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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Aluminium alloys are extensively used in different industries due to their good mechanical properties, machinability, low cost and reliable inspection. Drilling is one of the most important machining processes for assembly operations. The number of holes required in an assembly may vary from several holes to millions depending on the application which increases the manufacturing time and costs. In this study, a multi-spindle head drill known as the poly-drill head is used to perform multi-hole simultaneous drilling with the aim to increase productivity. Dry drilling tests are performed on Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083 aluminium alloys using uncoated carbide tools. Thrust force, hole quality in terms of surface roughness, burr and chip formation, as well as post-machining tool conditions, were investigated under different drilling parameters. Experimental results showed that Al2024 produced fewer burrs around the hole edges, less built-up edge on tools and formed short and broken chips. Holes machined in Al6061 alloy had a good surface roughness while lowest thrust force was recorded in holes drilled in Al5083 alloy.

\copyright 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Aluminium and its alloys are favourable choices for various applications in aerospace, automotive and marine industries \cite{1}. Aluminium is still considered one of the most important structural materials in the aerospace industry despite the growing use of composites \cite{2}. Aluminium is also attractive within the automotive industry due to its lightweight. It has been projected that the average content of aluminium in a car will reach 250 kgs by 2025, compared to 35 kgs in the 1970s \cite{3}. Al2024 is one of the most commonly used aluminium alloys in primary aircraft structures. It is commonly installed in areas such as the fuselage skin due to its good resistance to fatigue crack growth, excellent damage tolerance, high fracture toughness and ease of fabrication \cite{4}. Al6061 alloy is mainly used in the automotive industry such as in car steering knuckles, truck bodies and frames due to its good weldability and corrosion resistance \cite{5}. Al5083 alloy is extensively used in the marine industries due to its good corrosion resistance and weldability \cite{6}.

In manufacturing industries, drilling is the primary machining process for riveting and hole making applications \cite{7-10}. Conventional drilling remains an important machining
operation, despite the development of the modern cutting process in manufacturing industries [11]. In drilling of aluminium alloys, poor surface finish and burrs around the hole edges are the most common problems [12]. Furthermore, dry drilling of aluminium alloys causes increased built up edge formation and rapid tool wear. Therefore, proper selection of cutting tools, machine setup and drilling parameters are important to achieve the desirable hole quality [13].

In the past literature, the majority of the drilling studies have used a single drill to create holes in the workpiece material which is also known as one-shot drilling process. For instance, Giasin et al. [14] investigated the drilling of Al2024 using a TiAlN coated drill to study the cutting forces and several hole quality metrics such as: surface roughness, burr formation, hole size, and circularity. They concluded in their study that drilling parameters significantly affected cutting forces and characteristics of hole quality. Gonçalves and Silva [15] investigated the impact of copper contents on drilling of Al6351 alloy using HSS-Co drills. The selected copper contents in the alloy were 0.07, 0.23, 0.94, 1.43 and 1.93 % where it was found that alloys with a high composition of copper had a greater hardness and ultimate tensile strength. In addition, higher cutting forces were observed with the highest copper content in the alloy. Uddin et al. [16] have used TiN-coated 8 mm HSS drills to perform one-shot drilling experiments on Al6061 alloy. They found that the tool wear increased with the number of holes due to built-up edge. In a study by Sadiq et al. [17], Al6061, Al5083, and LM6 aluminium alloys were considered for optimum drilling parameters. It was concluded that the cutting tools used for drilling Al5083 alloy had minimum built-up edge compared to the tools used for drilling the other two alloys. Moreover, Xu et al. [18] have used high strength T800/X850 CRFP to examine the different aspects of the drilling process such as workpiece damage, dimensional accuracy, morphology of holes as well as analysis of tool. They concluded that several forms of hole surface damage were formed such as matrix smearing, delamination, cracking and cavities. Apart from the abrasive wear and edge rounding of drills, the tool failure modes also extended to edge chipping. Xu et al. [19] also compared the multilayer carbon/epoxy composite-Ti6Al4V and their individual layers by performing drilling experiments using the uncoated WC/Co twist drills. Their study found that tool wear was significantly greater when drilling the stack as compared to the drilling of individual CFRP and Ti6Al4V plates. In the stack form, the hole diameter of CFRP tended to increase due to the scratching caused by the evacuated metallic chips. On the contrary, in Ti6Al4V, the deviation of hole diameter from nominal size initially decreased as a result of tool wear and then increased due to cutting vibration. Zitounne et al. [20,21] studied the drilling of CFRP/Al2024 stacks where tool wear tests have shown that stable cutting forces were observed when drilling up to 60 holes, which was considered as an ideal number of holes for drilling of CFRP/Al stack using a single tool. The study also found that the feed rate and the drill diameter had an influence on chip breakability. In another study by Xu et al. [22], minimum quality lubrication (MQL) was used in drilling of multilayer composite/titanium stacks. The results from MQL drilling were then compared against dry drilling and found that they yielded better result in terms of surface morphologies of cut composites and gave less tool wear; however, the use of MQL failed to minimize the cylindricity errors, the delamination and the thrust force when compared with dry drilling conditions. In addition, experimental studies on CFRP/Ti6Al4V were extended to compare the low frequency vibration assisted drilling (LFVAD) and conventional drilling multilayer CFRP/Ti6Al4V. It was found that the delamination in the composite, the exit burr heights and the surface quality of holes of titanium considerably decreased using the LFVAD [23].

The above literature showed that these studies are limited to focus only on one-shot drilling. It is worth noting that in industries like aerospace where a large number of holes is required, there is need to improve the productivity by reducing the machining time and to maintain hole quality. Therefore, using multi-spindle simultaneous drilling can be an excellent choice to achieve these requirements. Simultaneous hole drilling is performed using a multi-spindle head or poly-drill head that carries multiple tools. Multi-spindle heads are specialized tool holders that mount on machine tools and are used for mass production to improve productivity by producing several holes simultaneously and thus, reducing the machining time [24,25]. In our previous study [26], a comparison between multi-spindle simultaneous drilling and one-shot drilling was conducted, showing that multi-spindle drilling performed better in terms of hole quality by giving a lower surface roughness and burrs. Furthermore, the chips produced by multi-spindle drilling were short and well broken as compared to those of one-shot drilling. Moreover, the condition of the tool after the process of the multi-spindle drilling was better and most importantly the cycle time was reduced, which would result in higher productivity. Furthermore, in our other study [27], it was shown that multi-spindle drilling led to less deviation from the nominal size of the hole as compared to one-shot drilling. The low cutting speed and feed were suggested for the optimized drilling parameters. Therefore, simultaneous drilling using a multi-spindle was found to give better holes compared to the holes produced in one shot drilling process.

In this work, drilling studies using multi spindle drilling head were carried out on three grades of aluminium alloys i.e. Al2024, Al6064, and Al5083. The aim of this work is to evaluate and compare the effect of the cutting parameters on the generated thrust force, hole surface roughness and chip formation for the studied alloys. In addition, Analysis of variance was employed to statistically evaluate the cutting parameters and measured outputs. The studies also aim to show that multi-spindle simultaneous drilling experiments tend to increase productivity and reduce cycle time without compromising hole quality.

2. Materials and methods

In this work, a SUNHER poly-drill head type MH30/13 was used for multi-hole simultaneous drilling. This type has three adjustable spindles that can be easily changed and adjusted as required. The poly-drill head was mounted on the vertical turret milling machine. Fig. 1 illustrates the multi-spindle drill head set up on the vertical milling machine. The milling
Table 1 – Chemical compositions in wt% [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al2024</td>
<td>1.2–1.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3–0.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.8–4.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al6061</td>
<td>0.8–1.2</td>
<td>0.4–0.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15–0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.04–0.35</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al5083</td>
<td>4.0–4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4–1.0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.05–0.25</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Multi-spindle drill head](image1.png)

Fig. 1 – Multi-spindle drill head.

![Thrust force chart](image2.png)

Fig. 2 – Average thrust force.

The thrust force was measured using the Kistler force dynamometer type 9257BA [26]. To prevent any damage to the dynamometer, a support plate was used at its top where the workpiece was fixed and bolted. The surface roughness of holes was measured using the surface roughness tester type TR200 by rotating the workpiece along its edges at 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270° and then the average of the values was taken for evaluation, similar to previous studies [14]. The quality of the holes was inspected at the entry and exit sides of holes using a digital microscope. The condition of tools after the drilling process was inspected under optical microscopy. Finally, Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used with a confidence interval of 95% to determine the percentage contribution of the speed and feed on studied hole parameters. The details of the equipment and other experimental conditions are given in Table 3.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Thrust force

The thrust force is considered as one of the important parameters in drilling process [33] which often defines the tool wear and quality of holes [34]. Fig. 2 shows the average values of thrust force using the multi-spindle head under different spindle speeds and feeds. Results showed that the impact of feed on thrust force was higher than that of the spindle speed. The high thrust force due to increase in feed might be due to increase in uncut chip and the energy required for cutting [36]. This is because the chip thickness increases and thus, the material showed resistance against rupturing leading to a higher thrust force [35]. Furthermore, with the increase in the spindle speed, there was a decrease in the thrust force since at high spindle speeds the material ductility increases because of the rise in drilling temperatures [37]. Fig. 2 also illustrates that at some stages, especially at high feeds, there was an increase in the thrust force as the spindle speed increased which might be due to the tool wear with an increase in the number of holes [38]. The ANOVA results in Table 4 also show that feed has the highest percentage contribution on thrust force. The percentage contribution of feed on thrust force was 99.42%, 97.98%, and 92.04% for Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083, respectively.

Moreover, according to Kaplan et al. [38], mechanical properties, especially the hardness of the material might also affect the thrust force. This is because materials with higher hardness can cause greater wear to the tool and thus drilling generates more thrust force. Therefore, Fig. 2 also illustrates
that the highest thrust force is generated by Al2024, which has hardness values followed by Al6061 and Al5083 (see Table 4). It should be noted that the high hardness value of Al2024 is due to its high contents of copper [15]. However, a slight increase in thrust force generated by Al5083 was noted at the highest spindle speed and feed, either due to high built-up edges of the tools, long and thickened chips, or higher surface roughness which is further justified in the following sections.
Table 5 – ANOVA results for surface roughness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Seq SS</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>Adj SS</th>
<th>Adj MS</th>
<th>F-Value</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.12641</td>
<td>97.20%</td>
<td>9.12641</td>
<td>0.91264</td>
<td>55.46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.92169</td>
<td>95.02%</td>
<td>8.92169</td>
<td>2.23042</td>
<td>135.75</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.59179</td>
<td>70.20%</td>
<td>6.59179</td>
<td>3.2959</td>
<td>200.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.32989</td>
<td>24.81%</td>
<td>2.32989</td>
<td>1.6495</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Way Interactions</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15144</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
<td>0.15144</td>
<td>0.03786</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n x f</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15144</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
<td>0.15144</td>
<td>0.03786</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.26328</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
<td>0.26328</td>
<td>0.01645</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.38968</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Surface roughness

Fig. 3 shows the surface roughness of holes measured under different drilling parameters. The results showed that the surface roughness was affected by both spindle speed and feed. Previous studies have shown that surface roughness has an inverse relation with the spindle speed where one reason was found to be the contact of the material with the tooltip for a shorter period; another reason could be due to increased surface temperature of the workpiece which softens the materials and ultimately the resistance offered by the material against the tool reduces [39]. However, in this study, the surface roughness is shown to increase with increases in spindle speed which is in agreement with Yaşar et al. [12]. This might be due to the vibration exerted by the three tools of the multip spindle head during simultaneous drilling at high spindle...
speed. Furthermore, surface roughness was also affected at higher feeds where the friction between the tool and the workpiece increase due to higher material removal rate, and in return increases the surface roughness [39]. Additionally, the high values of surface roughness at high feed could be due to deformation in the workpiece near the hole wall due to high thrust force and more aggressive vibration of the machine tool structure [40]. These results are also in agreement with our previous investigations [27].

The ANOVA results in Table 5 indicate that the percentage contribution of spindle speed on surface roughness was 70.20%, 76.44%, and 79.98% for Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083, respectively. However, the feed was found to be 24.81% for Al2024, 9.15% for Al6061, and 17.72% for Al5083. Other parameters and their interactions did not show any significant contribution towards the surface roughness.

The results also show that under the same drilling parameters, the surface roughness of Al6061 was the lowest followed by Al2024 and Al5083, respectively. This could be related to the fact that the percentage content of silicon in metallic alloys can influence the surface roughness [41]. Previous studies have indicated that alloys with high silicon content tend to have lower surface roughness irrespective of drilling parameters [42,43]. Therefore, it is speculated that the higher content of silicon in Al6061 alloy resulted in low surface finish compared to that in Al5083 and Al2024 alloys, respectively. The reason for the higher surface roughness in Al2024 than Al6061, despite its higher hardness, might be due to its higher percentage elongation as shown in Table 2. This is in agreement with Köklü [44] who concluded that a higher value of elongation shows the higher ductility of the material which contributes to higher surface roughness. Al5083 possessed the worst surface roughness due to its poor machinability and low silicon con-
tents. Another reason for the higher surface roughness values of Al5083 alloy might include the formation of higher built-up edges. Similarly, it could be due to the lower hardness of Al5083 alloy which is an important material characteristic affecting the surface roughness [45].

3.3. Analyses of hole quality

Figs. 4–6 show images of the holes at different drilling parameters. According to Yazman et al. [36], there are three types of burrs i.e. uniform, transient and crown burrs. Among all these types, crown burrs are large sizes around the exit hole and irregular in shape where they need more attention. In this study, visual and optical microscopic inspection reveals that the majority of formed burrs are uniform, which reflects that the multi-spindle simultaneous drilling gives better hole quality in terms of burrs. Figs. 4–6 also show that the influence of the feed was found to be more significant as compared to spindle speed because of the formation of more burrs, regardless of the alloy grade. In addition, spindle speed also displayed some influence on burrs, especially at a high feed. This might be due to the dynamic behaviour of the drilling tool as according to Kurt et al. [13], the vibration might be maximum when drill touches the workpiece. Another reason for the formation of burrs at high spindle speed may be due to the increase in temperature at the tool-workpiece interface, subsequently resulting in high plastic deformation around the edges of the hole [46]. Furthermore, burrs at the hole edges were more visible at the exit than those at the entrance side, which is in agreement with Uddin et al. [16]. Figs. 4–6 also illustrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>Entry holes</th>
<th>Exit holes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1007 rpm</td>
<td>0.04 mm/rev</td>
<td>0.08 mm/rev</td>
<td>0.14 mm/rev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 rpm</td>
<td>0.04 mm/rev</td>
<td>0.08 mm/rev</td>
<td>0.14 mm/rev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3025 rpm</td>
<td>0.04 mm/rev</td>
<td>0.08 mm/rev</td>
<td>0.14 mm/rev</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 – Hole images of Al6061.
that Al2024 alloy showed hole quality with very little or no burrs around the hole edges, which might be due to its better machinability as compared with Al6061 and Al5083 alloys. Besides, the holes produced from the drilling of Al6061 alloy seem better than Al5083 alloy, which could be explained by its less ductile nature. A likely explanation could be found in a study by Köklü [44], where the ductility was found to be more influential on the amount of burr around the hole edges. It can also be noted that the reason for larger and irregular burrs formed around the edges of Al5083 alloy may be due to its high ductility, poor machinability, and large coefficient of thermal expansion as illustrated in Table 4 [32]. Another reason might be due to longer or greater chip thickness, which is further justified by the analyses of chips in the following section.

3.4. Chips analyses

During machining of aluminium alloys, generally, well broken and small size chips are desirable for better hole quality and tool life [47]. Fig. 7(a) to (c) show the collection of chips under different drilling parameters for Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083, respectively. Generally, it was observed that the thickness of the chips decreased with the increase of the spindle speed and increased with increases of feed rate; which is in agreement with [14]. Similarly, the length of the chips decreased with increases in both spindle speed and feed [48]. The length of chips for Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083 ranged between 1.5 to 10.5 cm, 20 to 10 cm and 5.5 to 10 cm, respectively. However, it should be noted that in Al2024 most the chips produced at different drilling parameters are small, thin and well bro-
ken compared with Al6061 and Al5083. In addition, most of the long and curl chips were formed by Al5083 that tangled around the drill as shown in Fig. 8. Zhu et al. [49] have also reported that long chips can easily curl around the tool which required manual removal. These chips are also responsible for the built-up edge and this was the reason that Al5083 showed a high built-up edge on the drills. Furthermore, small and segmented chips prevent the drill from breaking [50]. In this study, it is worth noting that during simultaneous drilling using the multi-spindle head, the length of the chips observed for Al2024 was found to be less than those observed for Al6061 and Al5083 due to its good machinability. This justified the reason for better hole quality as well as the low formation of a built-up edge in Al2024 regardless of drilling parameters. Also, the reason for shorter and thinner chips of Al6061 as compared to Al5083 was due to its high silicon contents which are in agreement with findings reported by Akyüz [42], concluding that alloys of aluminium containing high silicon contents and high hardness could form chips of shorter length.

3.5. **Post machining tool condition**

In machining of aluminium, some of the cut material from the workpiece is melted during the drilling process and adhere to
the surface of the cutting tool creating built-up edge. Fig. 9 shows the post-machining conditions of the drills where built-up edge can be seen on all the drills used in the drilling trials of Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083. Fig. 9 also illustrates that cutting tools used for drilling Al5083 alloy showed the highest built-up edge due to large adhesion compared with the cutting tools used for drilling of the other two alloys. This could be due to the large number of chips tangled around the drills during multi-spindle simultaneous drilling, as shown in Fig. 8. Another reason for the higher built-up edge could be the low contents of silicon because as Akyüz [42] has reported, the built-up edge is found more in alloys with the low silicon content. In addition, the low hardness value of Al5083 alloy also contributed in forming the highest built-up edges on the tools because alloys with low hardness values have more tendency to form built-up edges [45]. Furthermore, drilling parameters also participated in adhesion and the formation of built-up edges, regardless of the different alloys.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a multi-spindle drill head was used to perform multi-hole simultaneous drilling for improving productiv-
ity and reducing time. Different aluminium alloys including Al2024, Al6061, and Al5083 were used, representing applications of aerospace, automotive, and marine industries respectively.

Regardless of drilling parameters, the highest thrust force was generated by Al2024 due to its high hardness value followed by Al6061, and Al5083, respectively. Feed was found to be more effective in increasing the thrust force as compared to the spindle speed. Holes drilled in Al6061 alloy showed least surface roughness due to its high silicon content, while the highest surface roughness was found in holes drilled in Al5083 alloy. Both spindle speed and feed affected the surface roughness, regardless of type of alloy; however, the spindle speed was found to be more influential than the feed. Holes drilling in Al2024 alloy showed less burr formation around the hole edges than that found in Al6061 and Al5083 alloys. Furthermore, the chips produced by Al2024 were formed as short and fragmented, which is an important factor in reducing cutting tool built-up edge. The post-machining tool conditions indicated that least built-up edge was formed on Al2024 followed by Al6061, while Al5083 showed the highest built-up edge due to long and thick chips tangled around the tools.
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