

2021

Investigating primary preservice teachers' ultraviolet radiation awareness and perceived ability to teach sun safety

Joseph Scott
Edith Cowan University

Robyn Johnston
Edith Cowan University

Mark Strickland

Jill Darby
Edith Cowan University

Elin Gray
Edith Cowan University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013>



Part of the [Education Commons](#)

[10.1002/hpja.401](https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.401)

Scott, J. J., Johnston, R., Blane, S., Strickland, M., Darby, J., & Gray, E. (2021). Investigating primary preservice teachers' ultraviolet radiation awareness and perceived ability to teach sun safety. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, 32(S2), 178-184.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.401>

This Journal Article is posted at Research Online.

<https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/8533>



Investigating primary preservice teachers' ultraviolet radiation awareness and perceived ability to teach sun safety

Joseph J. Scott¹ | Robyn Johnston¹ | Sally Blane² | Mark Strickland² | Jill Darby³ | Elin Gray⁴

¹School of Education, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia

²Cancer Council Western Australia, Subiaco, WA, Australia

³School of Medical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia

⁴Melanoma Research Group, School of Medical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia

Correspondence

Joseph Scott, Health and Physical Education, School of Education, Edith Cowan University, 2 Bradford Street, Mount Lawley WA 6050, Australia.
Email: joseph.scott@ecu.edu.au

Funding information

This study was funded by the Edith Cowan University Early Career Research Grant (G1004374).

Editor: Jonathan Hallett

Abstract

Issue addressed: Sun protection practices in Australian primary schools remain inconsistent. Therefore, this study investigates primary PSTs sun protective sun behaviours, ultraviolet (UV) radiation awareness and perceived ability to teach sun safety.

Methods: A convenience sample of undergraduate PSTs (N = 275; mean age = 23.13 years) enrolled at one Western Australian university completed an online survey. Descriptive analyses provided features of the data. Factors associated with sun protection behaviours and perceived knowledge and skill to teach sun safety were explored using multivariable logistic regression models.

Results: Lesser than 10% of participants reported using sun protective measures daily (midday shade use: 6.5%; sunscreen: 7.6%; hat: 4.4%). Only 56.3% reported they understand the UV index, with 68.0% rarely/never using it to aid sun protection. Under half the participants reported they felt they had the knowledge (38.5%) or skills (40%) to effectively teach sun safety in primary schools. Regression analysis revealed gender, undergraduate, year and skin sensitivity were not predictors of UV index use ($P > .05$) or perceived knowledge of sun safety ($P > .05$). Skin sensitivity was the strongest predictor for shade usage ($P = .02$), hat usage ($P = .05$) and perceived skill to teach sun safety ($P = .02$).

Conclusions: Survey data indicate UV radiation is inconsistently understood by PSTs. Many felt that they did not have the required knowledge or skill to teach sun safety effectively.

So what? Improving PSTs UV radiation knowledge while at university is a potential opportunity to improve sun safety delivery in primary schools. A targeted intervention for PSTs is warranted.

KEYWORDS

behaviours, perceptions, primary, sun safety, teacher education, ultraviolet radiation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Health Promotion Journal of Australia published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Australian Health Promotion Association

1 | INTRODUCTION

In 2018, global research concluded that Australia has the highest incident rate of melanoma in the world.¹ In 2015 alone, 2162 Australians died as a result of skin cancer with melanoma accounting for 1520 of these cases (642 were non-melanoma related).^{2,3} Evidence suggests that 95% of melanomas are caused by over exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation.⁴ Level of UV radiation exposure, the UV index and the effect it has on sunburn is still poorly understood across the Australian population with many people believing that they are at low risk during days where it is overcast or of cooler temperature.^{5,6} Ability to understand the UV index and how to implement effective sun protection strategies remains paramount in reducing harmful UV exposure and risks of developing skin cancer.⁶

Despite widespread sun safety education across Australia, UV radiation awareness and sun protection practices in schools remain inconsistent.⁷⁻⁹ Primary schools remain a particularly important location for sun safety education programs as it is believed that educating children on effective sun protective behaviours and the dangers of UV radiation could significantly impact behaviour and lower individuals future risk of developing skin cancer.¹⁰ In addition, school administrators also can implement policies that promote sun protective behaviours for both teaching staff and students while on school grounds.¹¹ By encouraging adherence to school sun protection policies, primary school teachers play an important and influential role in childrens' sun safety health.^{12,13}

Educating preservice teachers (PSTs) while at university before they enter schools could be a potential opportunity to improve schools' approaches to sun protection across Australia by facilitating classroom teaching on the UV Index and modelling positive sun safe behaviours to students.^{14,15} Anecdotal evidence from one previous study (n = 30) suggests that secondary¹ PST's knowledge about the UV Index is low.¹⁶ However, primary² PST's current level of understanding of UV radiation and effective sun protective measures to protect themselves and young children is currently unknown. Therefore, this study aims to investigate primary PST's current tanning and sun protection behaviours, awareness of the dangers related to UV exposure and perceived knowledge and skill to be able to teach sun safety.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Sample/participants

A convenience sample of second and fourth year undergraduate PST's (n = 555) enrolled in the Bachelor of Primary Education in 2019 at one Western Australian university were invited to be in the study.

2.2 | Assessment tool

This study used the online Preservice Teacher Sun Safety Survey (PSTSSS), which was designed for the purposes of this study. The

PSTSSS included previously validated questions from state and national surveys.^{17,18}, plus additional questions added for the study's PST participant group. The PSTSSS took approximately 15 minutes to complete. The first nine checkbox questions of the PSTSSS were used to collect participants' demographic information and self-reported skin sensitivity. Following this, there were 21 questions that investigated participants' sun behaviours, UV radiation awareness and perceptions of school-based sun safety. Responses were provided on a 5-point Likert scale and ranged from "never" to "everyday" for behavioural frequency variables and from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree" for attitudinal variables. Qualtrics (Utah, UT) software was used to create and administer the PSTSSS via QR code and website links.

2.3 | Procedures

Approval to conduct this research was granted by the relevant University Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC-22500). In March 2019, all second and fourth year undergraduate students enrolled in a Bachelor of Primary Education at one Western Australian University were invited to attend an information session that provided a study overview and explained consent processes and what participation would entail. Students also received consent and information letters highlighting that participation in the study was voluntary and unrelated to their curriculum, assessments or degree progression. Consenting PSTs were emailed a QR code and link to the PSTSSS (Qualtrics survey).

2.4 | Analysis

Survey data were downloaded from Qualtrics and analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25 (SPSS Inc Chicago, IL) software. Descriptive analyses assessed frequency and mean data. Chi-square and logistic regression were used to explore univariate associations between PSTs sun protective behaviours, perceived knowledge and skill to teach sun safety and other demographic independent variables (age, gender, undergraduate year and skin sensitivity) as previous research has shown these to be linked.¹⁹ Univariate associations with a *p* value of ≤ 0.25 were entered into multivariable logistic regression models using both backward elimination and stepwise variable selection to determine model stability.²⁰ Six regression models were developed: Shade usage (no use/use), sunscreen usage (no use/use), hat usage (no use/use), UV index usage (no use/use) and perceived knowledge (do not have/have); perceived skill (do not have/have). For regression analysis, significant factors were combined as completed in previous studies.^{19,21,22} Use of sun protection (ie, shade, sunscreen, hat, UV index), responses were coded as scores of: 1 = no use; ≥ 2 = use); skin sensitivity: 1-2 = burn; 3 = do not burn; age: 1 = young adult aged <24 years, 2 = older adult aged ≥ 25 years; knowledge: <3 = do not have, ≥ 4 = have; skill: <3 = do not have, ≥ 4 = have.

3 | RESULTS

The proportion of PSTs who consented to be involved in the study was 284/555 (51.1%), which was considered average in comparison to previous studies that have investigated university student response rates in the United States²³ and Australia.²⁴⁻²⁶ Nine participants were excluded due to missing data. A final sample of $n = 275$ (age range = 18-54; median age = 21 years; second years = 227 (82.5%); fourth years = 46 (16.7%) were included in the analyses (See Table 1). Most participants were female (81.1%), Australian-born (79.6%) and spoke English at home (97.1%), and attended both primary and secondary school in Australia (88.4%).

3.1 | Self-reported sun sensitivity and sun-related behaviours

Skin sensitivity was assessed by asking about participants' skin's response if they stayed in the sun unprotected for 30 minutes: 27.6% ($n = 76$) indicated they would not tan, just burn, 37.8% ($n = 104$) said they would burn first, then tan and 34.6% ($n = 76$) said they would not burn, just tan. PSTs that reported their skin would burn in the sun were more likely to seek shade ($\chi^2 = 5.90$, $OR = 0.33$, $P < .02$), wear a hat ($\chi^2 = 4.02$, $OR = 0.41$, $P = .05$) more frequently than those who reported their skin does not burn (See Table 2).

When asked if they had been sun burnt in the past 12 months, over half of the participants reported they never/rarely got sun-burnt (52.3%). However, 39.6% reported they got burnt "sometimes" and 8% stating they got burnt "often/everyday." A total of 55.6% reported that in the last 12 months they attempted to get a tan; 27.6% reported they "sometimes" tried tanning and 16.8% reported they attempt to get a tan often/everyday (See Table 3). Self-reported skin sensitivity and tanning frequency findings in this study were reasonably consistent with findings from previous Australian studies.^{21,27}

Less than 10% of participants reported they used sun protective measures daily (midday shade use: 6.5%; sunscreen: 7.6%; hat: 4.4%). The most common response for all three sun protective measures was that the participants "sometimes" protected themselves from the sun (seeking midday shade: 38.9%; sunscreen: 41.1%; hat: 37.1%). Under 50% of participants reported using each sun protection measure often/everyday. Participants were more likely to use sunscreen (45.4%) or shade from 10 AM to 2 PM (39.6%) often/everyday, compared to wearing a hat often/everyday (30.9%). Second year PSTs were more likely to wear sunscreen than fourth year PSTs ($\chi^2 = 7.23$, $OR 0.93$, $P < .01$). Most participants did not use the UV Index to aid sun protection: 63.3% rarely/never checked the UV index and 25.1% did so sometimes, while 68.0% rarely/never use the UV index as a sun protection tool. Age, gender, undergraduate, year and skin sensitivity were not predictors of UV index use ($P > .05$).

TABLE 1 Demographics of preservice teacher sample ($n = 275$)

Survey item	n	%
Student year group		
Second year student	227	82.5
Fourth year student	46	16.7
Missing	2	0.7
Age		
<24 years	207	75.3
25-29 years	38	13.8
30+ years	30	10.9
Gender		
Males	52	18.9
Females	223	81.1
Country of birth		
Australia	219	79.6
Other	56	20.4
Cultural background		
Australian	187	6
Aboriginal	1	0.4
Asian	6	2.2
European	50	18.2
Middle Eastern	3	1.1
African	13	4.7
Other	14	5.1
Prefer not to say	1	0.4
Language spoken at home most frequently		
English	267	97.1
Other	8	2.9
Schooling in Australia		
Primary only	2	0.7
Secondary only	16	5.8
Both primary and secondary	243	88.4
Neither, I attended outside Australia	14	5.1

3.2 | Sun protection attitudes

Table 4 shows just under half the PST's (48.7%) agreed they understood the UV index and 7.6% strongly agreed they understood it, while 29.5% were neutral and 13.8% reported they did not understand it. Most participants agreed/strongly agreed that the UV index is a useful resource to assist with sun protection (73.1%) and that understanding it is important to properly protect themselves (69.1%). Participants almost unanimously agreed/strongly agreed it was important for teachers to thoroughly understand sun safety to enable them to properly educate their students (96.4%). However, more than half of the PSTs (60%) felt they did not have the required skills to teach sun safety to properly protect young children while at school with 38.5% reporting they did

TABLE 2 Multivariable logistic regression of preservice teachers' sun behaviours and perceptions

Dependent variable	Predictor		B	SE	χ^a	OR	95% CI	p
Shade ^a	Skin	Burn	-1.1	0.46	5.90	0.33	0.14-0.81	.02
		Do not burn	1					
Sunscreen ^a	Undergraduate year	Second	-2.23	0.88	7.23	0.93	0.17-0.65	<.01
		Fourth	1					
Hat ^a	Skin	Burn	-0.9	0.45	4.02	0.41	0.17-0.98	.05
		Do not burn	1					
Skill ^b	Gender	Males	-0.72	0.32	5.17	0.49	0.26-0.91	.02
		Females	1					
	Skin	Burn	0.60	0.26	5.02	1.80	0.75-3.07	.03
		Do not burn	1					

Note: Age, gender, undergraduate year and skin sensitivity were not predictors of UV index use ($P > .05$) or perceived knowledge of sun safety ($P > .05$).

^aNo use/use.

^bDo not have/have.

Question	Never N (%)	Rarely N (%)	Sometimes N (%)	Often N (%)	Everyday N (%)
In the last 12 months, I got sunburnt	21 (7.6)	123 (44.7)	109 (39.6)	21 (7.6)	1 (0.4)
In the last 12 months, I attempted to get a tan	71 (25.8)	82 (29.8)	76 (27.6)	45 (16.4)	1 (0.4)
I seek shade from 10 AM to 2 PM	22 (8.0)	46 (16.7)	98 (35.6)	91(33.1)	18 (6.5)
I wear sunscreen	6 (2.2)	31 (11.3)	113 (41.1)	104 (37.8)	21 (7.6)
I wear a hat	22 (8.0)	66 (24.0)	102 (37.1)	73 (26.5)	12 (4.4)
I check the UV index	83 (30.2)	91 (33.1)	69 (25.1)	26 (9.5)	5 (1.8)
I use UV index	97 (35.3)	90 (32.7)	64 (23.3)	19 (6.9)	4 (1.5)

TABLE 3 Preservice teachers sun-related behaviours

TABLE 4 Preservice teachers' perceptions of UV and sun protection

UV attitudes	Strongly disagree N (%)	Disagree N (%)	Neutral N (%)	Agree N (%)	Strongly agree N (%)
I understand the UV index	2 (0.7)	36 (13.1)	81 (29.5)	134 (48.7)	21 (7.6)
I feel that understanding UV index is important	5 (1.8)	7 (2.5)	72 (26.2)	146 (53.1)	44 (16.0)
I feel the UV index is useful	3 (1.1)	7 (2.5)	63 (22.9)	162 (58.9)	39 (14.2)
Teachers are responsible for ensuring students are UV protected while at school	1 (0.4)	3 (1.1)	50 (18.2)	149 (54.2)	68 (24.7)
It is important that primary teachers understand sun safety well so they can properly educate their students	2 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	4 (1.5)	116 (42.2)	149 (54.2)
I feel I have the knowledge to teach sun safety in primary schools	2 (0.7)	36 (13.1)	127 (46.2)	87 (31.6)	19 (6.9)
I feel I have the skills to teach sun safety in primary schools	2 (0.7)	35 (12.7)	124 (45.1)	96 (34.9)	14 (5.1)

not have the required knowledge. Regression analyses revealed that gender ($\chi^2 = 5.17$, $OR = 0.49$, $P = .02$) and skin sensitivity ($\chi^2 = 5.02$, $OR = 1.80$, $P = .03$) were the strongest predictors of perceived skill to be able to teach sun safety. However, age, gender, undergraduate year and skin sensitivity were not predictors of perceived knowledge of sun safety ($P > .05$).

4 | DISCUSSION

National evaluation research has supported a strong economic case for skin cancer prevention in Australia.²⁸ Funding for skin cancer prevention varies considerably across the country. While treatment for skin cancer in Western Australia is estimated to cost \$90 million per annum²⁹, the state government funding for skin cancer prevention averaged just \$734,000 per annum over the past 3 years. Research from three national surveys between 2003 and 2011 suggest that there has been a reduction in pro-tanning attitudes and behaviours among Australians.³⁰ However, recent findings in WA indicate a slight increase in tanning and sun burn rates among adolescents and adults in recent years,³¹ which potentially highlight a need for increased state-wide prevention and targeted education campaigns to curb this rise.

Research indicates that since Cancer Council Australia launched the national SunSmart Schools Program (SSSP) in 1998, there was significant uptake by primary schools across the country, growing from 19% in 1998 to an estimated 71% in 2018; and also concluded that that schools registered in the SSSP had greater likelihood of implementing policies and practice.³² However, current registration in the SSSP³ and implementation of policy is less than desirable in WA. Cancer Council WA data (as of July 2020), indicates that only 36% of WA Primary Schools are registered in the SSSP. Furthermore, research in 2017 showed that 32% of WA primary schools did not have a written sun protection policy.³³ While online resources and professional learning materials are available to all teachers via the Generation SunSmart website, there is a clear need increase SSSP uptake and policy implementation in WA to improve schools sun protection approaches. Additionally, it remains unknown what level of understanding primary teachers have of UV radiation and effective sun protective measures for primary-aged children and how policies are being implemented and adhered to.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to assess sun safety behaviours, knowledge and attitudes among primary PSTs. While age and gender were not predictors of sun protective behaviours (shade, sunscreen, hat or UV index usage), participants who reported that their skin would burn in the sun were more likely to seek shade ($P = .02$) and wear hats ($P = .05$) more frequently than those who reported their skin does not burn. These findings are consistent with previous studies that have shown individuals with more sensitive skin are commonly more vigilant with sun protective measure.^{21,34}

While few PSTs actively tanned or sunburned on a regular basis, many did not use sun protection regularly. Similar results have been

found for the broader WA community with 18-45 year old's reporting only moderate levels of use of sun protection with sunscreen the most common method of protection¹⁹. Given the participants' infrequent use of sun protection in the present study, school policies encouraging and reinforcing sun protection for staff, as well as students, are likely to be important to support positive behaviour change when this cohort start teaching.⁷

Our findings indicate that primary PST's saw the UV Index as an important and useful tool to protect themselves from harmful UV radiation, however, few used it regularly and over 40% reported they did not properly understand it or were unsure. Similarly, other studies have shown that Australian populations were reasonably aware of the UV Index, but that it was not widely used or correctly understood.^{6,16,35,36} Evaluations of public education campaigns in adults indicate they can successfully increase awareness and understanding of the UV Index by the general public¹⁸, so it is likely that targeted approaches with primary PST's could also be effective.

Primary teachers play an important and influential role as mentors and role models for many young children^{12,13,37} at a time when sun exposure can have a significant impact on the development of future cancer risk.³⁸ Over 18% of participants provided a "neutral" response to believing it was their responsibility to ensure students are UV protected while at school, potentially indicating they were unsure. This is concerning as primary teachers are responsible for children during the part of the day when UV radiation is at its peak.³⁹ Our findings highlight that UV radiation and sun protection knowledge among primary PSTs is lower than ideal and potentially highlight a need to enrich existing initial teacher education programs to include more sun safety content.

Participants in this study had varying levels of confidence about their abilities to teach Sun Safety effectively, in spite of their acknowledgement of the importance of sun protection in schools. This has implications for the effectiveness and consistency of application of sun protection practices and teaching in Australian schools. Previous research indicates that school teachers are in a prime position to influence child health and for Health Education to be effective in primary schools, teachers must receive specific training.^{12,40}

Studies indicate that exiting PSTs perceive they are more knowledgeable and skilled than PSTs entering their degrees.^{41,42} However, our findings indicated that other than sunscreen usage ($P < .01$), undergraduate year was not a predictor for other sun protective behaviours, perceived knowledge or perceived skill to be able to teach sun safety. In contrast, our findings indicate that many PST's in both second and fourth year feel that they do not have the perceived knowledge or skill to effectively teach sun safety to their future students there is a need for targeted interventions specially designed for PST's to raise awareness and upskill PST's on effective UV radiation protective measures.

This study was novel as for the first time it investigated primary PST's tanning, sun protective behaviours as well as their perceptions of UV radiation and confidence and competence to be able to teach sun safety. However, there were several limitations to this research. First, due to feasibility restrictions, a convenience

sample of only second and fourth year PST's from one Western Australian university were included in this study. Second, the response rate was 51.1% with only 284 of the invited 555 PSTs providing informed consent to be involved in the study. It is unknown if PSTs who chose not to be involved in the study would have responded differently to certain questions in the survey. Third, the sample was relatively homogeneous with regards to age and gender, and hence, the findings may not be generalisable across all PSTs at other Australian Universities. Finally, a self-report measure was used to collect data, and hence, there is the potential for reporting bias.

5 | CONCLUSION

Survey data indicated that there are inconsistent understandings of UV radiation, tanning and sun protective behaviours among primary PST's. Many PST's felt they lacked the required knowledge or skills to properly teach children about the dangers of UV radiation and sun safety. Our findings highlight a need for greater UV radiation and sun safety education at tertiary level focusing on effective sun protective behaviours for primary-aged children. Within existing teacher education programs, a targeted intervention specially designed for primary PSTs is warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank preservice teachers and university staff involved in the study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There are no competing interests.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors are responsible for reported research and have participated in the concept and design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting or revising, and have approved this manuscript as submitted.

ORCID

Joseph J. Scott  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5238-7460>

Robyn Johnston  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0800-9336>

Jill Darby  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5464-5347>

Elin Gray  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8613-3570>

ENDNOTES

¹ Refers to PSTs that are studying a Bachelor of Secondary Education.

² Refers to PSTs that are studying a Bachelor of Primary Education.

³ Re-registration of SSSP is required every 3 years in WA.

REFERENCES

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394–424.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Cancer in Australia 2017.* Cancer series no. 101. Cat. no. CAN 100. Canberra: AIHW; 2017.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. *Causes of death, Australia 2015* Canberra, Australia; 2016. Available from: <http://www.abs.gov.au/Causes-of-Death>
- Cancer Council. *Skin cancer: what is skin cancer?.* 2018. Available from: <https://www.cancer.org.au/preventing-cancer/sun-protection/about-skin-cancer.html>
- Heckman CJ, Liang K, Riley M. Awareness, understanding, use, and impact of the UV index: a systematic review of over two decades of international research. *Prev Med.* 2019;123:71–83.
- Carter OBJ, Donovan RJ. Public (Mis)understanding of the UV Index. *J Health Commun.* 2007;12(1):41–52.
- Dono J, Ettridge KA, Sharplin GR, Wilson CJ. The relationship between sun protection policies and practices in schools with primary-age students: the role of school demographics, policy comprehensiveness and SunSmart membership. *Health Educ Res.* 2014;29(1):1–12.
- Winslade M, Wright B, Dudley D, Cotton W, Brown A. Australian primary school communities' understandings of SunSmart: a qualitative study. *Aust N Z J Public Health.* 2017;41(5):483–9.
- Dudley DA, Cotton WG, Winslade MJ, Wright BJ, Jackson KS, Brown AM, et al. An objective and cross-sectional examination of sun-safe behaviours in New South Wales primary schools. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(1):21.
- Cancer Council. *SunSmart schools and early childhood programs: National SunSmart Schools Program 2015.* Available from: <https://www.cancer.org.au/preventing-cancer/sun-protection/sunsmart-schools/>
- Jones SB, Beckmann K, Rayner J. Australian primary schools' sun protection policy and practice: evaluating the impact of the National SunSmart Schools Program. *Health Promot J Aust.* 2008;19(2):86–90.
- Speller V, Byrne J, Dewhirst S, Almond P, Mohebati L, Norman M, et al. Developing trainee school teachers' expertise as health promoters. *Health Educ.* 2010;110(6):490–507.
- Dadlani C, Orlow SJ. Planning for a brighter future: a review of sun protection and barriers to behavioral change in children and adolescents. *Dermatol Online J.* 2008;14(9):1.
- Storey KE, Montemurro G, Flynn J, Schwartz M, Wright E, Osler J, et al. Essential conditions for the implementation of comprehensive school health to achieve changes in school culture and improvements in health behaviours of students. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16(1):1133.
- Nicholson A, Hill J, Walker H, Heward S, Dobbins S. Teacher perceptions of sun protection practices in the secondary school setting: Barriers, enablers and recommendations for future. *Health Promot J Aust.* 2019;31:258–67.
- Barwood D, Jones A. Pre-service teachers, co-creating sun safety education for adolescents. *Curric Stud Health Physical Educ.* 2019;10(3):277–90.
- Dobbins S, Tabbakh T. 2016–17 National Sun Protection Survey. Centre for Behavioural Research in Cancer: Cancer Council Victoria. 2016.
- Hollier LP, Pettigrew S. Evaluation of the 2014/15 "UV Index" Campaign: CATI data. Perth: WA Cancer Prevention Research Unit (WACPRU) Curtin University; 2015.
- Koch S, Pettigrew S, Strickland M, Slevin T, Minto C. Sunscreen increasingly overshadows alternative sun-protection strategies. *J Cancer Educ.* 2017;32(3):528–31.
- Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, Hosmer DW. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med.* 2008;3:17.

21. Pettigrew S, Jongenelis M, Strickland M, Minto C, Slevin T, Jalleh G, et al. Predictors of sun protection behaviours and sunburn among Australian adolescents. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:565–75.
22. Ghiasvand R, Weiderpass E, Green AC, Lund E, Veierød MB. Sunscreen use and subsequent melanoma risk: a population-based cohort study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(33):3976–83.
23. Porter SR, Umbach PD. Student survey response rates across institutions: why do they vary? *Res High Educ*. 2006;47(2):229–47.
24. Nulty DD. The adequacy of response rates to online and paper surveys: what can be done? *Assess Eval High Educ*. 2008;33(3):301–14.
25. Bennett L, Nair CS, Wayland C, editors. Love it or hate it: participation a key ingredient in closing the loop. Proceedings of the Australian Universities Quality Forum; 2006.
26. Tanudjaja SA, Chih H, Burns S, Crawford G, Hallett J, Jancey J. Alcohol consumption and associated harms among university students in Australia: Findings from a cross-sectional study [ahead of print 08 April 2020] *Health Promot J Aust*. 10.1002/hpja.342
27. Volkov A, Dobbins S, Wakefield MA, Slevin T. Seven-year trends in sun protection and sunburn among Australian adolescents and adults. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2013;37(1):63–9.
28. Shih ST, Carter R, Heward S, Sinclair C. Economic evaluation of future skin cancer prevention in Australia. *Prev Med*. 2017;99:7–12.
29. Elliott TM, Whiteman DC, Olsen CM, Gordon LG. Erratum to: estimated healthcare costs of melanoma in Australia over 3 years post-diagnosis. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. 2017;15(6):817–8.
30. Volkov A, Dobbins SJ. 2010–11 National Sun Protection Survey Report 2: Australians' sun protective behaviours and sunburn incidence on summer weekends, 2010–11 and comparison with 2003–04 and 2006–07. Melbourne, Australia: Centre for Behavioural Research in Cancer: Cancer Council Victoria; 2011.
31. Dana LM, Grant H, Talati Z, Alexander E, O'Connor M. Evaluation of the 2019/20 "UV Camera – Don't let the sun see your DNA" Campaign. Perth: WA Cancer Prevention Research Unit (WACPRU) Curtin University; 2020.
32. Hunkin H, Pollock K, Scupham R. The National SunSmart Schools Program: Impact on sun protection policies and practices in Australian primary schools. *Health Promot J Aust*. 2019;31(2):251–7.
33. Pollock K, Scupham R. Sun protection policy and practice in Western Australian primary schools: Evaluation of the National SunSmart Schools Program in Western Australia. Adelaide: Cancer Council SA; 2017.
34. Falk M, Anderson CD. Influence of age, gender, educational level and self-estimation of skin type on sun exposure habits and readiness to increase sun protection. *Cancer epidemiol*. 2013;37(2):127–32.
35. Mercovich D. Knowledge of the UV index within Western Australia. ECU Research Online: Edith Cowan University; 2012.
36. Blunden ANN, Lower T, Slevin T. Knowledge, awareness, and use of the UV index amongst the West Australian Public. *J Health Commun*. 2004;9(3):207–21.
37. Turner D, Harrison SL, Buettner P, Nowak M. Does being a "SunSmart School" influence hat-wearing compliance? An ecological study of hat-wearing rates at Australian primary schools in a region of high sun exposure. *Prev Med*. 2014;60:107–14.
38. Whiteman DC, Whiteman CA, Green AC. Childhood sun exposure as a risk factor for melanoma: a systematic review of epidemiologic studies. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2001;12(1):69–82.
39. Moise AF, Buttner PG, Harrison SL. Sun exposure at school. *Photochem. Photobiol*. 1999;70(2):269–74.
40. Jourdan D, Pommier J, Quidu F. Practices and representations of health education among primary school teachers. *Scand J Public Health*. 2010;38(1):86–94.
41. Kaldi S. Student teachers' perceptions of self-competence in and emotions/stress about teaching in initial teacher education. *Educ. Stud*. 2009;35(3):349–60.
42. König J. First comes theory, then the practice? On the acquisition of general acquisition of general pedagogical knowledge during initial teacher education. *Educ. Stud. Math*. 2013;11(4):999–1028.

How to cite this article: Scott JJ, Johnston R, Blane S, Strickland M, Darby J, Gray E. Investigating primary preservice teachers' ultraviolet radiation awareness and perceived ability to teach sun safety. *Health Promot J Austral*. 2020;00:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.401>