contribute to absence from school, or early school leaving, amongst Aboriginal students (Prout, 2009). Such obligations do not necessarily reduce students' educational aspirations (House of Representatives Standing Committee on Indigenous Affairs, 2017b) but contribute to stress for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander boarders in a manner rarely experienced by non-Indigenous boarders (O'Bryan, 2016). One Year 11 student who had been through initiation stated that he would not finish Year 12 if his grandparents died, and was juggling pressure from school staff to engage at school with pressure to assist the family with issues they were facing. This student made a point of emphasising:

*"...teachers just need to understand Aboriginals' family are the most important people in our life."*

Yr 12 Indigenous male, School A

As previously reported by Mander et al. (2015b) and Biddle (2007), students sometimes lost social capital within their communities in the form of close friendships, status, or respect, when they spent years away from their community at boarding school (O'Bryan, 2016). For some students, the social cost of remaining away contributed to homesickness and made it more difficult for students to justify remaining away even longer to complete a tertiary qualification.

Homesickness is a well-documented phenomenon amongst boarding school students across many cultures and is known to be accompanied by greater risk of psychological stress and education disengagement (Fisher, Frazer & Murray, 1986). In their exhaustive exploration of the predictors of homesickness, van Tilburg and Vinderhoets (2007) identified that linguistic and cultural differences between home and the new location were significant risk factors for increased homesickness, due to their isolating effect on an individual. Hence, homesickness is likely to occur more frequently, and to be more pronounced, for Indigenous boarders than non-Indigenous boarders, as well as for Indigenous boarders who travel to capital cities rather than remain within regional centres, due to the additional effect of absence from one's country and language group (Bobongie, 2017; Mander et al., 2015b). Whilst this homesickness can increase the difficulty of attending boarding school, amongst the interview sample, Indigenous students reported high levels of in-principle support for education from key family members, even if these family members themselves had not completed schooling.

*"Dad's been hard on me, would have made sure I graduated. He went to Year 11 and has been employed since then."*

Yr 11 Indigenous male, School A

Another student had returned home from boarding at the end of Year 11 with the intention of staying home, because she had found the homesickness difficult to bear. She made the decision to return to school after her mother said:

*"Please just go back there and make me proud, because I didn't finish Year 12."*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School G

In the literature, discussion has often concentrated on factors in Indigenous cultural and social structures that can contribute to reduced education engagement (Prout, 2009; Santoro, 2009; Santoro et al., 2011; Partington, 2003 Munns & Parente, 2003). Yet, very little has been said about the factors in Indigenous culture and society that contribute to *improved* student engagement, and how these strengths can be utilised by schools. For example, Indigenous teenagers have high degrees of autonomy, which has been used to explain lower school attendance, because parents are less likely to force an unhappy child to attend school. Once the deficiency discourse is identified and removed, discussion might instead highlight the value of Indigenous teenager autonomy, that a student who believes in the benefit of school may pursue education regardless of negative family

and peer influences, as was evidenced by interviewees in this study. A second example would be that of Indigenous collectivist culture. Rather than placing blame on Indigenous students for prioritisation of family obligations, schools might instead utilise the strengths of an Indigenous worldview and develop a discourse with students and their families that identifies meaningful ways in which hegemonic education can enable closer family ties and a stronger future for the community. Students can feel the implied pressure of obligations to support the family, even when parents wish their children to remain at school. When asked how schools could approach this issue while acknowledging the importance of looking after family, one student had a constructive response.

*“Maybe tell them that if your child finishes school they can do a nursing course and be able to give more help when you’re sick than what they can now”.*

Yr 12 Indigenous female, School G

Armstrong et al.’s (2012) *Starting school: a strengths-based approach towards Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children* represents a vanguard of decolonising discourse in education, highlighting the education-enhancing strengths in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultures. Schools that demonstrate respect for Indigenous worldviews through such an approach, may find themselves able to establish a greater level of trust and collaboration with their Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander boarding families. It is this type of social trust that Bryk & Schneider (2003) argue will encourage education engagement from the most disillusioned students and their families.

## Implications and Conclusion

Both school leaders and students ascribed many benefits to the broader range of career development opportunities available at boarding schools. Such opportunities included role models, work experience, drivers’ license training, individualised post-secondary transition plans and university visits. These experiences had the potential to improve social capital for those who came from disadvantaged backgrounds, as well as to increase self-efficacy in students.

Certainly, not all remote Indigenous families wish to send their youth to boarding school and those who do are concerned about attrition (Guenther, at al., 2017). Yet, the perception that boarding school has benefits, as evidenced by those Indigenous students and their families who are choosing and remaining within this pathway, should remain an integral consideration of policy discussion.

Students and school leaders in the current study displayed an understanding of the relationships between poverty, social disadvantage and future life outcomes, although this understanding was not necessarily comprehensively enacted by all school staff. School leaders spoke of attempting to improve educational utility through health programs, career education, cultural affirmation and other strategies that focused on student resilience and self-efficacy. Unfortunately, however, it was apparent that some school leaders relied on 'events' to promote Indigenous culture, yet remained unaware of institutionalised racism, and 'white-washed' curricula, within their schools.

True cultural inclusion needs to address discrimination in the education environment evidenced by low expectations from teachers, lack of respect for cultural protocols, and an epistemologically biased curriculum. Although recent policy documents such as the Western Australian *Aboriginal Cultural Standards Framework* (Department of Education, 2015) promote cultural competency, schools are not externally evaluated or measured against these competencies. This presents a disjuncture between policy intention and educational reality (Zubrick et al., 2006). Commitment to improving Indigenous education outcomes involves not only a financial obligation but also a willingness to challenge the beliefs at the heart of educator practice. To ensure this occurs, federal and state bodies responsible for boarding education could implement recommendations of the recent *Inquiry*, such as valuing Indigenous languages as highly as foreign languages within the Australian curriculum, requiring all teachers to receive professional development on the localised history, culture and language of their Indigenous students, and attendance policies that acknowledge the cultural importance of sorry business (House of Representatives Standing Committee into Indigenous Affairs, 2017b).

Few schools in this study had established effective transition strategies for students returning to remote areas upon completion of Year 12. It is recommended that a future focus of funding and policy may address this area to ensure that the benefit of Year 12 completion is not lost for those students who return home to their communities. Where education sectors have programs and policies in place for supporting Indigenous students towards Year 12 completion, but not towards post-secondary qualifications or employment, a significant gap will remain in terms of socioeconomic indicators for Indigenous Australians, and a self-fulfilling lack of aspiration to post-secondary education.

Indigenous students who obtained scholarships to academically 'elite' private schools often experienced a diminution of their self-concept and aspirations when they moved into the urban school system. The ability of boarding schools to support students within an academically confronting environment and to promote a positive academic self-concept for Indigenous students,

should be explored further, not only as a possible factor in retention rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students (Bobongie, 2017; Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 2017) but also as part of an evaluation framework to identify successful practice for Indigenous boarding students in secondary school.

It should be noted that two of the three subthemes under *Challenges of Boarding* addressed in this article, namely *Academic Self-Concept and the Deficit Discourse* and *The Cultural Interface and Racism* are not specific to the boarding school environment (Gillan, Mellor & Krakouer, 2017) but are magnified by relocation from a mostly Indigenous, and low socioeconomic, home community to a mostly white, and relatively high socioeconomic, school. There is undoubted value in the continued provision of scholarships that provide remote Indigenous students access to high-performing urban schools. This is not least because, for some students, regional boarding would not remove them sufficiently far from negative social influences (O'Bryan, 2016), but also, because future social equity requires that high quality education is available to those who can utilise it. Yet, it may also be that some benefits of boarding, such as *Developing Pathways to Employment* and *Improving Health Outcomes* can be equally provided in regional centres that allow students to remain closer to family, country and cultural networks. Hence, any National Indigenous Boarding Strategy such as the one proposed by the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Indigenous Affairs (2017b) may do well to identify the resourcing and provisions that are required to promote successful outcomes for Indigenous students in urban, and majority non-Indigenous, boarding schools, separately to the resourcing and provisions required for students attending boarding in regional centres closer to their community.

The current study has shown that while the experience of boarding school contains unique challenges for Indigenous students, there is clear evidence that boarding schools also present valuable opportunities to students from remote towns and communities. The long-term benefits of such education goes beyond Year 12 completion to matters of agency, employability and leadership potential within the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community. We, the educators and policymakers, owe these students the best education possible.

## References

ABC (Writer). (2013). Indigenous kids should go to boarding schools: Langton. In E. Throwden (Producer). *Lateline*: Australian Broadcasting Corporation.

Ainley, J., Buckley, S., Beavis, A., Rothman, S., & Tovey, A. (2011). Analysis of Year 12 or Certificate II attainment of Indigenous young people–Stage 1: A report prepared for the Council of Australian Governments Reform Council.

Ardill, A. (2013). Australian sovereignty, Indigenous standpoint theory and feminist standpoint theory: First peoples' sovereignties matter [online]. *Griffith Law Review*, 22(2) 315-343.

Armstrong, S., & Buckley, S. (2011). An investigation into the attendance and retention of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students: Research and theory about what works. In *Australian Council for Educational Research Conference*. 2011.

Armstrong, Stephanie; Buckley, Sarah; Lonsdale, Michele; Milgate, Gina; Bennetts Kneebone, Laura; Cook, Louise; Skelton, Fiona (2012). Starting school : a strengths-based approach towards Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children. Canberra: Dept of Families, Housing, Community Services and Indigenous Affairs. Retrieved from [http://research.acer.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=indigenous\\_education](http://research.acer.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=indigenous_education)

Auditor General Western Australia. (2009). Every day counts: Managing student attendance in Western Australian public schools. *Western Australian Auditor General's Report. Report 9 – August 2009*. Retrieved from <http://www.audit.wa.gov.au/report2009.php>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2016). 4714.0 - *National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Survey, 2014-15*. Retrieved from AusStats: <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/0/AD174BBF36BA93A2CA256EBB007981BA?Opendocument>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2015a). 6277.0 – *Education and Work, Australia, May 2015*: Retrieved from <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Latestproducts/6227.0Main%20Features9999May%202015?opendocument&tabname=Summary&prodno=6227.0&issue=May%202015&num=&view=>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2015b). 4517.0 – *Prisoners in Australia, 2015*: Retrieved from <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/by%20Subject/4517.0~2015~Main%20Features~Aboriginal%20and%20Torres%20Strait%20Islander%20prisoner%20characteristics~7>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2013). 4221.0 – *Schools, Australia, 2011* Retrieved from <http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Previousproducts/4221.0Main%20Features402011?opendocument&tabname=Summary&prodno=4221.0&issue=2011&num=&view=>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2012). 2076.0 - *Census of Population and Housing: Characteristics of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians, 2011* Retrieved from <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/2076.0main+features302011>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2011). Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Volume 5 – Remoteness Areas, July 2011 (cat. no. 1270.0.55.005). Retrieved from <http://www.abs.gov.au/websitedbs/D3310114.nsf/home/remoteness+structure#Anchor2c>

Australian Indigenous Education Foundation [AIEF]. (2017). Changing Our Nation: AIEF 2016 annual report. Retrieved from <http://www.aief.com.au/cms/workspace/uploads/2016-aief-annual-report.pdf>

Australian Indigenous Education Foundation [AIEF]. (2015). *AIEF Compendium of best practice for achieving successful outcomes with Indigenous students in Australian boarding schools*. Surry Hills, NSW: Australian Indigenous Education Foundation.

Aveling, N. (2006) 'Hacking at our very roots': rearticulating White racial identity within the context of teacher education, *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 9:3, 261-274, DOI: 10.1080/13613320600807576

Biddle, N. (2007). *Does it pay to go to school? The benefits of and participation in education of Indigenous Australians*. Unpublished PhD thesis, Australian National University, Canberra. Australian Capital Territory.

Biddle, N. & Rogers, J. (2015). Submission to the Standing Committee into Indigenous Affairs. *Inquiry in to the educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Students. Submission 14*.

Bobongie, F. (2017). Ngoelmun Yawar, Our Journey: The Transition and the Challenges for Female Students Leaving Torres Strait Island Communities for Boarding Schools in Regional Queensland. *Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, 1-10.

Bodkin-Andrews, G., & Carlson, B. (2014). The legacy of racism and Indigenous Australian identity within education. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 19(4), 784-807. doi:10.1080/13613324.2014.969224

Bodkin-Andrews, G., O'Rourke, V., Grant, R., Denson, N. & R. G. Craven (2010) Validating racism and cultural respect: testing the psychometric properties and educational impact of perceived discrimination and multiculturalism for Indigenous and non-Indigenous students. *Educational Research and Evaluation* 16(6), 471-493.

Bryk, A. S. & Schneider, B., (2003). Trust in schools: A core resource for school reform. *Educational Leadership*, 60(6), 40-44.

COAG Reform Council (COAG). 2013. *Education in Australia 2012: Five years of performance*. Council of Australian Governments

Commonwealth of Australia (2016). *Interim Report: First steps for improving educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students*. [online] Retrieved from [https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary\\_Business/Committees/House/Indigenous\\_Affairs/Educational\\_Opportunities/Interim\\_Report](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/House/Indigenous_Affairs/Educational_Opportunities/Interim_Report) [Accessed 24 Oct. 2017].

De Plevitz, L. (2007). Systemic Racism: The Hidden Barrier to Success for Indigenous School Students *Australian Journal of Education*, 51( 1), 54-71.

Department of Education [Government of Western Australia]. (2015). *Aboriginal cultural standards framework*. East Perth. The Department of Education.

Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet. (2017). *Closing the Gap: Prime Minister's Report 2017*. Retrieved from <http://pandora.nla.gov.au/pan/121023/20170214-1334/closingthegap.pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/ctg-report-2017.pdf>

Department of Social Services. (2017). Response to the Standing Committee into Indigenous Affairs Hearing [Sep 7 2017]. *Inquiry in to the educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Students. Submission 67.*

Dudgeon, P., Cox, K., D'Anna, D., Dunkley, C., Hams, K., Kelly, K., Scrine, C. and R. Walker (2012). *Hear Our Voices: Community Consultations for the Development of an Empowerment, Healing and Leadership Program for Aboriginal people living in the Kimberley, Western Australia. Final Research Report.* Commonwealth of Australia.

Dusseldorp Skills Forum. (2009a). *How Indigenous young people are faring: Key indicators 1996-2006: A report about the learning and work situations of young Indigenous Australians.* Monash University, Australia.

Dusseldorp Skills Forum. (2009b). *Keeping Up: Strengthening Transitions from education into work for Indigenous young people.* Monash University, Australia.

Epstein, J. L. & Sheldon, S.B. (2002). Present and accounted for: Improving student attendance through family and community involvement. *Journal of Educational Research, 95*(5), 308-318.

Fisher, S., Frazer, N., & Murray, K. (1986). Homesickness and health in boarding school children. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 6*(1), 35-47.

Gillan, K., Mellor, S., & Krakouer, J. (2017). *The case for urgency: Advocating for an Indigenous voice in education* (No. 62). Melbourne. ACER Press.

Guenther J., Disbray S., Benveniste T., Osborne S. (2017) 'Red Dirt' Schools and Pathways into Higher Education. In: Frawley J., Larkin S., Smith J. (eds) *Indigenous Pathways, Transitions and Participation in Higher Education.* Springer, Singapore

Guenther, J., Milgate, G., Perrett, B., Benveniste, T., Osborne, S. & Disbray, S. (2016). Boarding schools for remote secondary Aboriginal learners in the Northern Territory: Smooth Transition or Rough Ride? *Presented at the 2016 Conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education, Melbourne, 2016.*

Harwood, V., McMahon, S., O'Shea, S., Bodkin-Andrews, G., & Priestly, A. (2015). Recognising Aspiration: The AIME program's effectiveness in inspiring Indigenous young people's participation in schooling and opportunities for further education and employment. *Australian Educational Researcher, 42*(2), 217-236. [doi:10.1007/s13384-015-0174-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-015-0174-3)

- Helme, S. (2010). Career decision making: What matters to Indigenous Australians? *Australian Journal of Career Development*. Vol. 9(3). 67-74. doi: [10.1177/103841621001900309](https://doi.org/10.1177/103841621001900309)
- Hogarth, M. (2017). The Power of Words: Bias and Assumptions in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education Action Plan. *The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, 1-10. doi:[10.1017/jie.2016.29](https://doi.org/10.1017/jie.2016.29)
- Helme, S. (2010). Career decision making: What matters to Indigenous Australians? *Australian Journal of Career Development*. Vol. 9(3). 67-74.
- Hogarth, M. (2017). The Power of Words: Bias and Assumptions in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education Action Plan. *Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, 1-10.
- House of Representatives Standing Committee on Indigenous Affairs (2017a). *Inquiry into educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Students*. [online] Available at: [https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary\\_Business/Committees/House/Indigenous\\_Affairs/Education/Opportunities/Media\\_Releases](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/House/Indigenous_Affairs/Education/Opportunities/Media_Releases)
- House of Representatives Standing Committee on Indigenous Affairs (2017b). *The power of education: from surviving to thriving: educational opportunities for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students*. Canberra: Australia. Parliament. House of Representatives. Retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20180115215023/https://www.aph.gov.au/~media/02%20Parliamentary%20Business/24%20Committees/243%20Reps%20Committees/Indigenous/EducationalOpportunities/Committee%20Report%20-%20Final.pdf?la=en>
- Ivory, S. (2009). "Beyond the Intervention." *Professional Educator*, 8(3): 43-47.
- Johnson, B. and Christensen, L. (2012). *Educational Research: Quantitative, Qualitative and Mixed Approaches*. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. SAGE Publications, Los Angeles.
- Lamb, S., Walstab, A., Teese, R., Vickers, M. and Rumberger, R. (2004). Staying on at school: Improving student retention in Australia. Report for the Queensland Department of Education and the Arts. Melbourne: The University of Melbourne.
- Lazarus, R. and Folkman, S. (1999). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer Publications.
- Macdonald, M., Gringart, E., & Gray, J. (2016). Creating Shared Norms in Schools — A Theoretical Approach. *The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education* 45(01), 56-69. doi:10.1017/jie.2016.9
- Mander, D. J. (2015). Enabling voice: Aboriginal parents, experiences and perceptions of sending a child to boarding school in Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, 44(2), 173-183.
- Mander, D., Cohen, L. & Pooley, J. (2015a). A critical exploration of staff perceptions of Aboriginal boarding students' experiences. *Australian Journal of Education*. 59(3). 312-328.
- Mander, D. J., Cohen, L. & Pooley, J. A. (2015b). 'If I Wanted to Have More Opportunities and Go to a Better School, I Just Had to Get Used to It': Aboriginal Students' Perceptions of Going to Boarding

school in Western Australia. *The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education* Volume 44(1) 26–36. doi:10.1017/jie.2015.3

Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEEDYA). (2010). *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Education Action Plan 2010-2014*. Retrieved from [http://www.mceecdya.edu.au/mceecdya/atsieap\\_action\\_plan\\_201014\\_press\\_release,33444.html](http://www.mceecdya.edu.au/mceecdya/atsieap_action_plan_201014_press_release,33444.html)

Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs (MCEEDYA). (2008). *Melbourne declaration on educational goals for young Australians*. Melbourne: Ministerial Council on Education, Employment, Training and Youth Affairs, <http://nla.gov.au/nla.arc-93985>

Munns, G., Martin, A. and Craven, R. (2008). "To free the spirit? Motivation and engagement of Indigenous students." *Australian Journal of Indigenous Education* **37**: 98-107.

Munns, G. and Parente, A. (2003, November). "Because I Stayed": *Australian Indigenous Secondary School Students Who Remain at High School*. Paper presented at NZARE AARE, Auckland, New Zealand. Self-concept Enhancement and Learning Facilitation Research Centre. University of Western Sydney, Australia.

Nakata, M. (2007). An Indigenous Standpoint Theory [online]. In: Nakata, Martin. *Disciplining the Savages: Savaging the Disciplines*. Canberra, A.C.T.: Aboriginal Studies Press. 213-17.

Nakata, M. (2006). Australian Indigenous studies: A question of discipline. *Australian Journal of Anthropology*, 17(3), 265-275.

Nakata, M.(2002). Indigenous Knowledge and the Cultural Interface: underlying issues at the intersection of knowledge and information systems. *IFLA journal (0340-0352)*, 28 (5-6), p. 281.

New South Wales Government (2018, February 2). *Australian Museum*. Retrieved from <https://australianmuseum.net.au/glossary-indigenous-australia-terms>

O'Bryan, M. (2016) *Shaping Futures, Shaping Lives: An investigation into the lived experience of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students in Australian boarding schools*. Parkville Vic: University of Melbourne. Retrieved <https://minerva-access.unimelb.edu.au/handle/11343/190477>

Ogden, S. & Biebers, A. (2010). *Psychology of Denial*. Hauppauge: Nova Science Publishers, Inc..

Prout, S. (2009). Policy, practice and the 'revolving classroom door': Examining the relationship between Aboriginal spatiality and the mainstream education system. *Australian Journal of Education*, 53(1), 39-53. doi: [10.1177/000494410905300104](https://doi.org/10.1177/000494410905300104)

Purdie, N., & Buckley, S. (2010). *School attendance and retention of Indigenous Australian students. Issues paper No 1: Closing the Gap Clearinghouse*, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare and the Australian Institute of Family Studies.

Rogers, J. (2017) Photoyarn: Aboriginal and Maori girls' researching contemporary boarding school experiences [online]. *Australian Aboriginal Studies*, No. 1: 3-13. Retrieved from <https://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary;dn=906883787867788;res=IELAPA>

Smith, A. (2009). Indigenous Peoples and Boarding Schools: A comparative study. *United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues*. New York.

Smith Family, The. (2014). *Young People's Successful Transition to Work: What are the pre-conditions?* Retrieved from <https://web.archive.org/web/20180122044812/https://members.youthcoalition.net/sites/default/files/articles-external/Young%20People%27s%20Successful%20Transition%20to%20Work-%20What%20are%20the%20Preconditions%3F.pdf>

SCRGSP (Steering Committee for the Review of Government Service Provision). (2014). *Overcoming Indigenous Disadvantage Key Indicators 2014*, Productivity Commission, Canberra.

Trudgen, R. (2000). *Why warriors lie down and die: Toward an understanding of why the Aboriginal people of Arnhem Land face the greatest crisis in health and education since European contact: Djambatj Mala*. Darwin : Aboriginal Resource & Development Services Inc.

van Tilburg, M., & Vingerhoets, A. (Eds.). (2007). *Psychological aspects of geographical moves: Homesickness and acculturation stress*. Amsterdam University Press.

Wilkinson, J. (2009) Reaching their potential : what's working with Indigenous students. *Teacher* **202**, 52-55.

What Works: The Work Program. (2007). *Core Issues 6: Boarding*. Retrieved from [https://web.archive.org/web/20180122045638/http://www.whatworks.edu.au/upload/1250832097332\\_file\\_6Boarding.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20180122045638/http://www.whatworks.edu.au/upload/1250832097332_file_6Boarding.pdf)

Zubrick, S., Silburn, S.R., De Maio J.A., Shepherd, C., Griffin, J.A., Dalby, R.B., Mitrou, F.G., Lawrence, D.M., Hayward, C., Pearson, G., Milroy, H., Milroy, J. & Cox, A. (2006). *The Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey: Improving the Educational Experiences of Aboriginal Children and Young People*. Perth, Curtin University of Technology and Telethon Institute for Child Health Research. Retrieved from [http://web.archive.org/web/20120321033854/http://www.ichr.uwa.edu.au/files/user17/Volume1\\_Complete.pdf](http://web.archive.org/web/20120321033854/http://www.ichr.uwa.edu.au/files/user17/Volume1_Complete.pdf)