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Introduction

Vitamin A is vital for eye health. It protects against night blindness and corneal thinning, which may lead to corneal perforation and permanent blindness.¹ Moreover, vitamin A deficiency is the single most common cause of childhood blindness in developing countries and hence the reason for major programs for vitamin A supplementation in these countries.²⁻⁵ In developed countries, including Australia, vitamin A deficiency is rare⁶ due to comparatively better nutrient intake.⁷ Nonetheless, subclinical vitamin A deficiency may exist in developed countries and may be associated with different pathologies.

In recent decades, the prevalence of myopia (short-sightedness) has increased, such that many public health researchers are describing it as an epidemic.⁸,⁹ There are concerns that rates of sequelae of myopia, such as detached retina and glaucoma, will also increase.¹⁰ The increase in myopia involves not only developing countries¹¹⁻¹³ but also developed countries such as Australia,¹⁴ Singapore,¹⁵ and Hong Kong.¹⁶ There are various factors leading to such epidemics. Social factors such as the education system may promote extensive near work on computers or paper
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and reduced time spent outdoors. Biological factors include genetics, where pathways triggered by environmental factors control ocular growth, resulting in axial elongation which can lead to myopia. Despite evidence that insufficient vitamin A negatively affects eye health, there is currently no research supporting an association between insufficient vitamin A and myopia in a population of adolescents in Australia. Studies to identify ways to prevent or slow the progression of myopia in both developing and developed countries are urgently required, including any that implicate vitamin A deficiency.

We aimed to determine whether variations in dietary intake of vitamin A are associated with measures of myopia in a longitudinal cohort of healthy Australian adolescents.

**Methods**

This study was granted ethics approval by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Western Australia and was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided informed consent.

**Participants**

We analyzed data from participants of the Raine Study, a multigenerational prospective study that has followed parents and their offspring from the second trimester of gestation and is ongoing. Our study utilized data from the offspring (Generation 2, or Gen2).

Raine Study Gen2 participants with dietary vitamin A intake data recorded at 14, 17, and 20 years of age who had refractive errors measured at 20 years of age were included in the analysis for this particular study. Participants with a known ocular history that could affect myopia (e.g., ocular trauma, orthokeratology, refractive surgery) were excluded from the analysis.

**Materials and Ophthalmic Data**

Post-cycloplegic refraction data were obtained by performing autorefraction with a Nidek ARK-510A (Nidek Co., Ltd., Gamagori, Japan) after tropicamide 1% and phenylephrine 10% eye drops were administered in both eyes. Central corneal thickness (CCT) was measured by an OCULUS Pentacam (OCULUS Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). Retinal thickness was measured with optical coherence tomography (Spectralis HRA + OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). Axial length was measured using an IOLMaster V.5 (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

**Nutritional Data**

Food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) were utilized to estimate nutrition intake. A Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) FFQ that participants completed at 14 and 17 years of age provided data on the intake of retinol (μg) from animal foods, carotene (μg) from plant foods, and total vitamin A (μg). This FFQ has been demonstrated to adequately rank diet–disease relationships in adolescents and adults. The Cancer Council Victoria (CCV) FFQ was administered when the participants were 20 years of age and provided retinol, beta carotene equivalent, alpha carotene, carbohydrate, and zinc data (all measured in μg). These values were referenced from the Australian Food Composition Database, previously known as NUTTAB95, with the exception of data for alpha carotene, which came from the U.S. Department of Agriculture carotenoid database.

**Data Analysis**

Spherical equivalent was calculated from the combination of spherical error and half of the cylindrical error. Myopia was then defined as less than –0.5 diopters of spherical equivalence. As there was a high correlation between right and left post-cycloplegic refraction spherical equivalent (Pearson’s correlation $r = 0.94$; $P < 0.001$), only right spherical equivalent data were used. Similarly, bilateral correlation for CCT ($r = 0.96$; $P < 0.001$), global retinal thickness ($r = 0.64$; $P < 0.001$), and axial length ($r = 0.97$; $P < 0.001$) facilitated using only right eye data.

Total daily dietary vitamin A intake was determined as the sum of retinol, 1/12 of daily beta carotene intake, and 1/24 of daily alpha carotene intake. These are equivalent to the addition of the NUTTAB95 retinol and 1/12 of the NUTTAB95 beta carotene equivalent (which includes the correct proportion of alpha carotene) data of the year 20 FFQ. As there is no accepted definition of low daily vitamin A intake in Australia, we defined low vitamin A intake from the FFQs as $<600$ μg per day for both sexes. This is based on the Recommended Dietary Intakes of 900 μg for males and 700 μg for females.

We conducted a prospective study of vitamin A equivalent data (retinol, carotene, total vitamin A) from the 14-, 17-, and 20-year-old FFQs and compared that data to the ophthalmic data taken at 20 years of age. These analyses were compared in both males
and females combined and separately. Both sexes were assessed for equal proportions using $\chi^2$ goodness-of-fit tests. Two-sided independent sample $t$-tests were used to compare year 20 refractive errors between males and females. Using logistic regression, year 20 vitamin A data and sex were modeled as predictors and compared with year 20 refractive errors as the dependent variable.

Participants excluded from the analysis were compared with those included on the variables of sex, educational level, parental myopia, dietary vitamin A intake, and myopia.

The association of year 14, 17, and 20 vitamin A and other dietary variables and spherical equivalence were investigated using Pearson’s correlation. The difference between year 14 and year 17 vitamin A data were also measured against right spherical equivalence for correlation. Dichotomous myopia categories were compared with dichotomous year 20 vitamin A categories by phi correlation coefficients. In multiple studies, several factors, including educational level,23 sun exposure,23–25 parental myopia,23 carbohydrate intake,26 and zinc intake,27,28 have been associated with myopia. Utilizing each of these independent confounders compared with dichotomous myopic or not-myopic categories, we determined individual odds ratios by binomial logistic regression. Based on results from the univariable associations among the above confounders, vitamin A intake, and right spherical equivalence, we performed a multivariable adjustment for conjunctival ultraviolet autofluorescence (CUVAF), educational level, and parental myopia to determine the association between vitamin A intake and right spherical equivalence. A linear regression model was also generated between year 20 vitamin A data and refractive errors as a continuous measure, adjusting for CUVAF, educational level, and parental myopia.

We utilized SPSS Statistics for Windows 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) for analysis, and we defined any statistically significant association as $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

A total of 2868 Gen2 participants were originally included in the Raine Study from the second trimester of gestation. Of these, 642 participants fulfilled the inclusion criteria for our study (343 females, 299 males); 158 of the participants (24.6%) had myopia: 92 females (58.2%) and 66 males (41.8%) (Fig. 1). There was no significant difference between the proportion of all selected females and males: $\chi^2(1) = 3.02, P = 0.08$. The mean right spherical equivalent of the sample was $–0.09D$ (SD, 1.35; 95% confidence interval [CI], $–0.20$ to 0.01). No significant difference in mean right spherical equivalence was demonstrated between females and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Participants Included</th>
<th></th>
<th>Participants Excluded</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y), mean (SD)</td>
<td>20.1 (0.39)</td>
<td>20.0 (0.44)</td>
<td>20.0 (0.43)</td>
<td>20.1 (0.54)</td>
<td>20.1 (0.44)</td>
<td>20.1 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>92 (58.2)</td>
<td>251 (51.9)</td>
<td>343 (53.4)</td>
<td>68 (43.0)</td>
<td>232 (43.9)</td>
<td>1071 (48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A intake (μg), median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 14</td>
<td>1139 (863–1438)</td>
<td>1124 (843–1443)</td>
<td>1129 (708–1317)</td>
<td>1142 (789–1540)</td>
<td>1120 (854–1486)</td>
<td>1102 (832–1486)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 17</td>
<td>966 (686–1236)</td>
<td>986 (716–1330)</td>
<td>978 (709–1317)</td>
<td>923 (752–1269)</td>
<td>979 (684–1329)</td>
<td>920 (680–1262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 carbohydrate intake (μg), median (IQR)</td>
<td>172 (131–224)</td>
<td>177 (135–230)</td>
<td>176 (135–228)</td>
<td>181 (129–250)</td>
<td>172 (135–230)</td>
<td>175 (134–235)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 zinc intake (μg), median (IQR)</td>
<td>11 (8–14)</td>
<td>12 (8–16)</td>
<td>12 (8–16)</td>
<td>12 (9–17)</td>
<td>11.7 (8–16)</td>
<td>12 (8–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 CUVAF (mm²), median (IQR)</td>
<td>30.2 (10.1–51.8)</td>
<td>44.9 (19.3–67.9)</td>
<td>41.1 (17.2–65.5)</td>
<td>36.6 (10.9–57.5)</td>
<td>51.6 (25.8–74.7)</td>
<td>47.9 (23.0–72.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A status, female, n (%)/male, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 14 low (&lt;600 μg)</td>
<td>8 (8.7)/3 (4.5)</td>
<td>19 (7.6)/7 (3.0)</td>
<td>27 (7.9)/10 (3.3)</td>
<td>3 (8.6)/5 (8.1)</td>
<td>14 (10.1)/10 (5.2)</td>
<td>47 (10.6)/37 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 14 adequate (≥600 μg)</td>
<td>84 (91.3)/63 (95.5)</td>
<td>232 (92.4)/226 (97.0)</td>
<td>316 (91.2)/289 (96.7)</td>
<td>32 (91.4)/57 (91.9)</td>
<td>125 (89.9)/182 (94.8)</td>
<td>397 (89.4)/490 (93.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 17 low (&lt;600 μg)</td>
<td>16 (17.4)/9 (13.6)</td>
<td>54 (21.5)/28 (12.0)</td>
<td>70 (20.4)/37 (12.4)</td>
<td>3 (20.0)/2 (22.2)</td>
<td>10 (21.3)/8 (16.7)</td>
<td>43 (22.1)/22 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 17 adequate (≥600 μg)</td>
<td>76 (82.6)/57 (85.6)</td>
<td>197 (78.5)/205 (88.0)</td>
<td>273 (79.6)/262 (87.6)</td>
<td>12 (80.0)/7 (77.8)</td>
<td>37 (78.7)/40 (83.3)</td>
<td>152 (77.9)/134 (85.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 low (&lt;600 μg)</td>
<td>77 (83.7)/45 (68.2)</td>
<td>205 (81.7)/118 (50.6)</td>
<td>282 (82.2)/163 (54.5)</td>
<td>55 (83.3)/44 (55.7)</td>
<td>184 (89.3)/143 (55.4)</td>
<td>259 (88.1)/194 (55.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 adequate (≥600 μg)</td>
<td>15 (16.3)/21 (31.8)</td>
<td>46 (18.3)/115 (49.4)</td>
<td>61 (17.8)/136 (45.5)</td>
<td>11 (16.7)/35 (44.3)</td>
<td>22 (10.7)/115 (44.6)</td>
<td>35 (11.9)/156 (44/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest education completed, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>1 (0.7)</td>
<td>3 (0.7)</td>
<td>4 (0.7)</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>14 (3.5)</td>
<td>18 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school (high school)</td>
<td>110 (76.9)</td>
<td>304 (70.9)</td>
<td>414 (72.4)</td>
<td>92 (69.7)</td>
<td>275 (69.3)</td>
<td>456 (68.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20 (14.0)</td>
<td>92 (21.4)</td>
<td>112 (19.6)</td>
<td>28 (21.2)</td>
<td>88 (22.2)</td>
<td>148 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>12 (8.4)</td>
<td>30 (7.0)</td>
<td>42 (7.3)</td>
<td>10 (7.6)</td>
<td>20 (5.0)</td>
<td>45 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental myopia, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>100 (69.9)</td>
<td>357 (82.6)</td>
<td>457 (79.5)</td>
<td>95 (72.0)</td>
<td>327 (82.4)</td>
<td>530 (79.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43 (30.1)</td>
<td>75 (17.3)</td>
<td>118 (20.5)</td>
<td>37 (28.0)</td>
<td>70 (17.6)</td>
<td>137 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range.

*a*Includes missing refractive values.

*b*Includes, for example, technical and further education (vocational tertiary education in Australia).
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Figure 2. Year 14 ($r = 0.008; P = 0.837$), year 17 ($r = 0.021; P = 0.593$), and year 20 ($r = 0.058; P = 0.142$) total vitamin A intake and year 20 refractive data.

Table 1 displays the participant characteristics. The mean age at the 20-year follow-up was 20.0 years (range, 18.3–22.1 years; SD, 0.4). Of the 642 subjects included in this analysis, 37 (5.8%) were classified as having low vitamin A intake at year 14. A further 107 (16.7%) and 445 (69.3%) were classified as having low vitamin A intake from the year 17 and year 20 FFQs, respectively. Of the 37 participants with low vitamin A intake at 14 years, 11 had myopia (29.7%). Similarly, of the 107 participants with low vitamin A intake at 17 years and 445 participants with low vitamin A intake at 20 years, 25 (23.4%) and 122 (27.4%), respectively, had myopia.

The mean age of participants excluded from our analysis at the 20-year follow-up was 20.1 years (range, 19.4–22.1 years; SD, 0.5), and there was no significant difference compared to the selected participants ($t = 1.2; P = 0.2$). Between the included and excluded participants, there was a significant difference found among sex, with $\chi^2(1) = 5.63$ and $P = 0.018$; educational level, with $\chi^2(3) = 10.412$ and $P = 0.015$; and parental myopia, with $\chi^2(1) = 11.498$ and $P = 0.001$. For the included and excluded participants, there was no significant difference between the low and adequate vitamin A intake categories, with $\chi^2(1) = 0.16$ and $P = 0.69$. Regression analysis of dietary vitamin A intake ($\mu g/day$) was also not significant ($R^2 = 0.076$; year 20 vitamin A $\beta = 0.015; P = 0.58$). There was also no significant difference between these two groups for myopia, with $\chi^2(1) = 0.46$ and $P = 0.50$.

Intake of Vitamin A at Years 14 and 17

There were no significant linear correlations between refractive error (right spherical equivalent) and year 14 (Pearson correlation $r = 0.01; P = 0.84$) or year 17 ($r = 0.02; P = 0.60$) total vitamin A intake in the sample (Fig. 2). We compared vitamin A intake over the 3 years with year 20 refractive errors and found no significant correlation ($r = 0.02; P = 0.70$) (Fig. 3). There were also no significant correlations between refractive errors and year 14 vitamin A intake of males ($r = 0.05; P = 0.44$) and females ($r = -0.02; P = 0.66$). Similarly, year 17 vitamin A intake of males ($r = 0.06; P = 0.29$) and females ($r = -0.03; P = 0.60$)
were not significantly correlated with year 20 refractive errors.

**Intake of Vitamin A at Year 20**

There was no significant correlation between year 20 vitamin A and refractive error (Pearson correlation \(r = 0.06; P = 0.14\)). However, we identified a significant negative association between the myopic group and low vitamin A intake category (\(\Phi = -0.10; P = 0.01\)). Correlations between ocular axial length and year 20 vitamin A levels \((r = 0.01; P = 0.73)\) and year 20 vitamin A categories \((r = -0.03; P = 0.45)\) were insignificant.

A univariable logistic regression analysis (Table 2) showed that significant predictors of subjects who were myopic included vitamin A intake category, such that those with adequate intakes were less likely to be myopic (odds ratio [OR], 0.61; 95% CI, 0.39–0.96; \(P = 0.03\)). Other significant associations observed with myopia were CUVAF (OR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.98–0.99; \(P < 0.001\)) and parental history of myopia (OR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.32–0.76; \(P = 0.001\)). There were no significant associations between eye outcomes and age, sex, intake of vitamin A as a continuous measure, carbohydrate, zinc intakes, or highest education level completed.

We performed a multivariable analysis adjusting for age, sex, CUVAF, highest education completed, and parental myopia. Despite finding no association between education level in our univariable analysis we adjusted for it, as a study utilizing the exact cohort of participants demonstrated an association. A possible reason for this may be due to our selection criteria, which excluded many participants for not having relevant FFQ data. Age and sex were adjusted, as they were baseline characteristics. Linear regression comparing the continuous variables of refractive error and year 20 vitamin A data as a continuous measure, adjusting for the above confounders, demonstrated no significant association \((R^2 = 0.24; year 20 vitamin A \beta = 0.06; P = 0.17)\).

In an adjusted logistic regression model, the association between adequate vitamin A at year 20 and lower risk of myopia was no longer significant (OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.98–2.52; \(P = 0.06\)) (Table 2). There were 399 participants (260 females, 139 males) with low year 20 vitamin A intakes. In this subgroup, the refractive error was similar between females and males (difference of means of right spherical equivalence, 0.08D; 95% CI, −0.18 to 0.34; \(P = 0.53\)).

**Discussion**

We did not find any correlation between quantitative values of vitamin A intake and refractive errors. There was a significant association between myopia and low vitamin A category, indicating that, although there does not appear to be a linear relationship with vitamin A and myopia, a threshold may exist where suboptimal intakes increase risk. However, this association disappeared when accounting for confounding factors in the analysis.
Table 2. Univariable and Multivariable Associations with Myopia in a Cohort of Young Adults\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariable Analysis</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariable Analysis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
<td>95% Confidence Interval</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>0.792–1.817</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.538–1.111</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A intake, total daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 14 (low/adequate categories)</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.366–1.573</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 17 (low/adequate categories)</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>0.666–1.769</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 (low/adequate categories)</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.389–0.955</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 14 (absolute amount/mg)</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.711–1.303</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 17 (absolute amount/mg)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.612–1.097</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 (absolute amount/mg)</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.238–1.004</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 daily carbohydrate intake/mg</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.051–3.740</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 20 daily zinc intake/μg</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.946–1.002</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUVA F</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.982–0.994</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest education completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school (high school)</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.079–8.827</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.447–1.829</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.238–1.241</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental myopia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No parents</td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or both parents</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.316–0.755</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} The odds of myopia decrease with adequate vitamin A intake and increased sun exposure and increase with parental history of myopia. The multivariable analysis included age, sex, year 20 total daily vitamin A intake categories, CUVA F, highest education completed, and parental myopia.

\textsuperscript{b} Includes, for example, technical and further education (vocational tertiary education in Australia).

Possible Mechanisms Linking Vitamin A Insufficiency with Myopia

Despite our analysis demonstrating no association between myopia and vitamin A intake with data from the selected cohort, we believe that there is a theoretical association between myopia and vitamin A. There are plausible mechanisms that support this hypothesis. Elongation of the vitreous chamber of the eye is the most common cause of myopia.\textsuperscript{29} There are significant amounts of evidence, including from animal studies, suggesting that dopamine affects eye elongation.\textsuperscript{30–32} One animal study indicated dopamine was a key neurotransmitter released by bipolar cells to modulate the layers of the retina by synaptic or volume transmission.\textsuperscript{33} It is also known that genetic variation of dopamine receptor gene transcription is associated with myopia.\textsuperscript{34} In situations of dysregulated (low) dopamine signaling or levels, myopic eye growth ensues.\textsuperscript{35} Various genes associated with myopia, particularly high myopia, have been identified.\textsuperscript{34,36–38} Some identified genes are linked to ocular refraction and pathological myopia.\textsuperscript{37} Various genetic pathways—for neurotransmission, photoreceptor morphogenesis and retinoic acid metabolism, ion channel activity, development of ocular and central nervous systems, and extracellular matrix development and remodeling—are implicated in refractive errors.\textsuperscript{37}

Furthermore, various animal studies have demonstrated that retinoic acid acts a chemical signal for regulating eye growth.\textsuperscript{39–41} In these studies, retinoic acid concentrations were elevated during form deprivation, where some spatial frequencies were removed from the retinal image. This is perhaps a compensatory mechanism, as during the development of form-deprivation myopia, where axial growth results in hyperopic defocus, retinoid acid levels declined in the choroid and sclera.\textsuperscript{39} One possible pathway that we propose is that insufficiency of vitamin A, or...
transcription issues of related genes for retinoic acid metabolism, may result in inadequate production of retinoic acid. This, in turn, may upset the regulation of eye growth and result in abnormal axial elongation, causing myopia. Genetic factors also play a part in vitamin A absorption in the gut, thus impacting serum vitamin A concentrations.42

Another possible pathway supporting the association between vitamin A and myopia is the relationship between retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and myopia. In clinical trials, supplementation with vitamin A appeared to slow progression of RP, a genetic cause of night blindness (nyctalopia).43–45 RP is the most common genetically inherited ocular disease and is associated with over 50 different genes.46 We have identified three genes common to RP and vitamin A. RDH5 and RGR are associated with RP, retinoic acid metabolism, and myopia. RPE65 is common to RP and vitamin A deficiency. This finding may indicate a significant link between myopia and vitamin A deficiency. The various genetic pathways mentioned above are involved in possible mechanisms whereby insufficient vitamin A may modify the activation or inhibition of the pathways, resulting in myopia. This evidence supports further research into the association of vitamin A and myopia.

Strengths

There was no selection bias, as the analyzed participants were recruited from the Raine Study. This study has been demonstrated to have internal and external validity, because at year 20 the characteristics of the cohort members were similar to those of the general population of Western Australian young adults.47 Furthermore, by utilizing longitudinal data from the same cohort of participants, we were able to determine associations over a 3-year period, providing more comprehensive information than a cross-sectional analysis. We compared the dietary vitamin A intake in year 14 and year 17 with refractive errors attained at year 20. The availability of various factors associated with myopia—CUVAF, highest education completed, and parental myopia—from the same cohort allowed us to correct for them as confounders to provide a more accurate outcome.

Limitations

No serum measurements of vitamin A levels were available from our data. Our vitamin A intakes were solely attained from the FFQs, which provided a retrospective estimate of an individual’s dietary intake of vitamin A over a year. Thus, data on vitamin A intake are likely to be limited by recall bias.48 FFQ responses may also be subjected to confounding factors such as mood, attention span, and frequency of exposure to the stimulus.49 Moreover, vitamin A insufficiency is very unlikely in our cohort of participants based in Australia. Thus, the spectrum of vitamin A intake may not have been sufficiently varied to compare against refractive data due to this selection bias. We acknowledge a difference between the proportions of participants categorized as having low vitamin A intake by the CSIRO (year 14 and 17) and by the CCV (year 20) FFQs. A study comparing the FFQs concluded that limits of agreement with diet records were poor for vitamin A data and that a significant drawback is an overestimation of the low proportion.50 This may be another reason why we were not able to draw any linear associations between quantitative values of vitamin A intake and myopia. However, comparing categories rather than continuous values yielded an association following a binomial regression analysis, reflecting a non-dose–response relationship between vitamin A intake and risk of myopia.

Axial elongation does not progress linearly with age, as axial elongation ceases by teenage years,51 allowing transient changes as young adults.52 Our participants had only a single measurement of ophthalmic data at 20 years old. If signals affecting long-term eye growth have ceased at that point, adequate vitamin A intakes may not affect myopia, with the exception of preventing transient changes.

Studies involving more precise vitamin A measurements from a larger cohort of participants across a wider range of ages may delineate these associations more clearly.

Conclusions

We found no evidence that young adults with low vitamin A intakes are likely to have myopia at 20 years of age. Although the link between myopia and vitamin A does not appear to be linear, a threshold may exist for optimal vitamin A intake and axial elongation. Further studies incorporating more precise measurements of dietary vitamin A and adopting a broader spectrum of participants of younger ages and countries of residence would delineate associations more robustly.
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