

2019

Assessing emotional reactivity: psychometric properties of the Perth emotional reactivity scale and the development of a short form

David Preece
Edith Cowan University

Rodrigo Becerra
Edith Cowan University

Guillermo Campitelli
Edith Cowan University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013>



Part of the [Psychology Commons](#)

[10.1080/00223891.2018.1465430](https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/5916)

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Journal of Personality Assessment, December 2019, Available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/00223891.2018.1465430>

Preece, D., Becerra, R., & Campitelli, G. (2019). Assessing emotional reactivity: Psychometric properties of the Perth emotional reactivity scale and the development of a short form. Journal of Personality Assessment, 101(6) 589-597. Available [here](#).

This Journal Article is posted at Research Online.
<https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/5916>

Assessing Emotional Reactivity: Psychometric Properties of the Perth Emotional
Reactivity Scale and the Development of a Short Form

David A. Preece¹, Rodrigo Becerra², Guillermo Campitelli¹

¹Edith Cowan University, Perth, Australia.

²The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.

Abstract

The Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale (PERS) is a 30-item self-report measure of trait levels of emotional reactivity. In this paper, we examine the psychometric properties of the PERS subscale and composite scores in an adult community sample ($N = 428$), and develop an 18-item short form of the measure (PERS-S). The PERS and PERS-S are designed to assess the typical *ease of activation*, *intensity*, and *duration* of one's emotional responses, and do so for *positive* and *negative* emotions separately. Our confirmatory factor analyses supported that the PERS and PERS-S both had the same theoretically congruent factor structure, and that all subscale and composite scores displayed high internal consistency reliability. Correlations with scores from established measures of psychopathology and emotion regulation also supported the validity of PERS and PERS-S scores. Our data therefore suggest that the PERS-S subscale and composite scores retain the psychometric strengths of their longer PERS counterparts. We conclude that both forms of the measure have good utility. Clinical and research applications are discussed.

Assessing Emotional Reactivity: Psychometric Properties of the Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale and the Development of a Short Form

Emotions can be positively or negatively valenced (e.g., happiness or sadness), and manifest as responses across three channels of the emotion system: the experiential (e.g., feeling of anger), physiological (e.g., increased heart-rate), and behavioural channels (e.g., urge to attack; Gross, 2014; Evers et al., 2014).

Many authors agree that people can differ with respect to the typical time-course of their emotional responses (e.g., Davidson, 1998; Becerra et al., 2017; Gruber, Harvey, & Purcell, 2011; Mauss, Cook, Cheng, & Gross, 2007). People may differ with respect to: (1) how easily an emotional response is *activated* in them, that is, the threshold for how strong a stimulus is required to elicit an arousal response and how quickly arousal levels rise to peak amplitude; (2) how *intense* the emotional response is, that is, the peak amplitude that levels of arousal reach; and (3) the *duration* of the emotional response, that is, how long arousal levels take to return to baseline. These three aspects of the emotional response (activation, intensity, and duration) are commonly conceptualised together as a construct termed *emotional reactivity*³ (Becerra & Campitelli, 2013; Davidson, 1998).

The emotional reactivity construct is of significant interest to psychiatry, as many models of psychopathology posit that abnormal levels of reactivity are a key transdiagnostic risk factor (e.g., Gross & Jazaieri, 2014; Linehan, 1993; Rottenberg & Johnson, 2007). Psychotherapeutic treatment approaches, consequently, often attempt to normalise levels of reactivity through the training of emotion regulation skills (e.g., Barlow et al., 2010; Linehan, 1993), and the assessment of emotional reactivity therefore becomes important.

Emotional reactivity can be assessed in laboratory settings via psychophysiological

³ Sometimes the emotional reactivity construct is also called *affective style* (e.g., Davidson, 1998) or *emotional vulnerability* (e.g., Sauer & Baer, 2010).

methods (i.e., presenting an emotion inducing stimulus and tracking participants' heart-rate or skin-conductance; Mauss et al., 2005), however such methods are too impractical for some clinical or research purposes. Authors have consequently developed a number of self-report questionnaires, and of these, we think our Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale (PERS; Becerra & Campitelli, 2013; Becerra et al., 2017) is conceptually the most comprehensive (for a review, see Becerra & Campitelli, 2013). In this paper, we examine the psychometric properties of the PERS subscale and composite scores and develop a short form of the measure.

The PERS is a 30-item self-report questionnaire that measures trait levels of emotional reactivity. It is designed to assess the *activation*, *intensity*, and *duration* components of the construct, and do so for *positive* and *negative* emotions separately. Correspondingly, the PERS has six subscales, each with five items: *Positive-activation* (e.g., "I tend to get happy very easily"), *Positive-intensity* (e.g., "When I am joyful, I tend to feel it very deeply"), *Positive-duration* (e.g., "When I'm happy, the feeling stays with me for quite a while"), *Negative-activation* (e.g., "I tend to get upset very easily"), *Negative-intensity* (e.g., "Normally, when I'm unhappy I feel it very strongly"), and *Negative-duration* (e.g., "Once in a negative mood, it's hard to snap out of it"). Scores from the three subscales of each valence are also designed to be combined into a *General positive reactivity* composite and a *General negative reactivity* composite, which indicate overall levels of reactivity for emotions of that valence. Each item is comprised of a statement that respondents answer on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (very unlike me) to 5 (very like me), according to how much they agree it is characteristic of them on a typical day.

To date, the psychometric properties of PERS scores have only been examined in one study (Becerra et al., 2017). In a nonclinical sample comprised of 183 adults, exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses found that the PERS had a theoretically congruent factor

structure, consisting of six first-order factors (the six intended subscales) subsumed within two valence specific second-order factors (the two intended composites). All subscale and composite scores had high internal consistency reliability, and correlations with other self-report measures supported concurrent validity. High levels of negative reactivity and low levels of positive reactivity, as measured by the PERS, were significantly associated with depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms, and emotion regulation difficulties. The PERS *General negative reactivity* composite also correlated strongly with scores from another self-report measure of negative reactivity (Emotion Reactivity Scale; Nock et al., 2008).

Purpose of this Study

Whilst the abovementioned results are promising for the utility of the PERS, further research is required. The size of the sample in Becerra et al. (2017) was modest, and comprised mostly young university-educated adults. Further work is needed to examine the psychometric properties of PERS scores in larger and more diverse samples. Additionally, whilst the length of the PERS is not excessive, some clinicians and researchers may desire a shorter measure for time-pressured settings, such as work where emotional reactivity is just one of many constructs of interest and a large battery of tests must be administered. This study therefore had two purposes; (1) to examine the factor structure, concurrent validity, and internal consistency reliability of scores from the 30-item PERS in a large community sample; and (2) to develop an 18-item short form called the Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale-Short Form (PERS-S).

Method

Participants and Procedure

Our sample was comprised of 428 English speaking adults (259 females) from the

general community.⁴ Participants were aged between 18 and 83 ($M = 47.62$, $SD = 16.77$) and were living in Australia at the time of the study. The distribution of educational attainment within our sample was, roughly, similar to that of the Australian adult population as a whole (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2016). Most of the sample (66.4%) had not completed a university degree. For 36% of participants, their highest level of completed education was a technical diploma, for 30.4% it was high school, and for 0.2% it was primary school. Less than one quarter (21.5%) of the sample was currently studying at university. Participants were recruited via three sources: an online survey recruiting company (Qualtrics panels), an advertisement placed on a social media website, or an advertisement placed on a university website (i.e., Blackboard software) that enrolled undergraduate students visit to download content for their course. Participants completed the PERS as part of a battery of psychological questionnaires in an online anonymous survey.

Materials

The PERS was administered only in its 30-item form. Data for the 18-item PERS-S were obtained by extracting answers from the relevant items of the PERS. The battery also included a measure of psychiatric symptoms and two measures of emotion regulation.

Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale. The PERS (Becerra et al., 2017) is a 30-item self-report measure of trait levels of emotional reactivity. Six subscale scores and two composite scores can be derived from the measure. Higher scores indicate higher levels of reactivity.

Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale-Short Form. We propose the PERS-S here as an 18-item short form of the PERS. It consists of the same six subscales as the PERS, but each subscale has three items instead of five. A minimum of three items is typically needed to derive a reliable latent factor score (e.g., Little, Lindenberger, & Nesselroade, 1999;

⁴ Some additional participants ($n = 47$) also completed the survey. However, their data were excluded during quality screening because they failed at least one of three attention check questions (where participants were asked to select a specific point on the Likert-scale) and/or completed the survey impossibly quickly (i.e., at a rate of < 2 seconds per question), suggesting inattentive responding.

Raubenheimer, 2004), hence we considered this the shortest length we could make the PERS-S whilst still maintaining its capacity to assess emotional reactivity at the subscale level. We selected items 1, 19, 25 (*Positive-activation*), 11, 17, 23 (*Positive-intensity*), 3, 9, 15 (*Positive-duration*), 2, 8, 26 (*Negative-activation*), 6, 18, 30 (*Negative-intensity*), and 4, 16 and 22 (*Negative-duration*) from the PERS to form the PERS-S. These 18 items were selected based on three criteria. Firstly, to maintain the breadth of the construct, we wanted all six subscales to be evenly represented (i.e., have three items each). Secondly, we examined the factor loadings from confirmatory factor analyses (CFAs) in this study and Becerra et al.'s (2017) study, and selected those items that had the highest (or at least close to the highest) loadings on their intended factor. Thirdly, we attempted to maximise the goodness-of-fit of our theoretically informed factor structure by eliminating those PERS items which, in CFAs in this study and Becerra et al.'s (2017) study, had the most pronounced correlations between their error terms. Throughout this paper, we refer to the items of the PERS and PERS-S based on their position within the PERS (e.g., item 5 of the PERS-S is referred to as item 11, as this is its position within the PERS). In Appendix A we provide a list of the PERS-S items, noting their respective order when presented in the PERS-S as compared to the PERS. Both forms of the questionnaire are freely available for use and are provided in Appendix A.

Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21. The Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21; Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995) is a 21-item self-report measure of *Depression*, *Anxiety*, and *Stress* symptoms experienced in the past week. All items can be combined into a *Total scale* score representing overall psychological distress. Items are scored on a 4-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicate more severe symptomatology.

Emotion Regulation Questionnaire. The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ; Gross & John, 2003) is a 10-item self-report measure of two emotion regulation strategies,

Cognitive reappraisal and *Expressive suppression*. Items are answered on a 7-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating more usage of that strategy. High *Expressive suppression* scores and low *Cognitive reappraisal* scores suggest emotion regulation difficulties (Gross & John, 2003).

Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale. The Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS; Gratz & Roemer, 2004) is a 36-item self-report measure of difficulties regulating negative emotions and alexithymia. Items correspond to six subscales: *Strategies*, *Impulse*, *Goals*, *Non-acceptance*, *Clarity*, and *Awareness*. Items are answered on a 5-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating more difficulties. Gratz and Roemer (2004) consider all six subscales to measure facets of emotion regulation, and sum all items into a *Total scale* score. We and some other authors, however, disagree with the inclusion of alexithymia components (i.e., the *Clarity* and *Awareness* subscales) within the definition of emotion regulation (e.g., Gross, 2014; John & Eng, 2014; Preece et al., 2017), and psychometrically these alexithymia subscales do not load on the same higher-order factor as the other DERS subscales (e.g., Zelkowitz & Cole, 2016). Consequently, in addition to the traditional *Total scale* score, we derive and prioritise two further composite scores; a *Regulation composite* score comprised of the *Strategies*, *Impulse*, *Goals* and *Non-acceptance* subscales, and an *Alexithymia composite* score comprised of the *Clarity* and *Awareness* subscales (see also Preece et al., 2017).


Analysis

LISREL 8.80 was used to perform CFAs, SPSS 24 was used for all other analyses. All PERS items were reasonably normally distributed (maximum skewness = -1.11, maximum kurtosis = 2.23).


Factor structure. The factor structure of the PERS or PERS-S was examined via a series of CFAs using maximum likelihood estimation (ML) based on a Pearson covariance

matrix. Following the recommendations of Curran, West and Finch (1996), we calculated and reported results for both *normal theory ML* and Satorra and Bentler's (1994) *robust ML* (RML). RML uses a scaled χ^2 statistic (S-B χ^2) and performs better than ML when the data are not perfectly normally distributed (Curran et al., 1996; Han, Burns, Weed, Hatchett, & Kurokawa, 2009). For both forms of the questionnaire, four models of increasing complexity were examined (see Figure 4.1): a 1-factor model; a 2-factor correlated model comprised of two valence specific first-order factors; a 6-factor correlated model comprised of the six intended subscales as first-order factors; and a 6-factor higher-order model where these six first-order factors were specified to load on two valence specific second-order factors. Model goodness-of-fit was evaluated via the χ^2 statistic (and S-B χ^2) and three fit indexes: the comparative fit index (CFI), the normed fit index (NFI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). A non-significant ($p > .05$) χ^2 statistic suggests excellent fit, however in large sample sizes χ^2 is highly sensitive to small deviations from the model in the data, and often rejects models that are parsimonious and a reasonable approximation of the data (see Browne & Cudeck, 1992; Schumacker & Lomax, 2004); hence we relied primarily on CFI, NFI and RMSEA here. CFI and NFI values $\geq .90$ were judged to indicate acceptable fit, as were RMSEA values $\leq .08$ (Bentler & Bonnet, 1980; Browne & Cudeck, 1992; Kline, 2005; Marsh, Hau, & Wen, 2004). To directly compare the fit of the models the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and χ^2 (and S-B χ^2) difference tests were also used. AIC penalises model complexity and lower AIC values indicate better fit (Byrne, 2013).


1-factor model



2-factor correlated model



6-factor correlated model



6-factor higher-order model




Figure 4.1. The assessed confirmatory factor analysis models for the Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale. Each model was also assessed for the Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale-Short Form (PERS-S) by including only the 18 items of the PERS-S. Ellipses indicate latent factors, squares indicate item numbers, item error terms are not displayed. P-act = Positive-activation, P-int = Positive-intensity, P-dur = Positive-duration, N-act = Negative-activation, N-int = Negative-intensity, N-dur = Negative-duration, Positive = General positive reactivity, Negative = General negative reactivity.

Concurrent validity. Pearson correlations between PERS or PERS-S scores and DASS-21, ERQ and DERS scores were calculated. Because depressive and anxiety disorders are characterised by high levels of negative affect (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), it was expected that high negative reactivity and low positive reactivity would be associated with significantly higher levels of depression, anxiety and stress symptoms, and more emotion regulation difficulties (Gross & Jazaieri, 2014).

Internal consistency reliability. Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficients were calculated for each of the subscale and composite scores. Reliability coefficients $\geq .70$ were considered acceptable, $\geq .80$ were considered good, and $\geq .90$ excellent (Groth-Marnat, 2009).

Results

Descriptive statistics are reported in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1

Descriptive Statistics and Cronbach's Alpha (α) Internal Reliability Coefficients for the PERS, PERS-S, DASS-21, ERQ and DERS

Measure/scale	Total sample ($n = 428$)			Females ($n = 259$)		Males ($n = 169$)	
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	α	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
PERS							
General negative reactivity	44.10	13.29	.94	44.81	13.17	43.01	13.43
Negative-activation	14.40	4.83	.85	14.61	4.72	14.08	4.98
Negative-intensity	15.57	4.59	.85	15.82	4.59	15.18	4.58
Negative-duration	14.14	4.97	.88	14.38	4.96	13.76	5.00
General positive reactivity	52.15	9.10	.92	53.29	9.07	50.39	8.89
Positive-activation	17.50	3.74	.79	17.94	3.77	16.83	3.59
Positive-intensity	15.74	3.07	.84	16.03	3.10	15.30	2.97
Positive-duration	18.90	3.67	.86	19.32	3.61	18.26	3.71
PERS-S							
General negative reactivity	26.54	8.14	.91	27.08	8.06	25.70	8.20
Negative-activation	8.68	2.10	.76	8.85	2.96	8.43	3.04
Negative-intensity	9.13	2.93	.81	9.34	2.93	8.80	2.92
Negative-duration	8.73	3.13	.85	8.89	3.11	8.47	3.16
General positive reactivity	33.20	6.88	.92	34.07	6.76	31.86	6.88
Positive-activation	11.11	2.50	.79	11.43	2.47	10.63	2.48
Positive-intensity	10.79	2.52	.82	11.05	2.52	10.38	2.48
Positive-duration	11.29	2.61	.83	11.58	2.53	10.86	2.68
DASS-21							
Total scale	13.58	12.93	.96	13.54	12.60	13.64	13.44
Depression	4.49	5.24	.94	4.25	5.02	4.86	5.54
Anxiety	3.57	4.12	.86	3.66	4.16	3.44	4.06
Stress	5.52	4.81	.90	5.63	4.81	5.35	4.83
ERQ							
Cognitive reappraisal	29.49	6.61	.89	30.13	6.47	28.51	6.46
Expressive suppression	15.03	5.42	.81	13.97	5.33	16.65	5.15
DERS							
Total scale	75.30	12.35	.94	74.92	21.21	75.87	21.60
Regulation composite	50.08	18.01	.96	50.69	18.19	49.14	17.75
Alexithymia composite	25.22	7.59	.87	24.23	7.35	26.73	7.73
Non-acceptance	13.04	5.67	.90	13.19	5.71	12.80	5.61
Goals	13.56	4.98	.89	13.87	5.06	13.09	4.83
Impulse	11.49	5.09	.89	11.72	5.27	11.14	4.81
Strategies	11.98	5.35	.92	11.90	5.41	12.11	5.25
Clarity	9.93	3.62	.82	9.77	3.50	10.17	3.79
Awareness	15.29	5.09	.85	14.46	4.96	16.56	5.05

Note. PERS = Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale, PERS-S = Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale-Short Form, DASS-21 = Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21, ERQ = Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale.

Factor Structure

For both forms of the questionnaire, all fit indexes and χ^2 or S-B χ^2 difference tests ($ps < .001$) suggested that the 6-factor correlated model and 6-factor higher-order model were the best solutions (for fit index values, factor loadings, and factor intercorrelations, see Tables 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, respectively). This pattern of results was similar across ML and RML analyses, so we summarise only the RML results here. The 6-factor correlated model was an excellent fit to the data according to S-B χ^2 , CFI, NFI, and RMSEA; all items loaded well ($>.40$) on their intended factor, and the three first-order factors within each valence domain were strongly positively correlated. For both forms of the questionnaire, AIC and S-B χ^2 difference tests ($ps < .001$) indicated that the 6-factor higher-order model was slightly worse fitting than the 6-factor correlated model,⁵ but the 6-factor higher-order model was still an excellent fit to the data according to CFI, NFI, and RSMEA. Indeed, all first-order factors loaded strongly (factor loadings $>.40$) on their valence specific second-order factor. These valence specific second-order factors were negatively correlated (PERS estimated $r = -.47$, $p < .001$; PERS-S estimated $r = -.53$, $p < .001$), thus emphasising the importance of the valence distinction. Because we think deriving these higher-order factor scores makes theoretical sense (i.e., it is consistent with contemporary theorising that the activation, intensity and duration aspects of emotional reactivity form separable parts of a common latent construct; Davidson, 1998) and increases the utility of these questionnaires, the 6-factor higher-order model was, on balance, our preferred solution in this data-set. The PERS and PERS-S therefore displayed the same theoretically congruent factor structure.

⁵ All χ^2 and S-B χ^2 difference tests between the examined models were statistically significant ($p < .001$), indicating that the 6-factor correlated model was better fitting than the 6-factor higher-order model, which was in turn better fitting than the 2-factor correlated model, which was in turn better fitting than the 1-factor model.

Table 4.2

*PERS and PERS-S, Goodness-of-Fit Values for the Tested Confirmatory Factor Analysis Models**(Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Robust Maximum Likelihood Estimation)*

Model	χ^2 or S-B χ^2 (df)	<i>p</i>	CFI	NFI	RMSEA (90% CI)	AIC
PERS						
ML						
1-factor model	3619.807 (405)	<.001	.875	.862	.228 (.224-.232)	9538.730
2-factor correlated model	1577.709 (404)	<.001	.954	.940	.0912 (.0870-.0955)	1961.081
6-factor correlated model	1190.054 (390)	<.001	.969	.955	.0729 (.0685-.0774)	1424.995
6-factor higher-order model	1301.982 (400)	<.001	.965	.950	.0770 (.0726-.0813)	1541.965
RML						
1-factor model	3077.519 (405)	<.001	.896	.883	.1240 (.1200-.1280)	3197.519
2-factor correlated model	559.270 (404)	<.001	.994	.979	.0300 (.0237-.0358)	681.270
6-factor correlated model	388.601 (390)	.510	1.00	.985	.0000 (.0000-.0171)	538.601
6-factor higher-order model	436.865 (400)	.099	.999	.983	.0147 (.0000-.0232)	566.865
PERS-S						
ML						
1-factor model	1836.717 (135)	<.001	.851	.842	.264 (.257-.271)	4221.675
2-factor correlated model	622.758 (134)	<.001	.957	.946	.100 (.0928-.107)	780.632
6-factor correlated model	343.812 (120)	<.001	.976	.963	.0759 (.0666-.0853)	446.501
6-factor higher-order model	450.686 (130)	<.001	.972	.961	.0788 (.0713-.0865)	557.090
RML						
1-factor model	1464.995 (135)	<.001	.884	.874	.1520 (.1450-.1590)	1536.995
2-factor correlated model	242.674 (134)	<.001	.991	.979	.0436 (.0347-.0523)	316.674
6-factor correlated model	126.374 (120)	.327	.999	.989	.0112 (.0000-.0270)	228.374
6-factor higher-order model	166.923 (130)	.016	.997	.986	.0258 (.0118-.0366)	248.923

Note. ML = maximum likelihood estimation, RML = robust maximum likelihood estimation, CFI = comparative fit index, NFI = normed fit index, RMSEA = root mean square error of approximation, AIC = Akaike information criterion, CI = confidence interval. To statistically test the fit of a higher-order model, four first-order factors are normally required per second-order factor (so that the second-order portion of the model is overidentified; Chen et al., 2005). However, our higher-order models included only three first-order factors per second-order factor; following the recommendations of Meganck et al. (2008) we hence gained additional degrees of freedom by specifying an additional equality constraint among the loadings for each second-order factor.

Table 4.3

PERS and PERS-S, Estimated Factor Intercorrelations from Confirmatory Factor

Analyses of the 6-Factor Correlated Model (Robust Maximum Likelihood Estimation)

Factor	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
F1 Positive-activation	-	.89	.92	-.37	-.36	-.49
F2 Positive-intensity	.90	-	.80	-.32	-.20	-.39
F3 Positive-duration	.91	.78	-	-.58	-.52	-.60
F4 Negative-activation	-.34	-.33	-.54	-	.86	.89
F5 Negative-intensity	-.30	-.19	-.50	.90	-	.86
F6 Negative-duration	-.45	-.37	-.58	.90	.90	-

Note. All correlations were statistically significant, $p < .05$. Correlations below the diagonal are for the PERS, those above the diagonal are for the PERS-S.

Table 4.4

PERS and PERS-S, Completely Standardised Item Factor Loadings from Confirmatory Factor Analyses of the 6-Factor Higher-Order Model (Robust Maximum Likelihood Estimation)

Factor/item	PERS	PERS-S
General positive reactivity (second-order factor)		
Positive-activation (first-order factor)	.988 ^a	.975 ^a
1 - I tend to get happy very easily	.769	.776
7 - My emotions go automatically from neutral to positive	.402	-
13 - I tend to get enthusiastic about things very quickly	.588	-
19 - I feel good about positive things in an instant	.775	.761
25 - I react to good news very quickly	.704	.687
Positive-intensity (first-order factor)		
5 - I think I experience happiness more intensely than my friends	.873 ^a	.863 ^a
11 - When I am joyful, I tend to feel it very deeply	.676	-
17 - I experience positive mood very strongly	.755	.758
23 - When I'm enthusiastic about something, I feel it very powerfully	.873	.880
29 - When I'm enthusiastic about something, I feel it very powerfully	.674	.682
29 - I experience positive feelings more deeply than my relatives and friends	.586	-
Positive-duration (first-order factor)		
3 - When I'm happy, the feeling stays with me for quite a while	.929 ^a	.952 ^a
9 - When I'm feeling positive, I can stay like that for a good part of the day	.846	.844
15 - I can remain enthusiastic for quite a while	.839	.832
21 - I can remain enthusiastic for quite a while	.790	.801
27 - I stay happy for a while if I receive pleasant news	.701	-
27 - If someone pays me a compliment, it improves my mood for a long time	.452	-
General negative reactivity (second-order factor)		
Negative-activation (first-order factor)	.948 ^a	.951 ^a
2 - I tend to get upset very easily	.738	.718
8 - I tend to get disappointed very easily	.737	.729
14 - I tend to get frustrated very easily	.771	-
20 - My emotions go from neutral to negative very quickly	.740	-
26 - I tend to get pessimistic about negative things very quickly	.704	.717
Negative-intensity (first-order factor)		
6 - If I'm upset, I feel it more intensely than everyone else	.941 ^a	.898 ^a
12 - I experience the feeling of frustration very deeply	.662	.664
12 - I experience the feeling of frustration very deeply	.731	-
18 - Normally, when I'm unhappy I feel it very strongly	.756	.774
24 - When I'm angry I feel it very powerfully	.756	.774
24 - When I'm angry I feel it very powerfully	.661	-
30 - My negative feelings feel very intense	.835	.863
Negative-duration (first-order factor)		
4 - When I'm upset, it takes me quite a while to snap out of it	.954 ^a	.953 ^a
4 - When I'm upset, it takes me quite a while to snap out of it	.765	.759
10 - It takes me longer than other people to get over an anger episode	.725	-
16 - It's hard for me to recover from frustration	.794	.775
22 - Once in a negative mood, it's hard to snap out of it	.833	.859
28 - When annoyed about something, it ruins my entire day	.718	-

Note. ^aLoading of first-order factor on valence-specific second-order factor. All loadings were statistically significant, $p < .001$. Results from second-order exploratory factor analyses of the PERS and PERS-S subscales are also provided in Appendix B material.

Concurrent Validity

Correlations with DASS-21, ERQ and DERS scores supported the validity of PERS and PERS-S scores. A table displaying all Pearson correlations is provided in Appendix B. As predicted, high scores on the *General negative reactivity* composite were significantly associated ($ps < .05$) with higher levels of *Depression* (PERS $r = .61$; PERS-S $r = .62$), *Anxiety* (PERS $r = .52$; PERS-S $r = .51$), and *Stress* (PERS $r = .64$; PERS-S $r = .62$) on the DASS-21, and higher levels of emotion regulation difficulties on the DERS *Regulation composite* (PERS $r = .74$; PERS-S $r = .72$). People with higher levels of negative reactivity also reported using significantly more *Expressive suppression* (PERS $r = .13$; PERS-S $r = .11$) and less *Cognitive reappraisal* (PERS $r = -.30$; PERS-S $r = -.30$) on the ERQ. Conversely, high scores on the *General positive reactivity* composite were significantly associated with lower levels of *Depression* (PERS $r = -.39$; PERS-S $r = -.46$), *Anxiety* (PERS $r = -.23$; PERS-S $r = -.31$), and *Stress* (PERS $r = -.27$; PERS-S $r = -.34$) on the DASS-21, and lower levels of emotion regulation difficulties on the DERS *Regulation composite* (PERS $r = -.23$; PERS-S $r = -.33$). People with higher levels of positive reactivity also reported using significantly less *Expressive suppression* (PERS $r = -.25$; PERS-S $r = -.27$) and more *Cognitive reappraisal* (PERS $r = .43$; PERS-S $r = .45$) on the ERQ. PERS scores also correlated highly with their corresponding subscale or composite in the PERS-S (rs ranging from .80 to .98, all $ps < .001$), suggesting that scores from the two forms were reasonably

comparable.⁶

Internal Consistency Reliability

Reliability coefficients for the PERS were excellent at the composite score level and acceptable to good at the subscale score level. Reliability coefficients for the PERS-S were, generally, slightly lower than those of the PERS, but were still excellent at the composite score level and acceptable to good at the subscale score level (see Table 4.1).

Discussion

Our purpose was to examine the psychometric properties of PERS scores and introduce a short form of the measure. Overall, PERS and PERS-S scores were similar in their psychometric performance and both forms appeared to be valid measures of emotional reactivity.

Consistent with the original factor analytic examination of the PERS (Becerra et al., 2017), the PERS and PERS-S both had a theoretically congruent factor structure in our larger community sample. Our CFAs indicated that the structure of the PERS and PERS-S was well represented by six first-order factors (the intended subscales) subsumed within two valence-specific second-order factors (the intended composites). All subscale and composite scores demonstrated high internal consistency reliability. The PERS-S subscale and composite scores therefore appeared to retain the key strengths of their longer PERS counterparts; allowing the activation, intensity, and duration components of the construct to be robustly assessed in a valence-specific manner.

Scores from both forms of the measure also correlated in expected ways with scores from measures of psychopathology and emotion regulation. High levels of negative

⁶ Compared to the PERS *Positive-intensity* subscale, the PERS-S version tended to correlate slightly more strongly with some of the psychopathology and emotion regulation measures (see Appendix B). Nonetheless, the two versions of the subscale still correlated highly with each other ($r = .80$), and loaded similarly on the second-order “General positive reactivity” factor (loadings = .87 or .86) in CFAs, suggesting that they were reasonably comparable.

reactivity, and low levels of positive reactivity, were significantly associated with emotion regulation difficulties and depression, anxiety and stress symptoms. This is consistent with contemporary theorising that negative emotions of greater intensity are likely to be more difficult to regulate, and poor emotion regulation skills (i.e., difficulty down-regulating negative emotions and up-regulating positive emotions when attempting to fulfil typical hedonic motivations) will often contribute to an emotional profile characterised by high negative reactivity and low positive reactivity (Gross & Barrett, 2011; Gross & Jazaieri, 2014). In our community data-set, high positive reactivity was therefore generally aligned with good psychological well-being. In clinical data-sets, however, we expect that high PERS or PERS-S positive reactivity scores may also sometimes be indicative of underlying psychopathology, particularly in patients who experience manic episodes (Henry et al., 2008).

Contemporary models of psychopathology consistently highlight the importance of abnormal emotional reactivity (e.g., Gross & Jazaieri, 2014; Rottenberg & Johnson, 2007), and in this context, we expect that future use of the PERS or PERS-S in clinical samples will be helpful in further establishing the typical reactivity profiles of various diagnostic categories. In clinical cases where abnormal levels of reactivity are indicated, treatment programs that focus on improving emotion regulation skills are likely to be beneficial (e.g., Barlow et al., 2010). Because our data suggest that PERS scores have slightly higher reliability than PERS-S scores, we think the longer form will be preferable for examiners wanting to maximise reliability for important clinical decisions, however, based on the available evidence, we expect the short form will be sufficient for most research purposes.

Overall then, we think our study makes a useful contribution, however several limitations should be noted. Firstly, our findings apply only to adults from the general community, so the psychometric performance of PERS and PERS-S scores in clinical and

adolescent samples still needs to be tested. Secondly, because we selected the 18 PERS-S items based on their statistical performance in this sample and Becerra et al.'s (2017) sample, to some extent this will have capitalised on the specific characteristics of these samples and optimised performance around these data-sets. We also did not administer the PERS-S as a separate instrument, but instead derived its scores from responses on the PERS, which will have inflated the degree of similarity we observed between the PERS and PERS-S. Future work is needed to test the replicability of our results when the PERS-S is administered independently to other samples. Thirdly, we examined concurrent validity only in terms of correlations with other self-report questionnaires; a natural progression for future studies would be to also investigate how PERS and PERS-S scores relate to laboratory-based or behavioural markers of emotional reactivity. Fourthly, PERS and PERS-S scores are intended to measure trait levels of reactivity, but we did not examine their test-retest reliability. Future work should investigate the extent to which PERS and PERS-S scores are consistent over time.

References

- Abler, B. & Kessler, H. (2009). Emotion Regulation Questionnaire - Eine deutsche Fassung des ERQ von Gross & John. *Diagnostica*, 55, 144–152.
- Aldao, A., & Christensen, K. (2015). Linking the expanded process model of emotion regulation to psychopathology by focusing on behavioral outcomes of regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 27–36.
- Aldao, A., Gee, D. G., De Los Reyes, A., & Seager, I. (2016). Emotion regulation as a transdiagnostic factor in the development of internalizing and externalizing psychopathology: Current and future directions. *Development and Psychopathology*, 28, 927-946.
- Aldao, A., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2010). Specificity of cognitive emotion regulation strategies: A transdiagnostic examination. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48, 974-983.
- Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 217-237.
- Aldao, A., Sheppes, G., & Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation flexibility. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 39, 263–278.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Amodio, D. M., Master, S. L., Yee, C. M., & Taylor, S. E. (2008). Neurocognitive components of the behavioral inhibition and activation systems: Implications for theories of self-regulation. *Psychophysiology*, 45, 11–19.

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2016). *Education and work, Australia* (no. 6227.0).

Retrieved from <http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/6227.0>.

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2017a). *2016 Census Data Summary: Education*

qualifications in Australia. Retrieved from

<http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/2071.02016?OpenDocument>

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2017b). *2016 Census data summary: Cultural diversity in*

Australia. Retrieved from

<http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/2071.02016?OpenDocument>

Bach, M., Bach, D., De Zwaan, M., Serim, M., & Böhmer, F. (1996). Validation of the German version of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale in normal persons and psychiatric patients. *Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik, Medizinische Psychologie*, 46, 23–28.

Bachorowski, J. A., & Braaten, E. B. (1994). Emotional intensity: Measurement and theoretical implications. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 17, 191–199.

Badcock, J. C., Paulik, G., & Maybery, M. T. (2011). The role of emotion regulation in auditory hallucinations. *Psychiatry Research*, 185, 303–308.

Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D., & Taylor, G. J. (1994). The twenty-item Toronto alexithymia scale—I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 38, 23–32.

Bagby, R. M., Quilty, L. C., Taylor, G. J., Grabe, H. J., Luminet, O., Verissimo, R., ... Vanheule, S. (2009). Are there subtypes of alexithymia? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 47, 413–418.

- Bagby, R. M., Taylor, G. J., Parker, J. D., & Dickens, S. E. (2006). The development of the Toronto structured interview for alexithymia: Item selection, factor structure, reliability and concurrent validity. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 75, 25–39.
- Bagby, R. M., Taylor, G. J., Quilty, L. C., & Parker, J. D. (2007). Reexamining the factor structure of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale: Commentary on Gignac, Palmer, and Stough. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 89, 258–264.
- Bailey, P. E., & Henry, J. D. (2007). Alexithymia, somatization and negative affect in a community sample. *Psychiatry Research*, 150, 13–20.
- Balzarotti, S., Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2010). An Italian adaptation of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 26, 61–67.
- Bankier, B., Aigner, M., & Bach, M. (2001). Alexithymia in DSM-IV disorder: Comparative evaluation of somatoform disorder, panic disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and depression. *Psychosomatics*, 42, 235–240.
- Bardeen, J. R., Fergus, T. A., & Orcutt, H. K. (2012). An examination of the latent structure of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 34, 382–392.
- Bardeen, J. R., Fergus, T. A., Hannan, S. M., & Orcutt, H. K. (2016). Addressing psychometric limitations of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale through item modification. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 98, 298–309.
- Barlow, D. H., Farchione, T. J., Bullis, J. R., Gallagher, M. W., Murray-Latin, H., Sauer-Zavala, S., ... & Ametaj, A. (2017). The unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders compared with diagnosis-specific protocols for anxiety disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 74, 875–884.

- Barlow, D. H., Farchione, T. J., Fairholme, C. P., Ellard, K. K., Boisseau, C. L., Allen, L. B., & May, J. T. E. (2010). *Unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders: Therapist guide*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Barrett, L. F. (2009). The future of psychology: Connecting mind to brain. *Perspectives in Psychological Science*, 4, 326–339.
- Barrett, L. F., Gross, J., Christensen, T. C., & Benvenuto, M. (2001). Knowing what you're feeling and knowing what to do about it: Mapping the relation between emotion differentiation and emotion regulation. *Cognition and Emotion*, 15, 713–724.
- Bausch, S., Stingl, M., Hartmann, L. C., Leibing, E., Leichsenring, F., Kruse, J., ... Leweke, F. (2011). Alexithymia and script driven emotional imagery in healthy female subjects: No support for deficiencies in imagination. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 52, 179–184.
- Becerra, R., & Campitelli, G. (2013). Emotional reactivity: Critical analysis and proposal of a new scale. *International Journal of Applied Psychology*, 3, 161–168.
- Becerra, R., Amos, A., & Jongenelis, S. (2002). Organic alexithymia: A study of acquired emotional blindness. *Brain Injury*, 16, 633–645.
- Becerra, R., Cruise, K., Murray, G., Bassett, D., Harms, C., Allan, A., & Hood, S. (2013). Emotion regulation in bipolar disorder: Are emotion regulation abilities less compromised in euthymic bipolar disorder than unipolar depressive or anxiety disorders? *Open Journal of Psychiatry*, 3, 1–7.
- Becerra, R., Preece, D., Campitelli, G., & Scott-Pillow, G. (2017). The assessment of emotional reactivity across negative and positive emotions: Development and validation of the Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale (PERS). *Assessment*, 1-13. doi: 10.1177/1073191117694455

- Beck, A. T., & Dozois, D. J. (2011). Cognitive therapy: Current status and future directions. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 62, 397-409.
- Bentler, P. M., & Bonett, D. G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88, 588-606.
- Berenbaum, H. (1996). Childhood abuse, alexithymia and personality disorder. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 41, 585-595.
- Bermond, B., Clayton, K., Liberova, A., Luminet, O., Maruszewski, T., Ricci Bitti, P. E., & Wicherts, J. (2007). A cognitive and an affective dimension of alexithymia in six languages and seven populations. *Cognition and Emotion*, 21, 1125-1136.
- Bermond, B., Oosterveld, P., & Vorst, H. C. M. (2015). Measures of alexithymia. In G. J. Boyle, D. H. Saklofske, & G. Matthews (Eds.), *Measures of personality and social psychological constructs* (pp. 227-256). San Diego: Academic.
- Bermond, B., Vorst, H. C., Vingerhoets, A. J., & Gerritsen, W. (1999). The Amsterdam alexithymia scale: Its psychometric values and correlations with other personality traits. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 68, 241-251.
- Bilotta, E., Giacomantonio, M., Leone, L., Mancini, F., & Coriale, G. (2015). Being alexithymic: Necessity or convenience. Negative emotionality \times avoidant coping interactions and alexithymia. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, 89, 261-275.
- Bonanno, G. A., & Burton, C. L. (2013). Regulatory flexibility: An individual differences perspective on coping and emotion regulation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 591-612.
- Boss, A. D., & Sims, H. P. (2008). Everyone fails! Using emotion regulation and self-leadership for recovery. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 23, 135-150.

- Boyes, M. E., Carmody, T. M., Clarke, P. J., & Hasking, P. A. (2017). Emotional reactivity and perseveration: Independent dimensions of trait positive and negative affectivity and differential associations with psychological distress. *Personality and Individual Differences, 105*, 70-77.
- Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., & Salovey, P. (2011). Emotional intelligence: Implications for personal, social, academic, and workplace success. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5*, 88-103.
- Bradley, M. M., & Lang, P. J. (2007). The international affective picture system (IAPS) in the study of emotion and attention. In J. A. Coan, & J. J. B. Allen (Eds.). *Handbook of emotion elicitation and assessment* (pp. 29–46). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Bressi, C., Taylor, G., Parker, J., Bressi, S., Brambilla, V., Aguglia, E., et al. (1996). Cross validation of the factor structure of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale: An Italian multicenter study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 41*, 551–559.
- Brown, T. A. (2007). Temporal course and structural relationships among dimensions of temperament and DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorder constructs. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 116*, 313-328.
- Brown, T. A. (2014). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. New York: Guilford Publications.
- Brown, T. A., Chorpita, B. F., & Barlow, D. H. (1998). Structural relationships among dimensions of the DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorders and dimensions of negative affect, positive affect, and autonomic arousal. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 107*, 179-192.
- Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1992). Alternate ways of assessing model fit. *Sociological Methods & Research, 21*, 230–258.

- Bucci, W. (1997). Symptoms and symbols: A multiple code theory of somatization. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry, 17*, 151–172.
- Bullis, J. R., Sauer-Zavala, S., Bentley, K. H., Thompson-Hollands, J., Carl, J. R., & Barlow, D. H. (2015). The unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders: preliminary exploration of effectiveness for group delivery. *Behavior Modification, 39*, 295–321.
- Butler, E. A., Lee, T. L., & Gross, J. J. (2007). Emotion regulation and culture: Are the social consequences of emotion suppression culture-specific? *Emotion, 7*, 30–48.
- Bydlowski, S., Corcos, M., Jeammet, P., Paterniti, S., Berthoz, S., Laurier, C., et al. (2005). Emotional-processing deficits in eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 37*, 321–329.
- Byrne, B. M. (2013). *Structural equation modeling with LISREL, PRELIS, and SIMPLIS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Byrne, B. M. (2016). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Cabello, R., Salguero, J. M., Fernández-Berrocal, P., & Gross, J. J. (2013). A Spanish adaptation of the emotion regulation questionnaire. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 29*, 234–240.
- Campbell-Sills, L., & Barlow, D. H. (2007). Incorporating emotion regulation into conceptualizations and treatments of anxiety and mood disorders. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 542–559). New York: Guilford Press.
- Campbell-Sills, L., Ellard, K. K., & Barlow, D. H. (2014). Emotion regulation in anxiety disorders. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 393–412). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

- Campos, A., Chiva, M., & Moreau, M. (2000). Alexithymia and mental imagery. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 29, 787–791.
- Capaldi, D. M., & Rothbart, M. K. (1992). Development and validation of an early adolescent temperament measure. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 12, 153–173.
- Caretti, V., Porcelli, P., Solano, L., Schimmenti, A., Bagby, R. M., & Taylor, G. J. (2011). Reliability and validity of the Toronto structured interview for alexithymia in a mixed clinical and nonclinical sample from Italy. *Psychiatry Research*, 187, 432–436.
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56, 267–283.
- Catanzaro, S. J., & Mearns, J. (1990). Measuring generalized expectancies for negative mood regulation: Initial scale development and implications. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 54, 546–563.
- Cattell, R. B. (1943). The description of personality: Basic traits resolved into clusters. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 38, 476–506.
- Cattell, R. B. (1963). Theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence: A critical experiment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 54, 1–22.
- Chen, F. F., Sousa, K. H., & West, S. G. (2005). Testing measurement invariance of second-order factor models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 12, 471–492.
doi:10.1207/s15328007sem1203_7
- Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (1999). Testing factorial invariance across groups: A reconceptualization and proposed new method. *Journal of Management*, 25, 1–27.
- Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9, 233–255.

- Claes, L., Smits, D., & Bijttebier, P. (2014). The Dutch version of the Emotion Reactivity Scale: Validation and relation with various behaviors in a sample of high school students. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 30*, 73-79.
- Cleland, C., Magura, S., Foote, J., Rosenblum, A., & Kosanke, N. (2005). Psychometric properties of the Toronto alexithymia scale (TAS-20) for substance users. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 58*, 299–306.
- Cole, P. M., Michel, M. K., & Teti, L. O. D. (1994). The development of emotion regulation and dysregulation: A clinical perspective. *Monographs of the society for research in child development, 59*, 73-102.
- Comrey, A. L. (1988). Factor-analytic methods of scale development in personality and clinical psychology. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 56*, 754-761.
- Conklin, L. R., Cassiello-Robbins, C., Brake, C. A., Sauer-Zavala, S., Farchione, T. J., Ciraulo, D. A., & Barlow, D. H. (2015). Relationships among adaptive and maladaptive emotion regulation strategies and psychopathology during the treatment of comorbid anxiety and alcohol use disorders. *Behaviour Research and Therapy, 73*, 124-130.
- Connelly, M., & Denney, D. R. (2007). Regulation of emotions during experimental stress in alexithymia. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 62*, 649–656.
- Consedine, N. S., & Mauss, I. (2014). Tasks, capacities, and tactics: A skill-based conceptualization of emotion regulation across the lifespan. In P. Verhaeghen & C. Hertzog (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of emotion, social cognition, and problem solving in adulthood* (pp. 142-154). New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press.
- Cooper, M. L., Frone, M. R., Russell, M., & Mudar, P. (1995). Drinking to regulate positive and negative emotions: A motivational model of alcohol use. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*, 990-1005.

- Coriale, G., Bilotta, E., Leone, L., Cosimi, F., Porrari, R., De Rosa, F., & Ceccanti, M. (2012). Avoidance coping strategies, alexithymia and alcohol abuse: A mediation analysis. *Addictive Behaviors, 37*, 1224–1229.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). Normal personality assessment in clinical practice: The NEO Personality Inventory. *Psychological Assessment, 4*, 5-13.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1995). Domains and facets: Hierarchical personality assessment using the Revised NEO Personality Inventory. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 64*, 21-50.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (2010). *The NEO personality inventory-3*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Curran, P. J., West, S. G., & Finch, J. F. (1996). The robustness of test statistics to nonnormality and specification error in confirmatory factor analysis. *Psychological Methods, 1*, 16-29.
- Czernecka, K., & Szymura, B. (2008). Alexithymia–imagination–creativity. *Personality and Individual Differences, 45*, 445–450.
- D’Argembeau, A., & Van der Linden, M. (2006). Individual differences in the phenomenology of mental time travel: The effect of vivid visual imagery and emotion regulation strategies. *Consciousness and Cognition, 15*, 342–350.
- D’Avanzato, C., Joormann, J., Siemer, M., & Gotlib, I. H. (2013). Emotion regulation in depression and anxiety: examining diagnostic specificity and stability of strategy use. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 37*, 968-980.
- Davidson, R. J. (1998). Affective style and affective disorders: Perspectives from affective neuroscience. *Cognition and Emotion, 12*, 307–330.

- Davidson, R. J. (2015). Comment: Affective chronometry has come of age. *Emotion Review*, 7, 368-370.
- Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for a multidimensional approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44, 113–126.
- De France, K., & Hollenstein, T. (2017). Assessing emotion regulation repertoires: The regulation of emotion systems survey. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 119, 204–215.
- de Timary, P., Luts, A., Hers, D., & Luminet, O. (2008). Absolute and relative stability of alexithymia in alcoholic inpatients undergoing alcohol withdrawal: Relationship to depression and anxiety. *Psychiatry Research*, 157, 105–113.
- Diaz, A., & Eisenberg, N. (2015). The process of emotion regulation is different from individual differences in emotion regulation: Conceptual arguments and a focus on individual differences. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 37–47.
- Duddu, V., Isaac, M. K., & Chaturvedi, S. K. (2003). Alexithymia in somatoform and depressive disorders. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 54, 435–438.
- du Pont, A., Welker, K. Gilbert, K. E., & Gruber, J. (2016). The emerging field of positive emotion dysregulation. In K. D. Vohs & R. F. Baumeister (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation: Research, theory and applications* (pp. 364-379). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Eastabrook, J. M., Lanteigne, D. M., & Hollenstein, T. (2013). Decoupling between physiological, self-reported, and expressed emotional responses in alexithymia. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 55, 978–982.

- Edwards, E. R., & Wupperman, P. (2017). Emotion regulation mediates effects of alexithymia and emotion differentiation on impulsive aggressive behavior. *Deviant Behavior, 38*, 1160–1171.
- Edwards, E., Shivaji, S., & Wupperman, P. (2018). The emotion mapping activity: Preliminary evaluation of a mindfulness-informed exercise to improve emotion labeling in alexithymic persons. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 1–9*.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12438>.
- Edwards, E. R., & Wupperman, P. (2017). Emotion regulation mediates effects of alexithymia and emotion differentiation on impulsive aggressive behavior. *Deviant Behavior, 38*, 1160–1171.
- Ehring, T., & Quack, D. (2010). Emotion regulation difficulties in trauma survivors: The role of trauma type and PTSD symptom severity. *Behavior Therapy, 41*, 587–598.
- Eisenberg, N., Fabes, R. A., Guthrie, I. K., & Reiser, M. (2000). Dispositional emotionality and regulation: their role in predicting quality of social functioning. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78*, 136–157.
- Eisenberg, N., Hofer, C., Sulik, M. J., & Spinrad, T. L. (2014). Self-regulation, effortful control, and their socioemotional correlates. In J. J. Gross (Ed.). *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 157–172). New York: Guilford Press.
- Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. V. (1971). Constants across cultures in the face and emotion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 17*, 124–129.
- Ellard, K. K., Fairholme, C. P., Boisseau, C. L., Farchione, T. J., & Barlow, D. H. (2010). Unified protocol for the transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders: Protocol development and initial outcome data. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice, 17*, 88–101.

- English, T., & John, O. P. (2013). Understanding the social effects of emotion regulation: The mediating role of authenticity for individual differences in suppression. *Emotion, 13*, 314-329.
- Erni, T., Lötscher, K., & Modestin, J. (1997). Two-factor solution of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale confirmed. *Psychopathology, 30*, 335-340.
- Etkin, A., Büchel, C., & Gross, J. J. (2015). The neural bases of emotion regulation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16*, 693-700.
- Evers, C., Hopp, H., Gross, J. J., Fischer, A. H., Manstead, A. S., & Mauss, I. B. (2014). Emotion response coherence: A dual-process perspective. *Biological Psychology, 98*, 43-49.
- Eysenck, H. J. (1991). Dimensions of personality: 16, 5 or 3?—Criteria for a taxonomic paradigm. *Personality and Individual Differences, 12*, 773-790.
- Fabrigar, L. R., Wegener, D. T., MacCallum, R. C., & Strahan, E. J. (1999). Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. *Psychological Methods, 4*, 272-299.
- Fairholme, C. P., Boisseau, C. L., Ellard, K. K., Ehrenreich, J. T., & Barlow, D. H. (2010). Emotions, emotion regulation, and psychological treatment: A unified perspective. In A. M. King, & D. M. Sloan (Eds.). *Emotion regulation and psychopathology: A transdiagnostic approach to etiology and treatment* (pp. 283-309). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Farchione, T. J., Fairholme, C. P., Ellard, K. K., Boisseau, C. L., Thompson-Hollands, J., Carl, J. R., ... & Barlow, D. H. (2012). Unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders: A randomized controlled trial. *Behavior Therapy, 43*, 666-678.

- Fernandes, B. S., Williams, L. M., Steiner, J., Leboyer, M., Carvalho, A. F., & Berk, M. (2017). The new field of 'precision psychiatry'. *BMC medicine*, 15, 80.
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 219–239.
- Foran, H. M., & O'Leary, K. D. (2013). The role of relationships in understanding the alexithymia–depression link. *European Journal of Personality*, 27, 470–480.
- Fox, H. C., Axelrod, S. R., Paliwal, P., Sleeper, J., & Sinha, R. (2007). Difficulties in emotion regulation and impulse control during cocaine abstinence. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 89, 298–301.
- Fox, H. C., Hong, K. A., & Sinha, R. (2008). Difficulties in emotion regulation and impulse control in recently abstinent alcoholics compared with social drinkers. *Addictive Behaviors*, 33, 388–394.
- Fraley, R. C., Heffernan, M. E., Vicary, A. M., & Brumbaugh, C. C. (2011). The Experiences in Close Relationships—Relationship Structures Questionnaire: A method for assessing attachment orientations across relationships. *Psychological Assessment*, 23, 615–625.
- Friedlander, L., Lumley, M. A., Farchione, T., & Doyal, G. (1997). Testing the alexithymia hypothesis: Physiological and subjective responses during relaxation and stress. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 185, 233–239.
- Fukunishi, I., Yoshida, H., & Wogan, J. (1998). Development of the alexithymia scale for children: A preliminary study. *Psychological Reports*, 82, 43–49.
- Garnefski, N., & Kraaij, V. (2007). The cognitive emotion regulation questionnaire. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 23, 141–149.

- Gerbing, D. W., & Anderson, J. C. (1984). On the meaning of within-factor correlated measurement errors. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 11, 572-580.
- Gerbing, D. W., & Anderson, J. C. (1988). An updated paradigm for scale development incorporating unidimensionality and its assessment. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 25, 186-192.
- Gignac, G. E., Palmer, B. R., & Stough, C. (2007). A confirmatory factor analytic investigation of the TAS-20: Corroboration of a five-factor model and suggestions for improvement. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 89, 247-257.
- Gilbert, K. E., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Gruber, J. (2013). Positive emotion dysregulation across mood disorders: How amplifying versus dampening predicts emotional reactivity and illness course. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 51, 736-741.
- Giuliani, N. R., & Berkman, E. T. (2015). Craving is an affective state and its regulation can be understood in terms of the extended process model of emotion regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 48-53.
- Glenn, C. R., & Klonsky, E. D. (2009). Emotion dysregulation as a core feature of borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 23, 20-28.
- Gold, M. S., & Bentler, P. M. (2000). Treatments of missing data: A Monte Carlo comparison of RBHDI, iterative stochastic regression imputation, and expectation maximization. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 7, 319-355.
- Goldberg, D. P., Krueger, R. F., Andrews, G., & Hobbs, M. J. (2009). Emotional disorders: Cluster 4 of the proposed meta-structure for DSM-V and ICD-11: Paper 5 of 7 of the thematic section: 'A proposal for a meta-structure for DSM-V and ICD-11'. *Psychological Medicine*, 39, 2043-2059.

- Goldin, P. R., & Gross, J. J. (2010). Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on emotion regulation in social anxiety disorder. *Emotion, 10*, 83-91.
- Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). *Primal leadership: Realizing the power of emotional intelligence*. Boston, MA, US: Harvard Business School Press.
- Golena, N. (2014). *The relation between alexithymia and the vividness of memories during the recall of sad memories* (Bachelor's thesis). Enschede, Netherlands: University of Twente.
- Gori, A., Giannini, M., Palmieri, G., Salvini, R., & Schuldberg, D. (2012). Assessment of alexithymia: Psychometric properties of the psychological treatment inventory-alexithymia scale (PTI-AS). *Psychology, 3*, 231-236.
- Gorsuch, R. L. (1983). *Factor analysis*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Grabe, H. J., Löbel, S., Dittrich, D., Bagby, R. M., Taylor, G. J., Quilty, L. C., & Freyberger, H. J. (2009). The German version of the Toronto Structured Interview for Alexithymia: Factor structure, reliability, and concurrent validity in a psychiatric patient sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry, 50*, 424-430.
- Grandey, A. A. (2000). Emotional regulation in the workplace: A new way to conceptualize emotional labor. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 5*, 95-110.
- Gratz, K. L., & Roemer, L. (2004). Multidimensional assessment of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Development, factor structure, and initial validation of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 26*, 41-54.
- Greenberg, L. S., & Paivio, S. C. (2003). *Working with emotions in psychotherapy*. Guilford Press.
- Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology, 2*, 271-299.

- Gross, J. J. (2014). Emotion regulation: Conceptual and empirical foundations. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 3–20). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Gross, J. J. (2015a). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 1–26.
- Gross, J. J. (2015b). The extended process model of emotion regulation: Elaborations, applications, and future directions. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 130–137.
- Gross, J. J., & Barrett, L. F. (2011). Emotion generation and emotion regulation: One or two depends on your point of view. *Emotion Review*, 3, 8–16.
- Gross, J. J., & Jazaieri, H. (2014). Emotion, emotion regulation, and psychopathology: An affective science perspective. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2, 387–401.
- Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: Implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85, 348–362.
- Grossmann, I., Karasawa, M., Kan, C., & Kitayama, S. (2014). A cultural perspective on emotional experiences across the life span. *Emotion*, 14, 679–692.
- Groth-Marnat, G. (2009). *Handbook of psychological assessment*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Gruber, J. (2011). Can feeling too good be bad? Positive emotion persistence (PEP) in bipolar disorder. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 20, 217–221.
- Gruber, J., Eidelman, P., Johnson, S. L., Smith, B., & Harvey, A. G. (2011). Hooked on a feeling: Rumination about positive and negative emotion in inter-episode bipolar disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 120, 956–961.
- Gruber, J., Harvey, A. G., & Gross, J. J. (2012). When trying is not enough: Emotion regulation and the effort–success gap in bipolar disorder. *Emotion*, 12, 997–1003.

- Gruber, J., Harvey, A. G., & Purcell, A. (2011). What goes up can come down? A preliminary investigation of emotion reactivity and emotion recovery in bipolar disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 133*, 457–466.
- Gruber, J., Johnson, S. L., Oveis, C., & Keltner, D. (2008). Risk for mania and positive emotional responding: Too much of a good thing? *Emotion, 8*, 23–33.
- Grynberg, D., Luminet, O., Corneille, O., Grèzes, J., & Berthoz, S. (2010). Alexithymia in the interpersonal domain: A general deficit of empathy? *Personality and Individual Differences, 49*, 845–850.
- Gullone, E., & Taffe, J. (2012). The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents (ERQ-CA): A psychometric evaluation. *Psychological Assessment, 24*, 409–417.
- Han, K., Burns, G. N., Weed, N. C., Hatchett, G. T., & Kurokawa, N. K. (2009). Evaluation of an observer form of the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 69*, 675–695.
- Harre, R. (1986). The social constructionist viewpoint. In R. Harre (Ed.), *The social construction of emotions* (pp. 2–14). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Harris, R. (2009). *ACT made simple: An easy-to-read primer on acceptance and commitment therapy*. New Harbinger Publications.
- Haviland, M. G., Hendryx, M. S., Cummings, M. A., Shaw, D. G., & MacMurray, J. P. (1991). Multidimensionality and state dependency of alexithymia in recently sober alcoholics. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 179*, 284–290.
- Haviland, M. G., & Reise, S. P. (1996). A California Q-set alexithymia prototype and its relationship to ego-control and ego-resiliency. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 41*, 597–607.

- Haviland, M. G., & Reise, S. P. (1996). Structure of the twenty-item Toronto alexithymia scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 66, 116–125.
- Haviland, M. G., Shaw, D. G., MacMurray, J. P., & Cummings, M. A. (1988). Validation of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale with substance abusers. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 50, 81–87.
- Haviland, M. G., Warren, W. L., & Riggs, M. L. (2000). An observer scale to measure alexithymia. *Psychosomatics*, 41, 385–392.
- Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K. D., & Wilson, K. G. (1999). *Acceptance and commitment therapy*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Henry, C., Van den Bulke, D., Bellivier, F., Roy, I., Swendsen, J., M'Bailara, K., ... Leboyer, M. (2008). Affective lability and affect intensity as core dimensions of bipolar disorders during euthymic period. *Psychiatry Research*, 159, 1–6.
- Honkalampi, K., Hintikka, J., Laukkanen, E., & Viinamäki, J. L. H. (2001). Alexithymia and depression: A prospective study of patients with major depressive disorder. *Psychosomatics*, 42, 229–234.
- Harrison, A., Sullivan, S., Tchanturia, K., & Treasure, J. (2010). Emotional functioning in eating disorders: attentional bias, emotion recognition and emotion regulation. *Psychological Medicine*, 40, 1887–1897.
- Hogan, R., & Nicholson, R. A. (1988). The meaning of personality test scores. *American Psychologist*, 43, 621–626.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. M. (1998). Fit indices in covariance structure modeling: Sensitivity to underparameterized model misspecification. *Psychological Methods*, 3, 424–453.
- Inslegers, R., Meganck, R., Ooms, E., Vanheule, S., Taylor, G., Bagby, R. M., ... Desmet, M. (2013). The Dutch language version of the Toronto structured interview for

- alexithymia: Reliability, factor structure and concurrent validity. *Acta Psychiatrica Belgica*, 53, 93–116.
- Izadpanah, S., Barnow, S., Neubauer, A. B., & Holl, J. (2017). Development and validation of the Heidelberg Form for Emotion Regulation Strategies (HFERST): Factor structure, reliability, and validity. *Assessment*, 1-27. doi: 1073191117720283
- John, O. P., & Eng, J. (2014). Three approaches to individual differences in affect regulation: Conceptualizations, measures, and findings. In J. J. Gross (Ed.). *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 321–345). (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.
- John, O. P., & Gross, J. J. (2004). Healthy and unhealthy emotion regulation: Personality processes, individual differences, and life span development. *Journal of Personality*, 72, 1301-1334.
- Joormann, J., & Gotlib, I. H. (2010). Emotion regulation in depression: Relation to cognitive inhibition. *Cognition and Emotion*, 24, 281-298.
- Jørgensen, M. M., Zachariae, R., Skytthe, A., & Kyvik, K. (2007). Genetic and environmental factors in alexithymia: A population-based study of 8,785 Danish twin pairs. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 76, 369-375.
- Kennedy, M., & Franklin, J. (2002). Skills-based treatment for alexithymia: An exploratory case series. *Behaviour Change*, 19, 158–171.
- Kessler, R. C., Chiu, W. T., Demler, O., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 617-627.
- Khantzian, E. J. (1997). The self-medication hypothesis of substance use disorders: A reconsideration and recent applications. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 4, 231-244.

- Kia-Keating, M., No, U., Moore, S., Furlong, M. J., Liu, S., & You, S. (2017). Structural validity of the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scales-21 adapted for US undergraduates. *Emerging Adulthood*, 1–7.
- Kim, S. H., & Hamann, S. (2007). Neural correlates of positive and negative emotion regulation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 19, 776–798.
- Kline, P. (1979). *Psychometrics and psychology*. London: Academic Press.
- Kline, P. (2013). *Handbook of psychological testing*. Routledge.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Koch, A. S., Kleiman, A., Wegener, I., Zur, B., Imbierowicz, K., Geiser, F., & Conrad, R. (2015). Factorial structure of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale in a large sample of somatoform patients. *Psychiatry Research*, 225, 355–363.
- Kooiman, C. G., Spinhoven, P., & Trijsburg, R.W. (2002). The assessment of alexithymia: A critical review of the literature and a psychometric study of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 53, 1083–1090.
- Kring, A. M., & Bachorowski, J. A. (1999). Emotions and psychopathology. *Cognition and Emotion*, 13, 575–599.
- Kuppens, P., & Verduyn, P. (2015). Looking at emotion regulation through the window of emotion dynamics. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 72–79.
- Lane, R. D., & Schwartz, G. E. (1987). Levels of emotional awareness: A cognitive-developmental theory and its application to psychopathology. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 144, 133–143.
- Lane, R. D., Lee, S., Reidel, R., Weldon, V., Kaszniak, A., & Schwartz, G. E. (1996). Impaired verbal and nonverbal emotion recognition in alexithymia. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 58, 203–210.

- Lane, R. D., Quinlan, D. M., Schwartz, G. E., Walker, P. A., & Zeitlin, S. B. (1990). The levels of emotional awareness scale: A cognitive-developmental measure of emotion. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 55, 124–134.
- Lane, R. D., Weihs, K. L., Herring, A., Hishaw, A., & Smith, R. (2015). Affective agnosia: Expansion of the alexithymia construct and a new opportunity to integrate and extend Freud's legacy. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 55, 594–611.
- Lannoy, S., Heeren, A., Rochat, L., Rossignol, M., Van der Linden, M., & Billieux, J. (2014). Is there an all-embracing construct of emotion reactivity? Adaptation and validation of the emotion reactivity scale among a French-speaking community sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 55, 1960–1967.
- Larsen, R. J. (2000). Toward a science of mood regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11, 129–141.
- Lazarus, R. S. (1991). *Emotion and adaptation*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Lee, D. J., Witte, T. K., Bardeen, J. R., Davis, M. T., & Weathers, F. W. (2016). A factor analytic evaluation of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 72, 933–946.
- Leising, D., Grande, T., & Faber, R. (2009). The Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20): A measure of general psychological distress. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43, 707–710.
- Levy-Gigi, E., Bonanno, G. A., Shapiro, A. R., Richter-Levin, G., Kéri, S., & Sheppes, G. (2016). Emotion regulatory flexibility sheds light on the elusive relationship between repeated traumatic exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms. *Clinical Psychological Science: A Journal of the Association for Psychological Science*, 4, 28–39.

- Leweke, F., Bausch, S., Leichsenring, F., Walter, B., & Stingl, M. (2009). Alexithymia as a predictor of outcome of psychodynamically oriented inpatient treatment. *Psychotherapy Research, 19*, 323–331.
- Leweke, F., Leichsenring, F., Kruse, J., & Hermes, S. (2012). Is alexithymia associated with specific mental disorders. *Psychopathology, 45*, 22–28.
- Lezak, M. D., Howieson, D. B., & Loring, D. W. (2004). *Neuropsychological assessment*. USA: Oxford University Press.
- Li, S., Zhang, B., Guo, Y., & Zhang, J. (2015). The association between alexithymia as assessed by the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale and depression: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research, 227*, 1–9.
- Linehan, M. (1993). *Cognitive-behavioral treatment of borderline personality disorder*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Little, T. D., Lindenberger, U., & Nesselroade, J. R. (1999). On selecting indicators for multivariate measurement and modeling with latent variables: When “good” indicators are bad and “bad” indicators are good. *Psychological Methods, 4*, 192–211.
- Larsen, R. J., & Diener, E. (1987). Affect intensity as an individual difference characteristic: A review. *Journal of Research in Personality, 21*, 1-39.
- Loas, G., Corcos, M., Stephan, P., Pellet, J., Bizouard, P., Venisse, J. L., et al. (2001). Factorial structure of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale: Confirmatory factorial analyses in nonclinical and clinical samples. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 50*, 255–261.
- Loas, G., Otmani, O., Verrier, A., Fremaux, D., & Marchand, M. P. (1996). Factor analysis of the French version of the 20-Item Toronto alexithymia scale (TAS-20). *Psychopathology, 29*, 139–144.

- Lovibond, P. F., & Lovibond, S. H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 33, 335–343.
- Luminet, O., Bagby, R. M., & Taylor, G. J. (2001). An evaluation of the absolute and relative stability of alexithymia in patients with major depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 70, 254–260.
- Luminet, O., Rimé, B., Bagby, R. M., & Taylor, G. (2004). A multimodal investigation of emotional responding in alexithymia. *Cognition and Emotion*, 18, 741–766.
- Luminet, O., Rokbani, L., Ogez, D., & Jadoulle, V. (2007). An evaluation of the absolute and relative stability of alexithymia in women with breast cancer. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 62, 641–648.
- Luminet, O., Vermeulen, N., Demaret, C., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2006). Alexithymia and levels of processing: Evidence for an overall deficit in remembering emotion words. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 40, 713–733.
- Lundh, L. G., & Simonsson-Sarnecki, M. (2001). Alexithymia, emotion, and somatic complaints. *Journal of Personality*, 69, 483–510.
- Lundh, L. G., Johnsson, A., Sundqvist, K., & Olsson, H. (2002). Alexithymia, memory of emotion, emotional awareness, and perfectionism. *Emotion*, 2, 361–379.
- Lyvers, M., McCann, K., Coundouris, S., Edwards, M. S., & Thorberg, F. A. (2018). Alexithymia in relation to alcohol use, emotion recognition, and empathy: The role of externally oriented thinking. *American Journal of Psychology*, 131, 41–51.
- Mantani, T., Okamoto, Y., Shirao, N., Okada, G., & Yamawaki, S. (2005). Reduced activation of posterior cingulate cortex during imagery in subjects with high degrees of alexithymia: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Biological Psychiatry*, 57, 982–990.

- Marchesi, C., Ossola, P., Tonna, M., & De Panfilis, C. (2014). The TAS-20 more likely measures negative affects rather than alexithymia itself in patients with major depression, panic disorder, eating disorders and substance use disorders. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 55, 972–978.
- Markus, H. (1977). Self-schemata and processing information about the self. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35, 63–78.
- Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of confirmatory factor analysis to the study of self-concept: First-and higher order factor models and their invariance across groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97, 562–582.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, K. T., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis-testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11, 320–341.
- Marty, P., & de M'Uzan, M. (1963). La "pensée opératoire". *Revue Française de Psychanalyse*, 27, 1345–1356.
- Matsumoto, D., Yoo, S. H., & Nakagawa, S. (2008). Culture, emotion regulation, and adjustment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94, 925–937.
- Matsunaga, M. (2010). How to factor-analyze your data right: Do's, don'ts, and how-to's. *International Journal of Psychological Research*, 3, 97–110.
- Mattila, A. K., Keefer, K. V., Taylor, G. J., Joukamaa, M., Jula, A., Parker, J. D., & Bagby, R. M. (2010). Taxometric analysis of alexithymia in a general population sample from Finland. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 49, 216–221.
- Mauss, I. B., Cook, C. L., Cheng, J. Y., & Gross, J. J. (2007). Individual differences in cognitive reappraisal: Experiential and physiological responses to an anger provocation. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 66, 116–124.

- Mauss, I. B., Levenson, R. W., McCarter, L., Wilhelm, F. H., & Gross, J. J. (2005). The tie that binds? Coherence among emotion experience, behavior, and physiology. *Emotion, 5*, 175–190.
- Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. (2002). *Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT): User's manual*. Toronto, ON: Multi-Health Systems.
- McDougall, J. (1974). The psychosomata and the psychoanalytic process. *Internal Review of Psychoanalysis, 1*, 437–459.
- McGillivray, L., Becerra, R., & Harms, C. (2017). Prevalence and demographic correlates of alexithymia: A comparison between Australian psychiatric and community samples. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 73*, 76–87.
- McGrew, K. S. (2009). CHC theory and the human cognitive abilities project: Standing on the shoulders of the giants of psychometric intelligence research. *Intelligence, 37*, 1–10.
- McHugh, R. K., Reynolds, E. K., Leyro, T. M., & Otto, M. W. (2013). An examination of the association of distress intolerance and emotion regulation with avoidance. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 37*, 363–367.
- Meganck, R., Vanheule, S., & Desmet, M. (2008). Factorial validity and measurement invariance of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale in clinical and nonclinical samples. *Assessment, 15*, 36–47.
- Melka, S. E., Lancaster, S. L., Bryant, A. R., & Rodriguez, B. F. (2011). Confirmatory factor and measurement invariance analyses of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 67*, 1283–1293.
- Mennin, D. S., & Farach, F. J. (2007). Emotion and evolving treatments for adult psychopathology. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 14*, 329–352.

- Mennin, D. S., & Fresco, D. M. (2014). Emotion regulation therapy. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 469-490). New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Montag, C., & Panksepp, J. (2017). Primary emotional systems and personality: an evolutionary perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 464.
- Moore, S. A., Zoellner, L. A., & Mollenholt, N. (2008). Are expressive suppression and cognitive reappraisal associated with stress-related symptoms?. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46, 993–1000.
- Morera, O. F., Culhane, S. E., Watson, P. J., & Skewes, M. C. (2005). Assessing the reliability and validity of the Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire among US Anglo and US Hispanic samples. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 58, 289–298.
- Müller, J., Bühner, M., & Ellgring, H. (2003). Is there a reliable factorial structure in the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale?: A comparison of factor models in clinical and normal adult samples. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 55, 561–568.
- Müller, J., Bühner, M., & Ellgring, H. (2004). The assessment of alexithymia: Psychometric properties and validity of the Bermond–Vorst alexithymia questionnaire. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37, 373–391.
- Nelis, D., Quoidbach, J., Hansenne, M., & Mikolajczak, M. (2011). Measuring individual differences in emotion regulation: The emotion regulation profile-revised (ERP-R). *Psychologica Belgica*, 51, 49-91.
- Nemiah, J. C. (1977). Alexithymia: Theoretical considerations. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 28, 199–206.

- Nemiah, J. C. (1984). The psychodynamic view of anxiety. In R. O. Pasnau (Ed.), *Diagnosis and treatment of anxiety disorders* (pp. 117–137). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Nemiah, J. C., & Sifneos, P. E. (1970). Psychosomatic illness: A problem in communication. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 18, 154–160.
- Neumann, D., Malec, J. F., & Hammond, F. M. (2017). Reductions in alexithymia and emotion dysregulation after training emotional self-awareness following traumatic brain injury: A phase I trial. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 32, 286–295.
- New, A. S., Rot, M. A. H., Ripoll, L. H., Perez-Rodriguez, M. M., Lazarus, S., Zipursky, E., ...Siever, L. J. (2012). Empathy and alexithymia in borderline personality disorder: Clinical and laboratory measures. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 26, 660–675.
- Newton, T. L., & Contrada, R. J. (1994). Alexithymia and repression: Contrasting emotion focused coping styles. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 56, 457–462.
- Nock, M. K., Wedig, M. M., Holmberg, E. B., & Hooley, J. M. (2008). The emotion reactivity scale: Development, evaluation, and relation to self injurious thoughts and behaviors. *Behavior Therapy*, 39, 107–116.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Watkins, E. R. (2011). A heuristic for developing transdiagnostic models of psychopathology: Explaining multifinality and divergent trajectories. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6, 589–609.
- Norcross, J. C., & Karpiak, C. P. (2012). Clinical psychologists in the 2010s: 50 years of the APA division of clinical psychology. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 19, 1–12.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Methods*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Ochsner, K. N., & Gross, J. J. (2014). The neural bases of emotion and emotion regulation: A valuation perspective. In J. J. Gross (Ed.). *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 23–42). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Osborne, T. L., Michonski, J., Sayrs, J., Welch, S. S., & Anderson, L. K. (2017). Factor structure of the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS) in adult outpatients receiving dialectical behavior therapy (DBT). *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 39, 355–371.
- Panayiotou, G., Leonidou, C., Constantinou, E., Hart, J., Rinehart, K. L., Sy, J. T., & Björgvinsson, T. (2015). Do alexithymic individuals avoid their feelings? Experiential avoidance mediates the association between alexithymia, psychosomatic, and depressive symptoms in a community and a clinical sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 56, 206–216.
- Pandey, R., Mandal, M. K., Taylor, G. J., & Parker, J. D. (1996). Crosscultural alexithymia: Development and validation of a Hindi translation of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 52, 173–176.
- Panksepp, J. (1998). *Affective neuroscience: The foundations of human and animal emotions*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Panksepp, J. (2005). Affective consciousness: Core emotional feelings in animals and humans. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 14, 30–80.
- Parker, J. D., Eastabrook, J. M., Keefer, K. V., & Wood, L. M. (2010). Can alexithymia be assessed in adolescents? Psychometric properties of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale in younger, middle, and older adolescents. *Psychological Assessment*, 22, 798–808.

- Parker, J. D., Keefer, K. V., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2008). Latent structure of the alexithymia construct: A taxometric investigation. *Psychological Assessment, 20*, 385–396.
- Parker, J. D., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2003). The 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale: III. Reliability and factorial validity in a community population. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 55*, 269–275.
- Perez, C. R., & Soto, J. A. (2011). Cognitive reappraisal in the context of oppression: Implications for psychological functioning. *Emotion, 11*, 675–680.
- Piaget, J. (1981). *Intelligence and affectivity: Their relationship during child development*. Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews.
- Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2004). New trends in alexithymia research. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 73*, 68–77.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*, 879–903.
- Pollatos, O., Werner, N. S., Duschek, S., Schandry, R., Matthias, E., Traut-Mattausch, E., & Herbert, B. M. (2011). Differential effects of alexithymia subscales on autonomic reactivity and anxiety during social stress. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 70*, 525–533.
- Porcelli, P., & Mihura, J. L. (2010). Assessment of alexithymia with the Rorschach comprehensive system: The Rorschach alexithymia scale (RAS). *Journal of Personality Assessment, 92*, 128–136.
- Preece, D., Becerra, R., & Campitelli, G. (2018). Assessing emotional reactivity: Psychometric properties of the Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale and the development

of a short form. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1–9.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2018.1465430>.

Preece, D., Becerra, R., Allan, A., Robinson, K., & Dandy, J. (2017). Establishing the theoretical components of alexithymia via factor analysis: Introduction and validation of the attention-appraisal model of alexithymia. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 119, 341–352.

Preece, D., Becerra, R., Robinson, K., & Dandy, J. (2018). Assessing alexithymia: Psychometric properties and factorial invariance of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) in nonclinical and psychiatric samples. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 40, 276-287.

Preece, D., Becerra, R., Robinson, K., Dandy, J., & Allan, A. (2018a). The psychometric assessment of alexithymia: Development and validation of the Perth Alexithymia Questionnaire. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 132, 32–44.

Preece, D.A., Becerra, R., Robinson, K., Dandy, J., & Allan, A. (2018b). Measuring emotion regulation ability across negative and positive emotions: The Perth Emotion Regulation Competency Inventory (PERCI). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 135, 229-241.

Preece, D. A., Becerra, R., Robinson, K., & Gross, J. J. (2019). The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire: Psychometric properties in general community samples. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 1-9. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2018.156319>

Preston, C. C., & Colman, A. M. (2000). Optimal number of response categories in rating scales: Reliability, validity, discriminating power, and respondent preferences. *Acta Psychologica*, 104, 1–15.

Qualtrics. (2014). *ESOMAR 28*. Retrieved from

<https://success.qualtrics.com/rs/qualtrics/images/ESOMAR%2028%202014.pdf>

- Quoidbach, J., Berry, E. V., Hansenne, M., & Mikolajczak, M. (2010). Positive emotion regulation and well-being: Comparing the impact of eight savoring and dampening strategies. *Personality and Individual Differences, 49*, 368-373.
- Raubenheimer, J. (2004). An item selection procedure to maximise scale reliability and validity. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 30*, 59-64.
- Reise, S. P. (2012). The rediscovery of bifactor measurement models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 47*, 667-696.
- Reise, S. P., Moore, T. M., & Haviland, M. G. (2010). Bifactor models and rotations: Exploring the extent to which multidimensional data yield univocal scale scores. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 92*, 544-559.
- Rieffe, C., Oosterveld, P., Miers, A. C., Terwogt, M. M., & Ly, V. (2008). Emotion awareness and internalising symptoms in children and adolescents: The emotion awareness questionnaire revised. *Personality and Individual Differences, 45*, 756-761.
- Ripper, C. A., Boyes, M. E., Clarke, P. J., & Hasking, P. A. (2018). Emotional reactivity, intensity, and perseveration: Independent dimensions of trait affect and associations with depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences, 121*, 93-99.
- Rodebaugh, T. L., Woods, C. M., & Heimberg, R. G. (2007). The reverse of social anxiety is not always the opposite: The reverse-scored items of the social interaction anxiety scale do not belong. *Behavior Therapy, 38*, 192-206.
- Rosenberg, N., Rufer, M., Lichev, V., Ihme, K., Grabe, H. J., Kugel, H., ... Suslow, T. (2016). Observer-rated alexithymia and its relationship with the five-factor-model of personality. *Acta Psychiatrica Belgica, 56*, 118-134.

- Rosenthal, M. Z., Gratz, K. L., Kosson, D. S., Cheavens, J. S., Lejuez, C. W., & Lynch, T. R. (2008). Borderline personality disorder and emotional responding: A review of the research literature. *Clinical Psychology Review, 28*, 75-91.
- Rottenberg, J. E., & Johnson, S. L. (2007). *Emotion and psychopathology: Bridging affective and clinical science*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Rottenberg, J., Gross, J. J., & Gotlib, I. H. (2005). Emotion context insensitivity in major depressive disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 114*, 627-639.
- Sala, M. N., Molina, P., Abler, B., Kessler, H., Vanbrabant, L., & van de Schoot, R. (2012). Measurement invariance of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ). A cross-national validity study. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 9*, 751-757.
- Salovey, P., Mayer, J. D., Goldman, S. L., Turvey, C., & Palfai, T. P. (1995). Emotional attention, clarity, and repair: Exploring emotional intelligence using the Trait Meta-Mood Scale. In J. W. Pennebaker (Ed.), *Emotion, disclosure, and health* (pp. 125-154). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Samur, D., Tops, M., Schlinkert, C., Quirin, M., Cuijpers, P., & Koole, S. L. (2013). Four decades of research on alexithymia: Moving toward clinical applications. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 1-4.
- Satorra, A., & Bentler, P. M. (1994). Corrections to test statistics and standard errors in covariance structure analysis. In A. von Eye & C. C. Clogg (Eds.), *Latent variables analysis: Applications for developmental research* (pp. 399-419). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Satorra, A., & Bentler, P. M. (1994). Corrections to test statistics and standard errors in covariance structure analysis. In A. von Eye & C. C. Clogg (Eds.), *Latent variables*

- analysis: Applications for developmental research* (pp. 399-419). Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Sauer, S., & Baer, R. (2009). Relationships between thought suppression and symptoms of borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 23, 48-61.
- Sauer, S. E., & Baer, R. A. (2010). Validation of measures of biosocial precursors to borderline personality disorder: Childhood emotional vulnerability and environmental invalidation. *Assessment*, 17, 454-466.
- Sauer-Zavala, S., & Barlow, D. H. (2014). The case for borderline personality disorder as an emotional disorder: Implications for treatment. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 21, 118-138.
- Sauer-Zavala, S., Boswell, J. F., Gallagher, M. W., Bentley, K. H., Ametaj, A., & Barlow, D. H. (2012). The role of negative affectivity and negative reactivity to emotions in predicting outcomes in the unified protocol for the transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 50, 551-557.
- Sauer-Zavala, S., Cassiello-Robbins, C., Ametaj, A. A., Wilner, J. G., & Pagan, D. (2018). Transdiagnostic treatment personalization: The feasibility of ordering unified protocol modules according to patient strengths and weaknesses. *Behavior Modification*, 1-26. doi: 10.1177/0145445518774914
- Sauer-Zavala, S., Gutner, C. A., Farchione, T. J., Boettcher, H. T., Bullis, J. R., & Barlow, D. H. (2017). Current definitions of “transdiagnostic” in treatment development: A search for consensus. *Behavior Therapy*, 48, 128-138.

- Schmader, T., & Mendes, W. B. (2015). Putting feelings in a social context: Three case studies applying Gross's extended model of emotion regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26, 116–122.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2004). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum and Associates.
- Sekely, A., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2018). Developing a short version of the Toronto structured interview for alexithymia using item response theory. *Psychiatry Research*, 266, 218–227.
- Shaver, P. R., & Mikulincer, M. (2014). Adult attachment and emotion regulation. In J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Sifneos, P. E. (1973). The prevalence of 'alexithymic' characteristics in psychosomatic patients. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 22, 255–262.
- Sifneos, P. E. (1996). Alexithymia: Past and present. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153, 137–142.
- Silvers, J. A., McRae, K., Gabrieli, J. D., Gross, J. J., Remy, K. A., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012). Age-related differences in emotional reactivity, regulation, and rejection sensitivity in adolescence. *Emotion*, 12, 1235–1247.
- Smith, R., Killgore, W. D. S., & Lane, R. D. (2017). The structure of emotional experience and its relation to trait emotional awareness: A theoretical review. *Emotion*, 18, 670–692.
- Son, S., Jo, H., Rim, H. D., Kim, J. H., Kim, H.W., Bae, G. Y., & Lee, S. J. (2012). A comparative study on alexithymia in depressive, somatoform, anxiety, and psychotic disorders among Koreans. *Psychiatry Investigation*, 9, 325–331.
- Soto, J. A., Armenta, B. E., Perez, C. R., Zamboanga, B. L., Umaña-Taylor, A. J., Lee, R. M., ... & Le, T. N. (2012). Strength in numbers? Cognitive reappraisal tendencies and

- psychological functioning among Latinos in the context of oppression. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 18, 384-394.
- Soto, J. A., Perez, C. R., Kim, Y. H., Lee, E. A., & Minnick, M. R. (2011). Is expressive suppression always associated with poorer psychological functioning? A cross-cultural comparison between European Americans and Hong Kong Chinese. *Emotion*, 11, 1450-1455.
- Spaapen, D. L., Waters, F., Brummer, L., Stopa, L., & Bucks, R. S. (2014). The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire: Validation of the ERQ-9 in two community samples. *Psychological Assessment*, 26, 1-7.
- Spearman, C. (1904). "General Intelligence," objectively determined and measured. *The American Journal of Psychology*, 15, 201-292.
- Spren, O., & Strauss, E. (2006). *A compendium of neuropsychological tests: Administration, norms, and commentary*. New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press.
- Stanford Psychophysiology Laboratory (2018). *Resources: The Emotion Regulation Questionnaire*. Retrieved from <https://spl.stanford.edu/resources>
- Stawarczyk, D., Majerus, S., Van der Linden, M., & D'Argembeau, A. (2012). Using the daydreaming frequency scale to investigate the relationships between mind-wandering, psychological well-being, and present-moment awareness. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3, 1-15.
- Stevens, J. P. (1992). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Strickland, J., Parry, C. L., Allan, M. M., & Allan, A. (2017). Alexithymia among perpetrators of violent offences in Australia: Implications for Rehabilitation. *Australian Psychologist*, 52, 230-237.
- Su, J. C., Lee, R. M., Park, I. J., Soto, J. A., Chang, J., Zamboanga, B. L., ... & Seol, K. O. (2015). Differential links between expressive suppression and well-being among Chinese and Mexican American college students. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 6, 15-24.
- Subic-Wrana, C., Bruder, S., Thomas, W., Lane, R. D., & Köhle, K. (2005). Emotional awareness deficits in inpatients of a psychosomatic ward: A comparison of two different measures of alexithymia. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 67, 483–489.
- Suslow, T., & Junghanns, K. (2002). Impairments of emotion situation priming in alexithymia. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 32, 541–550.
- Svaldi, J., Griepentstroh, J., Tuschen-Caffier, B., & Ehring, T. (2012). Emotion regulation deficits in eating disorders: A marker of eating pathology or general psychopathology? *Psychiatry Research*, 197, 103–111.
- Swart, M., Kortekaas, R., & Aleman, A. (2009). Dealing with feelings: Characterization of trait alexithymia on emotion regulation strategies and cognitive-emotional processing. *PLoS One*, 4, e5751.
- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (1992). The Revised Toronto Alexithymia Scale: Some reliability, validity, and normative data. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 57, 34–41.
- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (1999). *Disorders of affect regulation: Alexithymia in medical and psychiatric illness*. UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (2003). The 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale: IV. Reliability and factorial validity in different languages and cultures. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 55, 277–283.
- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R.M., & Parker, J. D. (2016). What's in the name 'alexithymia'? A commentary on “Affective agnosia: Expansion of the alexithymia construct and a new opportunity to integrate and extend Freud's legacy”. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 68, 1006–1020.
- Taylor, G. J., Parker, J. D., Bagby, R. M., & Bourke, M. P. (1996). Relationships between alexithymia and psychological characteristics associated with eating disorders. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 41, 561–568.
- Taylor, G. J., Ryan, D., & Bagby, R. M. (1985). Toward the development of a new self report alexithymia scale. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 44, 191–199.
- Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R.M. (2004). New trends in alexithymia research. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 73, 68–77.
- Telch, C. F., Agras, W. S., & Linehan, M. M. (2001). Dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 69, 1061–1065.
- Thompson, R. A., & Calkins, S. D. (1996). The double-edged sword: Emotional regulation for children at risk. *Development and Psychopathology*, 8, 163–182.
- Thorberg, F. A., Young, R. M., Sullivan, K. A., & Lyvers, M. (2009). Alexithymia and alcohol use disorders: A critical review. *Addictive Behaviors*, 34, 237–245.
- Thorberg, F. A., Young, R. M., Sullivan, K. A., Lyvers, M., Hurst, C., Connor, J. P., & Feeney, G. F. (2010). A confirmatory factor analysis of the Toronto alexithymia scale (TAS-20) in an alcohol-dependent sample. *Psychiatry Research*, 178, 565–567.
- Thorndike, R. L. (1936). Factor analysis of social and abstract intelligence. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 27, 231–233.

- Tsaousis, I., Taylor, G., Quilty, L., Georgiades, S., Stavrogiannopoulos, M., & Bagby, R. M. (2010). Validation of a Greek adaptation of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 51, 443–448.
- van der Velde, J., Servaas, M. N., Goerlich, K. S., Bruggeman, R., Horton, P., Costafreda, S. G., & Aleman, A. (2013). Neural correlates of alexithymia: A meta-analysis of emotion processing studies. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37, 1774–1785.
- van Dijke, A., Ford, J. D., van der Hart, O., van Son, M., van der Heijden, P., & Bühring, M. (2010). Affect dysregulation in borderline personality disorder and somatoform disorder: Differentiating under-and over-regulation. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 24, 296-311.
- van Kleef, G. A., Homan, A. C., Beersma, B., & van Knippenberg, D. (2010). On angry leaders and agreeable followers: How leaders' emotions and followers' personalities shape motivation and team performance. *Psychological Science*, 21, 1827-1834.
- van Sonderen, E., Sanderman, R., & Coyne, J. C. (2013). Ineffectiveness of reverse wording of questionnaire items: Let's learn from cows in the rain. *PLoS One*, 8, e68967.
- Vermeulen, N., Luminet, O., & Corneille, O. (2006). Alexithymia and the automatic processing of affective information: Evidence from the affective priming paradigm. *Cognition and Emotion*, 20, 64–91.
- Vine, V., & Aldao, A. (2014). Impaired emotional clarity and psychopathology: A transdiagnostic deficit with symptom-specific pathways through emotion regulation. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 33, 319-342.
- Vorst, H. C., & Bermond, B. (2001). Validity and reliability of the Bermond–Vorst alexithymia questionnaire. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 30, 413–434.

- Waller, E., & Scheidt, C. E. (2006). Somatoform disorders as disorders of affect regulation: a development perspective. *International Review of Psychiatry, 18*, 13-24.
- Watters, C. A., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2016). Illuminating the theoretical components of alexithymia using bifactor modeling and network analysis. *Psychological Assessment, 28*, 627–638.
- Watters, C. A., Taylor, G. J., Quilty, L. C., & Bagby, R. M. (2016). An examination of the topology and measurement of the alexithymia construct using network analysis. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 98*, 649–659.
- Watters, C., Taylor, G. J., Ayearst, L., & Bagby, R. M. (2016). Measurement invariance of the English and French language versions of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 1-8*. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000365>.
- Way, I. F., Applegate, B., Cai, X., Franck, L. K., Black-Pond, C., Yelsma, P., ... Muliatt, M. (2010). Children's alexithymia measure (CAM): A new instrument for screening difficulties with emotional expression. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Trauma, 3*, 303–318.
- Wechsler, D. (2008). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Fourth Edition: Technical and interpretive manual*. San Antonio, TX: Pearson Assessment.
- Weinberg, A., & Klonsky, E. D. (2009). Measurement of emotion dysregulation in adolescents. *Psychological Assessment, 21*, 616-621.
- Weiss, N. H., Gratz, K. L., & Lavender, J. M. (2015). Factor structure and initial validation of a multidimensional measure of difficulties in the regulation of positive emotions: The DERS-positive. *Behavior Modification, 39*, 431–453.
- Werner, K., & Gross, J. J. (2010). Emotion regulation and psychopathology: A conceptual framework. In A. M. Kring, & D. M. Sloan (Eds.). *Emotion regulation and*

- psychopathology: A transdiagnostic approach to etiology and treatment* (pp. 13–37).
New York, NY: Guilford.
- Williams, C., & Wood, R. L. (2010). Alexithymia and emotional empathy following traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 32, 259–267.
- Wiltink, J., Glaesmer, H., Canterino, M., Wolfling, K., Knebel, A., Kessler, H., . . . Buetel, M. E. (2011). Regulation of emotions in the community: Suppression and reappraisal strategies and its psychometric properties. *Psycho-Social Medicine*, 8, 1–12.
- Zech, E., Luminet, O., Rimé, B., & Wagner, H. (1999). Alexithymia and its measurement: Confirmatory factor analyses of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale and the Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire. *European Journal of Personality*, 13, 511–532.
- Zeitlin, S. B., & McNally, R. J. (1993). Alexithymia and anxiety sensitivity in panic disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 658–660.
- Zelkowitz, R. L., & Cole, D. A. (2016). Measures of emotion reactivity and emotion regulation: Convergent and discriminant validity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 102, 123–132.
- Zelkowitz, R. L., Cole, D. A., Han, G. T., & Tomarken, A. J. (2016). The incremental utility of emotion regulation but not emotion reactivity in nonsuicidal self injury. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 46, 545–562.
- Zhu, X., Yi, J., Yao, S., Ryder, A. G., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2007). Cross-cultural validation of a Chinese translation of the 20-item Toronto alexithymia scale. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 48, 489–496.

- Zimmermann, P. (1999). Structure and functions of internal working models of attachment and their role for emotion regulation. *Attachment and Human Development, 1*, 291–306.
- Zinbarg, R. E., & Barlow, D. H. (1996). Structure of anxiety and the anxiety disorders: a hierarchical model. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 105*, 181-193.
- Zou, C., Plaks, J. E., & Peterson, J. B. (2017). Don't get too excited: Assessing individual differences in the down-regulation of positive emotions. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 1*–11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2017.1339711>.

Table 1B

Pearson Bivariate Correlations Between Scores on the PERS/PERS-S and the DASS-21, ERQ and DERS

Measure/subscale	PERS/PERS-S							
	General negative reactivity	Negative-activation	Negative-intensity	Negative-duration	General positive reactivity	Positive-activation	Positive-intensity	Positive-duration
DASS-21								
Total scale	.65/.64	.59/.57	.60/.57	.62/.60	-.33/-.41	-.30/-.39	-.05/-.23	-.47/-.49
Depression	.61/.62	.56/.54	.55/.54	.59/.57	-.39/-.46	-.35/-.43	-.12/-.29	-.50/-.52
Anxiety	.52/.51	.48/.47	.48/.45	.49/.46	-.23/-.31	-.21/-.28	.01/-.17	-.36/-.38
Stress	.64/.62	.58/.54	.60/.55	.61/.59	-.27/-.34	-.24/-.33	-.01/-.17	-.42/-.43
ERQ								
Cognitive reappraisal	-.30/-.30	-.30/-.30	-.23/-.23	-.30/-.28	.43/.45	.40/.42	.27/.37	.44/.42
Expressive suppression	.13/.11	.12/.09	.05/.04	.18/.17	-.25/-.27	-.25/-.26	-.17/-.24	-.23/-.24
DERS								
Total scale	.71/.70	.64/.60	.63/.61	.70/.66	-.32/-.43	-.29/-.37	-.00/-.27	-.48/-.52
Regulation composite	.74/.72	.64/.61	.68/.66	.71/.68	-.23/-.33	-.21/-.29	.079/-.16	-.41/-.45
Alexithymia composite	.25/.24	.14/.25	.28/.14	.27/.26	-.35/-.42	-.31/-.37	-.19/-.37	-.40/-.41
Non-acceptance	.56/.54	.49/.44	.54/.51	.53/.51	-.14/-.23	-.14/-.20	.07/-.10	-.27/-.30
Goals	.57/.56	.48/.46	.54/.52	.55/.53	-.14/-.23	-.15/-.20	.10/-.09	-.27/-.32
Impulse	.65/.65	.57/.56	.62/.59	.62/.58	-.17/-.27	-.15/-.21	.11/-.11	-.37/-.34
Strategies	.73/.73	.64/.63	.64/.64	.73/.69	-.32/-.42	-.29/-.37	-.00/-.25	-.48/-.53
Awareness	.09/.08	.13/.12	-.01/-.02	.12/.11	-.33/-.36	-.28/-.33	-.23/-.34	-.33/-.32
Clarity	.40/.40	.39/.37	.31/.32	.42/.39	-.28/-.38	-.24/-.31	-.09/-.29	-.38/-.41

Note. Correlations in front of ‘/’ are for the PERS, those after ‘/’ are for the PERS-S. Correlations $\pm .10$ or greater are statistically significant, $p < .05$. PERS = Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale, PERS-S = Perth Emotional Reactivity Scale-Short Form, DASS-21 = Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21, ERQ = Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, DERS = Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale.

Table 2B

Factor Loadings from Second-Order Exploratory Factor Analyses of the PERS or PERS-S Subscale Scores

Subscales	PERS		PERS-S	
	Factor 1 “General negative reactivity”	Factor 2 “General positive reactivity”	Factor 1 “General negative reactivity”	Factor 2 “General positive reactivity”
Positive-activation	-.110	.884	.011	.872
Positive-intensity	.209	.749	-.107	.864
Positive-duration	-.335	.719	.236	.763
Negative-activation	.850	-.034	-.826	-.013
Negative-intensity	.911	.075	-.864	.065
Negative-duration	.886	-.060	-.822	-.089

Note. Factor loadings $\geq .40$ are in boldface. EFAs (principal axis factoring) were conducted using direct oblimin rotation. Two factors with eigenvalues >1 were extracted for the PERS and PERS-S, which we name “General negative reactivity” and “General positive reactivity”. These two factors accounted for 82.86% of the variance in PERS subscale scores and 82.02% of the variance in PERS-S subscale scores.