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Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and Alzheimer’s disease risk in an Australian population

S Gardner1,2, Y Gu3,4, SR Rainey-Smith1,2, JB Keogh5, PM Clifton6,7, SL Mathieson2, K Taddei1,2, A Mondal1,2, VK Ward1,2, N Scarmeas3,4,8, M Barnes9, KA Ellis10,11,12, R Head9, CL Masters10,13, D Ames11,12, SL Macaulay14, CC Rowe15, C Szoeke14 and RN Martins1,2, for the AIBL Research Group

The Mediterranean diet (MeDi), due to its correlation with a low morbidity and mortality for many chronic diseases, has been widely recognised as a healthy eating model. We aimed to investigate, in a cross-sectional study, the association between adherence to a MeDi and risk for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in a large, elderly, Australian cohort. Subjects in the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Ageing cohort (723 healthy controls (HC), 98 MCI and 149 AD participants) completed the Cancer Council of Victoria Food Frequency Questionnaire. Adherence to the MeDi (0- to 9-point scale with higher scores indicating higher adherence) was the main predictor of AD and MCI status in multinominal logistic regression models that were adjusted for cohort age, sex, country of birth, education, apolipoprotein E genotype, total caloric intake, current smoking status, body mass index, history of diabetes, hypertension, angina, heart attack and stroke. There was a significant difference in adherence to the MeDi between HC and AD subjects (P<0.001), and in adherence between HC and MCI subjects (P<0.05). MeDi is associated with change in Mini-Mental State Examination score over an 18-month time period (P<0.05) in HC. We conclude that in this Australian cohort, AD and MCI participants had a lower adherence to the MeDi than HC participants.
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Introduction

Current literature regarding the impact of individual nutrients or food items on Alzheimer’s disease (AD) risk is inconsistent, partly because humans eat meals with complex combinations of nutrients or food items that are likely to be synergistic, thereby creating complications when determining which of the dietary components are exerting a protective or adverse effect. In the case of antioxidants, for example, there is evidence in cardiovascular disease literature showing that cofactors found in whole foods are required for some antioxidants to be fully effective. Furthermore, the magnitude of effect of single antioxidants may not be sufficient for a clinical impact to be observed.1 As an alternative approach, dietary pattern analysis has emerged, one of these dietary patterns is the Mediterranean diet (MeDi).

The MeDi has received much attention for its role in the prevention of AD. The MeDi is characterised by a high intake of vegetables, legumes, fruits, cereals, fish and unsaturated fatty acids (mostly in the form of olive oil), low intake of saturated fatty acids, meat and poultry, low-to-moderate intake of dairy products (mostly cheese and yoghurt) and a regular but moderate amount of alcohol (mostly wine and generally with meals). This diet includes many dietary components reported to be beneficial in reducing AD risk.2 For example, many vegetables, fruits, legumes and cereals contain antioxidants, which combat oxidative stress. Previous studies in New York and Chicago have found that higher adherence to the MeDi was associated with lower AD risk.3-4 A study in France found that the MeDi may reduce the rate of cognitive decline in the elderly.5 Currently, there are no studies replicating and confirming these findings in an Australian population.

Higher adherence to a MeDi has been associated with lower risk for dyslipidemia,6,7 hypertension,6-9 abnormal glucose metabolism7,8 and coronary heart disease7,10-12 among all thought to increase AD risk. Furthermore, greater...
adherence to a MeDi has been associated with lower levels of cerebrovascular disease, which is also considered a risk factor for AD.\textsuperscript{13}

The current cross-sectional analysis was designed to investigate the association between adherence to the MeDi and AD risk in a large, elderly, Australian cohort. The results could then be compared with previous findings in the North American and French populations.

**Materials and methods**

**Samples and procedures.** The Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Ageing (AIBL) study\textsuperscript{14} is a longitudinal study of 1112 volunteers including healthy control (HC), mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and AD individuals who are being assessed for prospective research into ageing and AD. The cohort comprised 768 HC, 133 MCI and 211 AD individuals at baseline. All AIBL volunteers were aged 60 years and above at baseline, and excluded if they had a history of non-AD dementia, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, significant current depression, Parkinson’s disease, cancer (other than basal cell skin carcinoma) within the last 2 years, symptomatic stroke, insulin-dependent diabetes, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus or current regular alcohol use exceeding two standard drinks per day for women or four per day for men. During clinical review panels, a consensus diagnosis was assigned for each participant, which included consideration of diagnostic criteria (DSM-IV (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition) diagnosis\textsuperscript{15} and International Classification of Disease (ICD)-10 diagnosis\textsuperscript{16}). Where appropriate, ICD-10 dementia severity rating,\textsuperscript{16} NINCDS-ADRDA AD diagnosis (probable or possible) and MCI classifications were applied. The clinical review panel comprised old age psychiatrists, a neurologist, a geriatrician and neuropsychologists. MCI diagnoses were made according to the protocol based upon the criteria of Winblad et al.\textsuperscript{17} which are informed by the criteria of Petersen et al.\textsuperscript{18} Consistent with Winblad criteria, all participants classified with MCI had either personally, or through an informant, reported memory difficulties.\textsuperscript{17} Subjects were given written instructions of the risks and benefits of their participation in the study, and signed informed consent was obtained before data collection commenced. The AIBL study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committees of the Austin Health, St Vincent’s Health, Hollywood Private Hospital and Edith Cowan University.\textsuperscript{14}

**18-Month follow-up assessment.** Of the 723 HCs who completed the Cancer Council of Victoria Food Frequency Questionnaire (CCVFFQ) at baseline, 664 returned for follow-up assessment after 18 months. The remaining 59 HC participants either withdrew from the study between visits, or were deceased at the time of their follow-up appointment. In all, 12 participants were excluded from the analysis due to incomplete neuropsychological test results, leaving a total of 652 HCs in the analysis. The follow-up assessment included a neuropsychological test battery, which had also been completed at baseline assessment, enabling generation of repeated cognitive performance data. For the purpose of the analysis, the results from the following four neuropsychological tests were used; the Mini-Mental State Examination, Logical Memory II (WMS; Story 1 only), California Verbal Learning Test II—Second Edition (long delay) and Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Verbal Fluency.

**Genotyping.** Fasting blood samples were obtained using standard venipuncture of the antecubital vein and collected into EDTA tubes containing prostaglandin E1 (Sapphire Biosciences, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 33·3 ng ml\textsuperscript{-1}) to prevent platelet activation. Whole blood was stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) in 200\(\mu\)l aliquots, thawed then centrifuged to separate leucocytes when required. DNA was isolated from the leucocytes, using the Qiagen Midiprep kits (Hilden, Germany), and apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype was determined using PCR amplification and restriction enzyme digest techniques.\textsuperscript{19}

**Evaluation.**

**Diet.** Of the baseline cohort, 723 HC, 98 MCI and 149 AD subjects completed the CCVFFQ. The 74-item self-administered semi-quantitative FFQ assesses usual daily food intake over the preceding 12 months. The CCVFFQ has previously been validated relative to 7-day weighed food records.\textsuperscript{20} The responses were analysed by the Cancer Council in Carlton, Victoria, Australia. The food composition data used to calculate daily nutrient intake originates from the Nutrient Tables for use in Australia 1995 (NUTTAB95).

The HCs in the AIBL cohort completed the CCVFFQ themselves. The MCI subjects had cognitive dysfunction, which did not significantly restrict the performance of activities of daily living; therefore they were also able to complete the CCVFFQ without requiring assistance. The majority of AD participants in the AIBL study who completed the CCVFFQ at baseline were early stage, mild AD; most were living at home with carers, normally a spouse or family member. The AD participant completed the CCVFFQ with assistance from the carer; alternatively, the carer validated the completed questionnaire afterwards. In each case, the carer lived with the AD participant, so either prepared the meals for them or was aware of meals they consumed.

We followed the most commonly described method to construct the MeDi score.\textsuperscript{10} An individual was assigned a value of 1 for each; beneficial component (fruits, vegetables, legumes, cereals and fish) if his/her calorically-adjusted consumption was at or above the gender-specific median; for each detrimental component (meat and dairy products) where calorically-adjusted consumption was below the gender-specific median; and for a ratio of monounsaturated fats to saturated fats at or above the gender-specific median. Individuals were also assigned a value of 1 for mild to moderate alcohol consumption (>5 to <25 g per day for females and >10 to <50 g per day for males). The MeDi score was generated for each participant by adding the scores for each category. Thus, the MeDi score could range from 0 to 9, with a higher score indicating a greater adherence to the MeDi.\textsuperscript{21}

**Covariates.** Analyses were adjusted for age (years), education (≤12 and >12 years), current smoking status, body mass index (BMI; calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared) and total energy intake (kcal).
Country of birth was used as a dichotomous variable (Australian or other). APOE genotype was also used dichotomously: the absence of ε4 allele vs presence of either one or two ε4 alleles. A history of diabetes, hypertension, angina, heart attack and stroke were defined by self-report.

Missing variable data. The only variable with missing data was BMI. We used multivariate imputation by chain equation to provide BMI values for 102 participants. It was observed that the distribution of the imputed BMI data was comparable to that of the initial BMI data, thus we deemed the imputed BMI values to be acceptable for inclusion in the subsequent analysis.

Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were conducted using the R Statistical Software (version 2.12.2; the R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), except the 18-month follow-up assessment analysis, which was conducted using the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) version 19.

Multinomial logistic regression analyses. Data were distributed across three groups, HC, MCI and AD. All categorical predictors were used as factors. HC was the reference category.

Basic model. We calculated multinomial logistic regression models with diagnosis at baseline evaluation as the outcome: HC vs AD or MCI. The main predictor was the baseline visit MeDi score as an ordinal score.

Adjusted model. Owing to demographic and clinical differences between the three classification groups, in subsequent models we simultaneously adjusted for the following: age at assessment, sex, country of birth, education, APOE ε4 allele status, current smoking status and BMI. Although caloric intake adjusted residuals were used in the MeDi score calculation, we also included caloric intake as a covariate in the models (as recommended by Willet and Stampfer22).

Fully adjusted model with vascular comorbidities. We simultaneously included all vascular risk factors (history of stroke, diabetes, hypertension, angina and heart attack) in the fully adjusted logistic regression model.

Using raw scores from the neuropsychological tests, a z-score was generated for each participant indicating change in each neuropsychological test result between the baseline and 18-month follow-up assessment. A partial correlation was performed individually for each set of z-scores for each of the four neuropsychological tests, adjusted for the covariates listed previously.

Results

Compared with HC subjects, subjects with AD had a lower mean MeDi score (P < 0.001); and compared with HC subjects, subjects with MCI had a lower mean MeDi score (P < 0.05; Table 1 and Figure 1). MCI compared with AD lacks statistical significance.

Higher adherence to the MeDi was seen in HCs relative to AD subjects. The results were similar in both adjusted and unadjusted models (Table 2). The P-values, as shown in Table 2, indicate that the overall effect of the MeDi is statistically significant.

Compared with the reference HC category, each additional unit in the MeDi score was associated with 13–19% lower

Table 1 Demographic, clinical and dietary characteristics for subjects with AD, MCI and HC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All (n = 970)</th>
<th>AD (n = 149)</th>
<th>MCI (n = 98)</th>
<th>HC (n = 723)</th>
<th>P-valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years; mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>71.72 (7.86)</td>
<td>77.50 (8.20)</td>
<td>76.01 (7.78)</td>
<td>69.94 (6.95)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender; men: no. (%)</td>
<td>407 (42)</td>
<td>63 (42)</td>
<td>42 (42)</td>
<td>303 (42)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education ≤12 years; no. (%)</td>
<td>477 (49)</td>
<td>89 (60)</td>
<td>53 (54)</td>
<td>335 (46)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE; mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>27.07 (4.34)</td>
<td>19.10 (5.22)</td>
<td>26.16 (2.70)</td>
<td>28.86 (1.19)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of APOE ε4 allele; no. (%)</td>
<td>331 (34)</td>
<td>93 (62)</td>
<td>52 (53)</td>
<td>186 (26)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake, Kcal; mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>1695 (599)</td>
<td>1702 (618)</td>
<td>1710 (618)</td>
<td>1691 (594)</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking; no. (%)</td>
<td>444 (46)</td>
<td>74 (50)</td>
<td>51 (52)</td>
<td>319 (44)</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>29 (3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>25 (3)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of birth, Australian; no. (%)</td>
<td>687 (71)</td>
<td>105 (70)</td>
<td>63 (64)</td>
<td>519 (72)</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes; no. (%)</td>
<td>76 (8)</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>51 (7)</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension; no. (%)</td>
<td>387 (40)</td>
<td>56 (38)</td>
<td>39 (40)</td>
<td>292 (40)</td>
<td>0.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina; no. (%)</td>
<td>70 (7)</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>43 (6)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart attack; no. (%)</td>
<td>50 (5)</td>
<td>10 (7)</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
<td>33 (5)</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke; no. (%)</td>
<td>33 (3)</td>
<td>17 (11)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>14 (2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeDi; mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>4.40 (1.64)</td>
<td>3.79 (1.57)</td>
<td>4.02 (1.63)</td>
<td>4.57 (1.62)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeDi tertiles; no. (%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (0 – 3)</td>
<td>289 (30)</td>
<td>66 (44)</td>
<td>36 (37)</td>
<td>187 (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle (4 – 5)</td>
<td>428 (44)</td>
<td>65 (44)</td>
<td>44 (45)</td>
<td>319 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (6 – 9)</td>
<td>253 (26)</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
<td>217 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E; BMI, body mass index; HC, healthy control; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MeDi, Mediterranean diet; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

aBold values indicate statistical significance (P < 0.05); characteristics compared using analysis of variance for continuous variables and χ² for categorical variables.

bBMI is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared.

cHistory of diabetes, hypertension, angina, heart attack.

Owing to demographic and clinical differences between the three classification groups, in subsequent models we simultaneously adjusted for the following: age at assessment, sex, country of birth, education, APOE ε4 allele status, current smoking status and BMI. Although caloric intake adjusted residuals were used in the MeDi score calculation, we also included caloric intake as a covariate in the models (as recommended by Willet and Stampfer22).

Fully adjusted model with vascular comorbidities. We simultaneously included all vascular risk factors (history of stroke, diabetes, hypertension, angina and heart attack) in the fully adjusted logistic regression model.

Using raw scores from the neuropsychological tests, a z-score was generated for each participant indicating change in each neuropsychological test result between the baseline and 18-month follow-up assessment. A partial correlation was performed individually for each set of z-scores for each of the four neuropsychological tests, adjusted for the covariates listed previously.

Results

Compared with HC subjects, subjects with AD had a lower mean MeDi score (P < 0.001); and compared with HC subjects, subjects with MCI had a lower mean MeDi score (P < 0.05; Table 1 and Figure 1). MCI compared with AD lacks statistical significance.

Higher adherence to the MeDi was seen in HCs relative to AD subjects. The results were similar in both adjusted and unadjusted models (Table 2). The P-values, as shown in Table 2, indicate that the overall effect of the MeDi is statistically significant.

Compared with the reference HC category, each additional unit in the MeDi score was associated with 13–19% lower
odds of being in the MCI category, and 19–26% lower odds of being in the AD category.

A significant correlation ($P<0.05$) was observed between baseline MeDi score and change in Mini-Mental State Examination score between baseline and 18-month follow-up assessment in HC participants. No significant correlation was observed between baseline MeDi score and the other three neuropsychological test scores in healthy individuals (Table 3). Supplementary data shows demographic and clinical characteristics by MeDi score tertile in all clinical classification groups (Supplementary Table 1), and in HC group alone (Supplementary Table 2).

Discussion

Our analysis suggests that lower adherence to the MeDi is associated with MCI and AD. This is consistent with previous studies. This is the first study on AD to use an elderly associated with MCI and AD. This is consistent with previous studies. This is consistent with previous studies. This is consistent with previous studies.

Figure 1 Comparison of mean MeDi score using general linear model adjusted for necessary covariates. Bars represent mean (± s.e.); general linear model adjusted for necessary covariates: **$P<0.05$, ***$P<0.001$. Adjusted for age, sex, education, apolipoprotein E genotype, country of birth (Australia vs other), body mass index, total caloric intake, current smoking status and history of hypertension, angina, stroke, diabetes and heart attack. Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; HC, healthy control; MeDi, Mediterranean diet; MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Table 2 Odds ratio of being classified as AD or MCI compared with a healthy control classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modela</th>
<th>Number of subjects included in model</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>970 HC (reference)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.71–0.92</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>970 HC (reference)</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.66–0.83</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>970 HC (reference)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.75–1.00</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>970 HC (reference)</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.71–0.93</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>970 HC (reference)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.75–1.00</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>970 HC (reference)</td>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.71–0.92</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E; CI, confidence interval; HC, healthy control; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; OR, odds ratio.

Table 3 Partial correlation results showing baseline MeDi score is correlated with change in cognitive performance in HC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM II</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>−0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-KEFS Verbal Fluency</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLT II Long Delay</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CVLT II, California Verbal Learning Test—Second Edition; D-KEFS, Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System; HC, healthy control; LM II, Logical Memory II (WMS; Story 1 only); MeDi, Mediterranean diet; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination. Bold value indicates statistical significance ($P<0.05$); partial correlation.

MeDi score was associated with fewer Mini-Mental State Examination errors at follow-up.

The associations between MeDi and AD reported in this study remained unchanged and significant even when adjusted for the most commonly considered potential confounders for AD, such as age, sex, education, APOE genotype, country of birth (Australia vs other), caloric intake, BMI, current smoking status, hypertension, angina, stroke, diabetes and heart attack.

The other Australian study to investigate the association between MeDi and cognitive decline was the PATH through life study conducted in Canberra. This cohort comprised 1528 cognitively normal individuals aged 60–64 years. Individuals were tested at two time points, 4 years apart. After the follow-up assessment, 10 participants were diagnosed with MCI, 10 participants with a clinical dementia rating of 0.5 and 37 participants presented with any mild cognitive disorder. The authors observed that greater adherence to the MeDi was not protective against cognitive impairment, though they did find that diet shares important associations with cognitive health. The apparent lack of protection afforded by the MeDi may be explained by the heterogeneous nature of the study population. Furthermore,
only 66 participants from the original 1528 underwent any cognitive change in the 4 years between assessments; this low number may have resulted in insufficient statistical power to detect MeDi effects.

Most studies have excluded MCI individuals from their investigations, resulting in a lack of information on factors that may promote conversion to AD. However, consistent with our findings, a study by Scarmeas et al.24 found a higher adherence to the MeDi is associated with a trend of reduced risk of developing MCI, and reduced risk of MCI conversion to AD. Another study from the United States comprising 1640 cognitively normal and 329 MCI subjects also found a higher MeDi score may be beneficial to cognitive function, and observed a borderline reduced risk of MCI incidence and conversion to dementia.25

One of the possible mechanisms through which the MeDi may be exerting its protective effect for AD could be via effects on the vascular system.26–29 There is strong evidence linking the MeDi to lower incidence of vascular disease-associated conditions such as dyslipidemia,30,31 hypertension,30,31 abnormal glucose metabolism32,33 and coronary heart disease,34,35 which are also risk factors for AD. Scarmeas et al.29 investigated whether there was attenuation of the association between MeDi and AD when vascular variables (stroke, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart disease and lipid levels) were simultaneously introduced in their logistic regression models, which used diagnosis at baseline evaluation as the dichotomous outcome and MeDi score as the main predictor. They noted that their association with the MeDi and AD is not mediated by vascular comorbidities, concluding it could be the result of other biological pathways or measurement error in the vascular variables. Scarmeas et al.13 also demonstrated an association between the MeDi and cerebrovascular disease, specifically magnetic resonance imaging infarcts: subjects in the highest tertile of MeDi adherence had a reduction in odds for brain infarcts of about 40%. This study also found that among cardiovascular risk factors, only hypertension was associated with brain infarcts in adjusted models.

Oxidative stress could be another biological mechanism linking the MeDi and AD. AD brains exhibit constant evidence of reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species-mediated injury. These reactive species, formed during normal metabolic processes, are generally unstable and highly reactive; for this reason their levels are normally kept low by efficient antioxidant systems. In some circumstances, their production can exceed the antioxidant ability to destroy them, and oxidative stress occurs.30 Increasing the intake of antioxidants should theoretically counteract the detrimental effects of oxidative stress and thereby reduce AD risk. Complex phenols and many other substances with important antioxidant properties, such as olive oil,31 wine, fruits and vegetables, vitamins C, E, B12, folate and carotenoids,32–37 are found in high concentrations in the typical components of the MeDi,38 and may reduce markers of oxidative stress. The MeDi could be capturing the composite influence of dietary antioxidants and this could, at least partially, provide an explanation for the observed association with a lower risk of AD.

The protective role of the MeDi against cognitive decline may also be mediated by attenuation of the inflammation pathway. Inflammation has been found to be associated with a higher risk for AD and cognitive decline. Higher adherence to the MeDi has been associated with lower C-reactive protein levels (an inflammatory marker that has been detected in neuritic plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in the brains of patients with AD and is upregulated in AD brains and serum), in both observational39,40 and interventional studies.8,41 However, a prospective study by Gu et al.21 concluded that the favourable association between better adherence to the MeDi and lower risk of AD did not seem to be mediated by high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, fasting insulin or adiponectin (an adipokine, with low levels linked to metabolic syndrome and inflammation). High-sensitivity C-reactive protein was used as an index of systemic inflammation, and fasting insulin and adiponectin as indices of metabolic profile. The authors suggested that other aspects of inflammatory and metabolic pathways not captured by these biomarkers may be relevant to the MeDi-AD association, for example, interleukin-6.6,41

Our study was conducted in an Australian cohort, which is unlikely to adhere strictly to a diet typical of Mediterranean countries. Therefore, ‘true MeDi’ adherence in our population may be significantly lower when compared with Mediterranean populations, and subjects with high MeDi adherence in Australia may be potentially categorised as low MeDi adherence subjects compared with Mediterranean populations. However, our results support the notion that the beneficial effects of the MeDi are transferable to different populations.

There are limitations to our report; this is a cross-sectional study, so we cannot assume that our results show decreased adherence to the MeDi is a risk factor for AD, only that in our cohort the AD participants had a decreased adherence to the MeDi at baseline. This could be explained by a change in dietary patterns owing to AD diagnosis. In addition, we recognise that other factors may counteract the beneficial effects of the MeDi; for example, participants with a MeDi score of 8 who nevertheless develop AD could be explained by their current smoking status, APOE genotype or other factors. It should be noted that the vast majority of our cohort are Caucasians and inferences regarding similar effects in other populations may therefore be limited.

Within the AIBL study we do not collect data pertaining to family origin, although we acknowledge the influence family origin will have on the participant’s current dietary habits. It has long been recognised that cultural factors are dominant in food selection. Cultural influences lead to differences in the habitual consumption of certain foods and in traditions of preparation, and in certain cases can lead to restrictions such as exclusion of meat and milk from the diet.42 There is a dynamic influence of culture on many aspects of family lifestyle across generations. The daily practices of eating are an accumulation of exposures—not just familial and intergenerational but also social and environmental.43 Adult dietary habits are determined by familial and cultural factors rooted in childhood and adolescence,44 and family members show similarities in food preferences.45 In a study by Green et al.46 there was evidence of influences on eating across the span of three generations. Not only did culture influence what was included as part of daily life but also what was prohibited. A range of dietary restrictions were reported to varying degrees. Where restrictions such as vegetarianism or the prohibition of pork were imposed, the
restrictions were readily accepted and were attributed to family or social tradition or religion. Fasting was perceived as a way of retaining the traditions of a culture and setting examples for children to follow. Patterns of global migration mean that large numbers of people have moved to western nations, influencing the dietary habits and lifestyle of many migrants. There is a wider variation in diet as a result of this migration. With dietary habits and lifestyle undergoing transition as a result of migration, cultural background is now relevant to many diseases. However, a number of studies have shown that where individuals have migrated from one country to another, they have developed conditions commonly seen in the country they emigrate to, for example, increased incidence of cardiovascular disease and cancer in US residents born overseas.

The FFQ utilised in this study relied on participants’ estimations of food intake over the previous 12-month period; this is a common limitation of studies of diet and disease and can lead to misclassification of exposure due to limited accuracy, and therefore misclassification of MeDi adherence. This is particularly important where the AD participants are concerned; we are relying on the carers for validation or assistance with completion of the CCVFFQ, and therefore assuming the carers are cognitively intact themselves, which may not be the case due to a large proportion of the carers being elderly spouses. Misclassification of diet is also not equal between persons with and without cognitive impairment, there is higher misclassification in MCI than HCs, and this raises the potential for ‘differential’ misclassification bias. One option to address this limitation, which we will utilise in our subsequent longitudinal analysis, is to follow HCs that convert to MCI or AD between baseline and subsequent follow-ups. However, by excluding the ADs and MCI in the cohort and analysing change in neuropsychological test scores over an 18-month period, we show that diet is not confounded by cognitive performance. Based on these analyses it seems more likely that we can rely on the CCVFFQ data for MCI and ADs and that dietary change due to an AD or MCI diagnosis is not an issue.

The CCVFFQ is known to under-report certain foods, including soft drinks and snack foods. Moreover, the differences in the FFQ completion protocol for each cognitively intact, MCI and AD participant leads to even more ‘noise’ in the diet data, and we recognise that ideally a nutrient biomarker pattern that reflects the MeDi diet would be used as an approach for circumventing the limitations of using the FFQ in MCI and AD individuals. The MeDi score itself also has limitations; it weighs equally the underlying individual food categories, which in turn are composed of different numbers of food constituents. Frequencies of food intake are based on relatively few diet constituents, which may underestimate the overall quantity of food in each food category.

Despite the use of standard criteria, the diagnostic expertise of the AIBL group and the thorough workup, there is always the possibility of disease misclassification. It is also possible that diet is related to socioeconomic status or to other habits or characteristics related to better health and a lower risk for AD. We addressed this by adjusting for country of birth (Australia vs other), years of education, current smoking status, BMI, history of hypertension, angina, stroke, diabetes and heart attack. Another confounder usually considered in nutritional epidemiology is caloric intake. We used caloric intake adjusted residuals for MeDi score calculation and also included caloric intake as a covariate (as Willet and Stampfer recommended). However, we found that ADs and MCI had a lower adherence to the MeDi even after analysis with caloric intake as a covariate.

Many additional aspects of our study provide confidence in our findings. The dietary data were collected using an instrument previously validated in earlier epidemiological studies. We used an a priori developed dietary pattern. Measures for multiple potential risk factors have been recorded carefully and adjusted for in the analyses.

In summary, the findings of our study are similar to those reported in French and US populations. In the Australian AIBL cohort, both MCI and AD patients have a lower adherence to the MeDi compared with HCs. We intend to investigate the association between MeDi and conversion rates from HC to MCI and AD in longitudinal analyses.
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