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Abstract

This work is principally an investigation into visual and screen culture, using four specific regions of the three-dimensional virtual world of ‘Second Life’ as case studies. The analysis follows a thematic application of discourse analysis as a basis for critiquing Western screen culture, most importantly the cultural and social conditions that replicate dominant paradigms of power and agency. Of particular pertinence to this study are the framing, representational and spatial practices of gendered and sexual identities within ‘Second Life’ spaces. As is typical of the internet, sexual freedom is a given, yet representational performance (how one appears through their embodied avatar) is predicated on significations from the corporeal. So, within potentially subversive spaces, there is a normativity that persists which reiterates the ideological foundations of identity that are historically and culturally ascribed to. This is particularly prevalent in gendered representation – avatars tend to hyper-gendered expression and the excesses of Western bodily presentation and adornment, so that bodies are seen to move beyond all biological capacity of attainment. That these representational practices carry over into sexually diverse regions is perhaps unsurprising given that gay and lesbian culture has been in a large way subsumed into contemporary mass culture. It is the tensions that occur as a result of the normative acting upon the subversive that forms the basis of investigation, specifically the relationship between corporeal normativity and screen culture as well as the tensions between cultural conservatism, subversive representation and gender conformity.
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1. Introduction

The potential to embody anything, anyone or anybody is a phenomenon that is available to many through online platforms such as Second Life (SL). The opportunities of three-dimensional virtual environments (3DVEs) are part of their attractiveness and popularity — seemingly it promises escape from our corporeal present into a world of possibility where we can be anything we want. Yet, on entering the world of SL it is immediately surprising to find that commonalities and norms of representation exist pervasively throughout its islands, spaces and regions. Whilst we may be able to escape in a temporal and spatial sense through the screen into a ‘second life’, this experience remains grounded in our cultural norms and expectations of what a potentially utopian world looks like. Central to this ideation is mass culture, in its representations, values and norms that work ideologically to speak to us about desires, success and happiness. What we see, hear and read in our corporeal lives as exciting, fun and desirable is re-presented in the conceived and imagined spaces of SL. As is typical of the internet, sexual freedom is a given, yet representational performance (how one appears through their embodied avatar) is predicated on significations derived from the corporeal. So, within a potentially subversive space, there is a normativity that persists which reiterates the ideological foundations of identity that are historically and culturally ascribed. This normativity is particularly prevalent in gendered representation – avatars tend to hyper-gendered expression and the excesses of Western bodily presentation and adornment, so that virtual bodies exceed the corporeal and biological capacity of attainment. Like the idealised corporeal body of mass culture, the virtual body is pushed to the limits of gendered and sexual success and desirability as the hyper-masculine or hyper-feminine subject. That these representational practices carry over into sexually diverse regions (such as the SL regions studied here) is perhaps unsurprising given that gay and lesbian culture has been in a large way subsumed into contemporary mass culture. It is the tensions that occur as a result of the normative acting upon queer, gay and lesbian and subversive cultures that form the basis of this investigation, specifically the relationship between corporeal normativity and screen culture as well as the tensions between cultural conservatism, subversive representation and gender conformity.

The majority of this work is contingent on the Western corporeal existence from which SL is derived, most importantly the cultural and social conditions that replicate dominant paradigms of power and agency. Of particular pertinence to this study are the framing, representational and spatial practices of gendered and sexual identities within SL spaces. There has been a tradition of envisaging future technologies as potentially utopian, where individuals may be
freed from their biological, gendered and sexed, determinism. According to Donna Haraway, to live a life outside of the corporeal can enact a metaphorical vision of a cyborg future:

The cyborg is not subject to Foucault’s biopolitics; the cyborg simulates politics, a much more potent field of operations... The cyborg is a kind of disassembled and reassembled, postmodern collective and personal self. (Haraway, 1991, p. 163)

However, the desired emancipatory shift that some theorists had hoped for has not eventuated. This is despite the mass appropriation of technological devices which in many ways free us from our physical selves in addition to the continued development of devices that allow for ever greater degrees of immersion and presence outside of our fixed corporeal reality. Paradoxical to this vision, culture has converged with technology whereby gendered and sexed bodies are generally highly polarised in terms of gender as well as highly homogenised in terms of what constitutes sexual desirability (as seen in the rise and predominance of “raunch culture” (see Levy, 2005), with its highly overt sexual displays).

Moreover, whilst there has been a shift towards eroticism of the representational self, there has been a concurrent conservative shift from radical subjectivities to conformist and normative identities, even in groups that have previously been associated with subversion and difference, such as the LGBTI (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex) community. Many of the tensions between the conservative and the subversive are displayed through the visual, particularly bodily markers. This modality of visual culture is investigated through the representational aspects of identity, in analysing the body as object, body as conformative, body as performative, and body as subversive.

Given the disembodied potential of SL, and the ability to re-embbody oneself in a multitude of forms and on-screen identities, the platform allows for extended analysis of the performative and fluid aspects of gender beyond the corporeal. The notion of performativity here rests on Judith Butler’s work on gender as performative, where “acts, gestures, enactments, generally construed, are performative in the sense that the essence or identity that they otherwise purport to express are fabrications manufactured and sustained through corporeal signs and other discursive means” (1999, p. 173). In the SL environment, the naturalness of the body to its physical enactments, or bodily significations to internal processes such as maleness to masculine performance, is entirely removed; the supposition is revealed as a mirage. The reliance on gender as a symbol of value and exchange within SL is shown as both a commodity and a foundation for identity. Although Butler renounces identity categories as predicated on the false assumption that individuals of a collective share intrinsic characteristics, this work
departs from hers here by conceptualising some gender and sexuality categorisations as useful in the political context (such as with marginalised groups that can find some form of sanctuary and agency together in collectives). However, this is not to essentialise the positions of female, male, lesbian, homosexual et al as possessing some innate form of coherence or shared essence. Furthermore, and following from Judith Halberstam (1998), such categorisations are seen as an extension of historical and cultural narratives that cannot be simply removed from notions of selfhood and identity. Rather, identity needs to be viewed as an extension of the self in the historical context, whereby identity markers are appropriated so as to participate as part of society which has shared meanings about self-representation and signification practices. Gay and lesbian, as well as other non-conformist, identities can therefore be seen to operate within this context, as an extension of modernist categorisations that have mostly necessitated conformity to gender and sexual binaries.

Whilst it is arguable that gendered identity categories are necessary, there are problems when such categorisations become essentialised as extensions of the heterosexual matrix. Within gay culture this is evident in the shift towards conservatism and normativity, which may be attributed to a desire for acceptance in the wider community. This shift has led to a further exoticisation and othering of sexual identities that operate outside of the conservative structure, so that even in the already marginalised gay/queer community, there are those who are now even more marginalised by virtue of a shift towards a homo-normativity. This shift has been remarked upon by such commentators as Michael Warner who uses the term “selective legitimacy” (1999, p. 82) to describe such exclusion. Similarly, Paul Robinson (2005), discusses the political move towards conservatism of some gay factions having the effect of de-legitimising many behaviours, as well as many non-normative identities. This study investigates the notions of cultural conservatism, including hetero- and homo-normativity, in opposition to the subversive potentialities of SL. Of particular interest is the exploration of corporeal normativity as reflected and performed through the screen, including notions of coupledom and promiscuity, pornographic representation and the gaze, and gender conformity.

Identity in the SL environment is expressed primarily in the visual form of signification and representation. Therefore, identities within SL can be considered as unfixed, and as entirely signified and hyperreal extensions of an individual. Through the transformative capacity of creating an avatar, it is impossible to reconcile avatar representation on the screen with any truth of an individual’s actual selfhood and/or experiences: an individual’s geography, history, ethnicity, age, gender and other bodily markers are removed in-world. This is perhaps one of
the greatest attractions of the environment, as with the internet generally - anonymity to present oneself and interact as one chooses. Similarly, SL spaces and regions have political and cultural relevance dependant on sign values as constructed in corporeal life. As recognised by Lefebvre (1991), space itself is a signifying practice that holds discursive value and can modulate the behaviour of individuals within a given environment. The configuration and conception of space holds within it the power, ideologies and subjective imaginings of those who create it and those who prescribe its use. In this study, both identity and space are utilised as signifying practices for the purpose of analysing power structures. Given that both are user-generated in the SL environment the analysis of signifying practices shows that there is a high reliance on replicating many of the discursive practices of corporeal life even in the face of endless possibilities of creation and appropriation.

The freedom of choice associated with embodiment and space within SL is both a form of escapism as well as an active participation in a world that can be seen to exist on the boundary of corporeal and virtual lives. In addition to a possible desired self as signified by avatar selection and adornment, there is the promise of desired (often utopian) spaces which evoke an imagined or idealised realm. This imagined space is discussed in two key ways throughout this work: firstly, as framed within tourist discourse and the associated desire for hedonism; and secondly, as part of the cultural and historical narratives associated with the Classical world as part of the imagined utopia of an idealised past. Framing the regions in this way allows a reading of the spaces that suggests either a liminal or liminoid appropriation, where the liminal can be seen as a projected engagement with the community and ideals of a certain space, and liminoid is taken as an expression of bodily pleasures as the primary outcome for visiting that space. These modes align with MacCannell’s (1999) dyad of authentic/inauthentic experience in reference to the usage patterns and motivations for visiting a certain space particularly as it relates to ideas of a mythologised past. In particular, these spaces provide an opportunity for a tourist-like experience for individuals to explore gender and sexuality in an environment that is largely premised on an idealised conception of sexuality and freedom.

An Overview of Virtual Experience

As a collaborative virtual environment (CVE), SL needs to be acknowledged as the virtual relative to a corporeal reality. In order to simplify some of the discussion, and to make it understandable which environment is being spoken about, the two notions are at times separated in the analysis of SL spaces, so that corporeal existence is often referred to as CL (corporeal life) and the Second Life experience is referred to as VL (virtual life). VL is used here,
rather than SL, because SL is used to refer to the platform itself, rather than the experience of the platform. In making this distinction however, it is necessary to acknowledge that the corporeal and virtual are not entirely distinct in how they are created, used or experienced. The environments are different visually, navigationally and experientially, and so the terms CL and VL are used to denote this difference. However, there are probably more similarities than differences in how the two realms are experienced, due largely to VL being created as an extension to CL and including the same historical, cultural, economic and political influences as CL.

The platform of Second Life has been chosen because it is one of the most popular 3DVEs in use globally. Since its creation in 2003, SL has stabilised in its usage patterns to a company-reported 794 000 repeat log-ins per month (Linden, 2011b). These statistics are often contentious due to the self-reporting by the Linden Lab corporation (the creators of SL) - given the highly corporatised nature of the platform, it is in Linden Lab’s interests to spruik the popularity of the platform to remain appealing to investors and potential users. Despite the uncertain accuracy of the user-statistics, SL’s corporatised environment is seen as a close replicate of Western corporeal life, with just about every facet of life in SL commodified, as it is in CL. For this reason, discussion of the body, gender, sexuality and space in SL, are also discussed in relation to commodified experience, exchange value and desire. In addition to popularity, SL is a space premised on social interaction with a reliance on user-generated content. This highlights the synchronicity between hypercapitalism, individualism and homogeneity; whilst possibilities are almost endless in terms of SL capabilities of spatial and avatar creation, there exists a surprising sameness even throughout the most diverse and subversive locales.

Second Life is less of a game and more of a real-time visually-premised meeting space, where users interact, liaise and conduct business. It is possible to own virtual land and make virtual objects, including virtual money which can be converted to real US dollars. In many ways SL is a window into the future of CL, “like a masquerade of ‘the real’ [which] also functions as a laboratory for the development of digital, robotic, and artificial intelligence processes that may be commonplace later in our century” (Dethridge, 2009, p. 263). As posited by Sermon and Gould (2011, p. 15), as well as Lisa Dethridge, virtual environments are “new forms of social narrative” whereby participants continually reconfigure their identity and ways of being in the world. Central to these individual narratives is the avatar, or personalised representation, that is normally humanoid in appearance. Avatars can range from the default selections given
during the sign-up process of SL, to those that are highly customised and reminiscent of fantasy creatures. The avatar, or avatars (it is possible for users to have more than one), are the principle means of expression in the SL environment. For users, the extent of investment into the platform can be measured in the personalisation of their avatar. As explained by Boellstorff, avatars are “not just abstract anchors of virtual perspective; they [are] the modality through which residents experienced virtual selfhood” (Boellstorff, 2008, p. 129).

One of the greatest similarities between the corporeal and virtual worlds is shown in the importance placed on appearance and the visual aesthetic. Studies by Yee and Bailenson (2009) suggest an avatar’s appearance is the primary indicator for how they are received by others, the way they interact, and in the case of games, their success as players. Such an emphasis on appearance in virtual environments means that many residents, in efforts reminiscent of CL, spend a good deal of time, money and effort in manipulating their virtual appearance to the point where “embodiment was seen to reveal something deeply true about the choosing self” (Boellstorff, 2008, p. 136). Research at Stanford University, headed by Bailenson, has revealed that the attractiveness of an avatar has implications on how an individual views both their online and offline selves:

[S]ubjects using good-looking avatars tended to display more confidence, friendliness and extroversion, just as in the real world: they approached avatar strangers within three feet, and in conversations tended to disclose more personal details. Ugly-duckling avatars, meanwhile, stayed five and a half feet away from strangers and were more tight-lipped. (Dell, 2008)

In a Nick Yee study, there was found a direct correlation between gender and height, with male participants opting for taller characters and females opting for shorter ones. In addition, females were more inclined to choose avatars that were more attractive than their male counterparts (Yee, 2009). Similar results have been found by Vander Valk:

for all of the attention given to the potential for positive—experimental, liberating, or emancipating—transformation of one’s physical appearance and identity in virtual environments, it is just as likely that individuals will choose to focus on, and substantially reinforce, socially constructed notions of gender. (2008, p. 207)

This phenomenon is experienced within SL as well as generally throughout the internet. According to Sermon and Gould “it would appear that the majority of Second Life users have chosen to accentuate the sexual signifiers of the perfect body” (2011, p. 17). It is this particular reducibility of the self to gendered expression, and therefore sexual agent, that is the premise
of this study, and in so doing it will extend the observations made regarding gender distinctions in the virtual realm.

**Rationale**

The above studies of Second Life, which are examined in depth in Chapter 2, analyse a range of virtual experience from looking at quantitative usage statistics, to the more ideological aspects of economy, immersion and presence within such spaces. The key difference of this thesis is in the extent of exploration of the subject-object positions regarding the self, and identity, as both gendered and sexual. The originality of this study is in its application of queer and feminist theory, hitherto utilised to explain phenomena in the corporeal environment, to the platform of a 3DVE. The application of this theory explains the containment of queer subversion and non-normative identities in a context of supposed liberation. The four regions used as case studies have been chosen due to their relevance:

- as regions of sexual diversity;
- as regions that offer divergent tropes of identity and representation as related to notions of sexuality and gender;
- as regions that are coherent with reference to the representation of ancient worlds, particularly that of Classical societies;
- and as regions that are popular, and therefore have a high number of visitors, given the previous conditions are met.

The four regions of analysis are chosen as spaces of potential gender and sexual fluidity that have their own rules, guidelines and norms. The analysis in the forthcoming chapters is centred on aspects of representation and spatiality in addition to discourses and phenomena that are specific to each region.

Foremost, it is the notion of choice in view of the self-determination of the subject that forms the basis for investigation into the study of four SL regions (The Lost Gardens of Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold). The major purpose of this study is to explore the practices of signification, representation and discourse on marginal and/or subversive spaces in SL. Given the possibilities open for expression, and the lack of restrictions in terms of embodiment and spatial capacity, the choices made by individuals creating and using the spaces are highly revealing of cultural subjectivities, imaginations, interpretations and power structures as

---

1 User statistics for SL and its regions are difficult to come by, and in the case of SL as a platform, need to be understood as self-reported numbers as part of a highly commodified environment. Region popularity is premised on the appearance of the site in SL’s ‘Destination Guide’ (which may or may not be based on some financial arrangement with Linden Lab), as well as other, mainly subjective factors, that include external websites and the busyness of the site during the research itself.
contingent with CL. An overarching question, given the multitude of representational identities that an individual can embody within SL, is in what ways do individuals choose to represent themselves and how does this fit in with the discursive features of the space they have chosen to visit? The first part of this general question forms the path of investigation about gender and sexuality, whilst the second part denotes the analysis of space itself as a feature. Following from this are more specific considerations:

- Given the platform’s reliance on the visual as the predominant mode of expression, to what degree does screen culture replicate corporeal structures and practices?
- To what extent are the regions suggestive of corporeal tourist spaces and to what extent do the discursive elements of tourism apply in the virtual environment (specifically the pleasure periphery, the gaze, authenticity, liminality and the sublime)?
- What does the invocation of the Classical world, as a fragment of a cultural imaginary, mean in the context of virtual space and visual culture?
- In what way are regions and spaces within SL utilised as subversive spaces for the expression of radical subjectivities? Are there spaces that are indexical of subversion and, if so, how is this subversion signified?
- To what degree are the signification and spatial practices within subversive spaces different from those spaces that reinforce normativity?
- To what degree does the signification of gender and sexuality fulfil a necessary expression of identity? (This question is somewhat of a critique of Butler’s position regarding identity as non-categorical. It is argued here that categorisation is needed not only for expression but also for the enactment of desire.)

**Introduction to the four spaces**

The four spaces used for analysis are The Lost Gardens of Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold. They have been chosen because they have met the criteria of tolerating and/or celebrating sexual diversity as well as being tolerant of subversive identity representation, being representative of an ancient world, and being reasonably popular. The following overview provides a brief description of each space, including explicit rules, norms of governance and spatial characteristics.

*The Lost Gardens of Apollo*

The Lost Gardens of Apollo is a visually rich island full of complexity and detail. Created by Dane Zander, the region is featured in SL’s destination guide which in part explains its popularity. During the time spent researching Apollo, there were a number of instances where the space was too busy to visit, and so access was denied. Limitations on visitation numbers are due to the phenomenon of ‘rezzing’, whereby the screen lags, making real-time interaction difficult. The region is rated G, general classification, which means it is forbidden “to advertise or make available content or activity that is sexually explicit, violent, or depicts nudity” (Linden, 2011a). The welcome note of the island (the pop-up that appears upon teleporting to
a space) states “No nudity, No RP (role play)” (Zander, 2006) which reinforces the Linden classification and the non-sexual nature of the region. Although the welcome note states that the island is for “all genders, shapes, creeds and colours” (Zander, 2006), the avatars present throughout the research were predominantly human in form, hyper-gendered and attractive in terms of Western norms. There were also many avatars with the appearance of fantasy or mythological characters which emphasises the other-worldly and ancient utopia feel of the region.

There are many places on the island that encourage seclusion and it is very common for avatars to be seen alone and not interacting with others in many of the places on Apollo. The exceptions are Hyacinth Valley where small groups of avatars can be witnessed practising Tai Chi, and at the Salsa y Boleros where avatars slow dance together on a suspended platform overlooking a coastal section of Apollo. Both the Tai Chi and slow dancing can be performed by any avatar that utilises the ‘pose balls’ situated at Hyacinth Valley and Salsa y Boleros respectively. There are other pose balls located around Apollo in secluded places, on chairs or rugs for example, that animate avatars into cuddling each other. These animations are consistent with the sensual, but not overtly sexual, theme of the island.

Zeus Gay Club and Concert Hall is space located within a region called Gay City Cologne catering predominantly for gay males. It is part of a wider region entitled Gay City Estate that has entertainment as well as residential areas for the LGBTI community. There are no restrictions on who can visit the space, so female avatars can, and do, frequent it. According to its website, zeusgayclub.com, it “is one of the most popular Second Life gay clubs, located on Gay City Estate owned by Michelangelo Villota” (“Zeus Gay Club,” 2012). The space was founded by Franco Box, but he has since rescinded his ownership to Michelangelo Villota who

2 During the final stages of this project, on June 26, 2011, The Lost Gardens of Apollo was closed down by its creator, Dane Zander due to issues in island maintenance.
is assisted in the running of the venue by a small number of club managers. According to the website, Franco Box’s “vision was to create a meeting point and a network for gay people in Second Life”. Unlike many other SL spaces however, Zeus has no explicit rules or codes of conduct. On arrival to other spaces it is common to receive a welcome note, like that for Apollo, which includes guidelines for behaviour, including actions that are forbidden within the space. The absence of specific guidelines adds to the hedonistic feel of the space, similar to a holiday island experience in CL where there is an undercurrent of ‘anything goes’.

Zeus can be categorised as an entertainment precinct, with its principal activities being dancing and music at the concert hall, shopping at the mall area, and relaxing in the surrounding areas that feature sports and social interactions and play that are typical of a seaside resort. It has a ‘mature’ rating (‘moderate’ in the new SL viewers), meaning that it is not a space explicitly created for sexual, or other adult-related, content. As a guide, the ‘M’ rating is explained as follows:

Resident in these spaces should expect to see a variety of themes and content. For instance, stores that sell a range of content that includes some "sexy" clothing or objects can generally reside in Moderate rather than Adult regions. Dance clubs that feature "burlesque" acts can also generally reside in Moderate regions so long as they don’t promote sexual conduct, such as through pose balls (whether in "backrooms" or more visible spaces). (Linden, 2011a)

Although it is not an explicitly sexual space, there are many features of the space that categorise it as ‘mature’. For instance, many of the shops contain items that are highly sexual, such as BDSM toys and clothing, and there are many billboard advertisements that feature sexual products and services, such as pornography. As a large region, Zeus is a popular site in-world (with around 700 daily visitors according to its website), and features many theme nights, as well as live performances, and language support for non-English visitors.
Eden
Founded by SL resident, Yenzo Cortes, Eden is a space that holds nightly and weekly events, as well as having surrounds that include a dance club, a large shopping area, and a castle with secluded rooms. According to its welcome note and associated advertising, Eden is a space for “lesbian and bi girls” and is part of a region called ‘The Seduction’ on SL. It is a popular space, hosting regular themed nights and events, and according to its SL information page, has “a community of 1,500 Residents and growing” ("Greek Gold Lesbian Resort," 2011). It is zoned as an ‘adult’ area, meaning a region with “expressly sexually themed content, spaces or activities, whether or not photo-realistic”, where “sexually themed” is defined as “any sexually oriented activities and conduct” (Linden, 2011a). Additionally, Eden has its own rules:

1. Keep Eden a nice place
2. Keep peace, tolerate, and respect others
3. No weapons allowed to use [sic]
4. No extreme outfits (incl. chains, leaches [sic]etc.) Latex and collar is cool
5. No Nudity outside the designated areas
6. Please report violations to the next available Officer or Gatekeeper
7. Violations might result in a termination of membership
(Sabine666 Kuhn, 2012)

In addition to the general information found on the SL website, there is a ‘Sisters of Eden’ website and blog devoted to Eden and its activities.

The area which Eden takes up is relatively small, with all major attractions within a small distance of each other. It has an island-like feel and the appearance of a beach resort, including a beach with sand and umbrella. The major activities on Eden however, and the spaces where most avatars can be seen to congregate, are around its club which often has theme nights and events, and its castle which is used for sexual and intimate behaviour. Around the major structures of a castle and club are shops, a temple, and various areas for lounging and viewing. The surrounds take the form of beaches, rocks, and trees that add to the
resort feel of the space, and also a mountain-like wall that separates Eden from the rest of the region, giving it a sense of privacy and exclusivity. As described in Chapter four the space has a tourist feel to it, in its resort structures and through its elicitation of the tourist-gaze that evokes the discursive structures of sex tourism.

**Greek Gold**
According to its founder, Greek Gold is the “fastest growing lesbian SIM [region] on SL” with over 10 000 members (“Greek Gold Lesbian Resort,” 2011). It is a large discrete island, far bigger than Eden, and is surrounded by a coast that gives the space an island resort feel. Its main features include an entry space where avatars are greeted by a Greek Gold administrator, a large dance club, coastal lookouts, gazebos for private retreats, a number of waterfalls overlooking a river in the central part of the island, a margarita bar and a beach for surfing. The space is inclusive of all women, explicitly stating “lesbian, dyke, butch, boi, femme, girl, (and) gay” females (“Greek Gold Lesbian Resort,” 2011). Its creator, Hera Emms, is, according to her webpage “mistress to six beautiful girls” and “practices BDSM and the Greek life” (“Hera Emms,” 2011). The welcome note received by all on arrival to the island lists specific rules for conduct:

- No bio males – no exceptions to this rule
- No poofters or particles on the dance floor
- No nudity (all privates must be covered, please note, we respectfully request that butches/bois keep their nipples covered – pasties are permitted) (Hera Emms, 2011)

The “No poofters or particles on the dance floor” rule refers to the prohibition of animated objects being activated in the space of the dance-floor. This is due to the limited capacity of the platform to handle large numbers of moving objects in a single space.

As is the case on Eden, Greek Gold disallows males from visiting the island. Importantly, it is framed as “bio males” which suggests that transgender individuals are welcome, along with varying depictions of masculinity as represented by the base gender of female. In addition,
there is a “no nudity” rule that positions the space as a non-explicitly sexual environment. To offset the potential confusion of masculine females showing their nipples, the guidelines are that “pasties” can be used (usually in the appearance of a small plaster place over the nipples). This rule, in particular, reveals the complexities of representation of the masculine female form: although many butches and bois have the appearance of a flat-chested male, they are only permitted to go topless if wearing pasties. This underscores the emphasis placed on female modesty in Western culture, whereby the female body is essentially sexualised, even in the absence of curves, and the breasts actively displayed as feminised sexual objects.

**Methodology**

The major basis for investigation is the use of discourse analysis, used thematically in response to the major discursive practices of the regions specifically in relation to visual culture. In positioning the SL platform as a meta-text, the four regions of Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold are positioned not only as case-studies of the larger SL environment, but also as discrete texts. Importance is placed on the visual language, symbolism and spatial practice within the regions that serve as organising practices for the conditions of life in-world and through which power operates. In discussing the underlying bases of gender, power and language that are sought to be revealed in discourse analysis, Mats Alvesson and Kaj Skoldberg state:

> language is the medium in which we conduct our social lives and create our symbolic existence; gender is the fundamental dichotomous figure of thought characterising our private as well as public lives; power entangles all of us in its constantly reinvented ruses and snares, which some scientists (such as Weber) even regard as the very texture of society, the fine-grained basic structure that holds it together. (2009, p. 227)

Through the analysis of the visual language of the SL environment the conditions and relations of the social lives experienced can be scrutinised, as well as the organising practices of gender and power relations. Being guiding principles of the research, gender and sexuality are explored vis-à-vis language and power, with meaning generated through the complex interaction of each. Because of this, the discursive constructions of masculinity and femininity are given pronounced consideration in reference to the gendered and sexualised subject. The codes of visual language are a framing device that positions the subject as either normal or deviant through the boundaries of the prevailing gender discourse (contemporary Western culture). This, in turn, reflects the intrinsic power structures of the discourses regarding legitimacy of the gendered, and sexual, individual.
The interpretation of the visual data, which is presented thematically through each chapter, is primarily that of a feminist, and secondarily queer perspective. This data is based primarily on the visual representation of the avatars within the environment as well as the spatial features of the environment. Speech, text and audible gestures have not been included due to the importance placed on non-verbal communication and the centrality of the image itself to avatar identification. In addition to an emphasis on visual representation as the principle form of expression, analysis of text-based and audible communication was discarded as a research method due to the difficulty in recording, transcribing and interpreting all forms of data – when the study began in 2007, SL had just enabled its ‘chat’ feature which allowed voice-based interaction, adding to the ways in which individuals could communicate, but making it more difficult to capture and analyse all interactions. It was also decided, for the scope of this research, that the image would take precedence, thus allowing for greater discussion and analysis of visual representations, such as gender codification, hyper-masculinity and hyper-femininity as well as sexual signification.

As a topic of inquiry, gender research is considered highly important due to gender’s persistence as an edifice of social existence:

First, gender represents an essential theme in the attempt to understand virtually all social relations, institutions and processes. Secondly, gender relations are seen as problematic since they are associated with conditions of dominance, inequality stress and conflict. Thirdly, gender relations are regarded as socially constructed, which means that they are not given by nature, nor are they inevitable; rather, they are the result of socio-cultural and historical conditions, and can be radically altered by human action. (Alvesson & Skoldberg, 2009, p. 237)

This research takes all three aspects as central to analysis. Firstly, gender is seen as an essential element within the meta-text of SL as it is a necessary part of participation. Individuals are not only required to select a gender on signing-up to the platform, but are also compelled to perform gender as a necessity for social interaction and participation. As part of the secondary consideration regarding inequality and dominance, the regions are analysed in view of this imperative illustrating the prevailing conditions of patriarchal organisation, as well as highlighting some specific departures from this view. The final point that views gender as socially constructed is given particular emphasis throughout the study, not least due to the virtual format of the spaces in which one may depart from one’s corporeal bodily constraints thereby negating the essentialised view of gender as relating to biological sex. Furthermore, femininity and masculinity are scrutinised as performative aspects of an individual’s desired
self, insofar as the gendered position expressed through the screen is indicative of the potentiality for how an individual desires to express themself as a gendered subject.

In furthering this position, the research can be framed in terms of the post-structuralist tradition whereby there are no universal claims in reference to the female subject, but rather individualised positions informed by the effects of gender, or gender itself as discourse. This gendered position is predicated on the revelation of an unstable and essentialised foundation, which is contingent with the subjective narrative of the post-structural subject; gender is ultimately performed by the individual. This work relies heavily on both Judith Butler and Judith Halberstam’s conceptions of gender and sexuality in reference to the destabilised subject produced by social and linguistic discourse. However, it is Halberstam’s recognition of identity categories as positive, and ultimately important for queer culture and individuals, that is given preference, rather than Butler’s dissolution of gender categories altogether.

Further to the application of post-structuralism to the subject of SL regions, is the analysis of meaning generated through the image. As a primarily visual medium, SL is illustrative of the postmodern condition that places visual culture at the centre of meaning, identity and social practice. Postmodern visual culture places primacy on the body, the site where meaning is produced, and is linked to the economic agency of the individual. The commodification of the body in SL illustrates the hyperreal construction of the body in a highly capitalised environment. The body in SL is an entirely superficial signifier that utilises various signs and intertextual meanings to offer a desired account of the self. Furthermore, the four spaces are also analysed visually in reference to their various intertextual and signified meanings, particularly in reference to the Classical world and the notions of utopian space. These meanings are then deconstructed in view of the prevailing discourses of culture in reference to economy, identity, community and power vis-à-vis alethic hermeneutics. This form of hermeneutics “corresponds loosely to the ‘theory’ of various extra-hermeneutic discourses” (Alvesson & Skoldberg, 2009, p. 99), where the pattern of interpretation “is elaborated in a dialogue with the text”. Importantly, interpretation must be contextualised in view of the culture and history in which the text is created. For example, the various references made by the four texts to ancient cultures, must be read in view of now, rather than in reference to historical truth or actuality: it is the implied or collective meanings of the contemporary, through postmodern visual culture, that give the texts their meaning. This thesis posits that there be many meanings given for the account of something or another, insofar as “[a] ‘polyphonous’ account of different interpretations...is a form of honesty toward the reader”
(p. 105), and where analysis should “provide supportive or critical arguments for or against an interpretation” (p. 104). This does not mean that interpretations are exhaustive, but rather are included on their plausibility in reference to the prevailing context of interpretation.

The study is structured to represent various discourses that are central to scholarship within cultural studies, technology and spatiality. Due to the primacy of visual communication in the SL environment, the analysis is largely based on image and spatial analysis. Devoid of the natural environment, SL is constituted entirely of cultural signs and markers that have their significations within corporeal society. CL power structures, connotative elements, myths, ideologies and significations are reproduced in the rich visual environment, and arguably hold more meaning due to the dependence on seeing, looking and gazing within the environment. This is what Astrid Ensslin refers to in analysing the architectural features of SL:

> The absence of nature as an independent, dynamic, and potentially destructive force entails that every sensory object in SL has a function bestowed upon it by one or many of its millions of residents. In other words, every perceivable object in SL underlies the ideological agendas of its maker, which renders SL a uniquely rich social semiotic environment. (2011, p. 180)

It is through the visual markers that many of the ideological investments of the individuals who participate in the SL platform are sustained. The visual culture of the various regions operates within several discursive foundations that are analysed through each subsequent chapter. The chapters generally focus on a key theoretical area, exploring the discursive features of the spaces, and ultimately seek to uncover the power relations inherent within those spaces. The initial chapters give a general overview of theory whereas the later chapters each explore the specific theories as related to the discourses that are revealed through the discussion of images, spaces and representation.

**Chapter overview**

Chapter two provides a theoretical overview of the key literature that informs this work, principally introducing the concepts of embodiment, virtuality and cyberspace. Though there are allusions to theory drawn from the areas of science and psychology, this study is primarily a discussion informed by cultural studies and related philosophy. Therefore, whilst other theoretical areas prove useful in expanding upon ideas from cultural studies, they are not elaborated on to any great degree. Collaborative virtual environments are framed as cultural phenomena that can be conceptualised principally as social systems of interaction, communication and signification. In an environment centred on the visual, embodiment is a
crucial phenomenon for engagement and is seen as the key form of signification and social access. Social interaction and representation are based on the corporeal, and it is in the everyday, physical world that such signifying processes are founded. Both words are inextricably linked, and so the practices of identity formation, selfhood and expression are spoken through the discursive power structures of the corporeal which informs notions of normative, subversive and marginal identities and practices. This overview of signifying practices and virtual embodiment is framed by queer and feminist theories, looking to identify and expand upon the social conditions of life both in front of and through the screen.

Following the same theoretical path as the discussion of embodiment and the virtual self, chapter three is dedicated to an analysis of space as a conceived, cultural phenomenon. As a user-generated environment, SL and its regions have been conceptualised and created from imaginations and biases ingrained in Western corporeal culture. These prejudices and preferences are re-written into the virtual platform, creating meaning that stems from features of the built environment. Such features generally reinforce the discursive foundations of the corporeal, whereby the body, as it moves through the environment, is regulated as it interacts with the surroundings. This has the effect of policing the normative representation and behaviours of the body, marking it as a gendered and sexed product. Exchange value is also written onto the body given the inscription of commodity onto and through every aspect of the SL environment. What at first seems an unlimited and unrestricted platform for creation and imagination, after scrutiny reveals the entrenchment of our social and cultural conditions.

After establishing the major theories about the body and space, the discussion progresses to the specific discourse of tourism in chapter four. The SL regions studied are framed as tourist spaces via the dual motivations of sunlust and wanderlust, which in turn lead to the conceptualisation of experience as either centred on bodily pleasures (liminoid) or focused on meaning and engagement (liminal). Through the ideas of engagement and meaning, the notions of authenticity and inauthenticity of experience in the virtual environment are broached, particularly in relation to the historical narratives offered in each of the SL spaces. Emmanuel Kant’s idea of the sublime is also evoked here in reference to the natural, the technological and the digital sublime, of which it is argued that all three can be possibly attributed to certain regions. Given the focus on the spaces as sites of pleasure, in addition to the general emphasis on the body within SL, the gaze is analysed in terms of the pleasures and politics of looking. Furthermore, with a focus on queer identities, the spaces are read in terms
of the pleasure periphery as it relates to the coastal holiday islands and paradise narratives of the Mediterranean.

Chapter five sustains the discussion regarding space, the historical world and the Mediterranean environment but extends it to incorporate a more specific analysis of gender and sexuality as related to the particular space of The Lost Gardens of Apollo. As the most visually spectacular of the regions studied, Apollo illustrates the concept of the sublime as applied to 3D virtual environments as well as illuminating the concepts of male beauty and utopian space specifically as read through the mythology of Ancient Greece. Through this lens, the space is discussed as a masculine environment and raises questions regarding the reading of sexuality as represented by and interpreted through the male subject. The form of hyper-masculinity displayed throughout the space is discussed as an idealised form of male representation, which subsumes the homosocial and homosexual within it. Although subversion can be read into the space of Apollo, through both the historical narrative as well as some explicit significations and references to non-normative sexualities and representations, normativity is reaffirmed through the prevailing standards and conduct of its visitors. Heterosexual coupling is normalised through the displays of affection permitted and the hyper-gendered representations of avatars.

The gay male space of Zeus is analysed in chapter six and extends the discussion about masculinity and sexuality. Whilst Apollo is a region of spectacular natural landscapes, Zeus by contrast offers a space typical of contemporary island tourism. It is a space premised on pleasure and the body as a consumable product. What is on display here, and what can be appropriated in terms of interaction, is in stark contrast to the new conservatism of contemporary Western gay culture. On Zeus, the hyper-masculine male form is normalised and is commodified in terms of its sexual exchange value. Signified through markers of masculinity, the male subject raises questions regarding the moral panics surrounding subversive male sexualities and their marginalisation to spaces of deviance. Heteronormative and homonormative representations are analysed in reference to consumerism as well as the movement towards conservatism of the gay male in Western society which allows for the legitimisation of certain subject positions over their alternatives.

In chapter seven the discussion turns to female representation and sexuality. In continuing on from the positioning of the body as commodity, as seen in the case of the male body as with Apollo and particularly Zeus, the space of Eden exemplifies the positioning of the female body
as consumable. Whilst the male body and sexuality in its hyper-gendered positioning is often read as threatening, the sexualised and hyper-gendered female body often signifies temptation and vice. Although positioned as a female-only space suitable for queer identifying women, Eden is highly heteronormative. The male gaze is inscribed into the viewing practices of the space, leading to the reading of Eden as potentially voyeuristic and pornographic in reference to hetero-patriarchal norms. Femininity as attached to the female subject position is normalised alongside the body as commodity and usefulness attributed to sexual exchange value. The spatial features of Eden are also analysed in terms of how they contribute to hetero-patriarchal usage and practices. Furthermore, Eden is discussed in reference to its position as an island paradise as well as by references to Biblical and symbolic significations.

In contrast to the heteronormative representations on Eden, Greek Gold offers a more diverse spectrum of gender identities. Chapter eight presents the region as a study of female masculinity, in many ways as a direct contrast to the feminine and sexualised displays of Eden. This is not too say that representations on Greek Gold are not sexualised; however the diversity that is displayed disturbs much of the signification as attributed to the female body. The chapter relies heavily on Judith Halberstam’s work on female masculinity as it analyses digital representations of the female body outside of female femininity. The plurality of expression in SL, branching across the masculine spectrum, detaches masculine attributes from the male body even more so than in corporeal signification practices. The destabilisation is at the site of the desired self and reveals that the female body can be desired as masculine as well as feminine, in both object and subject positions. As is the case with Zeus however, Greek Gold is ultimately a subversive site that operates against the normative.
2. **Embodiment and virtual experience**

*Introduction*

The study of the use of technology lends itself to exploration from a number of disciplines across both the physical and social sciences. Rapid changes have altered the epistemological framework so that disciplines that previously worked in isolation now look towards other fields of study to help inform their areas of expertise. Such is the case with research into collaborative virtual environments that borrows ideas from such fields as artificial intelligence, cognitive psychology, cultural studies, psychoanalysis, and scientific and social philosophy, among others. Whilst the notions of embodiment, cognition and presence are discussed in view of advances in the physical sciences, the key areas of this work are grounded in cultural studies. It is the political and positional aims of the project that ground this work more firmly within the discipline and discourses of cultural studies than any other field. As noted by Stuart Hall (1996, p. 263), cultural studies “has many trajectories” and is seemingly open-ended and all-encompassing of the human condition with a necessary need “to connect”. It is also through this field of inquiry that both feminism and queer theory are situated vis-à-vis each other, often in uneasy acknowledgement of the politics and usefulness of the other (whilst the fields of theory often disagree about the scope of fluidity of the gendered and sexed self). The body, seen from the discipline/s of cultural studies, is a political object that has inscribed onto it history, culture, society, sexuality, violence, and power. It is our central point of understanding of both ourselves and the outside world, and so carries with it both history and the present, as well as the future. With this as a foundation, the following chapter gives a general overview of the key areas of research, namely: embodiment (and theories about the body), virtuality (particularly in reference to the ‘real’), and cyberspace as cultural and political (in reference to both feminist and queer critiques). In addition to space and spatiality, these key areas provide the foundation for specific investigation throughout the course of the greater body of work.

*The body (embodiment)*

The term ‘embodiment’ can have varied and sometimes conflicting meanings depending on the field of inquiry and the background that is drawn upon. It is often a term that is taken for granted; we all have a body, therefore our embodiment is implicit, but when exploring the ideas of alternate embodiment, and bodies that extend beyond those of the traditional, it is a term that necessitates an explicit definition. For the purposes of this study, the more useful definitions are drawn from the social sciences. Ziemke identifies the notion of ‘social
embodiment’, initially developed by Barsalou et al., which “addresses the role of embodiment in social interactions rather than the question of what type of body is required for what type of cognition” (Ziemke, 2003, p. 1305). This meaning differs from other notions by the way it focuses on humanistic social interaction rather than biological processes. That embodiment is commonly defined within the boundaries of physical existence is not surprising; it has only been recently that the body, or a body or bodies, in relation to the mind or self, has been re-examined in view of anthropology, psychology and technological advances. This shift in scholarly thinking suggests that “the body is passing through a critical historical moment... (that) offers a critical methodological opportunity to reformulate theories of culture, self, and experience, with the body at the centre of analysis” (Csordas, 1994, p. 4). Principally, there has been a shift in rejecting the brain-bound notions of identity and self-formation to include the brain, the body and the world, wherein the body’s interactions within the world, and perceived by the mind, are the essential features of embodiment and cognition.

Poststructuralism views the body, or our conceptions of the body, as having a history. According to Csordas, the body “is as much a cultural phenomenon as it is a biological entity” (1994, p. 4), and the value of signification is central to defining bodies. He suggests that “culture is grounded in the human body” and this is ultimately where meaning is derived in terms of our own selves and how we make sense of each other and our environment. There is consistent interaction between the mind, body and environment, being inseparable from social interaction and culture, so that “the full explanation of our knowledge of self arises from the participatory interaction with our embodied existence” (Gibbs, 2006, p. 17). We gain meaning, and a sense of self, not from looking inward, but in looking outward in projected reflection of others, what Sartre describes as “being-for-others” (1943, p. 305), suggesting that “I must apprehend the Other first as the one for whom I exist as object” (p. 339). If the idea of body and self are dependent on the reflection and interaction with others, then they are constructions of interaction and thus constructions of culture:

Bodies are not culture-free objects, because all aspects of embodied experience are shaped by cultural processes. Theories of human conceptual systems should be inherently cultural in that the cognition that occurs when the body meets the world is inextricably culturally based. (Gibbs, 2006, p. 13)

Embodiment is therefore subject to cultural influence, categorisation and construction, as the body-in-world is shaped socially and ultimately politically. Vygotsky articulates this premise by contending that social processes “operate according to sociological and economic principles, particularly the principles of exchange value and commodification” (cited in Wertsch, 1985, p.
These principles of exchange and commodification have a profound influence in consideration of body-as-object and the body as performative.

The way the body (a body) is lived and viewed is central to experience, and this embodied experience has pivotal effects on the individual in terms of identity and the formation of self. Often in the consumerist, capitalist society in which we live, the body is experienced as an object; it is displayed and performed, consumed by others. Consequently, this objectification has an experiential effect on the mind and the perception of the self, as one’s experiences of the body-on-display impact significantly on one’s sense of worth in society. Csordas suggests that the modern world’s emphasis on the body as object has had the effect of “the individuation of the psychological self and the instantiation of dualism in the conceptualisation of the human being”, brought about by “reflective, ideological knowledge” (1994, p. 7). This objectification contrasts with viewing the body as subject, where the mind and self are aware of a bodily occupancy in world. The political body is centred around body as performance; that which has a history of performing and being performed upon. The performative body is indelibly linked to the cultured, historical body, which is ultimately linked to the political and struggles of power. The historical body, as is true for any cultural artefact, contrasts “the important and the subordinate, the essential and the accidental, plans and accomplishments, preparations and declines. These vectors which are traced through the dense whole of facts have already distorted the original reality in which everything is equally real” (Merleau-Ponty, 1964, pp. 193-194). Body-as-object is the body as constructed through the contestations of history and cultural exchange, often violently forged. Such violence is particularly apparent in bodies of difference, what Leder refers to as “the threatening body”, where “the body, forgotten in its seamless functioning, comes to thematic attention particularly at times of breakdown or problematic operation” (1990, p. 127). Dysfunction and difference in this description characterise a body at odds with the norm prescribed by the dominant political, social, economic and historic order, and includes ‘divergent’ forms of gender and sexuality.

The cultural regulation of body-as-object controls normative performance. Corporeal displays outside of this normative order are labelled as immoral or divergent: “a problematic body” (Leder, 1990, p. 135). Thus, controls placed on the gendered and sexed body are integral to embodiment, as both body-as-object and body-in-world. The body that interacts with, and is viewed by, others is imperative to an individual’s consciousness and concept of self, so that bodily performance outside the norm has profound effects on embodied experience of both the ‘divergent’ body and the bodies of those who are interacted with. This is because the self
understands itself through the reflection of others, those who the self interacts with: “the Other accomplishes for us a function of which we are incapable and which nevertheless is incumbent on us: to see ourselves as we are” (Sartre, 1943, p. 354).

The current period of late capitalism and commoditisation has seen a new emphasis placed on the body, and while the era has seen a greater importance placed on individualisation, commercialisation often sees the paradoxical effect of homogeneity and the implicit regulation on appearance and bodily performance:

> In the milieu of ‘late capitalism’ and ‘consumer culture’, with its multiplicity of images that stimulate needs and desires and the corresponding changes in material arrangements of social space, the body/self has become primarily a performing self of appearance, display and impression management. (Csordas, 1994, p. 2).

Where, historically, individuals were controlled explicitly through the methods of the state apparatuses of the health, school, political and judicial systems, in late capitalism individuals regulate themselves through the implicit standardisation of norms, where the body has “acquired new importance as tokens of class and status distinctions” (Turner, 1994, p. 28). Alongside the pressure to maintain a performative body marked by the new class and status order, the body is strictly regulated in performance of gender and sexuality where strict codes remain for the expression of acceptable embodiment, a phenomenon explained by Butler:

> Performativity is thus not a singular ‘act’, for it is always a reiteration of a norm or set of norms, and to the extent that it requires an act-like-status in the present, it conceals or dissimulates the conventions of which it is a repetition. (1993, p. 12)

Embodiment, therefore, in both virtual and corporeal spaces is a cultural expression of societal norms placed on the body and regulated through accepted modes of interaction and display. This interaction in the virtual environment occurs through modes of representation, so that identity is performed through the body.

Capitalist objectification has ramifications on bodily performance, codifying displays of body-as-object and body-in-world regulating gender, sexuality, race, ethnicity and various forms of bodily ‘error’ such as disability, deformity and illness. Much work in feminist and queer theory has focused on the forms and apparatuses of the regulation of gender and sexuality, whilst others seek to explain demarcation as historical and cultural constructions that exist only in subjective interaction. Butler asks:
Is there a gender that pre-exists its regulation, or is it the case that, in being subject to regulation, the gendered subject emerges, produced in and through that particular form of subjection? Is subjection not the process by which regulations produce gender? (Butler, 2004, p. 41)

Butler questions the history and supposedly natural properties of sex and gender, undermining biological determinism and the objective truth of scientific discourse. This closely aligns with the work in psychology regarding the cultural grounding of embodiment: that of the body-as-object and the performative aspects of the body as central to cognition, consciousness and the formation of self. The ‘self’ is as much a product of culture as the body since there is no self that is not immersed in some form of experience or situation: “To be human, indeed to be living, is always to be in a situation, a context, a world” (Varela, Thompson, & Rosch, 1991, p. 59). Embodiment, as the dominant centre of interaction with the world, is key to defining the self and of identity. It is the feature that reveals the self to the world and is central to self-reflection, a constant arena for self-improvement, and that creates the most meaning in cultural exchange.

Embodiment, and the self as reflected through lived experience, produces affective states that have consequences for behaviour and interaction. Moore and Isen contend that “the effects of feeling states on self are mediated through perception and memory”, which “affects reactions to self and others” (Moore & Isen, 1990, p. 18) where perception is intrinsically linked to an individual’s embodied state. Gibbs explains that “rather than being a biological given, embodiment is a category of sociocultural analysis, often revealing complex dimensions of the interactions between bodies and personhood” (2006, p. 37). Experience, shown to be grounded in culture, has profound effects on both emotion and body modality where both act and react reciprocally. Embodiment is a dynamic process: it is dynamic because it is subject to internal and external reflection, and re-construction, and it is a process because it involves continuous boundary negotiations of the body. The body can extend past itself either through incorporating tools, or in utilising the virtual to have several bodies, realising the multiplicity of identity. The possibilities for virtual embodiment may be infinite, but what is certain is that a body, in whatever form it may take, is an essential prerequisite. Whilst we may extend the boundaries of bodily possibility, such bodily potentials must have a reference point, a point grounded in history and culture to work from. For this reason embodiment, even in the virtual realm, is subject to the same history, culture and politics of the corporeal.
A key element for feeling both connected to an environment and others, is feeling connected to one’s own body. In virtual worlds, the extent to which a person feels present, attentive and capable of action in an environment is referred to as presence. It is a concept that is closely linked to immersion, “a sense that sensory experience of the actual world (is) sufficiently muted, and sensory experience of a virtual world sufficiently heightened” to the point whereby an individual no longer feels a part of the corporeal world (Boellstorff, 2008, p. 112). By heightening the active senses and dampening the others to the degree that they diminish into the background, virtual programs can increase the level of presence that the user feels. Biocca describes the sense of presence as oscillating, whereby the user’s experience “can be interrupted by sensory cues from the physical environment and imperfections in the interface” (2006, p. 9). However, as noted by Boellstorff, immersion is not necessarily the goal for 3DVEs (3-dimensional virtual environments), nor is it essential for interaction or participation. The world of SL is predominantly social, and so the environment can take on a similar scope to that of other social media, whereby a user may flit between active and passive online states as they move between their corporeal and virtual existences. Denise Doyle, in discussing avatar bodies in SL, refers to this as a mixture of presence and absence, or “a mix of objective ‘looking’ and a subjective sense of ‘being’” (Doyle, 2011, p. 105). However, whilst individuals may utilise some SL spaces purely for social interaction, there are certainly regions that are used for their spatial features and ability to facilitate escapism, such as is the case with the four regions studied. Within environments that rely on the visible as the key function for presence, it is the spatial aspects of the SL spaces, including layout and features, in addition to the capabilities for moving one’s avatar – effectively being in control of one’s embodied state – that affects how immersed and present an individual feels.

The virtual and the real: aspects of lived experience
Three dimensional virtual environments (3DVEs) have become a feature of the internet in the last decade. Participation within these environments has increased “due to improvements in virtual-reality technology (adapted from electronic gaming), continued drops in personal computer prices, increases in computing capacity, and greater broadband network access” (Messinger et al., 2009, p. 3). This upsurge in many ways has followed on from the text-based MUD (Multi-User Dungeon) culture of the 1990s where users created the world, and often rules, around themselves (Boellstorff, 2008, pp. 50-51). Contemporary 3DVEs are in many ways graphical equivalents of these earlier platforms, with the socially based environments (such as LambdaMOO) most like the world of Second Life in that the purpose of using the platform is primarily for interaction rather than gaming. It is the social impetus of the
environment that lends itself to more direct comparisons with corporeal life, where “we observe the symbiotic emergence of culture and content” (Messinger, et al., 2009, p. 1). It is the social and creative allowances of SL that prompted its selection for this study: it is both highly popular and allows for a large scope of user-generated content. Proposed here, is the idea of the virtual SL world as a lived, cultural experience; as an overlay to what we consider real life, and as analogous to corporeal existence itself.

What constitutes ‘the real’ and ‘reality’ is a complex issue, brought more into focus with the contradiction internal to the phrase ‘virtual reality’, where reality is taken as the natural, symbolic order of existence. Since the Renaissance period, reality has become an increasingly ambiguous term as science, technology and art have developed symbols to refer and manipulate the natural order, “where the false is born along with the natural” (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 86). It is from this period, and the efforts to produce universal codes and theories, that the order of simulacra emerges. Baudrillard describes simulacra as representations of the real, where “(a)ll technology, all technocracy are incipiently there: the presumption of an ideal counterfeit of the world, expressed in the invention of a universal substance and of a universal amalgam of substances” (1983, p. 89). He suggests that we have entered the postmodern era of simulation, whereby the ‘real’ no longer exists but is replaced with the concepts of the hyperreal: “a real without origin or reality” (1983, p. 2), and simulacra. Lived experience is no longer seen as rational:

since it is no longer measured against some ideal or negative instance. It is nothing more than operational. In fact, since it is no longer enveloped by an imaginary, it is no longer real at all. It is a hyperreal, the product of an irradiating synthesis of combinatory models in a hyperspace without atmosphere.
(Baudrillard, 1983, p. 3)

Elizabeth Grosz explains that the virtual should be understood as something which is “capable of generating the same perceptual effects as ‘real’ objects” (2001, p. 79), but what constitutes a ‘real’ object is also subject to debate. An opacity has been produced between the real and imaginary, where it becomes impossible to tell what is real, what is imaginary, and when a simulation is occurring: “it is now impossible to isolate the process of the real, or to prove the real”(Baudrillard, 1983, p. 41).

Communications technologies have produced an existence in which human subjects and their objects have become intertwined, interconnected and dependent on each other. The merging of subject and object wired together produces an uninterrupted interface, where both
constantly manipulate the other. Physical reality is reduced to consciousness and centralised experience in the brain, producing a barren body and a reduction in geographical expanse; a miniaturisation and instantaneousness of experience. The collapse of boundary between the subject and object signals the collapse of the public/private margin as one’s “private space undergoes the same fate. Its disappearance parallels the diminishing of the public space. Both have ceased to be either spectacle or secret” (Baudrillard, 1988, p. 20). In the era of reproduction and commodification, where reality has ceased to exist, the virtual “marks the vanishing or the end of the real” (Baudrillard, 2003, p. 39). In this sense, we are in the ultimate finale to the era of reproduction: pure hyperreality: “The virtual now is what takes place of the real; it is the final solution of the real in so far as it both accomplishes the world in its definitive reality and marks its dissolution” (Baudrillard, 2003, pp. 39-40). Virtuality has the possibility to both substitute for and reinvent the world. Whilst there may be some inclination to feel as though the world will become completely artificial, a clone of itself reduced to wavelengths and operational code, it can alternatively be viewed as emancipator, freeing “us from the world of value, the world of judgement” (p. 42).

It has been suggested that technology will result in ultimate inertia, whereby centralised technological processes extending to all facets of human experience will induce something like a coma state. Virilio proposes that “(d)omestic interactivity, involving a progressive loss of relations with the external environment, is thus technically a form of coma... leading to a ‘vegetative state’ of home inertia” (Virilio, 2000, p. 68). Grosz believes that such a position is unnecessarily negative, and whilst not going as far as some theorists such as Haraway who suggests that virtuality can revolutionise and liberate global society, she does entertain the ideas of transcendence that the technology brings, even if just for the individual, and the ability of the virtual to delineate the Cartesian mind/body, human/machine dualities. She suggests that “our notions of real, of body, and of the physical or historical city need to be complicated and rethought to accommodate what they seem to oppose” (Grosz, 2001, p. 86), so that rather than thinking of the body and physical reality being superseded by a purely cerebral interface, the real and virtual rethink and rework each other to form a complementary lived experience.

Cyberculture
The merging of the real and virtual coincides with the combination of human and machine, and it is the notion of cyber-embodiment (the cyborg, the symbiont and the transhuman) that illuminates an integration, and thus a re-working, of nature and culture. Through various
modes of human-machine convergence, the human body can be partially replaced by, and/or extended through, mechanical and technological parts, undermining (or potentially enhancing) the body’s biology and subverting the ‘natural’ condition. Baudrillard suggests that the boundary between human and machine is dissolving, wherein “the system puts an end to the myth of its origin and to all the referential values it has itself secreted along the way. Putting an end to its myth of beginning, it ends its internal contradictions” (Baudrillard, 1983, p. 112). Baudrillard suggests that this “cyberneticized social exchange” can lead to the break-down of the existing political and social order in its dissolution of established bases of thought. It is then possible to reconstruct a new version of reality that is not dependent on the strict binaries of human/machine and body/mind, and the socio-political domination that results from a privileged position in history, religion, politics and society. What technology and virtuality force upon society is the re-conception of previous world-views. Society has lost any natural reference points of meaning, insofar as it is impossible to tell whether “we represent the construction, or construct the representation” (Virilio, 1991, p. 103) and where “we are losing a certain relationship of conformity... that came from a long ago past” (p. 104).

Along with the fracturing of the traditional paradigms of space and time, other notions of Classical scientific thought also come into question, including those of gender and sexual identity. In destabilising traditional notions of singular identity, with strict coherence to Euclidean space/time insofar as the self ‘naturally’ relates to the world and society, the virtual can act as an emancipator, freeing “us from the world of value, the world of judgement” (Baudrillard, 2003, p. 42). Donna Haraway views the denaturalising propensity of technology as a positive for society and the self as individuals break free from the constraints of the organised ‘natural’ patriarchal system. Even sex can be thought of as inorganic, whether in terms of reproduction or pleasure, and can be thought of in terms of individualised outcomes freed from bodily restrictions. She explains:

The cyborg is not subject to Foucault’s biopolitics; the cyborg simulates politics, a much more potent field of operations... The cyborg is a kind of disassembled and reassembled, postmodern collective and personal self. (Haraway, 1991, p. 163)

In the world of the cyborg, information in the form of universal codes that produce meaning is essential. It is these codes that are the key to cyborg feminism and the destructuring of dominance. Communication technologies can produce new ways of inscribing and interpreting the body and meaning around satisfaction not gained through one’s physical embodiment: “The machine is us, our processes, an aspect of our embodiment” (p. 180). As stated by Zoe Sofoulis, however, in her reading of Haraway, the cyborg is not entirely utopian. It is part of
the socially determinist understanding of how we interact with our technologies in which our potential, and the potential of machines, is continuously fettered by social dynamics and political systems. Importantly, Sofoulis also alludes to the fluidity of the body as one that went “beyond the essentialist impasses of early 1980s feminist identity politics” (2002, p. 97), and in so doing, rendered a post-structuralist cohesion of both feminist and queer notions of bodily instability and political agency.

Feminism and queer theory: positioning the body in cyberspace
Cyberspace, of which CVEs are a part, can be defined as the social networks of interactions rather than just the technical means of the network itself. This definition leads to conceptualising cyberspace as a cultural medium, and something that is primarily visual in how it is experienced. In refuting the notion of technological determinism, culture is posited as the driving force behind the various uses and appropriations of the internet specifically, and technology generally. In discussing the culture of cyberspace, Robins asserts that the “institutions developing and promoting the new technologies exist solidly in this world” (1996, p. 137) and so we cannot discuss technology and virtual worlds as if they have no reference to the lived world, with its politics, culture and structures of power. It is not possible to fully analyse virtual worlds as if they were somehow detached from our day-to-day existence. Whilst the possibilities may be endless in virtual worlds, we must view the technology “not as outside society, as technological determinism would have it, but as inextricably part of society” (Wajcman, 1994, p. 6). Technology and cyberspace are bounded by the politics, conventions and male-dominated ideology from which they were formed.

Gender, and the relations between the sexes, has long been thought of as something natural and distinctive. Through science and philosophy, areas of study that have been dominated by white, heterosexual men, this position has been reinforced: “an essentialism that assumes that there is universal and underlying order that can be explained through ‘biology’ and ‘natural’ characteristics” (Grosz, 1995, p. 47). Recent work by feminist theorists in science and philosophy have overturned preconceived ideas, and with varying degrees of certainty, have shown that much, if not all, of what is thought of as a natural in terms of masculinity and femininity is constructed by society and culture. Physical codes, including appearance, movement and behaviour, are central to the perception of gender and sexuality in society, where the body “is a powerful symbolic form, a surface on which the central rules, hierarchies, and even metaphysical commitments of a culture are inscribed and thus reinforced through the concrete language of the body” (Bordo, 1997, p. 90). The notion that gender codification is
Culturally constructed is elaborated upon by Judith Butler who explains, “even if the sexes appear to be unproblematically binary in their morphology and constitution... there is no reason to assume that genders ought also to remain as two” (Butler, 1999, p. 8). In addition, Butler also views the basis of sex division and differentiation as a construction, and “as the effect of the apparatus of cultural construction designated by gender” (p. 11).

Cyberculture and cyberbodies can facilitate a new form of embodiment and new ways of inscribing and interpreting the self that are central to the reconfiguration of postmodern identities. Not only is gender reconfigured as a construction of the Enlightenment, but also sexuality in the form of compulsory heterosexuality and the assumption of ‘naturalised’ relations between men and women. From this perspective, gender and sexuality in their traditional conceptions, can be viewed as performance, where “gender inscribes the fantasy of a true, stable gender identity on the surface of the body, which simultaneously naturalises the normative fiction of heterosexual coherence” (Sunden, 2003, p. 53). However, the dominant culture has effects on identity construction and maintenance in cyberspace. Robins suggests that identities “are composable in so far as the constraints of the real world and real-world body are overcome in the artificial domain” (1996, p. 138), which may be no easy task considering how many ingrained social and cultural constraints are inscribed on the body and its uses. As previously mentioned, physical and sexual difference have historically been conceptualised as something natural and biological, so to overcome these constructed differences in the virtual world would require an individual to distance their online existence markedly from their real-world reality. For example, in the case of gender it is often assumed that an online user is either male or female. Options for joining onto various games, social networks and virtual worlds have it as a requirement that one sign on as a predetermined gender. This has the effect of compartmentalising male and female roles before one even enters the world, undermining the ability to fluidly embody an ungendered, transgendered or gender-ambiguous identity.

Furthermore, the culture in which technology is conceptualised is predominantly masculine. In the case of cyberculture, there is a history of male-centred design and use of hardware and software systems (Dholakia, 2007, p. 237). This has had the effect of affirming men’s “masculinity through technical competence and posit(ing) women, by contrast, as technologically ignorant and incompetent” (Wajcman, 1994, p. 11). It is only recently, with the advent and popularity of social networking sites, that women have found at least a more equal user-ship for internet usage (Dholakia, 2007, p. 232). Much of the internet in its contemporary
form is tailored towards the capitalist marketplace, where hardware and software are made specifically for niche markets that can be exploited for profit. In embracing female user-ship on the internet, companies are focussed on revenue rather than inclusionary social or political practices. Those outside the key demographic of techno-authorship and user-ship are included in cyberspace only on the basis that they participate within the patriarchal capitalist paradigm. It has been suggested that utopian visions are mostly promoted by those who seek to gain the most capital from extra usage, presenting cyberculture “as a source of salvation from the reality of a lonely culture and radical social disconnection from everyday life” (Kroker, 1996, p. 168). It is important to remember that cyberculture is as much a capitalist enterprise as anything in the ‘real’ world, and that similar, if not identical, social, political and economic forces are engaged regardless of the creative capabilities and possibilities of the virtual.

In addition to a feminist critique of the SL spaces, queer theory is utilised as a complementary discourse for analysis. These two perspectives are interwoven through the various chapters of this work, in the acknowledgement that “such categories or forms of living are interrelated and at specific points for particular political and social reasons one may be more important in framing life and demanding political action than the other” (McLaughlin, Casey, & Richardson, 2006, p. 4). There are a number of reasons for using both theories simultaneously, and as suggested by McLaughlin et al., at times one theory is better suited to the political outcomes and framing practices of various social and cultural phenomena. The below categories are illustrative of this:

- **Sex**
  - Gendered and commodified (feminist)
  - Subversive and non-normative (queer)

- **Identity**
  - Masculine/feminine binary (feminist)
  - Trans, androgynous and non-normatively gendered (queer)

- **Power and Agency**
  - Capitalism, domesticity, the controlled body (feminist)
  - Body as expressive, subversive (queer)

- **Cyberspace**
  - Technology as masculine (feminist)
  - Technology as emancipatory – virtual embodiment (queer)

Furthermore, Diane Richardson states that “A primary focus for feminist writers has been on how (hetero)sexuality is related to the maintenance of male domination and gender hierarchies, whereas within queer theory attention has been on the ways in which ‘heteronormativity’ functions to privilege and sustain heterosexuality and exclude sexual ‘others’” (Richardson, 2006, p. 37). It is the aim of this work to throw some light on both ideas, so that heterosexuality and gender are critiqued in view of male domination, female sexuality.
and capitalism, and that heteronormativity and the queer subject are discussed through the tropes of deviance, subversion and the new conservatism of queer.

Of particular importance is the tension at times ratified between feminist and queer discourses that sees the gender-identified individual as antagonistic to the goals of feminism in reference to the dissolution of power difference and sexual practice. For instance, Judith Halberstam discusses the female androgynous and transgendered individual as gaining agency through the masculine paradigm, which may be considered as undercutting the feminist goal of equality through the re-enactment of masculinised behaviours and identities. Whilst acknowledging the critique of some feminists that such gender identification reinforce gender binaries, I believe this is too simplistic a reading of the androgynous and trans-gendered subject because of the little affordance this critique allows for gender diversity and fluidity. What the critique does not allow for is the definitive separation of gender from biological sex, and the ensuing performative tropes of gender itself that cross biological sex categories, ultimately destabilising the power structures of sex and gender that is not often recognised in sole feminist analysis. So it is argued that rather than reinforcing the power structures embedded in binary gender, the androgynous, trans and fluid subject can in fact destabilise these categories.

It is these subjectivities, those that question the naturalised order, that are labelled as subversive in this thesis. As Butler acknowledges, what constitutes the subversive is not a fixed category of being or knowing. Like all constructs, it is in a constant state of redefinition with that which is defined as normal and ‘unsubversive’. Moreover, the context in which the subversive operates need be acknowledged as an essential aspect of meaning:

> Just as metaphors lose their metaphoricity as they congeal through time into concepts, so subversive performances always run the risk of becoming deadening clichés through their repetition and, most importantly, through their repetition in commodity culture where ‘subversion’ carries market value. (1999, p. xxi)

What the ‘subversive’ does however, is function as a counter-point to some reality, or some naturalised quality that is called into question:

> And this is the occasion in which we come to understand that what we take to be ‘real’, what we invoke as the naturalised knowledge of gender is, in fact, a changeable and revisable reality. Call it subversive or call it something else. Although this insight does not in itself constitute a political revolution, no
political revolution is possible without a radical shift in one’s notion of the possible and the real. (1999, p. xxiii)

The line between cliché and the questioning that subversion ought to do, as defined in this work, is at the level of insight generated through the questioning of assumptions about the naturalised conditions of gender and sexuality. So, the heteronormative portrayals of female-to-female sexuality on the site of Eden are seen as clichéd performances that reiterate normative positions. However, the island of Greek Gold is read as subversive because of the representation of fluid gender that does not subscribe to normative assumptions, and in fact calls these assumptions into question.

One of the key aims of this work is in using the notion of the subversive as applied to gender and sexuality (through both feminist and queer theories) to destabilise traditional power structures of patriarchy as well as heterosexuality and heteronormativity. Moreover, queer theory works with the notions of virtuality as well as the concepts of cyberspace, cyber-identities and cyber-embodiment to further destabilise the biological determinism of sex and gender. Extending Haraway’s feminist reading of science, technology and the cyborg that provokes a re-reading of gendered identity and power, queer theory can simultaneously re-work notions of sexuality, subversion and difference. In deconstructing the barrier between human and machine, Haraway fractures the boundary between what has been historically, scientifically and politically held as natural, and the reality of a hybrid, multi-faceted form of existence and individuality. The cyborg is central to the dissolution of boundaries, as it deconstructs the social, historical and scientific determinism that has dominated discourse since the Enlightenment era, and can be central to women’s experience and liberation politics as the boundary between science fiction and reality becomes blurred. Similarly, the cyborg can be seen as outside normative sex and sexuality, being at once outside of sexuality yet indeterminably infused with human corporeal desire. In taking the physical body out of sexual embodiment, the subject is pre-designated as queer insofar as the normative conditions of sex have been subverted. There are no naturalised conditions of sex for cyber-bodies, no essential reproductive capabilities or responsibilities; cyber-embodiment is shifting, temporal and liquid and so are its practices. Sexuality, and sex itself, is removed from the essentialised referents of the corporeal, meaning that what it projected through the screen is a notion of culturally-shaped representation.
Conclusion
Technology and the digitisation of experience extends both the possibilities of embodiment as well as reifying the body in reference to its static corporeal conceptualisation. It is perhaps this latter reason that feminist and queer scholars use as a foundation for re-thinking theory and politics, and it is certainly this motivation that drives the investigation into the four regions of Apollo, Zeus, Greek Gold and Eden. In extending our reality into the virtual realm, can we really re-think our bodies, and perhaps even transcend them? Whilst initially cynical about such grandiose notions, lived experience through a SL avatar both illuminates and extends the static imagining of the body as natural, as closed, and as fixed in its meanings. In conjunction with the next chapter’s theorisation of space and spatiality as a political entity, the politics of the body lays the foundation for investigating the body in virtual space. Space works with the body to produce an understanding of how we interpret the body and the objects it interacts with. The four regions are understood as potential utopian spaces in which the body-as-represented is continually re-written through these interactions.
3. The body in virtual space

Introduction
This chapter seeks to contextualise the notions of virtual space historically, culturally and politically. Whilst cyberspace and virtual worlds may seem initially to be very different forms of space from those experienced in the corporeal, they operate in much the same way, using the same foundational codes and conventions of the real. This is an extension of Lefebvre’s, and other spatial theorists’, contentions that space is produced through ideological investments, and thus reinforces discursive power structures. As part of the wider scope of this work, gender and sexuality are considered as having spatial dimensions, which produces tensions within a world built on fantasy that looks to offer alternative notions of equality and engagement. The SL platform itself, being user-generated, appears as a promising platform for alternative spatial construction, and therefore spatial discourse, by permitting different forms of embodiment as well as having no established rules for building, constructing and articulating space. However, and as noted by Joseph Clark, this potential is largely unfulfilled:

Even though the resulting spaces often represent entirely fantastic or fictional worlds, cities, and landscapes, at their most fanciful they still tend to contain at least a modicum of the world as we know it. Buildings, highways, parks, seacoasts, and forests in these virtual worlds may be utopian or not physically possible in real life, but they are still, like any other creative endeavour, reflective of the hopes and dreams—and the bias and ignorance—of their designers. They perform ideologies just as sculptures, movies, monuments, and buildings do in real life, and are thus rhetorical constructions. (2011, p. 145)

Corporeal life, or the real, proves to be inescapable in imagining alternative realities. Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold, offer less in spatial transgression than what is initially imagined in visiting subversive spaces. Although some of the features of the four regions defy physical constraints, such as occasional buildings, sculptures and monuments, the layout, architecture and design of each region largely mimics those either in existence in CL, or that from a imagined past. In re-presenting the Ancient world, the four regions signify a cybertopia that exists beyond the established parameters of contemporary existence, yet simultaneously evokes the collective imagination of a utopian past. However, this imagined space is inextricably linked to the corporeal and so is unable to depart from it in any significant political or cultural sense. What is meaningful, however, is the engagement with space for the individual, whereby some form of authenticity can be achieved (this notion of authenticity, particularly applied to tourism, is taken as a subjective phenomenon that is based on the experience of the individual, rather than any objective claim to a truth or reality as authentic).
Virtual space

Contemporary notions of space are shaped by modernist insights into scientific reasoning and more recent philosophical inquiries into spatiality as a human conception. The ideas of relativism of Ernst Mach and Albert Einstein overturned the previous conception of Newtonian space as absolute which had hitherto informed the phenomenological conception of space. Merleau-Ponty, critical of the objectivist claims of science, urged a return to subjective experience and perception in the philosophy of the sciences:

> For all its fluency, science must nevertheless understand itself; it must see itself as a construction based on a brute, existent world and not claim for its blind operations that constituting value which ‘concepts of nature’ were able to have in an idealist philosophy. (1964, p. 160)

Whilst understanding the value of science to progress, Merleau-Ponty is critical of its elision of the subject, the Being, and proposes a return “to the site, the soil of the sensible and opened world such as it is in our life and for our body” (p. 160). Rather than categorising space as an objective element that acts upon us, our Being, he suggests that space is something that is acted upon by our Being, thus linked to our perceptions, bodily movements and intentions. He contends that spatiality, and the geometric tenets of the vertical, horizontal and distance are “different ways for external stimuli to test, to solicit, and to vary our grasp on the world” in order to produce an anchorage of Being-in-world (p. 5). The world exists somewhere between the material and the thought of perceptual beings, and although “the world no doubt co-ordinates these perceptual beings, we can never presume that its work is finished. Our world, as Malebranche said, is an ‘unfinished task’” (p. 6).

In thinking of the world as infinite, it is possible to view cyberspace as an extension of material space in terms of perceptive experience. Whilst it is difficult to resolve the contradiction that cyberspace could be materialistically understood even in the relativist understanding of Mach and Einstein, it is plausible to conceptualise cyberspace through a relativist-perceptivist viewpoint. Although it can be argued that cyberspace occupies some space in the physicalist sense within the hardware of computer systems, this acuity is generally misleading in the discernment of experience where experience is a function of perception. As explained by Bryant (2001, p. 146), cyberspace may exist without cyber-objects but would be meaningless in this context: If cyberspace existed without anything to occupy it, or anyone using it, would it exist at all? (This same argument could be taken up in reference to physical space also). From the relativist position, cyberspace would be void without cyber-objects and users occupying it.
This postulate identifies the primacy of experience as central to existence, with spatiality assumed upon the recognition of perception. Space then, is something attributed after the fact of established existence and being-in-world. It is a product of perception: space comes into existence as a result of my being and the being of others.

It is possible to consider cyberspace and virtual worlds as existing outside the realm of physics, as a realm of pure perception (this may necessitate casting aside theories in quantum physics that could potentially incorporate cyberspace as another ‘physical’ dimension). This poses a unique conundrum for the contemporary world-view whereby society, through its technology and advances, has achieved such mastery over the environment that it is assumed that all worldly elements and processes can be defined in the realm of a materialist science. The paradox, as explained by Wertheim, is that whilst “cyberspace could not exist without physics, neither is it bound within the purely physicalist conception of the real” (1999, p. 229). Wertheim suggests that there is nothing new about the possibility of space existing outside the physical, and draws a direct analogy to the metaphysical spaces that have occupied human understanding throughout history. Such a multileveled reality is nothing new to the human psyche and articulates a dualistic perception of existence, where “nonphysical space metaphorically parallel(s) the material world, but (is) not contained within physical space” (p. 29). Wertheim’s conception of cyberspace informs much of the spatial analysis of this work in reference to the metaphysical attachment to popular understandings of virtual worlds, particularly those that frame the virtual as transcendence to utopia.

It is the paradox between the real/virtual and material/immaterial that makes cyberspace so unique. Although virtuality privileges the transcendence of form, it is only through perception and bodily presence that the self can feel truly ‘there’. In searching for the perfect virtual embodiment of representation, one is also seeking corporeal satisfaction; it is not enough to be represented visually through the interface: users seek projection through the screen and prompt it to push back which creates both an emotional and physical response. The need for sensation grounds the virtual in the physical reality of the individual and anchors cyberspace to the physical world. Virtual worlds then, whilst dependent on the level of presence as felt by an individual in-world, are a new spatiality, but a spatiality that is reliant on the physical. With perception and presence of spatial environments being closely aligned with affect it can be assumed that virtual spaces can have a definite impact on an individual in the physical sense; an individual at a computer is not a passive receiver of information on the screen, they are actively seeking out emotive states through the virtual environment.
It is this emotional and sensorial engagement with virtual space that positions it as place within a historical, cultural and political perspective. Place refers to a specific context of space which is occupied with various phenomena that stimulate a mental, emotional and physical relationship to being-in-world. According to Edward Relph, “Sense of place is first of all an innate faculty, possessed in some degree by everyone, that connects us to the world” (2001, p. 208). Although a geographer, Relph’s work is phenomenological in nature as he describes the connection of self to place:

For geographers, places are aspects of human life that carry with them all the hopes, accomplishments, ambiguities, and even horrors of existence. They see sense of place as a thread that ties each of us to our surroundings, and as a learned way for understanding somewhere on its own terms. As a form of environmental connection, sense of place is existential and political. (p. 208)

Place differs from space in that it is temporal and holds significance in the now of being, “an arena of action that is once physical and historical, social and cultural” (Casey, 2001, p. 683). Whilst space holds worldly objects relative to each other, place describes the intimate relation of the lived body to the spatiality that extends from it. In addition, place is an integral part of identity through the association of mind to body to environment. As the body is necessary for cognition, so is place as it extends outwards from the body and inwards towards it.

There is a tension between self and place in the postmodern world. The friction arises due to the endless possibilities afforded to individuals in terms of travel, both in the real and virtual spheres, and the desire for belonging and meaning that is attributed to being in a place. For instance, it is taken for granted that individuals have an innate connection to their birthplace, country of origin and locale of childhood. Such connections are emotive and ground the self in a sense of geographical identity regardless of where one is in the world; despite one’s travels there is a persistent connection to the home of one’s birth or childhood. According to Heideggerian theorists, friction can occur when the self becomes disembodied from such physical connections in the scattered and fickle self of a society that privileges aesthetics over meaning and the authentic self: “other people may be responsible for being what one is, and hence we need to look to other people as sources of authentic experience” (Pearce & Moscardo, 1986, p. 125). Such cynicism about technology and the postmodern experience leads to the assumption that experience is becoming less authentic, corresponding “to a fickle self who seeks to be entertained” (Casey, 2001, p. 685) and thus loses connection to the authentic in both sense of place and sense of being. These notions of the real being
synonymous with the authentic have become further entrenched within ideas about
cyberspace. For modernist phenomenology, authenticity is found at the site of the centred,
rational, fixed individual who exists in the corporeal. This view takes the postmodernist
understanding of cybertulture as that which is disembodied, capricious and ephemeral and
fails to conceive the cyber-self as a re-embodied entity in the virtual world that remains
anchored to its corporeal embodied self in CL (this is to some degree argued by Coyne (1998)
in re-orienting Heidegger’s work to cybertulture).

As further argued by MacCannell (1999), and discussed in chapter four, tourist spaces are
considered replete with inauthentic experiences, with the virtual environment considered as
an almost entirely inauthentic environment. Wendy Hillman elaborates on this notion of
objective authenticity, building upon MacCannell’s work and advancing the notion that tourist
spaces can be considered authentic, even given the present conditions of globalisation. Whilst
she extends the notion of authenticity to include six more subjective categories of authenticity
as provided by Cohen (2007), such as “authenticity as sincerity when applied to relationships”
(Hillman, 2007, p. 5), there is an insistence on the ‘real’ and ‘true’ insofar as it applies to the
objects and cultures that we seek out. The obvious limitation of this definition is its lack of use
in the virtual world. Although too easily associated with the inauthentic, the virtual can be
considered a contested stage of authenticity and legitimacy, whereby notions of ‘real’ and
‘true’ are shifted from the external/physical to the internal/incorporeal.

To reduce postmodern experience to the inauthentic, however, is to misunderstand the uses
of the experience to the postmodern self and identity. It can be argued that in exposing
oneself to a multitude of places and experiences, the self can acquire more depth and meaning
than before. To describe postmodern interactions as purely aesthetic and meaningless is to
assume that few people are searching for meaning, a sense of identity and authenticity. It can
be argued that in a world of such accessible and varied experience and place, the self has a
greater chance at finding the authentic self, or selves in the case of fluid identity. According to
Casey:

The more places are levelled down, the more – not the less – may selves be led
to seek out thick places in which their own personal enrichment can flourish...
Place, actual place, persists, and is strengthened rather than diminished by the
challenge posed by virtual space. (2001, p. 685)

The self that travels through many places can experience aspects of identity that may be
restricted by occupying limited place and can also gain tolerance and acceptance of others and
the self through such exposure. Experiencing a multitude of place-experiences, whether in the real or virtual domain, acts as a complement to the static self of fixed origin and identity. Through the analysis of the four spaces of Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold, this critique of the virtual realm as authentic and meaningful is considered. It is shown that authenticity can in fact be inscribed into a space, so that it becomes place insofar as it has meaning at the site of the individual.

As described by Eben Muse, meaning is afforded to a space, whereby it becomes place, due to temporal and spatial experience that produces affective states. These states create a relationship with objects within the space that operate as place-markers, or unique identifiers of a particular place, and which hold meaning. Muse describes this as presence:

Presence is a process that creates a sense of place; place therefore is constantly being defined by experience. A particular place may share a common tonality or keynote with other places, making it part of a larger, identifiable landscape. Those other places may not be contingent within the space; they may be linked more tightly by keynote than geography. The place itself is distinguished from other places in the same landscape less by borders than by placemarkers holding both cognitive and emotive content. The placemarkers may be unique to a place, but they are more likely to be unique in their relationship to other elements of the place or to the observer. These relationships occur both spatially and temporally and are defined through experience of the process of that space. (2011, p. 206)

The markers in an environment such as the buildings, structures, gardens, beaches and other static features, in conjunction with the other bodies that occupy the space and the imposed rules of the space, all combine to produce a relationship between the self and the place. This relationship can be carried over to other places through the ‘keynotes’, ‘placemarkers’, and symbolism of spaces of similarity. Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold contain similar keynotes in relation to sexuality, subversion and ancient history. The placemarkers that signify these elements arguably produce a consistency of meaning across the spaces, but also produce an individualised relationship to the specific place itself. In taking the Ancient Greek symbolism of Apollo, Zeus and Greek Gold, it could be expected that the consistent imagery of the Classical Greek world (ancient monuments, sculptures, Mediterranean gardens, mountains and coastlines) would produce consistent meanings attached to gender, sexuality, and the body. This happens in part, with connotations of sexual subversion and the importance of beauty. However, such placemakers also work to produce identifiers unique to place that can undermine some shared meanings attached to the keynotes. Meaning ascribed to gender in
the regions of Apollo and Zeus, which privilege masculinity and male beauty, vary greatly from the female-centred meanings associated with Greek Gold.

Cyberspace and cybertopias
Although it is not possible to remove virtual space from the discursive practices of the real, there is an underlying assumption that such worlds offer an escape from the corporeal in the form of utopias, such as the technological liberation discussed by Haraway (1991) and Soufoulis (2002). With cyberspace residing somewhere outside our physical realm it is not surprising that there are analogies to a soul-space, to the metaphysical spaces of religious and spiritual significance. Margaret Wertheim explains that such analogies are unsurprising given the associations between immateriality and spirituality: “It was perhaps inevitable that the appearance of a new immaterial space would precipitate a flood of techno-spiritual dreaming” (1999, p. 257). This searching for transcendence online can take the form of organised religion, pseudo-religious practices, or seeking for the ideal self. With the ability to make an idealised simulacrum of oneself, cyberspace offers a space outside that of physical imperfections and corporeal, bodily ‘sin’. It can be seen as a form of paradise where, “unlike our physical bodies, these cyberspatial simulacra will not age, they will not get sick, they will not get wrinkled or tired” (p. 259). In addition, the places such bodies inhabit can have any imaginable qualities: endless days of sunshine, manicured gardens and temples that defy age and history, not to mention all the other perfectly embodied souls to interact with. Within virtual worlds there is the potential for experiencing the perfect vision of the after-life in the current temporal state, almost cheating death in the transcendence of the physical/metaphysical boundary.

Of particular relevance to the analysis of four SL regions, is the symbolism of the Classical Age, in particular its culture and mythology, as applied to the digital imaginary. In the utopian conceptions of cyberspace, the Classical worlds of Ancient Athens, Ancient Sparta and Eden, are viewed as eras of freedom and perfection. In the collective Western imagination that is exemplified through mass media forms, the re-creation of the ancient world not only signifies an escape from the everyday, but in the form of virtual platforms becomes a new beginning in forming a world, or worlds, that depart from the history of the corporeal to produce a new idea of the future. The collective imaginary is seen as something that produces its own meanings, which reflects on history not as fact but as a mediated illusion of the past, and thus as what the world can be. It can be argued that this utopian vision is represented most through the spaces that signify Ancient Greece with its perceived freedoms and sexual liberties as perceived through the lens of contemporary culture. Notions of Eden however, illustrate the
tensions in imaging a perfect world and the anxieties by which we conceive new spaces. Concerns regarding gender and sexual conduct are shown through the various historical narratives which the spaces represent where it is argued that such spaces are an extension of ancient mythologies indicative of contentions over our moral lives. Margaret Wertheim’s analogy of cyberspace and the heavens describes the spaces as potential stages for transcendent and liminal experience whereby an individual can undergo a journey of authenticity. This metaphysical conception is useful in viewing virtual platforms as desired utopian spaces. However, the actuality of this can be questioned because of the commodification of the SL platform as well as the racial and gendered norms as seen within the spaces.

The spaces of Apollo, Eden, Greek Gold and Zeus signify Ancient Western civilisation as characterised by the Classical world of Greek civilisation and Roman Empire, as well as the Judeo-Christian culture, beliefs and religion that followed in the demise of the Greeks and Romans. In the Western historical tradition of Eurocentrism, these cultures have been given primary consideration and significance in contemporary scholastic thought and practice. Similarly, the Ancient world is held in great esteem in the wider cultural imagination, as evidenced by numerous movies (such as Troy (2004) and 300 (2006)), TV series (Xena (1995-2001) and Spartacus (2010-)) and other forms of popular entertainment (such as World of Warcraft). It is argued this ubiquity that has influenced the replication in the digital realm of such signifiers of this age. However, the influence of the perceived cultural norms of the Ancients in terms of heroism, norms of interaction, clothing and costume, sexual conduct, and associated imagery, should not be discounted in the comprehension of the age’s popularity. In the contemporary imagination, myth, wish and history blur; it becomes highly plausible that gods and mythical creatures existed, as the Ancient world becomes elevated to occupy a metaphysical realm. Maria Beatrice Bittarello (2008) argues that such conceptions are already ‘virtual’ in the sense “that virtual worlds have always existed in literature, religion, and art”, whereby the visual and the imagination are the essential components of ‘virtual’ world construction. She suggests that these components “reflect and are based upon different readings of philosophical problems pertaining to the definition of what is actual and what is virtual, of what is original and what is a copy (simulacrum)” (p. 2). From this perspective the Ancient world is already, to certain degrees, ‘virtual’ in the sense of the contemporary popular imagination: it is a place, in the metaphysical sense, of rich imagery that is imagined and re-imagined through a complex intertwining of historical, mythical and popular narratives.
More important than any factual account of history are the imagined spaces of meaning. On the platform of SL these spaces take on a mythological quality, reflected in the island mentality of severance from the ‘real’. In addition, such spaces have a transcendent quality and the promise of immortality. Bittarello remarks that virtual worlds share elements of narrative and promise with historical myth:

According to the *Genesis* book, the garden [of Eden] is located in the east... Like Dilmun, this place is connected to the promise of immortality; once Adam and Eve have been exiled, cherubs will guard the road that leads to the Garden and to the tree of life. (3.24) The *Bible* also describes the New Jerusalem, a utopian place, where the lion and the lamb live peacefully together. Not too different are Greek and Roman descriptions of the Golden Age, when “men” lived as gods, knowing no misery, pain, death. (2008, pp. 2-3)

Narratives within the Bible, as well as ancient mythological stories, extend human attributes to a transcendent form of being that is closer to Heaven: god-like, immortal, circumventing the constraints of the corporeal self. Although more often thought of in the context of Classical societies, ancient myths continue to resonate with desires to escape the mundane and the ordinary of Western existence. Through the screen, one’s avatar becomes a god-like character with which to free the bounded self; the platform is a conduit for the mythical journey that takes one closer to perfection. It is perhaps more accurate to conceive of the adoption of the ancient imaginary in its association with myth. Bittarello asserts:

Scholars studying classical literary texts have outlined the main features of mythic countries and spaces, as described in religious and literary texts... In the first place, mythic space is intrinsically different from that of everyday life, because it is either inhabited by monstrous or fantastic creatures or because prodigies happen there, or because people’s customs are different. Secondly, it is located far away, often to the farthest limits of the earth, so that it can be reached after a long and usually perilous journey—or by using unusual means (e.g. flying). In sum, mythic space is the opposite or reversal of the real world—it can be portrayed as a utopia (Paradise), or a dystopia (Hell). (2008, p. 6)

Using Bittarello’s description of mythical texts, it is possible to place representational spaces of antiquity within this paradigm.

One of the great attractions of the Ancient world is its appeal as a type of paradise that exists outside of the constraints of the contemporary work-life boundaries. Similarly, Eden connotes a place of relaxation and the absence of work. Virtual paradise is a form of “willed transformation” that is brought about through “technological transformation, in which a new kind of life has been made possible” through technical innovation (Moylan, 1986, pp. 32-33).
Furthering this idea of Tom Moylan’s, the SL platform can be seen as a form of literary text where the present is critiqued in reference to an idealised future space. This future, however, invokes an ancient world. In what can be read as a response to the safe, yet highly regulated, conditions of Western society, the ancient world provides escapism into a realm where the rules of the present do not necessarily apply. The popularity of games such as World of Warcraft and Myst, and movies such as Troy (2004) and 300 (2006), and TV shows like Xena (1995-2001) and Rome (2005-2007) attest to the willingness to enter a world that is exciting and action-driven, dangerous and visceral: qualities that have been removed from contemporary Western culture to a large extent. In figuring SL as an extension of this appeal, there is the less the appeal of action, war and blood (although there are role-playing areas for combat within the platform), and more the promise of transcendent engagement as seen in Apollo, Eden, Greek Gold and Zeus. SL offers the ability to define one’s own terms of engagement, interaction and behaviour within the choice and scope of each region: its attraction lies in the leaving of the ‘everyday’ to experience the desired. As is evident within the four regions studied, such desire can be evoked in the representation of the self as desired, or as celebrated in difference, as well as being spaces for identity experimentation that may not be permissible at ‘home’. As areas explicitly demarcated as sites of ‘difference’, they appeal to diverse modes of sexuality through an imagined ancient culture. By entering into the Ancient virtual space, one is transported to a metaphysical Ancient space of re-imagined history, mythology and culture.

Of particular appeal to the contemporary Western imagination is the permissible sexuality of Ancient civilisations as well as the freedoms offered: Ancient Greece is perceived as being synonymous with male homosexuality and pederasty; Ancient Sparta evokes the independence, freedom and strength of women. Similarly, the Judeo-Christian conception of paradise, the Garden of Eden, is synonymous with freedom, as well as temptation. It is the idea of these civilisations, more so than any historical actuality, which provides the impetus for exploration of the self, sexuality and identity. These three major platforms for inquiry (Ancient Greece, Ancient Sparta and The Garden of Eden) not only share imagery of nature and an Epicurean ethos, but importantly evoke an ease with sex and sexuality and a celebration of it (although the end of the Eden narrative is most often read as a tale cautioning such celebration). In a contemporary Western world that is fixated on risk avoidance and conformity the cultures of hedonism and free sexuality as actually experienced through the mass public are novel, although it must be noted that these behaviours are widespread in various forms of media and entertainment (conformity as the everyday, subversion as
spectacle). In reference to Judith Butler, idealised spaces are constructed in view of the established fields of normative sexual practice; they do not reveal a purer, historical form of sexuality, but rather reveal an argument against, and critique of, our established conditions of sexual practice. Such spaces do not hark back to a nostalgic past, but rather imagine a desired future. In the age of digitalism one might expect to find region after region boldly expressing new visions for the future, perhaps reflecting science fiction ideas of high-tech cities, shiny metals, robots and alien landscapes. Although such spaces do exist, the appeal to re-create, albeit idealised versions of, ancient times is evident not only in the SL spaces researched, but also in movies, books, TV series as well as video games and other 3D virtual environments. Such re-creation may not simply be a imagining and idealising of the past, but may also reflect desires for the future. The search for authenticity can be attempted through the liminal appeal of the platform; a search for an authentic centre with other like-minded individuals in a collective exchange of imagining. As stated by Margaret Wertheim, “The promise of utopian community is one of the primary appeals of cyberspace” (1999, p. 283). In a world suffering from economic disparity, alienation and disaffection it is unsurprising that individuals may look for a utopian vision elsewhere.

Notions of widespread change however, are considered premature in consideration of the encroachment of CL regulation and policing into the virtual domain. Freedoms are becoming limited in the moral panics of greater society regarding the behaviour reported of various sites. SL has been a particular target of moral and ethical panics about in-world conduct since its inception. Although there was no evidence found of pederast or paedophilic conduct on Apollo or Zeus during this study, there is reportedly a subculture in SL involved in ‘age-play’, ‘child-play’ or ‘edgeplay’ characterised by “residents embodying themselves as children and having sex with adult avatars” (“Linden Lab Official: Clarification of policy disallowing ageplay,” 2011). This has prompted scrutiny of the environment, eliciting fears that tap “into fundamental questions of agency, power, and propriety with regard to sexuality” (Boellstorff, 2008, p. 164).

As a response to such concerns, Linden Lab has clarified its policy on “age-play” within SL:

Under our Community Standards policy, real-life images, avatar portrayals, and other depictions of sexual or lewd acts involving or appearing to involve children or minors are not allowed within Second Life. When detected, individuals and groups promoting or providing such content and activities will be subject to sanctions, which may include termination of accounts, closure of groups, removal of content, and loss of land or access to land. ("Linden Lab Official: Clarification of policy disallowing ageplay," 2011)
Such concerns over behaviour illustrate the on-going tension between the corporeal and virtual worlds and the apparent need to regulate all facets of social behaviour, particularly in reference to sex and sexuality. Whilst many users participate in SL to escape from the constraints of their corporeal existence, it seems that the popularity of such worlds may predicate their continuing regulation to the point of indiscernible difference to the ‘real’. Furthermore, such debates illuminate the permeability of the line between the corporeal world and 3D virtual spaces; to what extent any behaviour is ‘real’ is at question, including the potential repercussions and consequences of such behaviours. Although outside the scope of this research, such questions will continually inform the culture of SL spaces and virtual worlds at large. What this issue of greatly demonstrates however, is the encroachment of real-world norms onto virtual spaces and the freedoms they represent. The divisive nature and judgement of ‘age-play’ as a practice in-world, the reactions to it by the Western media and the response by Linden Lab show the pervasion of societal standards into every facet of life, including the virtual, the representational and the imagined.

Moreover, and particularly in consideration of SL, is the reflection of capitalist practices of CL in the virtual. The presence of the Linden economy has had similar effects on the virtual residents and users as the Western globalised economy has had in the corporeal world. The Linden economy is premised on the US dollar and user-generated content, allowing “users to retain property rights and, as an effect, profits on the things they create” (Castronova, 2005, p. 167). Whilst there may be an egalitarian ethos in terms of the identity one adopts, this is still constrained by one’s capacity to buy goods and services in-world. A class system exists in which those who have the capital are able to represent themselves in a more desirable fashion as well as displaying conspicuous consumer traits such as owning land, houses and leisure goods. In addition, one is able to animate their avatar to suit, as well as purchasing more realistic skins and avatar parts. All these elements work together to produce a class system in-world that differentiates those who have mastery and agency from those who do not.

Furthermore, there is a distinct racial dominance of whiteness on the four sites visited which illustrates the racial divide in SL as well as cyberspace (see Nakamura, 2008). Remarking on inequities on the internet, Wertheim suggests that we are seeing a replication of colonialism in terms of Western, white, male affluence (1999, pp. 296-297). This is certainly the case in the spaces that were surveyed, with the notable exception being Greek Gold in its representation.

---

3 Of the ten default avatars available upon signing up to Second Life in 2011, only two were non-white. It is possible for users to change skin colour and other features within SL by buying such accessories in the SL Marketplace. However, the marketplace is dominated by white avatars and add-ons of Caucasian features.
of diverse gendered displays. Even then, the avatars encountered were all white, and notably youthful. Homi Bhabha (1998, p. 21) affirmed in his critique of whiteness that the normative position in Western society is the white position and is a strategy of authority. As such, the pervasiveness of white avatars evokes a position a social and cultural agency that is privileged and powerful. As SL tourists, performing whiteness plays out white-neo-colonial fantasies of privilege and esteem.

*Space as gendered*

Both the production and conception of space have been historically, economically and politically the domain of men. In considering spatial production, and the usage of the terminology space and spatial, it is necessary to consider the epistemological framing of space as both cultural and therefore patriarchal. Prior to the work of theorist Henri Lefebvre and other Marxist geographers of the twentieth century, space was considered more or less an objective entity, an apolitical and ahistorical element of the universe. In drawing upon Marxism, Lefebvre and his contemporaries challenged this idea, and instead postulated that space was in fact subjective with far reaching social, cultural, historical and political ramifications. Marxist geography “revolved around the need to recapture social control over the production of space from an expansive capitalism and an equally expansive and instrumentalist capitalist state” (Soja, 1989, p. 46). And, according to Lefebvre, “The very survival of capitalism... was built upon the creation of an increasingly embracing, instrumental, and socially mystified spatiality, hidden from critical view under thick veils of illusion and ideology” (Lefebvre as cited in Soja, 1989, p. 50). In view of this new critique space was found to have as many social layers as human interaction; space was indeed built upon human input and relations, and driven by social processes. Soja describes the socio-spatial dialectic as inter-related and in constant flux:

> The structure of organised space is not a separate structure with its own autonomous laws of construction and transformation, nor is it simply an expression of the class structure emerging from social (and thus aspatial?) relations of production. It represents, instead, a dialectically defined component of the general relations of production, relations which are simultaneously social and spatial. (p. 78)

Space here, is conceptualised as a patriarchal construct, as part of the capitalist and modernist paradigm, whereby it is produced according to relative political and cultural discourses. Space therefore is both produced by and for patriarchal interests that serve the hegemony, as illustrated in the core and the periphery in usage patterns such as the work/domestic binary. As an extension of Marxist geography, feminist geography seeks to position women in the
wider social and cultural contexts that encompass class conditions, but also extends this to relations outside of the privileged work (economic)/domestic (social) binary. This extension is particularly important in conceptualising the lives of queer (and other) identities that do not necessarily fulfil the ideological functions of gender as described by the white bourgeois Marxist model. In deliberation of these issues, much of the major analysis in this work is relevant to space that is more readily applicable to tourist and leisure discourse than that of work and/or domesticity. Thus, lived experience needs to consider space in a wider paradigm than that based simply on economic relations, although in this thesis it is considered as a necessary component in regards to agency within SL, as well as tourist discourse itself.

As with space, technology is primarily a masculine domain. In writing of the technological sublime, David Nye remarks, “At first the sublime was understood to be an emotion exclusive to the male sex, women being relegated to the realm of the beautiful, as in Kant’s earlier writings” (1994, p. 283). Such exclusion has reduced the potential for a feminine technological discourse and spatial configuration of the world. Nye remarks that feminisation of technology occurs upon widespread adoption, consumption and domestication; however such feminisation is post factum and, in effect, leads to the entrenchment of masculinised technological discursive practices. For cyberspace and the virtual, this has meant that both the production and conception of space as technological artifices have been continuations from the corporeal discourse of the masculine. Although there is scope within SL to produce worlds outside of the prescribed spatial conventions of capitalist conventions, the studied spaces all reflected an adherence to the dominant spatial discourses regarding architecture, movement strategies, the ‘natural’ environment and the layout of zones such as shopping, private and entertainment locations. One of the key tenets of this work is that the virtual spaces analysed on SL are lived spaces that cannot be removed from corporeal political, cultural and spatial context. The production of virtual space in the four regions, despite the possibilities for re-imagining space, is consistent with the physical world. For all its possibilities, technology is bounded by the lack of imagination of the masses – there is little imagination in a realm that simply maintains its pre-existing power. A radical shift in technology and space would require a radical shift in the organising practices of corporeal life.

Inscribed into the four regions of Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold, are the foundations of historical, cultural and political technological and spatial practice. Whilst it is unsurprising that the male-dominated regions of Apollo and Zeus reflect a masculinised spatiality it is perhaps surprising that the female-only regions of Eden and Greek Gold reflect this similar condition.
All four spaces contain areas of: landscapes of the sublime; structures of the sublime; beaches; secluded areas for intimacy; dance-floors; and shopping precincts. This consistency runs parallel with CL consumer and leisure spaces and practice, and no region makes a significant departure from it. As discussed in chapter four (tourism) this spatial structure reinforces practices of looking, practices of movement and discourses of experience that place the body as central to expression, interaction and evaluation. The self in these four SL regions is not reified as having disembodied cyborg potential, but re-inscribed as a desired corporeal extension of the real. The spatial configuration ensures an attachment to the corporeal by limiting the imagined potential for radical experience outside of our hetero-patriarchal capitalist existence.

Furthermore, this normalisation suggests a fear of an unknown future, or “a crisis of unified white masculine subjectivity” (Fuchs, 1995, p. 282) in reference to the disembodied subject. As a reaction to the perceived crises of gender, and threats to the heterosexual matrix, that seem ever more possible as those in the first and developing world progress through history and technology, there is almost always a negating force seeking to overturn potential radicalism of existence and future possibilities. The conservatism and hyper-capitalism which has spread throughout queer culture is symptomatic of a once radical subjectivity being subsumed by the greater culture through a methodical process of normalisation. That subjects in Apollo, Zeus and Eden (for the most part) embody hyper-gendered representations further exemplifies this rejection of a potential cyborg, or un-gendered, subject position as well as of a fluidly gendered and/or androgynous position. Whilst Greek Gold is representative of a space of difference in regards to gendered identity, showing a range of female embodiment from the feminine to the masculine, it is must be noted that it in many ways conforms to the conventional structures of space and capitalism. What is written into the spaces, in repudiation of cyborg un-gendered subjectivity, is the notion of desire that cannot be imagined in the absence of our corporeal being, so that the hyper-gendered identity in Apollo, Zeus and Eden operates through a highly gendered and corporeally-likened sexuality. Even in Greek Gold, which initially seems to contradict normative desire, there is some replication of conformity through fluid gendered positions precisely because they are anchored to a female subject position (that this attachment is not, and perhaps cannot be, overturned is due to the pervasiveness of gendered identity within our culture).

Experiencing femaleness in Greek Gold is both freeing and confining, illustrating the complexities and ultimate paradoxes of space itself. As noted by the feminist geographer
Gillian Rose, “There is a notion of things that are not representable in masculinist discourse, but which women themselves may sense if not articulate” (1993, p. 138) insofar as there is a need for spaces that function outside of those already prescribed. Attempting to articulate such space in practice, however, illustrates the difficulty in operating outside of this given discourse. The spatiality of Greek Gold is a tacit replication of commonly imagined leisure space. It is dominated by its large dance floor where most avatars can be found, but also includes various other leisure sub-spaces such as beaches, bars and secluded areas. It has been crafted for the tourist gaze as much as any heteronormative environment, yet it exists outside of it. There is an importance placed on the body, yet it is not for the assumed male gaze that operates omnipotently throughout space in general. There is also the very notion that the space exists for a specific subculture in a small region in SL in cyberspace, so that its very marginality precludes its importance as a space at all. This is what Rose refers to as confinement, in that women’s lives become pressed into irrelevance. The inability for women to display the freedom of gender fluidity and control of space exercised on Greek Gold in the corporeal reflects the judgement that is so swiftly cast through the gaze.

**Conclusion**
The idea that space is created is demonstrated in virtual space. As an extension of the CL, virtual spaces reveal the extent of the political consequences of the worlds we create. Even in imagining and creating utopian spaces, the spaces and our bodies within these spaces are written with prescribed meaning. The collective imaginary works on the vision of utopian environments as we bring to it a history that is pre-fabricated (as is all history) and replete with symbolic connections. It is not as if we can start with a blank slate and envision cultures completely detached from our physical present – every space becomes an extension of a pre-existing one. Imagined space speaks more of our desired future than an idealised past. It speaks of a yearning to escape the present, yet can only extend itself in a limited fashion as it is forever anchored to that which it desires to escape from. In the following chapter these notions of utopia and escapism are framed through tourist discourse, which expands the ideas of historicity, tradition and authenticity in the virtual environment.
4. Tourism: Island utopias in the virtual sun

Introduction
Second Life is highly representative of tourism in that an individual embarks on both escapism and fantasy from their CL. In evoking John Urry’s work on the tourist gaze this chapter is not only an analysis of SL spaces through Urry’s defining terms, but also an extension of a discourse about a physical phenomenon to the virtual. It is argued here that the virtual environment of SL is similar in function to CL tourist spaces due to the evocation of the gaze and the transportation to another environment, outside of the realms of work and domesticity. Urry contents that tourism “necessarily involves some movement through space, that is the journeys, and periods of stay in a new place or places” (2002, p. 12), and that places are chosen because “of intense pleasures, either on a different scale or involving different senses from those customarily encountered” (p. 3). So, although there are obvious corporeal differences in how the space is experienced, virtual environments evoke much of the same phenomena of CL travel and tourism. Moreover, Urry and Larsen (2011, p. 2) contends that “[G]azing at particular sights is conditioned by personal experiences and memories and framed by rules and styles, as well as by circulating images and texts of this and other places”. This is particularly important in the consideration of virtual space and the foundations for looking that we bring to it, as the images and texts that signify tourist sites are remembered from our own photographs of travel as well as the well-known texts and images of popular sites.

Using tourism as a discursive framework, this chapter provides not only a general analysis of the virtual as a tourist experience, but also details some of the specific phenomena of the tourist experience. The ideals of coastal travel are discussed through the symbolism of the Mediterranean as apparent on all the researched spaces, after which they are analysed utilising Gray’s (1970) motivations of ‘wanderlust’ and ‘sunlust’. Firstly, Apollo and Greek Gold are framed as spaces of wanderlust, specifically assessing the notions of authenticity and inauthenticity in drawing upon the contradictions of history and mythology, as well as the idea of liminal experience through ritualised engagement and participation in the mythological narrative of the spaces. In evoking the Mediterranean and various ‘natural’ and ‘built’ environments, the spaces show a desire for aesthetic experience as contained within the construct of authentic experience. Using Kant’s notion of the sublime, ideas of human mastery over nature are discussed, as well as the tension between the pleasure and displeasure of the virtual environment. Turning from ideas of nature to the body is the concept of virtually embodied pleasure. In contrast to the ideals of authenticity, Eden and Zeus are analysed as
sites of pleasure centred on the body, including bodily performance, hedonism and liminoid experience. As explicitly delineated as gay male and gay female spaces, they are discussed in reference to queer tourism, a burgeoning arm of the leisure industry that has particular ramifications on identity as well as bodily practice.

Viewing virtual worlds as the margins of ‘everyday’ work and domesticated experience they can be conceptualised as the new ‘pleasure periphery’ of sex, sun, sand and surf (Selanniemi, 2003). Louis Turner’s and John Ash’s tome (1975), which describes the post-war shift in leisure experience to include the masses, can be similarly utilised to describe the shift in experience from the corporeal to the virtual. The body is experienced as differently embodied on and through the screen. Similarly SL leisure, pleasure and hedonism are changed to incorporate a different sensory experience from ‘real’ travel, although still concentrated on the body as the site of meaning and desire. In the postmodern globalised world where the leisure industry has grown to include all continents and every conceivable experience, the new periphery can be found through the screen as adventure and escapism that transcend the common experience of physical travel. Within this shift however, is found a reiteration of the political fabulations of the physical tourist experience. Principally, these experiences are grounded in the pleasures of the male gaze and patriarchal agency which permeate sites of queer experience through representational practice. This has particular ramifications for queer sites, specifically those centred on female engagement, displaying a marginalisation of effective agency applied to spaces that should transcend this power structure.

The (Virtual) Tourist Gaze

SL is a world that is consumed, most often in a visual sense, and most often for pleasure. As stated by Urry, the act of looking is formulated upon difference, “in relation to its opposite, to non-tourist forms of social experience and consciousness” (2002, p. 1). As a platform intrinsically different from the realms of work, domesticity and ordinariness, SL embodies difference both in form and function. As an escapist environment, SL evokes the ideals of travel and tourism. It is a space where people can escape the everyday and ‘travel’ to experience scenery, serenity and beauty, and, importantly, deviance and the departure from acceptable social practices. Central to the tourist experience is symbolic capital, or the reduction of experience to sign value – one escapes the everyday through the consumption of tourist and leisure signification which is the site that pleasure and deviance are attributed to. Due to the high dependence on the visual in SL, this symbolic capital is both essential and necessary to the experience of departure and immersion. If one wishes to escape from CL and
its mundanities, one expects visual stimulation and excitement over and above that experienced in CL. Characteristic of the postmodern emphasis on visual culture, much of the symbolism within SL is of simulacra, a plethora of signifiers joined together to create a hyperreal experience. As will be discussed throughout this chapter, the symbolic capital of the studied regions has no essential referents, instead producing a multiplicity of meanings and often drawing upon symbols that have a tenuous place in history and culture.

The regions are spaces where residents and users of SL ‘travel’ for even greater escapism. There is an irony in SL users travelling to Apollo, for instance, from other SL regions and residences as a destination that evokes the tourist experience in a platform that is inherently escapist. Although SL is often assumed to be a place of departure from the real world of work and domesticity, there are also places of work and home-life in SL, particularly as some users spend more time in-world and use the platform as a source of income. Whilst outside the scope of this analysis, such spaces can be assumed to operate somewhat similar to work/home spaces in CL, with comparable delineations of the functioning of space dependent on the activity performed in that space. Therefore, for a SL resident who spends much of their time selling real-estate in SL, their work space could be confined to the islands which they are building, potentially spending time manipulating ‘prims’ (the building blocks of SL construction that all objects are made from) and promoting their estate/s. Unlike much of SL, Apollo, Eden and Greek Gold do not house residents or land-owners, so avatars cannot ‘live’ on the islands. Zeus however, has a residential estate that is connected to the entertainment precinct.

The underlying purpose of these SL regions, though, is for seeing and experiencing. It is this foundation of travel and visual experience that sets these islands apart from other SL regions in that they are structured for the tourist gaze. Practices of looking are privileged in the tourist experience, and experience in general, where “sight has been regarded as the noblest of senses” (Crawshaw & Urry, 1997, p. 177), so that “sight becomes highly significant in the ordering of tourist and travel discourses” (p. 178). It is unsurprising that virtual experience is dependent on the visual as the site of experience and, similar to tourism and travel practices, invites pleasure through looking. This can be either through the solitary, romantic gaze at the extraordinary, or the collective, liminal gaze for looking at others and being looked upon.

As a term initially used by Turner and Ash (1975) to describe the regions of the world that were used post-WWII as places of escape for tourists from rich Western countries, the ‘pleasure periphery’ can be expanded to encompass mass tourist practices focused on the
pleasures of the body. Centred on sun and sex, these destinations were, and still are, utilised as escapes from the everyday for hedonism and indulgence. It is now no longer necessary to physically travel to find such escapism. Virtual worlds can be considered the new pleasure periphery in which users depart from their everyday in search for sun, sex and hedonism akin to physical beach resorts. In fact, it may be possible for individuals to experience an even greater focus on individualised pleasures given the ease with which to travel virtually through different themed spaces. Behaviour within these spaces can be described as liminoid (liminal without ritual), or “those socially accepted and approved activities which seem to deny or ignore the legitimacy of the institutionalised statuses, roles, norms, values, and rules of everyday, ‘ordinary life’” (Lett, 1983, p. 45). Liminoid behaviour is often characterised by tourist behaviour and experience that, to some degree, inverts the behaviour and experience of the everyday insofar as the tourist space introduces a change in the customary behaviour of the individual and both allows and encourages other forms of identity performance and sexual practice. Or, as described by Selanniemi, “the liminoid destination encourages behaviour that would not normally occur at home” (2003, p. 25). Sites specifically based on hedonism, sexuality and sexual expression offer readily accessible zones for instant bodily gratification and interactions, including the possibility of sexual liaisons. Such interactions are heavily reliant on bodily appearance in-world and, like the physical pleasure periphery of CL coastal resorts, are moderated, and to a large degree controlled, by the male gaze.

*Evoking the Mediterranean*

In producing idealised visual environments the spaces all utilise symbolism that evokes the Mediterranean. White beaches, perfect blue skies, rocky cliffs and dramatic island mountains and coastlines are present in all of them. Interspersed with these ‘natural’ features of the landscape is the built environment of romantic enclaves, historical symbols, and structures of spectacle that dominate the ‘nature’ below. These natural features are more prevalent on Apollo and Greek Gold than on Eden and Zeus, although Zeus has these features in its residential zones. Whilst Apollo draws upon historical symbolism, in terms of its evocation of Ancient Greece, Greek Gold, Eden and Zeus suggest a more contemporary Mediterranean with their nightclubs and modern structures surrounded by the ‘natural’ landscape of beaches and mountains (this is very limited on Eden). Interestingly, Eden’s snow-capped mountains are entirely two-dimensional, without the slightest suggestion of trying to replicate anything like ‘real’ mountains. Instead, they act almost like a shield, protecting a side of the region from unwanted gazes from elsewhere whilst providing a tourist-view/backdrop, however paradoxical to its beach-side setting (see figure 4.6). Although there is a binary between
images of the snow and beach, these sites are both evocative of idealised touristic destinations, which convey a leisurely escape from the ‘everyday’ real world. Such significations, with varying degrees of mimesis, evoke nature and, more specifically, the frontiers of adventure. Their evocation of the Mediterranean, as well as European mountain-scapes, suggest an attachment to the Grand Tour of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Nature as conceived on the Tour, which continues through to today’s relationship with the natural environment, was experienced through subjective pleasure in looking. Joseph Clark (2011, p. 153) points out that this ideological framing of nature even permeates the locals’ perspectives in viewing the environment as visually consumable.

The comparison between images of the virtual environment of SL and those advertising the Mediterranean shows a consistency. Synchronous to the ‘real life’ pictures of the Mediterranean, their appearance captures the island fantasy of idyllic escapism. This synchronicity exposes the common imagery of the Mediterranean as simulacra; the form within the images of ‘the real’ and SL almost interchangeable, and both ultimately a reference to the imaginary.

Figure 4.1: Pelios, Greece
Figure 4.2: Apollo Coastline

Figure 4.1 is a photograph from the Pelios region in Greece as advertised on a tourist webpage, whilst figure 4.2 is a screenshot of Apollo’s coast. Both images evoke the symbolism of the dramatic coastline: escape, history and romance. The coastline not only encapsulates ideas of beaches and fun, but also the ancient mythology of the Mediterranean, often representing “the fringe between the known and the, often frighteningly, unknown” (Ryan, 2002, p. 156). For Apollo, as a self-contained space, the island fantasy evokes even more in terms of adventure and mystery. For the other regions however, the coast symbolises hedonism. The tourist gaze is elicited whereby the individual is invited to look upon the scene and incorporate the self as part of the historical imaginary, as well as looking at others and being looked upon.
The regions of Greek Gold (an island), Zeus (an island) and Eden (secluded from the mainland) achieve separation and security from those who are not permitted to gaze upon them - it evokes ‘islandness’ by not being at the margin, but separate from it (Sharpley, 2004, p. 23). The seclusion and the isolation are achieved differently in the locations. However, all represent “an escape wherein the person can attempt to find themselves” (Ryan, 2002, p. 157). To complement the feeling of separation from CL, there is the caveat of gateways of participation. Apollo maintains a sense of sparsity through the capabilities of the software; only a certain number of avatars can visit the island at any one time, otherwise ‘rezzing’ would be rife (see chapter five which discusses the island of Apollo at length). Eden and Greek Gold, on the other hand, maintain their exclusivity through gender (only female avatars are allowed), and Zeus through the separation of the space from the rest of the land it is attached to as well as being marketed to the gay male demographic. This isolation is critical to a sense of escape: both geographically and spatially, from one’s home and typical surroundings, and from the typical interactions and spatial configurations as found in Western cities and suburbia. That the island and coastline are so contrasted with the everyday is paramount to the imaginary; the imaginary must be what the ordinary and everyday is not. As a space of exploration and possibility, the imaginary must project an environment and spaces that encourage different ways of being, particularly in reference to hedonism, romanticism, sexuality and narratives of adventure (historicity and mythology).

According to Sharpley, the features of islands, namely “the sense of distance, exclusivity, separateness, insularity (and) tradition” (2004, p. 23) can be termed an ‘islandness’ that embodies a sense of play and fascination not found elsewhere in society, particularly Western. This ‘islandness’ elicits a type of behaviour and evokes certain cultural memories that contrast with contemporary life and social expectations. Unlike the rigid spaces of home, work and family, islands allow for an exploration of behaviour: “They are places to which tourists are often attracted by the perceived opportunities for hedonistic or unlicensed playfulness, a fantasy ‘other’ affording opportunities for activities or behaviour constrained by the customs and structures of everyday home life” (p. 23). The four regions are spaces that represent ‘islandness’ through their appeal to island symbolism and explicit permission of subversive behaviours. This ‘islandness’ is maintained principally in the following ways: the visual imagery of the island itself (its form, structure and environment), behavioural expectations and guidelines (including normative group behaviours), and the cultural expectations associated with a place defined by such visual and behavioural cues. Even in SL itself, there are places designated for certain activities, so by explicitly defining a space through symbolism and
behavioural expectations, space creators can define areas specifically as island playgrounds. Not all islands in SL are for such a purpose, as many areas are in island form simply due to their ability to be discrete and separate from other SL spaces, therefore maintaining a sense of exclusivity for purpose (educational and work spaces for instance).

The idea of the island as utopia has carried through modernity, representing an idealised frontier. Ever-present is the idea of escape and its opposite, restraint, through which utopia is conceived:

on the one hand, a free play of imagination in its indefinite expansion measured only by the desire, itself infinite, of happiness in a space where the moving frontiers of its philosophical and political fictions would be traced; on the other hand, the exactly closed totality rigorously coded by all the constraints and obligations of the law binding and closing a place with insuperable frontiers that would guarantee its harmonious functioning. (Marin, 1993, pp. 403-404)

Here, Louis Marin acknowledges Thomas More’s utopia as the dialectic coupling of both the restrained imagination and the hope of emancipation as they relate to the lived world in here (as represented in the civilised city-state) and the frontier world out there (as represented by the absence of civilisation and the built environment). First appearing as the title of Thomas More’s book, later applied to “the name of the island described in book two of _Utopia_” (p. 407), the word is also a “Latin neologism from a fictitious Greek word: _ou-topia_ or _eu-topia_”. More’s conception has carried over into the contemporary imagination whereby the Greek island, especially in relation to European hedonism, has become synonymous with the word. The island is particularly important in the imagination of utopia because it signifies a boundary between the two worlds (of civilisation and the frontier):

_Utopia_ is the figure of the horizon. If in the functioning of a city, in its structure formed by streets and dwellings, and if in the functioning of a landscape, in its partition between nature and culture, forests and fields, waters and rocks, space cannot exist without limits and frontiers, Utopia as a city or a landscape develops and displays a virtual or potential spatial order in its text, it offers to the beholder-reader an ambiguous representation, the equivocal image of significations contrary to the concept of "limit": on the one hand the synthetic unity of the same and the other, of past and future, of this world and the beyond (and the frontier would be in this case the place where conflicting forces are reconciled), and on the other hand the active tracing of differences, the indefinite fight between opposite forces (in this case the frontier would open a gap, a space "in between" that could not exist except by the encountering of violent and resisting forces). (p. 412)
It is the dialectical arrangement that defines both worlds in relation to each other. The civilised built environment of the Western city-state is replete with certainty, monotony and reason, which is opposed to the frontier of the utopic environment with its nature, ambiguity and spirituality. Utopia is the desired place of transcendence, although fleeting in its presence owing to the nature of the dialectic relationship. Ultimately utopia reveals itself primarily as a psychological state—its brief temporality holds transcendence as a transient phenomenon catalysed by some bodily sensation of presence.

The frontier in the post-modern world has shifted from the tangible period of exploration and imperialist conquest of cyberspace and the infinite possibility of space itself (Marin, 1993, p. 406). The SL spaces represent two overarching motivations of tourist consumption that seek different forms of utopia: that which centres on the body and that which seeks transcendence or authenticity. These two categorisations draw largely upon Gray’s motivations, defined as ‘sunlust’ and ‘wanderlust’ (1970). As elaborated by Markwick, ‘sunlust’ “may be more appropriately reconceptualised as the ever more extensive and intensive search for the exoticism of ‘the pleasure periphery’ (rather than merely a search for better amenities and climate elsewhere)” (2001, p. 421). ‘Wanderlust’ however, can be categorised as a search for the authentic, in terms of ritual, the sacred, and myth, that often evokes the romantic through historicism. It is the search for the ‘other’ in terms of travel from the domestic space of alienation in search of some authenticity grounded in history, culture and myth. Central to the utopic journey is the search for authenticity as one seeks out experience and space that are closer to some truth than that of the lived world back there.

The (In)Authentic
Of the four regions, two can be considered as providing an experience of authenticity, although for different reasons. Authenticity, as applied to travel and tourism has two key interpretations. The first is in “MacCannell’s concept of tourism as the pursuit of staged authenticity”, in a search for history and culture that is unspoilt (Brown, 1996, p. 37). Apollo can be considered a destination that offers this sort of authenticity in that it appeals to historicity and mythology through its environment (Ancient Greek structures) and behavioural codes (having mostly single to small groups of avatars rather than large groups of avatars as found on other regions). However, and as argued by Hillman, this does not conform to the more recent conception of authentic tourism “in the sense of an unaltered product” (2007, p. 5) that posits authenticity as external to the individual. Moreover, as argued by Brown, the search and ultimate transformation of the space due to the search for the authentic and
unspoilt, gradually transforms it into a consumable destination of the masses. Alternatively, authenticity in travel can denote the journey to find one’s true self. Described as liminality, this search seeks a transcendence catalysed through discovery of place that elicits a new knowledge of self in what could be considered paradise. Greek Gold appeals to the search for the authentic within the self by offering an environment of tolerance and acceptance that allows for the expression of a desired self.

As elaborated in chapter five, Apollo draws upon Ancient Greek mythology for its structural artefacts and environment, but also, and very significantly, for its symbolism of gender and sexuality. Unlike Eden, a lot of detail has gone into the production of Apollo as a place that extends symbolism into a form of authentic experience. This experience relies heavily on cultures of history and mythology to evoke the authentic as offered through Ancient Greek signification and symbolism in their most mass produced and recognisable forms. Mass production of form is obviously antithetical to the authentic, but this is not just a paradox of authenticity-seeking in the virtual realm: it can be argued that any tourism, whether virtual or corporeal, ultimately seeks to consume ideas of history and culture in ‘staged authenticity’. Therefore, as Harkin notes (1995, p. 652), the tourist experience, especially of and by the West, is centred on a hyper-reality of the visual and the simulacra of form, where “authenticity and inauthenticity (the genuine and the fake) feed off each other in dialectical fashion” (Brown, 1996, p. 37). Indeed it may be that the virtual is a more authentic experience of the authentically hyper-real, in that it dissolves any misapprehension about authenticity based in actual truth, in that this dissolution of truth (or the ultimate revelation that our lives are not based on any notion of objective truth) is the most authentic experience possible when history and culture are accounted for.

Potentially, what is more important in terms of authenticity is not the space itself as a true marker of ‘real’ experience, but the idea of lived experience (phenomenological) as precipitated by signification. That the various cultural and historical markers are very obviously not physically real is no hindrance to what they represent in their implication for ways of being and experiencing. In the space of ‘historicity’ on Apollo, it is the signification of the historical that implies the myth and the narrative of that space. This ultimately allows for the individual to experience the space as part of that narrative, rather than simply viewing a place of history; the very virtual-ness of the experience allows for more direct participation into the imaginary of the space, and the history and mythology it represents. For example, rather than simply viewing the ancient column structures (figure 4.3), the individual is prompted to participate in
the narrative of which they are part (figure 4.4). Rather than simply being part of the scenery or being static in view of the landscape, one may participate with others in acting out what it means to be part of the place.

Figure 4.3: Capri coastline, Italy  
Figure 4.4: Apollo Harbour

From this perspective it can be argued that spaces like Apollo are a different type of tourist experience, in that they elicit an experience akin to that of participating in the imagined place of historicity. So, rather than simply evoking the historical gaze, Apollo encourages participation as part of the narrative of history. Furthermore, and as explained by Joseph Clark (2011), the choice to re-create natural and built features that signify the corporeal demonstrates an unshakeable attachment to the physical, historical and cultural world – we do not necessarily wish to fulfil a post-human future of detachment (145 -146).

Participation in the narrative, similar to the ritualised journey of the tourist, is part of the escape from the domestic and work spaces of the corporeal environment. This concept is described by Harkin:

> The specific content of the exotic may vary, but it always represents an alternative to domestic experience and discourse. The exotic is highly cathected, especially as a category of psychosexual otherness. The potential proliferation of new social meanings created by the exotic is dialectically opposed by domestic ideological structures, structures that play an important role in tourism. (1995, p. 656)

The exotic in the virtual, prompted by visual signification, has the same effect as the exotic in corporeal tourist practices and evokes behaviours assumed by the exotic, having a phenomenological effect. Experience becomes exoticised, as part of the dialectic of otherness, which the self engages in, and often becomes a part of. Similarly, the exotic is part of the practice of cultural differentiation whereby the self may discover authenticity through otherness. As described by Harkin (1995, p. 666), this process is similar to anthropology in that a culture is reified in comparison with others. Although mostly used in theory to discover and
compare discrete and whole cultures and societies, it is possible to appropriate this concept of authenticity to use in the analysis of the self-subject, where cultures are seen not as discrete units but heterogeneous and fluid. Here, authenticity is located at the site of the individual, where exposure to a different culture and mythology, and the participation in a narrative of otherness, can precede a knowledge of self similar to liminal engagement. In a phenomenological sense, the experience of participation within the narrative allows for a cognitive shift in the self, and is reflected through experiences of, and about, the body.

In addition to the exoticisation of culture is the romanticisation of the virtual landscape. As described by Barthes, the desire for the picturesque has been held as an ideal bourgeois reason for travel, as epitomised in the Grand Tour of the British aristocracy. He explains:

We find again here this bourgeois promoting of the mountains, this old Alpine myth (since it dates back to the nineteenth century) which Gide rightly associated with the Helvetic-Protestant morality and which has always functioned as a hybrid compound of the cult of nature and of Puritanism (regeneration through clean air, moral ideas at the sight of mountain-tops, summit climbing as civic virtue, etc.). (2000, p. 74)

Of the four spaces, Apollo more than the others represents landscapes of untamed nature, offering the most ‘realistic’ imagery of a mountain wilderness, or depictions of the sublime. Forming part of the search for authenticity, Kant’s notion of the sublime describes not only our relationship with nature but also, and most importantly, our superiority over it. The produced virtual environment iterates the idea of human mastery over the natural environment and our feelings of power and rationality over nature. The virtual environment illustrates Kant’s idea of the sublime as existing only within the mind, and not within objects themselves, as well as questioning the dominion of nature. Using Kant’s notion of ‘negative liking’ as applied to the virtual landscape, the spaces show that we can experience a “mixture of pain and pleasure” (Guyer, 2006, p. 322) feeling pleasure in nature’s replication but also displeasure in that the nature created is not ‘real’ and therefore has no dominion. The dynamical sublime that we experience here is slightly different from that evoked by the natural environment, displacing “the element of fear and pain at the thought of our own physical injury or destruction” (Guyer, 2006, p. 322) where we ultimately feel powerful in the rationality of the threat, and is the idea of power we feel at mastering the threat of nature in that we have become its ultimate creator. The idea of creating, witnessing and playing within the extraordinary enhances our illusion of superiority whilst exposing us to the extraordinary. It can be argued that the (CL) mountains and wilderness, indeed all landscapes of the sublime, have become banal to the Western imagination by being so accessible and widely visited. Elements of mystique have all
but disappeared due to mass tourism and the feeling of initial inferiority as described through
Kant’s dynamical sublime has largely been surpassed through our physical mastery of the
environment (even the most inhospitable places have become tourist attractions in recent
times). Chris Rojek argues that “the evaporation of physical distance eliminates the sense of remoteness which is the essential requirement in perpetuating the authority of the auratic object (because) through ease of contact via mass reproduction, the object becomes just another found object in the showplace of mass culture” (1997, p. 59). In this erosion of the extraordinary in terms of travel as predicated by mass culture, extraordinary experience is sought elsewhere. New spaces of exploration are sought, as are new means of travel. Virtual travel, whilst still reliant on the invocation of history and mythology of the culture from which it is derived, allows for participation in newly formed narratives of the extraordinary.

The type of space visited elicits a different form of extraordinary experience from the
everyday, whether this is as part of a liminal/transcendent (Apollo), or liminoid/hedonistic (Eden), journey and that these differences depend very much on the structure, as well as allowed behaviours and actions, of the space itself. The examples below (figures 4.5 & 4.6) show that on Apollo it is possible to journey through the ‘wilderness’, whilst Eden’s two-dimensional representation does not allow such participation. This difference in form imparts a very different experience.

It can be argued that, due to the capabilities of participation allowed within the structure of the spaces, Apollo is a wanderlust destination, whereas Eden is principally structured around experiences of the body. The space of Eden is framed by romantic imagery, evoking it at a distance, a mere reflection of possibility than participation. Apollo, on the other hand, invites participation as part of a romantic/Romantic narrative. Apollo, as completely separate from other SL regions, invites individuals to be part of the ritual of finding themselves, and of
reflection, through the capability of embodied movement. It is what Nelson Graburn (1983) would describe as a ritualised tourist experience, or an invitation for individuals to partake in an experience of potential transcendence of the self. Through the adoption of another, or many other, role/s the individual is free to explore and to participate in the (new) mythology of the space; on Apollo, this could be potentially any identity aligned with the Classical period of beauty, sexuality and hedonism (although, as described in the next chapter, such identities would have to be in keeping with normative representation).

A potential (and obvious) criticism however, is that virtual spaces are implicitly inauthentic due to their existence outside of our normative corporeal environment and senses, and therefore cannot deliver any ‘truth’ about our corporeal reality; that, due to the visual replication of signifiers, places in SL can no more deliver an authentic experience than can a popular text drawing upon mass-produced imagery of a mythologised past. However, such inauthenticity runs parallel with all conceptions of history and, as in the case of Apollo, a romantic mythologisation of the Classical world that, in essence, can never really be uncovered. As detailed in chapter five, Apollo evokes much of Ancient Greece in symbolism and discourses of presentation and behaviour. However, it also evokes the tourist gaze through participation in the Classical world, as one travels through and interacts with the space. Any access to a possible ‘truth’ of the Classical is removed, leaving authenticity at the site of the individual subject. It is not only Ancient Greece that is depicted on Apollo, as there are referents to the Mediterranean as a whole, underlying the space’s ability to evoke not only a single culture of the Classical world, but spanning various mythologies. For instance, a designated area for dancing on Apollo called Salsa y Boleros (translated loosely to ‘dance and music’), signifying Spanish-ness through dance, is situated on a raised platform overlooking an idealised seascape.

Figure 4.7: Salsa y Boleros, Apollo
That elements of Spanish-ness are included on the island of Apollo appears to conflict with the majority of the island’s structures which connote Ancient Greece. However, it must be understood in the broader context of Classical-ness that the island seeks to evoke. Through a pastiche of signs and signifiers, such representation is “‘healthier’, that is, less ideologically deceptive, when they draw attention to their arbitrariness and do not appear as innocent, unmotivated, eternal or natural” (Duncan & Duncan, 1992, p. 19). So, the ‘staged authenticity’ of tourist practice becomes entirely transparent revealing itself as an obvious cultural replication.

As an entirely fabricated mythological environment Apollo can be considered more authentic than many corporeal tourist sites as it deconstructs the illusion of history and uncovers the cultural foundation that makes all experience mediated: perhaps inauthentic to ‘truth’, but authentic to the self. Barthes argues in Mythologies that travel and tourism have an effect on the sign value of environmental and historical features, a point expanded upon by James and Nancy Duncan who state that there may be an “‘overpowering’ or even ‘masking’ the ‘real’ spectacle of human life and history and simultaneously providing an illusion of cultural stability and continuity” (1992, p. 20). Becoming a part of a mythology on Apollo however, is not the same as ‘buying effort’, as Barthes attributes to the bourgeois sightseer reliant on paying others for means of travel and cultural experience. In contrast to this position it can be argued that participating in SL consumes much time and effort from a participant, not only to learn the features of the platform, but also in terms of avatar investment; participating in SL is certainly not passive. It is also unlike the ‘staged authenticity’ of tourist centres and attractions, whereby cultures enact authentic experiences for the tourist, as is often derided by academics and theorists:

The tourist experience that comes out of the tourist setting is based on inauthenticity and as such it is superficial when compared with careful study. It is morally inferior to mere experience. A mere experience may be mystified, but a tourist experience is always mystified. The lie contained in the tourist experience, moreover, presents itself as a truthful revelation as the vehicle that carries the onlooker behind false fronts into reality. (MacCannell, 1999, p. 102)

Apollo is not a staged environment in the same way that tourist sites stage experiences for tourists: in that it does not represent the real of history or culture, or ‘genuine fakes’ as described by Brown (1996). It is similar to Disney and Las Vegas in its obviousness, yet successfully provides escapism through the imagination of the consumer (see Gottdiener, 1995). It is a fully artificial and fabricated environment that signifies a mythology of the
Classical period, but not in any historical sense. Rather, it represents a set of behavioural codes and performative evocations through imagery, symbolism and norms. As noted by Cohen “travelling for pleasure (as opposed to necessity) beyond the boundaries of one’s life-space assumes that there is some experience ‘out there’, which cannot be found within the life-space, and which makes travel worthwhile” (Cohen, 1979, p. 182). Therefore, the authentic may not lie in the destination itself, but in the experience evoked by the journey to and through that space.

It is possible to view this form of authenticity as a type of liminality, a transition over a threshold or journey of transcendence, usually in search for a more authentic ‘centre’. Cohen describes the search for a ‘centre’ as something that came about in archaic times, “when a powerful mythological imagery locates the ‘real’ centre in another place, beyond the limits of the empirical world, a ‘paradise’ beyond the surrounding chaos” (1979, p. 182). In developing Turner’s (1977) concept of liminality as applied to tourism, Graburn emphasises the changes experienced in societal and cultural norms, as a common aspect of tourism:

During this period (ie. after the preliminaries, while game is in progress, while the tourist is away from home, at leisure away from the workplace) the social and moral structure of the group is changed. The social patterns are different from the normal, sometimes including reversals of roles, in this period which Turner characterizes as antistructure. The content of the social relations is no longer normative, hierarchical and distant, but close and egalitarian, a state that he calls communitas: in communitas, which may be spontaneous, or normative (expected) or even ideological (e.g., forced by a church, government, party, or even by the staff of a holiday camp), symbols of rank and status may be shed and people feel they are communicating with each other as individual persons rather than as performers of roles. (1983, p. 14)

This is similar to what Cohen describes as the ‘existential mode’ of tourism, in which the individual seeks out immersion into an ‘elective centre’. The tourist:

will live in two worlds: the world of their everyday life, where they follow their practical pursuits, but which for them is devoid of deeper meaning; and the world of their ‘elective centre’, to which they will depart on periodical pilgrimages to derive spiritual sustenance. (1979, p. 190)

The notion of communitas is illustrated on Greek Gold where the prescribed social relations of the space are far removed from the everyday work/domestic sphere of the corporeal. The idea of transcendence in paradise is evoked through the idea that one can be one’s true self, stripped of the inauthentic expressions and symbols that may characterise their performed or unreal self. The decentred, virtual embodied self travels to the space for “spiritual sustenance”
and the reaffirmation of their non-normative self which may be in part lost through the everyday. Not everyone who participates in the SL regions is actively seeking such a liminal experience, however. Experiences that are more ‘recreational’ (and less transcendent) to use another of Cohen’s five modes of tourism, are often centred around the body: interaction, performance and hedonism.

The body

Whether travel’s major purpose is purely recreational in nature (less authentic) or liminal (more authentic), the body is central to experience. The body is both the site of pleasure as well as the centre of meaning. In a world heavily reliant on visual imagery, bodily representation becomes one of the principal means of communication. This parallels strongly with sun-lust or recreational tourist experiences that centre on the body as a site of pleasure and performance. According to Markwick coastal tourist imagery is “focused on the body”, with “images of the body typically embedded within coastal scenes depicting leisure pursuits associated with the sun and fun of mass tourist activities” (2001, p. 424). Eden, Zeus and Greek Gold (which also double as spaces of liminal experience) are examples of destinations focused on the body typical of a coastal resorts. More so than Apollo, which evokes the picturesque and the private, the other spaces are set up for pleasure, particularly public pleasure. They are spaces “about the body-as-seen, displaying, performing and seducing visitors with skill, charm, strength, sexuality and so on” (Urry, 2002, p. 156). Pleasure is a display, with the environments set up for sexualised performance. The body in tourist spaces is experienced differently from in the home environment; the body is central to experience rather than being passive in the home and work environment. Bodily experience, specifically hedonism, is often acted out through club and beach environments where the body is the centre of display and the performance of one’s body is the basis for interaction. In a study on British youths’ tourist experiences of sun destinations, Diken and Laustsen contend that “the ‘exceptional’ life of the tourist in Ibiza or Faliraki is not simply external to civilization. Rather, the tourist now occupies a threshold in which life and law, outside and inside, civilization and state of nature become indistinct” (2004, p. 101). The appeal of this ‘exceptional life’ is a permission to do as one pleases.

According to Wearing, Stevenson and Young “there exists a subversive hegemonic bias towards masculine tourist experiences” (2010, p. 66), where pleasure is defined as a masculine outcome:
Studies of leisure and tourism experiences of both males and females indicate that sex-specific activities contribute to societal definitions of male and female identity, thus in many ways producing a conformity to gender stereotypes and acting as a restrictive rather than liberating influence on identity (Aitchison, 2001, 2005; Samdahl, 1992). Richter (1995) found that the linking of tourism with sex is rampant in marketing; for instance, souvenirs often promote women as sex objects, and destination attractions remain male-dominated preserves. (p. 67)

The male gaze operates in different ways in the four spaces considered. On Apollo, the male gaze is normative: avatars appear gendered, often hypergendered, and are often found in heterosexual couplings. Many spaces on the island, such as the chapel or the secluded area of the island (figure 4.8), are used as spaces for romantic liaisons of heterosexual coupled avatars.

![Figure 4.8: Romantic seclusion, Apollo](image)

![Figure 4.9: The Castle, Eden](image)

Similarly Eden, although a female-only space, is regulated by the male gaze even in its overt absence. As discussed in Chapter seven (on the discussion of the female body on Eden), the hypergendered form of many of the female avatars and the possibility of infiltration (by males in drag), in conjunction with the heteronormative design and structure of the space in reference to commercial sexualisation (figure 4.9), signals the covert presence of male desire. This desire is both explicit and celebrated on the site of Zeus, where the gaze changes to include the male body as the object of pleasure, but is used as a means of opposition on Greek Gold, whereby female gendered and sexual expression can be seen as liberating only in opposition to, and through the absence of, the male gaze.

With the exception of Apollo, which is offered as an all-inclusive space to visit, the spaces analysed are specifically designated queer spaces, meaning they are specific sites for alternative sexualities. They are attractive for reasons similar to that of CL queer spaces in that they offer safe seclusion for sexual expression outside of normative culture. However, they are somewhat different to urban queer zones and gay ghettos in that they offer a tourist
experience: travel to another place removed from the local where one can meet individuals from all over the world in a paradise setting, similar in structure to CL gay travel destinations (beaches, bars and clubs, and secluded zones for sex). As noted by Waitt and Markwell, the nightclub environment is persistent in queer travel discourse due to the sanctuary offered by the secluded environment:

On the mainland the nightclub offers the gay traveller a controlled safer environment, free from potential homophobia on the other side of the boundaries drawn by its doors. Inside, gay tourists are assured a familiar space where they can be themselves. The nightclub provides a place for the enactment of global gay identities through the presence of same-sex attracted people, bars, dance floor, music, gay porn video booths, and back rooms for sex. (2006, p. 117)

It is unsurprising then that gay spaces in SL often have dance clubs as a primary part of the experience. Clubs not only provide a condensed space for avatars to mingle and view each other closely, they also signify bodily performance, hedonism and, in particular, casual sex. The link between dance clubs and sex is often assumed, particularly in the gay community. This connotation with the gay community arose out of necessity for appropriate meeting places since the 1950's when individuals had to be far more discreet about their sexuality, and has since been replicated often in mass cultural representations of gay life. Outside of the club, the beaches, gardens and other zones follow the discursive structure of gay travel media, and can be easily identified as queer. Uses of gay signifiers abound, from rainbow flags, to half naked bodies, to advertisements for sexual services and products. This subversive sexual discourse however, continues to operate “within the structures of white heteropatriarchy rather than as a critique or a challenge” (p. 124) and continues to reinforce performance and interaction regulated through and by the male gaze. Zeus, for instance, with its reinforcement of commercialisation and its focus on the muscular and assertive male body, affirms the heteropatriarchy of male dominance and control, signalling a normative representation of form within a matrix of expression that mirrors heterosexual conduct and power.

Unlike gay male tourism, lesbian tourism has been minimally reported and researched, “with very little academic literature existing about gay women and lesbians in terms of travel and tourism” (Waitt & Markwell, 2006, p. 33). According to Puar, the gay female statistic is made invisible by the overarching categorisation of ‘gay’ that groups male and female homosexuals together, often disguising the disproportionate number of gay male to gay female tourists (2003, p. 938). Waitt and Markwell speculate that this may be due to the following factors:
it is likely that lesbians shoulder the burden of raising children more often than do gay men; that their income levels are on average lower than gay men’s; and that they also are more susceptible to subtle and not-so-subtle forms of discrimination stemming from both sexism and homophobia than are gay men. (p. 33)

If these factors, speculated upon by Waitt and Markwell, are indeed valid, then the virtual platform may be a way to circumvent these barriers to lesbian tourist experience, given that the limiting factors stated are of a geographical and economic nature. So, if it is not possible for a gay woman to escape the confines of her domestic/work space due to either domestic or financial impasses, or if she is too anxious about travel due to a fear of discrimination, then the virtual platform may provide a means of touring other gay/queer/lesbian spaces outside of those in immediate geographical reach. Spaces such as Eden and Greek Gold, through allowing only female avatars, can be very welcome environments for women who have been harassed, or are fearful of harassment, due to either their gender or sexuality. Although there is the possibility for men to pose as female avatars in order to access the spaces, moderators are able to remove any avatar acting in an unsuitable manner. However, it is still possible for men to access the site if they behave within the guidelines imposed by the site, making it impossible to ensure that all avatars are being controlled by CL females.

Given the gendered nature of the environments, it is interesting to analyse the role of the body as presented on Eden within the parameters of tourist discourse. The Eden environment has been constructed for the pleasures of the body, in line with the bodily emphasis seen in coastal resorts such as the Mediterranea: there are beaches to sunbathe on and passively display the body; there are shops to purchase items to adorn and present the body; there is a nightclub and dance-floor to actively engage in bodily interaction and the active gaze; and there are lounges for more intimate forms of bodily interaction and activity.
According to Markwick, “Such imagery draws heavily on the popularly supposed oppositions of work and leisure” where the binary is “played out in the imagery focused on relatively passive forms of leisure such as sunbathing” (2001, p. 424). The replication of the work/leisure binary in a virtual space is consistent with the desire to immerse the self in a space symbolic of pleasure; even if an individual is stuck in their work/home environment, it is possible to ‘get away from it all’ through the computer. In Eden however, what is notable for a female-only and lesbian environment, is the replication of heteronormative bodily symbolism that encourage both passive and active forms of looking and being looked at. Here, the tourist gaze becomes centred on bodily performance, akin to that in heterosexual and gay male spaces such as Zeus. Whilst it may be possible that individuals hyper-gender their avatars in Eden to ensure that they are seen as female in a female-only environment, it is more probable that such appearances are due to fantasy and desire replicating mass consumption practices; bodily performance is of the desirable fantasy self which is open to eroticisation and the potential for sexual exchange. The self in an environment such as Eden is underpinned by its sexual use-value, with visual signification as the currency of this exchange. As discussed in chapter seven (on the discussion of the female body on Eden) however, this hyper-gendered form of femininity is problematic in terms of the potential of infiltration by ‘males in drag’ as well as diluting lesbian performativity to more acceptable and normative performances. Whilst Eden can be viewed as an extension of the heterosexual matrix, Greek Gold is unique as a gendered and sexualised space constructed for the female gaze. Unlike the normatively presented and hypergendered representations of females (and males) on the spaces of Apollo, Eden and Zeus, the representations on Greek Gold are far more diverse with a fluidity of gender expression attached to the female subject. This diversity and opposition to the established male gaze of space links the region most readily to ideas of Lesbos and Sparta and to notions of returning to a nostalgic, mythical past.

There is an importance, even in the increasing acceptability of alternative sexualities globally, for there to be spaces designated as queer. Alongside the marketing and commercial aspects of tailoring to a specific market segment, such spaces are enriching for gay individuals by offering identity, safety and community. This is discussed by Pritchard, Morgan and Sedgley who contend that gay and lesbian spaces are empowering for the homosexual community, but notes they are becoming “subject to heterosexual attack, either via abuse or encroachment leading to the dilution and erosion of gay and lesbian spaces and identities” (2007, p. 276). The potential for ‘dilution’ of queer space by heterosexual interlopers can happen covertly, through the CL male embodiment of a female avatar on Eden or Greek Gold, or less covertly
through heterosexual presence on Eden, Greek Gold or Zeus. According to Casey, there is a recent trend for heterosexuals to visit queer spaces, suggesting a greater recognition of queer lives, but also illustrating the fragility of such spaces in terms of identity and solidarity:

Perhaps one of the most recent developments that not only reflects the commercial success, but also the continued fluidity and fragility of spaces within the ‘gay village’, is their increasing popularity among heterosexual visitors and tourists, acting as attractive spaces to enter and consume. (2004, p. 448)

Such fluidity may not reflect an acceptance of alternative sexualities however, as it may be that heterosexuals are entering queer environments in order to view the performance of the ‘other’: in a sense a theatrical performance of the exotic. As detailed in chapter seven (discussing Eden), such spaces are becoming cosmopolitan tourist spectacles for heterosexuals, particularly heterosexual females who are able to use the spaces to get away from the male gaze whilst consuming queer (particularly gay male) culture as a fashionable and exotic experience. Such dilution can be problematic for gay spaces in general, but poses specific problems for queer female space. Heterosexual touring of Eden for instance, can take on a more inauspicious characteristic with primary reasons for visiting the space being either surveillance of the ‘other’ or a desire to participate in a hetero-patriarchal fantasy of lesbian sex, thus undermining the site’s relevance as a female-only lesbian venue. The evocation of the gaze, and the centrality of the sexualised body within a lesbian environment, is a cultural shift away from the conservatism often associated with gay female culture and its notions of deeply emotional and monogamous relationships. Whether the hetero-patriarchal structuring of space and the elicitation of the sexualised gaze, typified by Eden, is in fact empowering for the lesbian community is questionable. On the one hand it can be empowering for women to be the consumer of the sexualised gaze; however on the other it may be that such a gaze remains chiefly in the power of the heterosexual patriarch.

Conclusion
This chapter has described the SL environment of Apollo, Zeus, Eden, and Greek Gold, as tourist spaces. This is due to their conception as escapist, being either liminal, liminoid or both. Principally, the action within these spaces is reliant on the pleasures of looking (the gaze) as well as the presentation of the desired body. Practices of looking are premised on the environment and landscape as symbolic, mimicking the ancient Mediterranean and its cultures. It is through this imagining that the liminal can be reached as in the corporeal journey of transcendence. That transcendence may be possible requires a re-thinking of our
understanding of authenticity and what it means in regards to the virtual environment – the natural word and the sublime are analysed in relation to this phenomenon in the next chapter. On the other hand, the liminoid is easier to behold within the SL environment given that avatars commonly display desired (Western) attributes of the body. It is this focus on the body, and its pleasures, that is most commonly associated with SL and the four regions studied, in particular Eden and Zeus. Anonymity and desired virtual embodiment work together to produce a space that is a perfect periphery of liminoid behaviour. The sun, sex, sand and sea of CL are reproduced through the screen with similar results that reaffirm gender and sexual politics. How gender and sexuality are both constructed and consumed is discussed in detail in the next four chapters which explore each region in depth.
5. The Lost Gardens of Apollo: Intersections in space, nature and mythology

Introduction

The Lost Gardens of Apollo (Apollo) is an island region that is visually spectacular, featuring mountains, gardens, ornamental buildings and stunning vistas. It is a space that readily evokes Western notions of paradise. By combining visual splendour and fantasy there is a reinscription of power predicated on the Western corporeal tradition. Space and nature are framed by historical and cultural conceptions of masculinity that assert patriarchal assumptions. This chapter is an analysis of the island of Apollo through the major categories of space, nature and mythology that reveal a place that is highly masculine and patriarchal in representation.

Apollo’s spatial practices reveal a similarity with the corporeal even in the knowledge and practice of different forms of embodiment and movement. Space within the virtual is obviously produced, making the power intrinsic to the virtual environment arguably more visible – there is little scope for falling back on natural and essentialist assumptions of the world. Similarly, the natural landscape as represented on Apollo (its mountains, gardens, flora and fauna) is evoked in much the same way as natural features in the CL environment, and so elicits an emotional and mental affect much as the Kantian notion of sublime works in corporeal nature. Exceeding the initial application of Kant’s sublime, the virtual landscape of Apollo traverses several categorisations of the sublime in being dynamic, technological and digital. Mythology intersects with concepts of nature and space to generate meaning on the island. The overt mythology of Apollo is grounded in the Ancient Grecian ideal of masculinity, male beauty and privilege, and the potential for male-centred and homophilic (same-sex) sexuality. This potential however, produces a tension on Apollo: that of an open and proud homosexual identity and one that is hidden, codified by the consumerist practices of the postmodern Western world. Arguably, it is the latter condition that remains the more powerful and ultimately more visible in its invisibility, subsuming a homosocial and homoerotic otherness within a hyper-masculinised and heterosexually assumed identity. The modality of visual culture here is investigated through the representational aspects of identity, in analysing the body as object and as potentially subversive.

Space

According to spatial theorists working in the fields of cultural theory (such as Lefebvre, Foucault, Soja, and Massey) space is produced; it is a social construction that both acts and affects subjects whilst being acted upon in return. Ideas about space as produced are easily applicable and understandable in the context of virtual worlds such as SL, which presents
consciously produced environments. Whilst it may require a certain active consciousness to identify the power relations of supposedly objective space in the corporeal world, it is difficult in the virtual to sustain such a false-consciousness. Space, as we perceive it, is a creation that is bounded by social, political, historical and cultural influence. According to Prigge, the analysis of space in reference to symbolism and representation can illuminate the significance of space production and reception as politically contextual, destabilising the idea of a naturalised space:

> If social power is symbolized in the appropriation of space, the significance of such spatialization is revealed only through an analysis of these relations as relations of meaning... How the imaginary and the symbolic character of the spatial is historically inscribed in social contexts remains concealed, therefore, if it is not theoretically reconstructed as a real object of discursive and symbolic practice and therewith recognized as a specific form of constituting the social. Such an analysis must clarify the daily dominance of spatial concepts as the discursive hegemony of spatial modes of speech. (Prigge, 2008, p. 48)

In the constructed space of Apollo, space is obviously symbolic. Meaning on the island is produced through relational signifiers that draw upon the social practices of corporeal existence. Furthermore, these practices are specifically laden with power relations, so that power is constituted both through and within spatial practices that mirror CL. It is these reflective spatial modes, ones it could be said that enact ‘real’ experiences, which reinforce the discursive power structures in-world. As a virtual space, Apollo is defined through the corporeal and is representative of the cultural paradigms from which it promises an escape. The rules of the ‘real’, of the everyday, are inscribed upon the virtual, in a fantasy world that is as much anchored in discursive practice as the daily life of corporeal existence.

There are explicit ways in which Apollo can be used, in the sense that it is experienced, that are aligned with the intentions of the creator and administrators of the island space. In addition, there are usages that are implicit, or governed by the conventions of space as experienced in the corporeal realm. For instance, there are many pathways that lead an avatar around the island for the purposes of exploration or sightseeing. In addition, there are structures and features of the island that lend themselves to spatial practice in a similar way to which corporeal spaces are experienced. On teleporting to the island, one’s avatar is positioned at Hyacinth Valley, from where a number of garden pathways lead out towards various landmarks on the island.
To take these paths as a basis for exploration is to utilise the space as it has been inscribed, as dictated and governed by the normative practices of movement. These practices are well established in the corporeal, in what de Certeau describes as a Foucauldian apparatus of power: “The pathway could be inscribed as a consequence, but also as the reciprocal, of Foucault’s analysis of the structures of power”, so that “spatial practices in fact secretly structure the determining conditions of social life” (1984, p. 96). On Apollo, spatial practice, and thus social life, is governed by corporeal discourse. How an avatar uses the space is closely aligned to the real, with the exceptions being the forms of movement that depart from ‘realistic’ spatial appropriation, such as teleporting or flying.

As a result, action and interaction in the virtual realm is bounded by the very restraints it promises an escape from. As much as the utopian view promises such escape, it is not possible to view virtual space as a separate space from the corporeal; they symbiotically act on each other. This is not to say that virtual space cannot be emancipatory or exist at the margins of possibility, for it is this very marginal existence that presents the virtual as so attractive to so many. Furthermore, it is the lack of concreteness and exactness of the space that allows for reflection and different modes of engagement with the world and the self, and such re-imaginations can be considered as having social, cultural and political significance. As explained by Prigge, virtual spaces can provide a departure point for the reification of the self:

Ideological confrontations in everyday life seek to increase the(ir) respective room to maneuver and the(ir) articulation of interests by shifting the frontiers between dominant and dominated spaces. One possibility for increasing this room to maneuver lies in aesthetic spatial practices, where the power of images can reach beyond set frontiers towards utopias free of dominance. This allows people to imagine possibilities banished from the realm of ideology. (2008, p. 54)

The possibilities of new and different aesthetic spaces allows for a reinvestment in the inscription of the self in relation to the aesthetic environment. One does not simply have to accept the status quo, and/or the prevailing attitudes and norms, of the pre-existing corporeal
world. Consciousness necessarily shifts to encompass new ways of being, as ontological and phenomenological tropes of the self are elicited through virtual embodiment and experience outside of those bounded by the corporeal. It is not possible, however, for the self to be fully removed from the structures of the corporeal: “one is not therefore in the realm of truth and outside ideology, for there are no true and non-ideological forms of knowledge” (Prigge, 2008, p. 54). Thus, virtual spaces may be at the margins of ideology, where the imaginary and corporeal intersect in symbiotic exchange. As the example of Apollo shows, the corporeal is consistently signified in the representations of landscapes and nature, reinscribing notions of power onto the environment. The imaginary, both as protagonist and antagonist to existing power relations, often draws upon ideas of myth and mythology to iterate meaning.

The Natural World and the Sublime
To describe a virtual landscape as ‘natural’ seems entirely contradictory: the natural world is considered to be that which we can touch and feel: not made by us, but detached. However, as described by Rod Giblett, there are classifications of nature that encompass a spectrum of conceived nature, and which include the hyper-real of image and simulation. Describing the degrees that separate the natural object from the indigenous environment, he classifies the hypermodern mediated environment as ‘fourth nature’, where first nature is indigenous and the proceeding classifications are shifts away from this state (2011, p. 23). Apollo is certainly not natural in terms of the first nature classification, and most readily fits into the fourth nature definition, or “‘the new nature’ of the ‘feral future’” (Giblett, 2011, p. 23) which promises real-time access to everything. The immediacy and acceleration of landscapes, of fourth nature, have been part of our globalised world where communications infrastructure has allowed for the transmission of exotic and sublime images into the recesses of the everyday. Nature is no longer something that is out there – mass accessibility has come about through the creation of desire (nature as a mass consumable) and through mobility (not only through the physical sense of global travel but also in class mobility and the associated increase in leisure options). Moreover, nature is now something that can be experienced almost entirely through mediated activities. Although principally reliant on visual stimuli, the virtual worlds of the internet now dominate the ideas of the natural environment, including nature as spectacle and sublime.

Fascination with landscapes can be described through Kant’s notion of the dynamic sublime as well as the idea of the extraordinary as contrasted with the everyday. Kant’s dynamic sublime details the awe that we experience as humans when confronted with a nature that is so large
and all-encompassing as to render our own existence insignificant. The feelings evoked by this confrontation are fear and terror in reaction to our inability to dominate the landscape, but also admiration and reverence in response to the recognition of something so extraordinary – the sublime reinforces our cognitive capacities, thereby reasserting our position as humans. The virtual landscape obscures these ideas of the natural sublime as our relationship with fear and terror is subdued by our superficial mastery of the environment. Our feelings towards the natural landscape (first nature) are replaced with feelings of the technological sublime. David Nye describes this shift as follows:

> There is an American penchant for thinking of the subject as a consciousness that can stand apart from the world and project its will upon it. In this mode of thought, the subject elides Kantian transcendental reason with technological reason and sees new structures and inventions as continuations of nature. Those operating within this logic embrace the reconstruction of the life-world by machinery, experience the dislocations and perceptual disorientations caused by this reconstruction in terms of awe and wonder, and, in their excitement, feel insulated from immediate danger. New technologies become self-justifying parts of a national destiny, just as the natural sublime once undergirded the rhetoric of manifest destiny. Fundamental changes in the landscape paradoxically seem part of an inevitable process in harmony with nature. (1994, p. 282)

Although writing from an American perspective, Nye’s ideas are readily applicable to the idea of technological mastery of the entire Western world, where technology is seen as instrumental to our collective human destiny. Utopian ideals spring forth from our mastery of the natural environment, replaced by technologies and associated feelings of awe, reverence and hope for the future. Fear of the natural environment is replaced with fears of human-made designs and confrontations. No longer do we fear insignificance in relation to the natural landscape, we now fear alienation and irrelevance in our mediated technological world.

The technological sublime runs parallel to the natural sublime in Apollo. Whilst the feelings of awe and reverence for the natural landscape are replaced by the feelings about technology, the landscape proclaims the extraordinariness of human vision and potential.
Virtual landscapes have become part of the new, accelerated sublime of tourism and experience. According to Claudia Bell and John Lyall, the accelerated sublime is part of the postmodern age of increased vastness, speed and consumption, changing to encompass new objects and landscapes as they are discovered. In discussing landscapes, they argue that “representations are not an accurate substitute” of the sublime in that the representation loses scale and therefore awe (2002, p. 199). This is a contestable position, given that one’s embodiment as an avatar on Apollo can elicit feelings of insignificance in reference to the constructed landscape. In the above screenshots the structures are far larger than any avatar, to the point that their scale does in fact produce an affective state. The East-West Towers for instance, are so high in comparison to avatar-dimensions that one must fly in order to view them. In a similar fashion, Apollo Harbour is a place of such detail, scale and splendour that moving through the environment also produces affective states. Whilst these feelings may not be fear or terror in reference to the dynamic sublime, it is arguable that many individuals visit the island of Apollo for the beauty of the environment, and the associated feelings of veneration much like those of first nature.

As pointed out by Vincent Mosco, the sublime and myth exist side by side, and in doing so propagate the hopes and fears of humanity. He describes cyberspace as “the latest icon of the technological and electronic sublime, praised for its epochal and transcendent characteristics and demonized for the depth of the evil it can conjure” (2004, p. 24). The digital sublime advances the myth of cyberspace as utopic – a world devoid of the banality, restrictions and judgement of the corporeal. It also, however, simultaneously elicits fears of the unknown and the uncertain, specifically in relation to governance, control and data ownership. In a more general sense, there is the myth of the end of history that is associated with digital technology:
excavate the tales that accompanied the rise of earlier “history-ending”
technologies. (p. 117)

With new technologies come the perpetuated myths that humanity can transcend our
existence to reach a heavenly, or more perfect, space. What virtual environments more
specifically promise, however, is a visual simulation of myth, so that one can visually immerse
oneself in the paradise offered. It is this facet of the digital sublime that is so compelling for
users.

There are many parallels between the ideas of heaven and the virtual worlds offered within
cyberspace. One of the major attractions of Christianity, as discussed by Margaret Wertheim,
was its openness to all, where “people of all nations and skin colours can aspire to walk the
streets of the Heavenly City” (1999, p. 23). So too is the acceptance offered within the virtual
environment. The region of Apollo for instance explicitly states that it is a space ‘for all creeds’
and values tolerance and acceptance (“Second Life places,” 2009). Here, the ideals of Heaven
are reaffirmed in contrast to the ‘host’ culture from which it is derived. The digital idea of
heaven is not solely drawn from the Christian concept however, with notions of paradise
evoking various mythologies. Apollo’s heaven is most readily aligned to that of the Ancient
Greek (particularly Athenian) ideal. The aesthetic pronounced in bodily forms and the sublime
is conjured through the technological mastery of the island features and the detail and
vastness of the natural (virtually reproduced) environment. The technological and dynamic
sublime are evoked on Apollo, increasing the awe and reverence evoked by the space,
ultimately positioning paradise as spectacle. Attention to the body beautiful not only resonates
with Grecian ideas of perfection, and the perfect body, but also reinscribes the Ancient Grecian
ideal onto the present (or, as described below, it could be that the reverse is true). What is
ultimately apparent in the imagined paradise of Apollo however, is the reproduction of the
Classical masculine ideal.

The Ancient World and Mythologies: Affirming Masculinity
Masculinity in the Apollo region is chiefly inscribed through representations of Ancient Greece
and its surrounding mythology and conception in the popular imagination. The ideas of
maleness and modern masculinity have historical roots in ancient cultures that privilege the
male form as active, muscular and powerful as well as beautiful. To uphold gender divisions,
and therefore reinforce the position of man, it was necessary for Athenian male citizens to
continuously perform masculinity which was bounded by the state and its norms. According to
Matthew Fox, scholars of the Athenian male suggest that he was not a free subject but was
constrained by the structures of society that necessitated masculine performance: “the citizen is bound by the conventions of his society both to exercise mastery over his social inferiors, and to devote the same effort to mastering himself” (1998, p. 7). In drawing upon the work of Winkler, he explains:

The citizen elite consists of individuals dedicated to maintaining their reputation; to penetrating others, retaining identification with an integrated and inviolable phallus, and warding off any suggestion of being like a receptacle for the sexual or social desires of others. (p. 7)

Fox maintains that these accounts of history have a tendency to view ancient societies within the established framework of contemporary political discourses, especially in reference to sex as a key indicator of identity. This self-reflexive understanding of the ancient world through current perspectives is illustrated in the popular imagination: “The Real confirms the partial quality of all discourse” (p. 18). Our understanding of ancient cultures then is always, to varying degrees, mythological. That such myths are reproduced in the virtual environment is fitting in the de-elevation of truth to imagination.

What is of importance then, in the creation and appropriation of the spaces, is the collective imagination (that of mass Western culture), in terms of Westernised patriarchal discourse. Conceptions of ancient culture within the virtual are represented strongly through the symbolism on Apollo, in the objects, buildings, structures and architecture of the island which often evoke a masculine form. The island’s name connotes the mythological and the male which the other representations on the island signify. The Ancient Greek discursive practices of male dominance, hero-worship and homosexual infatuation and Eros are played out in the myth of Apollo and Hyacinth (Hyacinthus):

In the god’s most celebrated affair, an outstandingly handsome boy named Hyacinthus is the object of Apollo’s desire... While throwing the discus together, a favourite pastime for Greek lovers, Hyacinthus, perhaps accidentally, steps in the way of Apollo’s hurtling discus, which strikes him fatally in the head. (One version of the myth states that Zephyrus, the West Wind, also loved Hyacinthus and, when rejected in Apollo’s favour, jealously diverts the discus’s trajectory, causing it to strike the young man) (Harris & Platzner, 1998, p. 169).

Mourning the death of Hyacinthus, Apollo named the Hyacinth flower after him. Within SL the Lost Gardens of Apollo are symbolic of the Classical myth. Although Apollo had a number of female lovers, it is the love of Hyacinth that is foregrounded on the island, and is the most well-known of Apollo’s eros in contemporary Western literature. The island of Apollo honours
the ideal of the beautiful young male as represented by Hyacinth. The valley that takes his name, Hyacinth Valley, is the entry point onto the island; avatars generally teleport to Apollo through the hubs located in the valley. That the island’s name and its central region are named after the myth of two beautiful young male lovers leads to the appropriation of this central signifier to all other aspects of the island itself, signalling participation into the narrative and its associated positioning of the male as beautiful.

The male body in ancient Greece was understood as an object of beauty: “the norm, against which those of boys, females, slaves, and barbarians were all seen as deviations to a greater or lesser degree” (Stewart, 1997, p. 11). The statues of Apollo epitomise the perfection of male beauty; they are muscular, controlled and dominate the landscape.

Graceful in their suspension, the male statues of Apollo (shown above) represent the physical ability and strength of the male subject, youthful and virile: in Greece “the sculpted naked body was a harmonious design that illustrated divinity and strength” (Brownmiller, 1984, p. 23). Each sculpture faces the other and they almost touch at the torso, invoking homoeroticism, a practice thought prolific in Ancient Greece. According to Whitley “[o]f all Greek art forms, sculpture was held in the highest regard” (Whitley, 2001, p. 270), and “were idealised representations of how gods or heroes ought to appear, using the language of realism without in any sense being realistic” (p. 278). The Apollo statues evoke the ideal male form while reinforcing the contemporary normalisation of the male body as commodity and presenting examples of an ultimate standard of aspiration. Moreover, that the statues snub the principles of physics suggests a mastery over the constraints of science in the realm of fantasy. The masculine ego can become uninhibited in virtual space that is not confined to the laws of physics or science. The ego can acutely display a mastery over the environment as it projects itself into the future where it can become even more masterful in its control. In contemplating art and science as they correlate to the male ego, Antony Easthope recounts the psychoanalytical view that artistic expression relates to sexual drive as “a form of
sublimated desire”. Moreover “scientific projects, the attempts to master nature through knowledge, are explained in terms of narcissism and the ego” (Easthope, 1992, p. 39). Thus, the Apollo statues evoke both “drives” of masculine ego; they are structures that exhibit the ideal of masculine perfection and masculine homoeroticism as well as being symbols of male control, mastery and power.

Broader contextualising of masculine fantasy and myth evokes ideas of the natural self, isolation and separateness where the stagnancy of civilisation and CL can be transcended. According to Gregory Woods, such exploration provides “fantasies of pre-industrial peacefulness and prelapsarian sexuality”. Islands such as Apollo allow the body to “resume its ‘natural’ condition” (1995, p. 126). Woods identifies a key narrative of the island fantasy that closely aligns thematically with Apollo:

isolated males form a relationship with the landscape and the elements, then relate homosocially and homoerotically to each other, in febrile renegotiations of their masculinity, before returning to white heterosexual civilisation. (1995, p. 126)

In light of the fact that Apollo is a PG rated island, it can be assumed that avatars do not visit Apollo for overt sexual purposes (however such visitations may well be sexually covert in negotiating identity), so given the homoerotic nature of the island, Woods’ notion can be made applicable to a masculinised relationship with Apollo: users may log on to SL for escapism and during this time renegotiate their identities within the island narrative of a pre-industrialised, pre-civilised landscape. Such renegotiations may well be covertly sexual and may be projected onto the landscape (Woods, p. 129). On Apollo this projection takes form in the ‘natural’ landscapes that are occupied and the masculinised objects that are observed; the feminine is controlled and appropriated whilst the masculine is uninhibited and displayed.

**Sexuality & Mythology: Interpreting the Male Subject**

In addition to embodying an idealised form of masculinity, the experience of Apollo as a mythological site, as well as its construction as a space of alternate expression, allow for ideas of sex and sexual experience outside of the regulation of corporeal society and draws upon the imagined and actual practices of ancient behavioural norms. Homosexuality has been attributed to the Classical world since the 1970s and the re-discovery of same-sex eros in Classical texts. Ancient Athenian practices of what we would now call homosexuality were predicated on the homosociality of citizen interactions (citizens being a certain class of adult males) and the ideal of the masculinised form as the most desirable. According to Stewart:
This kind of institutionalised homosociality conforms exactly to the classic definition of patriarchy: “Relations between men, which have a material base, and which, through hierarchy, establish or create interdependence and solidarity among men that enable them to dominate women” – and in the Greek case, we may add, everyone else as well. (1997, p. 10)

By “everyone else”, Stewart means everyone who did not have full citizen rights in Ancient Greece, and therefore were not an accepted part of the patriarchy. The citizen was an ideal male who possessed the finest qualities of the masculine. Moreover, the state acted as an institution “that treated adolescent boys as minors along with females and slaves, both of which were already seen as natural receptacles for the citizen’s roving desire” (1997, p. 10). Male homophilic conduct was a normalised part of Ancient Greek life, “since the Greeks saw nothing surprising in the co-existence of desire for boys and desire for girls in the same person” (Dover, 2002, p. 26). Dover states that it was commonplace for older citizens to pursue beautiful young boys as much, if not more so, than women. Such conduct was regulated by an established class system that had heavily codified behavioural standards. A citizen:

could seek among his juniors a partner of citizen status, who could certainly not be forced and who might be totally resistant to even the most disguised kind of purchase. If he was to succeed in seducing this boy (or if later, as a mature man, he was to seduce a youth), he could do so only by earning hero-worship. (Dover, 2002, p. 26)

Homophilic, particularly pederastal, conduct was assumed under the guise of a mentor-like bond between males, with the older male earning the youth’s or boy’s infatuation through status and accomplishment. Oftentimes such Eros was considered of greater societal worth than heterosexual relations, given the superiority of the male in Ancient Greek society. There are however, debates in relation to the full acceptance of homosexual and pederastal conduct in Classical societies, such as Thomas Hubbard’s (Hubbard, 2009) study examining the debates of ‘natural’ sexual conduct pre-dating Plato. The accuracy of the contemporary appropriation of Ancient cultures in virtual worlds is ultimately inconsequential, as history is imagined and mythologised.

Although there were no instances of pederastal conduct detected on Apollo (or on Zeus), what the space does epitomise is a fascination with youth and beauty as illustrated in the appearance of the male avatars and in the imagery found within the spaces. Moreover, there is an attraction to the de-normalisation of sex and sexual practices as they relate to the fields
of normative sexual and relational conduct in Western societies. As discussed further in the chapter on Zeus (chapter six), there are fears that unabated sexual desire, as culturally ascribed to men, would lead to the erosion of civilised society that is based on the family unit. Interestingly, there were arguments in Ancient Athens entirely to the contrary. In outlining the debates regarding homophilic and pederastal conduct, Thomas Hubbard illustrates the divisive quality of male sexuality in ancient societies. Although tolerated, and sometimes celebrated, homosexual and pedesteral conduct was seen as unnatural to some critics of homosex in Ancient Athens. Supporters however, saw such conduct as a cultural development that surpassed nature by illustrating human control and development, “presenting the stages of human progress as a continuous ascent from vulnerability to the elements to satisfaction of basic needs to more advanced forms of political organization and technological sophistication” (Hubbard, 2009, p. 255). In looking at the moral panics in ancient and contemporary culture, paradoxes regarding the notions of nature and masculinity are evident. Masculinity and sex in the Classical world was seen as cultured, as something to be developed in opposition to nature: “Culture emerges precisely out of the male principle’s fear of and struggle against the formlessness of female Nature, either by attempting to objectify female Nature into an ordered artistic recreation (“Beauty”) or retreating from the feminine altogether (homosexuality)” (p. 256). However, contemporary moral panics regarding masculinity and sexuality fear an essentialised nature of masculinity, particularly in reference to sex. To be cultured is to be in control of one’s desires; to allow one’s sexual nature free will is to court danger and risk destabilising the family and relational structures of society.

**Contemporary Masculinities: Contextualising the Male Subject**
A tension exists between Western standards of conduct as required by the masculine subject and the subversive signifying of the homoerotic, and potentially homosexual. Apollo, despite appeals to the contrary, is much more a place of patriarchal fantasy than an environment of anything-goes gender and sexuality. This is due in part to its PG rating “where two or more of any orientation can non-sexually cuddle and enjoy the surroundings” (Zander as cited in James Au, 2006). Although there are many examples of homoerotic signification throughout the island, much of it can be read through the lens of the contemporary sexualisation of male beauty. It can be argued that the objectification and sexualisation of the male body on Apollo invites the female gaze and is thus empowering for women in reference to men. However, due to the extent at which the masculine form is prized on Apollo, there is also a sense of a competition of beauty and of being noticed that embeds the gaze as male. On Apollo, as with Ancient Greece and gay male culture, it is not necessary for the female to display beauty, to be
objectified, or even be present at all. The significance of beauty is of and through the representation of the masculinised ideal that moves between the homosexual and heterosexual.

Representations of the homoerotic on Apollo range from displays of art through to the performance of avatars and their symbolic depiction. Male avatars often appeal to the Westernised norm of attractiveness and are often times conservatively dressed. Some male avatars however, exude more sexuality in their appearance, with exposed muscles and what could be considered as more fashionable, and perhaps flamboyant, attire.

In encouraging the gaze they epitomise the contradictory construction of homoeroticism: they convey the ideal of the masculine form but in doing so can feminise themselves as objects of desire. Although such eroticism and desire may be grounded in heterosexuality, the display of overt masculinity is a performance of the self - inasmuch as it is comparable to the performance of others and so correspondingly encourages the subject to gaze on others mutually as he is gazed upon. According to Rohlinger, male attractiveness has become commonplace in society due to cultural and economic trends shifting to a greater commercialisation of the body:

In recent years, sexualized images of men, or the “erotic male,” have proliferated in men’s magazines. In these images, the erotic male represents a physical and sexual ideal, whereby an attractive, muscular man is placed on display. Such imagery is undoubtedly in part a response to the economic trends over the last 50 years, but it is also a product of cultural changes in American society. (2002, p. 62)

In her analysis of magazine images she notes that there has been a significant movement towards male representation of ‘unknown sexuality’. This ambiguity points to the commercialisation and commoditisation of the masculine in Western society, and not only
signifies a growing acceptance of the homoerotic form, but also the objectification of the male heterosexual body.

However, displays of ‘unknown sexuality’ as described by Rohlinger, also illustrate a remaining unease of overt homosexuality within society, particularly as characterised by gender subversion. On Apollo, as in Rohlinger’s case study on magazines, sexual and gender expression adheres to the cultural standard, so that the appeal to the homosexual gaze becomes coded, where the subtext lies in the tension of looking and being looked at. Sexual ambiguity evokes the unease that comes with the transgression of gender boundaries; there is an unknown quality in the looking-ness, in both application and reception, as the gaze moves undeciphered through the spectrum of homosexual, homoerotic, homosocial and heterosexual connotations. The movement between heterosexual appreciation and homosexual desire of the male body not only evokes a tension of sexuality but also the anxiety about the masculine performance of the commoditised body. As a reflection of corporeal society, Apollo highlights the homosexual/homosocial continuum, whereby the homosexual is an accepted facet of culture in its codified and more covert representations. The hyper-masculine may also become commoditised, like the female body, but it is not feminised insofar as it does not take on the same level of objectification and subjugation, and therefore is able to maintain its status as an expression of power. Homosexuality, then, is both present and absent on Apollo, and often becomes more identifiable as homosocial masculine display. According to Sedgwick’s understanding of the homosocial, “masculinity is seen quite literally to depend on both the permanent presence and indeed absence of homosexuality” (as cited in Edwards, 2006, p. 95). Masculine expression is defined through the tension between the ever-present possibility of homosexuality and its repudiation. This acknowledgement and dismissal of homosexuality positions gay males, and even males of atypical masculinity, in a tenuous position within society: “gay men are neither more or less ‘masculine’ or misogynist than straight men, but located in an awkward, and perhaps even dialectical, relation to gender both psychologically and socially” (Edwards, 2006, p. 96).

*Femininity and the Patriarchal Assumption of Space*

The gendered assumptions of corporeal space are replicated in the visual and functional characteristics of Apollo. Representing two distinct, but overlapping spheres, of nature and technology, the island reinscribes notions of power within spatiality and representation. Firstly, there is the construction of nature, albeit fourth nature, which replicates ideas of
paradise through the screen: manicured gardens, lush greenery, and audacious animals. This is nature as female, as the binary opposition to masculinised culture.

The idea of nature as eternal, wholesome and beautiful is asserted through the various landscapes of Apollo. Even the sublime elements of nature, such as the central mountains, are seen as non-threatening, and ultimately consumable. This is nature’s place in view of the masculine mastery of computer generation; nature has been tamed, domesticated. Furthermore, technology and digitisation are the vestiges of maleness, enacting the “male gaze of domination” (Nye, 1994, p. 283). David Nye remarks on the ultimate feminisation of technology as it becomes part of the everyday, and as the sublime becomes commonplace, stating that “Each form of the technological sublime became a ‘natural’ part of the world and ceased to amaze, though the capacity and desire for amazement persisted” (p. 284). The sublime remains the privilege of the masculine gaze; the discoveries, the awe, the reverence, are reserved for those who dominate and master their environment in the continually evolving (arguably devolving) enterprise of progress. Consuming and producing space then, are irrevocably masculine notions. The feminine, therefore, is the object of the gaze and of consumption.

Although the symbolism on Apollo is significantly masculine, there are some elements of feminine expression, albeit within the established confines of spatial regulation. The major locale for this expression is at the centre of island, within Hyacinth Valley, which is surrounded by mountains. Only a few passages lead out to the coastal regions of Apollo, dissecting the sheer cliffs that surround the valley. Much of the coastline is typified by cliffs and rock-faces, or ‘man’-made structures, such as the male statues or Apollo Harbour. These elements combine to present a mastery of nature, with the most masculine features at the island’s edge, encapsulating a more feminised centre. It is if the feminised beauty of the island, including the allusions to the myth of Hyacinthus and the eros shared between him and the god Apollo, necessitate protection and containment from the more masculine extremities of the island.
The gardens within Hyacinth Valley and elsewhere are other examples of this containment. Although they may typify a feminine aesthetic, they are equally controlled and ordered through the spatial configuration of the island; they can be looked upon as elements of beauty without encroaching on the principles of orderly space or movement.

As part of the Ancient Greek symbolism there is a specific representation of a goddess in the centre of Hyacinth Valley, where stands a welcome statue of a white goddess rising, or perhaps escaping, from a distorted figure of blackness. In Ancient Greece, the wings of a god or goddess represent freedom and a magic or mastery over the mortal world: “Prized Greek qualities of swiftness and competition are symbolized by winged creatures” (Hill, 2006). It could be argued that the statue symbolises a freedom of spirit in the virtual world of SL, and in particular Apollo, where individuals can escape the darkness of the real world and transgress the boundaries of society. Or, it can be argued that the goddess represents the tensions of gender and power in historical narrative. In the mythology of Ancient Greece, the goddess represented the ideal female form, but was often idealised as very different from the standard woman:

For the Greeks woman is a necessary evil... an evil because she is undisciplined and licentious, lacking the self-control of which men are capable, yet necessary to society as constructed by men, in order to reproduce it. (King, 1993)

The goddess was a different type of woman, more aligned to the male/patriarchal area of the heavens than to the inferior female domain of Earthly servitude. They were “immortal, ageless and powerful” (Lefkowitz, 2002, p. 325). The goddess depicted on Apollo could be Eos, “the goddess of the morning red, who brings up the light of day from the east” ("Eos," 2008), rising out of a figure of darkness that could possibly be Nyx, the goddess of night who lives “in a palace constructed of a dark cave where the sun never shines” (Hill, 2006). Eos, according to the mythology, had a desire for beautiful male youths. Whilst the fascination with male beauty within contemporary society is generally accepted, as is an expressive female sexuality within accepted norms, in Ancient Greece an expressive and dominant female sexuality was seen as a real threat to the patriarchal society. Andrew Stewart explains that the story of the female goddess Eos and her desire for abducting youths could have been meant as a warning in Greek society: “not only do these pictures hint at the evils of female dominance (gynaikoarateia) and easy capitulation to desire, but nervously evoke their appalling consequences: female control of the phallus” (as cited in Lefkowitz, 2002, p. 327)
Whilst it can be argued that only a minimal number of users would potentially decode the statue in view of ancient mythology, or even be able to draw upon notions of gender relations of the Classical period, it can be assumed that they are familiar with normative representations of gender in contemporary Western culture. Many of the female avatars take the form of traditional Westernised beauty and sexuality that is diametrically opposed and complementary to that of the masculine. Body shapes are typically slim and slender with some emphasis on female curvaceousness, particularly in the emphasis of hips and breasts. Hairstyles are often long and flowing, and the clothing is commonly graceful and glamorous, although some avatars are more distinctly sexual in their attire. There is a noticeable plenitude of female avatars in ball gowns and long flowing dresses which perhaps points to the notion of SL as a realm of fantasy play; it is possible that these avatars are reproducing virtually their owners’ versions of a fairy-tale princess, searching for their Prince Charming in a mystical land. Other avatars symbolise more contemporary forms of female sexuality; short skirts and near-exposed breasts are very common. For all the possibilities of SL, and the stated inclusiveness of Apollo, there are overwhelming representations of the ideal figure. As with the masculine, the feminine is generally bounded by the norms and regulations of CL, but exaggerates it through representation, so that the gendered-ness produces an even stricter binary of difference between male and female.

**Sexuality and Difference**

Contrary to the perception of the space as an all-welcoming topos of difference, Apollo is arguably a more comfortable space for heterosexual coupling than a space for the expression of divergent sexuality. Although many avatars visit Apollo as individuals, taking in its serenity and beauty, the island is well-equipped for romantic liaisons between avatars. There are various spaces that feature chairs and rugs overlooking beautiful views where avatars are able to activate ‘cuddle’ pose balls that animate the avatars into non-sexual cuddling. Slow-dancing can also be experienced at the Salsa y Boleros, which is a dance platform overlooking the Apollo coast.
Avatars are able to dance with each other by activating pose balls situated on the platform. The island even features a wedding chapel that can be hired out for weddings, which is the major source of funding for Apollo, which has ministers available for services (James Au, 2006). According to Ramus Overlord, of *Second Life Traveller*, “The Lost Gardens are arguably the most romantic sim in the metaverse, from waterfalls, to towers that reach the clouds, not many other places in Second Life have the views and beauty of Apollo”. He also suggests visiting with a loved one: “being here by yourself can be a bit depressing. The sim is usually jam packed with lovers” (Overlord, 2007). Rather than inhibiting hetero-masculine expression, the existence of gay-male sexualities in codified forms does not threaten male heterosexual display, and can instead reinforce it through the expression of patriarchal dominance.

Places such as Apollo are part of the wider matrix of homo-acceptable places that have permeated the globalised and capitalised landscape. Within this landscape, fluid and disjunctured identities are commonplace, allowing greater space for non-heteronormativity and non-cohesiveness of the typical. However, the same forces of globalisation and capitalism that permit such fluidity paradoxically impart a homogenising effect onto difference, so that even difference is subjected to the commoditisation of form and expression. Within the subculture of homosexuality and sexual difference on Apollo there is a normative effect acting through commodification on physical perfection and masculinity. According to Warner, homosexual culture has been greatly influenced, and is still inseparable from, the creative forces of the capital economy:

In the lesbian and gay movement, to a much greater degree than in any comparable movement, the institutions of culture-building have been market-mediated: bars, discos, special services, newspapers, magazines, phone lines, resorts, urban commercial districts.... This structural environment has meant that the institutions of queer culture have been dominated by those with capital: typically, middle-class white men. (as cited in Binnie, 1995, p. 185)
It is those with capital power who shape the production and administration of space. Although its setting is far removed from the urban city spaces of middle-class Western men, Apollo can be seen as a fantasy island, an escape from the city, but a place that is still bounded by the conventions of the city. The city reproduces the forms and relations that are seen as exciting and marginal yet still subsumed with dominant discourse.

On Apollo, as well as the wider SL platform, gender is one of the major aspects of performance that differentiates avatars in their behaviours and interactions with each other and the space. The representations and performances on the island contribute to the discourse of gender that delineates the masculine from the feminine, where it is the masculine that is privileged on Apollo in terms of spatial representation. These representations provide a spatial paradigm for the performance of masculinity within the boundaries of a ‘perfected’ masculinity of beauty, strength, youth and homosocial interaction, all underscored by Ancient Greek symbolism. Performance on the island becomes bounded within these guidelines, so that a perfected form of masculine representation becomes the norm, with the ornamental feminine as its opposition. Edwards argues that “masculinity is seen to increasingly depend on matters of style, self-presentation and consumption... [and] is perceived to be increasingly predicated on matters of how men look rather than what men do” (2006, p. 111). This shows that the contemporary norm of masculine performativity is now more closely aligned to the Ancient Greek ideal, although influenced now by the consumerism associated with bodily displays and performances. Corporal life’s commodification of the body provides a potential restriction on Apollo for those who may wish to embody themselves outside of this norm, and thus highlights the impossibility of removing ideology and discourse from virtual spaces.

Avatar performance on Apollo is inscribed by the values of representation: the late capitalist ideal of visual perfection and the commoditised body. Although individuality and expression are esteemed, they are still constrained within the parameters of acceptable performance which usually aligns with the ideologies of success and consumption. The virtual world, due to its reliance on representation, emphasises the body as the key site of expression. Identity is performed as a product of the discourse of Apollo. Male avatars epitomise the Western capitalist ideal of the perfect body, muscular, toned and dominant, whilst the female avatars appear demure in comparison, beautiful but restrained. The avatars that do exhibit difference, such as the ‘furries’ (half-human, half-animal avatar) or those who exhibit different forms of style (as seen in figure 5.15 below) retain a highly gendered appearance.
The emphasis on gender is inscribed by the space: the male statues, the Ancient Greek symbolism, the masculine structures that dominate the island, in what Judith Butler would describe as phallogocentrism. Identity can be conceptualised as a discourse of signifying practices:

Identity is rather an effect of signifying practices rooted in regimes of power/knowledge characterized as compulsory heterosexuality and phallogocentrism. As such, it is a matter of social and political regulation rather than any sort of innate property of individuals, or source of agency in a traditional, liberal humanist sense. The political possibilities and agency stem from the inherent repeatability of these signifying practices and the possibility of resignification. (Jagger, 2008, p. 20)

Resignification is key to the potential political power of the virtual. It is the performative aspects of the platform that render it as significant in its application as a site of renegotiated identity, or where the body as subject allows for redefinition.

**Conclusion**

The environment of Apollo shows how space is produced, conceived and imagined by its creators and users. In the imagining of utopic space, Apollo imagines both the natural environment and the culture of Ancient Greece. This reaffirms our view of ancient Greek culture, as well as reconfiguring our relationship with nature and the sublime. The foundation of these significations and our associated understandings of culture and nature are predominantly from a patriarchal perspective. Illustrated through structural signification, the masculine is celebrated, reproducing well-known binaries as a result (masculine-feminine, culture-nature). In contrast, the feminine, and associated feminised sexual practice, is contained as part of the natural environment. Although some subversion can be read into the space of Apollo through the historical narrative of Ancient Athens (mostly in reference to historical narratives of homophilic eros), as well as some explicit significations and references to non-normative sexualities and representations, normativity is reaffirmed through the
prevailing standards and conduct of its visitors. Heterosexual coupling is normalised through
the displays of affection on offer and the hyper-gendered representations of avatars. Non-
heterosexuality is covertly displayed through signifiers, unlike the space of Zeus (as highlighted
in the next chapter) which offers a more overt connection to gay male sexuality.
6. **Zeus: Masculinity, mass consumerism and subversive sex**

*Introduction*

Whilst the space of Apollo illustrates a homosocial rather than explicitly homosexual space of masculinity, Zeus is unabashed in its representation of gay male sexuality. Zeus can be considered as a meeting and cruising space for non-heterosexual males, and can also be considered as an extension to gay cruising spaces widely available on the internet. This chapter explores notions of gay masculinities, particularly in reference to the prevailing attitudes of sexual conservatism and the growing acceptance of homosexual coupling within CL. It is in many ways a critique of the growing conservatism of queer culture which, through legitimising certain ways of being, has the effect of delegitimising others as incorrect, immature or deviant. The distancing of mainstream gay movements from alternative notions and practices of sexuality is as a disservice to those who engage in sex practices outside of the normative monogamous coupling. The heterosexual matrix, with its associations with family, maturity and respectability, has become imprinted onto the lives of gay male identities, proffering wider acceptance within society – it is far easier for the public at large to accept images, ideas and ways of being that mirror a predominantly family-centred cultural ethos. By offering the ideal picture of acceptability and success for gay men, there is opportunity to extend the capitalist marketplace to include this new segmentation, albeit on the ideological bases of youth, whiteness and affluence. Gay men, as seen in images in the public sphere and mass media, are sold to us as physically attractive and masculine, yet asexual and astutely middle class. It is argued here, that the greater purpose of queer collectivity and gay identification in reference to difference has been displaced by a desire to achieve an acceptability of least resistance. In what appears as a form of assimilation and absorption into the majority, the goal of sexual difference and liberty has been lost. For gay culture, entering into the mainstream has seen desire move underground – coupledom is the new status quo in gay society, whilst promiscuity and free sex are discarded in favour of a desexualised sexuality.

The example of Zeus illustrates a gay male sexuality that exists just below the surface of acceptability. The analysis describes many of the paradoxes of gay male materiality as well as the disjuncture between acceptance and liberty of alternate sexual identities. Located on Gay City Estate, Zeus Gay Club is “one of the most popular Second Life gay clubs” according to its website, “having about 700 visitors daily from all over the world” (Ashdene, 2011). The site also appears in Second Life’s Destination Guide which is often a good indicator of a space’s popularity (“Gay destinations,” 2012). The majority of avatars that visit the site are hyper-
masculine in form (females avatars are allowed to visit but they are in the minority) and are consistent with the contemporary ideal of physical attractiveness and hyper-muscularity. Such homogeneity can be conceptualised in terms of the heteronormative and homonormative function of the postmodern gay male, and superficially, Zeus can be seen to reinforce these notions in its dominant representation of the physically attractive and masculine gay male subject. However, Zeus simultaneously reveals the subversive aspects of gay male sexuality through its construction as a meeting space and cruising space, as well as its explicit marketing of gay male sexual services. Being designated as a sexual space (albeit not overtly sexual in terms of nudity and actual sex - Zeus preludes such encounters), positions the masculinities of the avatars in reference to various moral panics that are seen as ‘symptomatic’ of an unchecked hyper-masculine sexuality. These issues position Zeus as a contested site of legitimacy in view of tensions regarding masculinity as it applies to sex and sexuality. Furthermore, the site is representative of the tensions between the movements towards an acceptable, albeit conservative, gay male identity as portrayed in Western mass media, and the subversive hyper-sexual and hyper-masculine subject of desire, often viewed as antagonistic to gay male acceptance.

*Gay Male Space*

Zeus is predominantly a gay male space, although all avatars are able to access and visit the island. Its positioning as an alternative space is inscribed not only through the type of avatars that frequent the space, but also in the space itself: how it has been designed, its ornamentation, activities, structures and commercial enterprises. As part of the wider personal and political project of gay solidarity, community and agency, Zeus fulfils an important role, as do the number of gay-designated spaces on SL, in providing spaces for identity exploration and the fulfilment of desires. As described by Browne and Bakshi (2011), the creation and maintenance of gay spaces has been an important social and political process in the legitimisation of queer communities in opposition to the heterosexual norm:

‘Straight space’ can thus be seen as asexual for mainly straight people and heterosexual for LGBT people. Such spaces are also heteronormalised, by this we mean that heterosexuality is formed through particular gender/sexed binaries within normative male/female dichotomies. (2011, p. 181)

Corporeal GLBTI spaces have been a response to heteronormativity, providing sites of safety, solidarity, and community, where individuals can develop and perform queer identities outside their often assumed heterosexual identities which are performed in the everyday public and private spaces. As an extension of the corporeal, virtual sites like Zeus can serve as an
extension to the project of challenging assumed heterosexuality by providing an arena for sexual and identity exploration.

Authors Browne and Bakshi, however, suggest that the binary between straight and gay is currently being challenged through both the colonisation by queers of heterosexual spaces (the gaying of straight space), and the colonisation by heterosexuals of queer space (the heterosexualising of queer space, which can also be described as infiltration – see chapter seven) (2011, p. 181). The dilution of spatial conformity through sexual difference can be seen as a positive for contemporary society by making the queer subject more visible in that the queer subject is not simply reduced to a locus legitimated only by queer space and through other queer identities. In a post-structuralist sense, queer identity becomes unnecessary due to the inclusivity of post-urban as well as virtual space. Here, there is limited need for oppositional identity assumed around queerness (where queer is assumed as politically reactive). It is arguable though, as discussed in chapter seven regarding the female-only space of Eden, that this position is premature because there remain continuing threats to queer identities that cannot simply be overlooked in reference to post-structuralist critiques. The infiltration (or de-gaying) of queer spaces can have the effect of marginalising specific subject groups that do not conform to notions of acceptable identities.

Spaces designated as queer also serve an important function in the coming-out of gay males, as well as playing a key role in the formulation of identity, offering “opportunities for action and coalescence” and “allowing for the showcasing of diverse sexuality” (Pullen, 2010a, p. 1). There is indeed an on-going necessity for such spaces to exist, as described by Christopher Pullen who recounts the murder of a gay Californian youth (Lawrence King) in 2008 due to his sexuality. According to Pullen, the LGBTI publication The Advocate flags the issue as one of potential over-confidence for the queer community “which might inappropriately support a youth to express sexual diversity at such an early age, and become vulnerable” (2010b, p. 17). Happening relatively recently, King’s murder highlights the necessity for queer individuals (particularly youth and other susceptible demographics) to have spaces safe for individual expression. It could be argued that for gay males in western societies, this issue may be more pressing due to the potential for violence at the hands of heterosexual males. According to the FBI’s Criminal Justice Services Information Division, in 2009 there were 1482 victims of a sexual-oriented hate-crime reported in the US, of which 55.1% were “victims because of an offender’s anti-male homosexual bias” (where specific anti-female homosexual bias accounted
for 15.3%) (Justice, 2009). Contrary to the theoretical assumption that the categorisation of ‘queer space’ may have lost its usefulness, there certainly remains a need for there to be such spaces in society given the very real dangers that continue to threaten queer identities, regardless of growing acceptance of gay sexualities in the wider community, and as offered in the mass media.

Although mass media portrayals are often legitimising for queer identities, they are, at the same time, limiting in the type of identities that are legitimised. And, whilst such representation is generally a positive for minority identities in that they achieve acknowledgement and visibility, the case of Lawrence King highlights the dangers associated with the perceived acceptance of these representations in the community. Specific spaces, set aside from general public space, are both necessary as enclaves of safety for queer identities, as well as serving as areas in which to participate and interact outside of the established norms of identity and conduct dictated by normative representations. As argued in this chapter, there is a conservatism, often associated with the wider acceptance of gay identity, that can act in opposition to the liberalism of queer (specifically gay male) identification, and that this conservatism sets up a binary for modes of conduct that are acceptable (and therefore legitimate) in opposition to those that are deviant (and ultimately delegitimising). In analysing queer representations on television, Guillermo Avila-Saavedra states:

> The presence of homosexual characters in American television would seem to imply an endorsement of a liberal agenda of tolerance and inclusion of alternative lifestyles and sexual orientations. However, the perceived progressiveness of gays' sudden appearance on American television could be undermined if it responds to traditional norms of social relations. (2009, p. 5)

Furthering this argument, Paul Robinson suggests that “the emergence of gay conservatism as a political and intellectual force is arguably the most important new development in the gay world” (2005, p. 1), and that this ultimately undermines gender and sexual diversity. The gay male in mass media depictions has become homogenised, and suitable for mass consumption, through mimicking the heterosexual standard, whereby “Homosexual images are presented in a way acceptable for heterosexual audiences by reinforcing traditional values like family, monogamy and stability” (Avila-Saavedra, 2009, p. 8). Moreover, consumption and class assertion have also become characteristic tropes of gay identity through mass communication.

---

The Human Rights Watch has various publications about global discrimination against LGBT individuals ("LGBT Rights," 2012). The statistics included as part of this study are specifically American figures to highlight the high incidence of anti-homosexual crimes in a nation that is also highly represented within SL user statistics.
channels, so that this once subversive identity is now easily subsumed into the heterosexual matrix of acceptability and hegemonic control.

The site of Zeus illustrates some of the contradictions that abound within the politics and expression of gay male identities. Whilst it is a space that allows for the more subversive aspects of gay male sexuality it is, simultaneously, conformist to notions of gender (heteronormativity) as well as materialist notions of homosexuality. The heteronormative is expressed through the representations of masculinity and femininity as being normatively assigned to both male and female avatars.

![Figure 6.1: Avatar, Zeus Club](image1)

![Figure 6.2: Avatar, Zeus Club](image2)

Male avatars, although often appearing shirtless and displaying a gay male symbolic appearance (shown in tight-fitting clothing, and colourful attire), are also highly masculine, generally being tall and muscular (figures 6.1 and 6.2). Females, conversely, epitomise femininity, and often appear slight by comparison and are dressed in tight clothes that emphasise curvaceous figures rather than the hard muscularity of male avatars. The female-male binary is upheld with strong signifiers of femininity and masculinity as attached to biological sex and gender categories. In addition to gender norms, the space also represents aspects of homonormativity, which can be described as “the construction of social norms that include lesbians and gay men on the condition that they conform to individualist and consumerist economic values and lead sexual lives that mirror the norms of heteronormativity (e.g. long-term, monogamous relationships within specific gender norms)” (Browne & Bakshi, 2011, p. 181). This is expressed on Zeus as an attachment to the mass consumerist depiction of the homosexual male: white, middle class, masculine and appearance conscious, but makes an
important departure from it in reference to sexual conformity and the heterosexual standard of coupling and monogamy. The moderate/mature rating of the region, as well as its appeal as a niche space, allow it to represent and promote a gay male sexuality that is in a large part disregarded in mass media portrayals. Homosexual sexuality and bonding are now arguably considered safe in mainstream Western culture in a de-sexualised form, largely in part to the reification of queer to a commoditised and conservative identity. The supposed rampant sexual desire and promiscuity that have historically been seen as stereotypical traits of the gay male subject are now contained as inappropriate extensions of hyper-masculinity acted through deviance.

The SL region of Zeus illustrates the tensions between a representational space of legitimate gay male identity conforming to the commoditised expression of the body (capitalist masculinity), and that which allows for behaviours contrary to the conservative norm of homosexual conduct (promiscuity and hypersexuality). Michael Warner describes the tension between the two worlds as a hierarchical struggle of power. Utilising Erving Goffman's terms of the stigmaphiles (those who are stigmatised) and the stigmaphobes (those who are normal), he states:

The stigmaphile space is where we find a commonality with those who suffer from stigma, and this alternative realm learn to value the very things the rest of the world despises – not just because the world despises them, but because the world’s pseudo-morality is a phobic and inauthentic way of life. The stigmaphobe world is the dominant culture, where conformity is ensured through fear of stigma. (2000, p. 43)

For the most part the stigmaphobes have been winning the battle for morality against stigmatised deviance, so that even in the progression of queer acceptance, there is a ubiquitous moralising force regarding acceptable sexual conduct. Spaces of deviance are quickly consigned to the margins and often renounced by the interest groups that should act in their political interest; it is no coincidence that gay movements, in all their successes, have become desexualised in their agenda. The concern is that the homogenising force of homonormativity may marginalise the diversity of identity and expression within the community, thereby becoming a stigmaphobe world for those it should embrace. Of particular interest for the rest of this chapter is how this force works to organise ideas about strict gender representations and divisions, the control of sexual conduct, and the moral panics regarding the deviant.
It is important to position this discussion in its political and social context, given that SL draws heavily upon European and US norms. Although SL is a global medium, user statistics show that participation is located most highly Europe and North America, with Germany and the US having the highest number of national users as of 2007 (“Europeans predominate as Second Life users: study,” 2007) - (recent statistics have proven extremely difficult to come by, possibly due to the reluctance of SL’s owners to publish declining user numbers). Although Europeans participate broadly in SL, the political and social context is most closely aligned to the US due to its deregulated economic system (it also relies heavily on US currency as the basis for SL’s Linden dollar). The platform is reflective of neo-liberalism in that the economic system takes prominence over activity whereby deviance is arguably tolerated. The premise behind this attitude is that tolerance of deviance, as well as tolerance of problems, is acceptable “as long as said tolerance results in improvements in bottom-line profits” (Marzullo, 2011, p. 762). Neo-liberalism works alongside neo-conservatism through the reinforcement of dominant tropes of gender, sexuality and identity that are played out through debates on morality and dominant value systems. According to Connell, this functions ideologically “as a form of masculinity politics largely because of the powerful role of the state in the gender order” and, in so doing welds “exemplary bodies to entrepreneurial culture” (2005, p. 1817). This allows for individualism, insofar as the individual conforms to the dominant system, which ultimately produces a homogenised version of gayness and homosexuality largely understood through the framing of hetero-patriarchal politics. Sharif Mowlabocus comments that the homosexual has been turned into a “marketable commodity”, yet also “sanitised and safe” to conform to family values (2008, p. 428).

**The Performance of Masculinity**

Notions of masculinity are as important to the homosexual subject as the heterosexual subject, and traverse corporeal and virtual spaces. It can be argued that anywhere a body, or an individual, is represented it takes place through an adherence with, or opposition to, masculinity. In apposition with historical patriarchy, identity is often formed through an essentialised, yet ubiquitous, framing of maleness through masculinity. Its modern conception has developed from Classical Greek and Roman societies through the Enlightenment and Industrial societies to its modern and postmodern configurations. What has remained through the history of Western masculinities is the power and access of the male subject: as a full citizen (Classical societies), to own land (aristocracy), to participate in the marketplace (modernist-capitalist societies), and to control women (both sexually and economically
throughout history. David Buchbinder explains such modes of differentiation as spoken through power:

(P)atriarchy ranks and thus creates power differentials even among those who it centralises. In this way, differences among individual men, such as age, physical size and strength, class, wealth, social or political clout, sexual activity or hyperactivity – even penis size – and so on are invested with varying degrees of patriarchal power. It is the aggregation of these elements and their investment of power by patriarchy that we recognise as ‘masculinity’ or the lack of it. (1998, p. 43)

Such mastery has become an expectation, a necessary performance to reaffirm individual membership as a man, even through the changing dynamics of gender relations and feminist assertions of contemporary life. It is perhaps no surprise that the control and strength associated with masculinity should turn inward in its response to changing social practices that seek to moderate its control. Late-capitalist masculine expression often articulates its qualities through a mastery of the marketplace, evident in conspicuous consumption practices, as well as a self-mastery of the body’s physical form.

The contemporary male body can be said to have returned to the Classical ideal of the muscular and beautiful male body, although importantly often ‘forgetting’ the homosexual undertones of this period. The case study of the SL space Zeus exemplifies this through both its name and its associated mythology. King of all Greek Gods, the mythical figure Zeus symbolises the ideal male. He was physically intimidating, astute and controlling of the world around him. Strong and commanding, he was the moral and judicial leader of Ancient Greece, as well as being the protector of Greeks and their societies. Moreover, he was the king of the heavens who oversaw the conduct of all other gods. It is these ideas of perfection, control, mastery and competence that are heavily evoked on SL’s Zeus through the structural environment and bodily representation. Existing somewhere between the heavens and mortal civilisation, the myth of Zeus represents a half-way point: a journey from the ordinary world to where immortality and the gods are accessible. In embodying perfection, one’s avatar can access the gods: he (or she) can fly, teleport, and change the weather and environment at an instant through the keyboard.
Additionally, hedonistic, particularly sexually promiscuous, behaviour as perpetuated in Greek myth is permitted. Although more inclined towards females, Zeus did have the occasional male lover, but perhaps more importantly it is his unflinching sexual domination of his desired object that resonates through mythological accounts. As the supreme god of the heavens, Zeus could enact his desires when and where he chose to do so, even utilising a chameleon-like ability to ‘trick’ his desired objects of affection into sexual liaisons. Parallels with the chameleon qualities of SL are evident here in the possibility to embody any human, or even creature, in the visual sense for the possibilities of sex or otherwise. The myth of Zeus not only represents physical and metaphysical mastery of the environment, but also sexual domination. As noted by Michael Kimmel, and as displayed through the representations on Apollo, as well as Zeus, this mastery and domination are principally displays for the gaze of other men: “Masculinity is a homosocial enactment” (2001, p. 275).

Contemporary society has in many ways returned to this ancient ideal through the championing of an often unachievable physical form. The active development and display of the male body draw heavily upon the Classical form and mastery of physical beauty and prowess as epitomised in the imagery of Zeus. This unachievable body, the pinnacle of masculinity, shows its influence through the popular pursuits of bodybuilding and gym work. In his analysis of the role of bodybuilding, including the paradox between masculinity and the male gaze, Mark Simpson describes the unease which led to the acceptance of the sport and the continuing tensions that threaten to position the development, active judgement and tacit approval of the near-naked male form as deviant. He argues:

while the appropriation of bodybuilding to buttress the image of an increasingly unstable masculinity appears to have been phenomenally successful, it is itself inherently unstable, its unsavoury past always threatening to gatecrash its new-found respectability and expose masculinity’s own scandalous secrets. (1994, p. 27)
There is a persistent paradox of the hyper-masculine form in its desperation for heterosexual affirmation that reaffirms not only the historical positioning of Ancient sexuality, but also the contemporary approval of the male gaze looking upon a desired male form. As Simpson suggests, although the mainstream culture has been resolute in framing the male body as fervently heterosexual, “it cannot erase the fact that its use as a way of socialising males into heterosexuality is utterly predicated upon its homoerotic appeal” (p. 29; emphasis in the original). This shows the tenuousness by which modern conceptions of masculinity are based on notions of the homo gaze (appearance and performance for other males). Although underscored by notions of homoeroticism, this gaze is rationalised through a heterosexual identification that contains and controls homosexual arousal.

Whilst bodybuilding may illustrate mastery of the physique in the corporeal world, in which it could be argued that power and strength are the major reasons for investing in the body over simply being gazed upon. However, there is no corollary in virtual space to the notion of physical strength. What remains within the virtual performance is the gaze; one encourages others to look upon the body, for it is only the body appearance that holds agency in unfamiliar relationships. Heterosexual, as well as homosexual, males need to present themselves with particular reference to the visual. The virtual environment renders masculinity entirely performative, mainly as a representation of an avatar’s appearance. On Zeus the dance floor acts as the space of spectacle where the body can be displayed in its full performativity. Although dance is commonly associated with femininity and the female body as spectacle, on Zeus the spectacle is the male body. However, it is important to note that the male body is not feminised; it remains a spectacle of masculine beauty. And whilst the avatars that visit Zeus display gay male signification they do not fit passive, nor effeminate, identification. Rather, they display masculine beauty as typified in mass culture. The reliance on gay beauty signifiers is perhaps in a response to the primacy of the visual. In researching gay male chat-rooms, John Edward Campbell (2004) found groups re-writing beauty in response to these mass depictions, and thus reworking depictions of desire through participation and sharing – a phenomenon that is absent on Zeus.

Bodies on Zeus emphasise the masculine qualities of the male, where the body falls back on signifiers of action and physical competence, rather than flamboyance or submissiveness. For instance, in the selected images, the avatars are proportioned to emphasise height, bulk and strength. They are sexualised in their dance moves, but these movements inscribe action and
muscular display – they are far more like the bodies seen at circuit parties than at pop events (see Peterson, 2011). The SL scripts that animate the avatars are set at default movements for gender, so that male avatars walk with larger gaits than females and with more exaggerated movements. This can be changed within the SL environment, but to do so requires either Linden dollars to invest in animating an avatar in a different way to the default, or the ability to master the technology itself. Whilst it is possible to re-animate an avatar, gender normativity in reference to movement is generally maintained alongside physical appearance. The only major element differentiating the male avatars on Zeus (presumably homosexual) to others in SL’s non-gay environments is their clothing, or lack thereof.

Gay expression abounds in the avatars’ attire, sporting revealing cuts and materials as well as signifiers such as cowboy hats and BDSM wear. It could be argued here that such clothing and appearance options feminise the body in its invitation to be looked upon. However, there is a complexity within the adoption of a subject-object position that cannot strictly be explained in terms of femininity. Whilst language often resorts to explaining behaviours through the female/male binary, such as in the assertion that a male who assumes the ‘bottom’ position is passive or somewhat girl-like (similar to the Freudian conception of inversion), these categories are too simplistic to evaluate the performances as seen on Zeus. The avatars (as seen above) are undeniably male (as a presumption of an underlying biological sex category), but they are also masculine. What they perform, much more so than in heterosexual culture (although this is becoming more commonplace), is a desire to be looked at, applauded and desired in their masculine beauty. Their clothing and desire to be seen is an extension of the narcissistic self, not in reference to the Freudian-pathological conception of homosexuality, but to the concept of late-capitalist masculinity itself.

Such narcissism on Zeus is predicated upon the heteronormative consumerist ideals of status and power. Hyper-capitalism has seen the expression of this ideal become centred on the body, whereby “men are defined now more than ever through their consumption, sexuality
and physical appearance” (Brubaker & Johnson, 2008, p. 131). Whilst some scholars have offered that this trend has shifted gender distinctions (see Gill, Henwood, & McLean, 2005), it can be argued instead that the aesthetisation of the male body is a new type of masculinity that both reinscribes and reinforces masculine discourse and hegemony. Rather than being feminised, new masculinities assert a phallocentrism and differentiation from the female through a gender identity performed for men. Hardness, in its physical (muscular and phallic) and psychological (mental and emotional toughness) embodiment, is a state of expression for men as a performance of mastery and power. The female object is only a referent: as something of comparison. Due to the contemporary focus on the male body as object, the opposition to the female becomes even more important for the assertion of masculinity, through hyper-masculinity. There is some suggestion “that men are responding to this crisis through increased social space for men’s bodies and emphasis on size, strength and violence as valued components of masculinity in popular culture” (Brubaker & Johnson, 2008, p. 132). Gay men have also bought into this notion of success subsumed under hyper-masculinity. In what can be seen as a refutation of the gay male in “being in some way or other ‘like’ a woman, fey, effeminate, sensitive, camp” (Dyer, 2002, p. 5), there has been a culture of virile queer guys in images, fashion and pornography post-1960s. As explained by Dyer, even in the absence of women, gay men’s sexuality is, at least in part, defined through either an affinity with, or opposition to, notions of femaleness and femininity. The commodified body, and the gaze it invites, are reinscribed as masculine performances; by men and for men in a rejection of the feminine.

As a required oppositional subject position, the feminine is necessary. Within the space of Zeus, femininity functions as a representation of heteronormative female avatars within the space as well as being an inscribed opposition to the masculine. As within corporeal public and private space, the presence of females has the effect of legitimising gay male sexuality in reference to the feared dangers and deviance of an unchecked hyper-masculinity assumed to be both uncivilised and uncontrollable. Male sexuality is seemingly moderated, more civilised and less threatening. Sender remarks that the “constraints historically placed on women’s sexual expression have been naturalized to appear as a consequence of (particularly white, upper-class) women’s low sexual drive, prudery, attachment to monogamy, and sexual vulnerability” (2003, p. 352).
The presence of heteronormative females on Zeus works to not only moderate the notion of rampant gay male sexuality, but to further entrench the idea of the (white, middle-class) female body as asexual, through their non-participation in an active sexual desire. Their presence can be viewed as ornamental, adding to the glamour and sophistication of the scene, as well as its cosmopolitanism (Binnie & Skeggs, 2004). Women in gay spaces are meant to be beautiful but not active. This is reflective of the presence of heterosexual females in CL gay clubs and bars, which are often considered safer than heterosexual spaces for women to socialise, protected from the advances of heterosexual male desire.

There is an undercurrent of not wanting to be perceived as feminine that pervades Zeus: the effeminate male is peculiarly absent. Although there are numerous signifiers of gayness throughout the space (the club environment, the colours, the flamboyant, and often absent, clothing), there is an obvious refusal to represent the self as effeminate. As a fantasy world, Zeus replicates the sexual desires and visions of the male body as mass produced in the gay mainstream. Bodies are tall, muscular and hard; desire and sexual liaisons are predicated upon this masculinity, where the female-object role as per heteronormativity is expressed ultimately through sex itself. In a mirror version of the theory of lesbian ‘inversion’ (where the more masculine subject assumes the male position), the ‘male’ role is activated through the sex act: that who does the penetrating remains male whilst the one receiving takes on the feminine position. Like Halberstam’s reasoning of lesbian sexuality as understood as separate from gender binaries and heteronormativity, so too must gay male sexuality not simply be reduced to an all too essentialised gender mimicry. The problem with the supposed dyad is reducing the masculine to ideas of domination as it resonates with heterosexual categorisation. Tim Edwards argues that the issue of domination within gay male pornography is “the explicit oppression of certain types of masculinity in these images” (1994, p. 88) whereby the less dominant, and therefore less masculine male, is subjected to the more dominant male’s
desires. He explains that this positioning of the lesser can be viewed through the broader lens of societal and cultural domination practices that seek to subjugate gender diversity and non-conformity:

More significantly still. This process is then juxtaposed with the oppression of male same-sex sexuality at a societal level which is constantly played upon in the pornography as the passive or powerless partner is always perceived as more primarily homosexual while the active or powerful partner’s sexuality is perceived to transgress from straight to gay and, in addition, the gay consumer of the pornography is led to identify with the pre-given gay partner in eroticising the transgressive straight to gay partner due to the camera’s construction of the passive partner as subject and the active partner as object.

(p. 88)

There is an obvious corollary here with any visual media that invites the passive gaze, and therefore positions the viewer as the homosexual recipient of arousal. 3D virtual environments however, may challenge this phenomenon due to passivity being replaced with active participation: if one can embody the more powerful position in a scene that is reminiscent of pornography then the associated power assumptions (and the links between femininity and homosexuality, particularly taking the ‘bottom’ position) can be circumvented. However, problems remain regarding the conventions of femininity and masculinity and the location of desire and agency as dictated through the norms of culture and power relations. If, within Zeus, a male wishes to embody the powerful, masculine position, he does so at the expense of another. Masculinity remains caught up in its presumptions: to be masculine means to position the object-of-desire as feminine and a lesser agent in sexual activity. On a broader level, it reinforces the subjugation of the feminine male as too-close-to-female, and therefore external to the privileges of masculinity.

Commodification
Contemporary discourses of masculine power are often enacted through consumerism. Susan Alexander argues that since the 1950s man has adopted a new form of masculinity that is a consumer product that “rests on one’s outward appearance rather than on the traditional male role of production” (2003, p. 551). Mackinnon argues that this change in masculinity can be attributed to contemporary capitalism’s incompatibility with the patriarchal division of traditional gendered behaviors:

When it no longer, as it were, suits capitalism that there be two antithetical, mutually exclusive genders, the patriarchal demand for belief in them is undermined. If contemporary capitalism needs, in addition to security of production, a technology of consumption together with the legitimation of desire, it is fair
comment that the differentiation of bodies by sex is increasingly irrelevant. (1997, p. 26)

This is particularly apparent in gay male sexualities as part of the urban consumer-class consciousness enacted through both the ability to spend on the body as well as discretionary spending on lifestyle products and services (Altman, 1996; Sender, 2003, 2004). The space of Zeus reflects this, having a large shopping precinct where one can buy items for bodily adornment as well as those for a leisure-filled lifestyle in-world, such as lighting rigs and DJ equipment. Outside of this precinct, and in various spaces throughout the region, there are many billboards advertising various products and services tailored primarily towards the gay male market. The emphasis is on the male body as beautiful in both its sexuality and masculinity, with the important departure from the Classical Greek idealism of male beauty being the commodification of that idealised representation. The body is not simply a product of hard work and physical mastery, as epitomised in Ancient Greece, but something that can be bought: a commodity related to the mastery of capitalism and market savvy. This is representative of the segmentation and categorisation of the gay market in Western capitalist societies, where specifically the gay male market has been identified as “a sufficiently large and profitable group to warrant marketers’ attention” (Sender, 2004, p. 1). This has attracted a large number of companies to appeal to gay male consumers over the social and political complexities that are present within society. The gay male market is often considered to have a large disposable income and a high investment in personal appearance and status, prompting particular attention from producers of high-end lifestyle products and purveyors of conspicuous consumables. Katherine Sender discusses the idea of discursive categorisation in reference to marketing and advertising in the US:

the gay community... is not a pre-existing entity that marketers simply appeal to, but is a construction, an imagined community formed not only through political activism but through an increasingly sophisticated, commercially supported, national media. (2004, p. 5)

Such discourses reinforce an identification of gay-identifying men to social expectations of what it means to be a gay male in Western culture. It is important to note here that such market segmentation helps to produce sub-categories of gay male sexuality. As opposed to presenting a cohesive unified whole, gay male sexualities are segmented along class and race divisions, as well as political allegiances, further entrenching norms into the pre-existing power structures of white, middle class masculinity. That whiteness is assumed is significant in the construction of commercial gay identities where black, Asian and other ethnicities are most often marginalised through their absence. This is particularly interesting given the position
black males often hold vis-à-vis hyper-masculinity and hyper-sexuality. Such exclusion reaffirms “the model of physical perfection embodied in classical Greek sculpture (which) serves as the mythological origin of the ethnocentric fantasy that there was only one "race" of human beings who represented what was good and true and beautiful” (Mercer, 1991, p. 192). Furthermore, the pervasive whiteness of the avatars signifies the culture of technology and the digital where there is a presumption of whiteness, if not at the very least as shown by Andil Gosine (2007) in a study of race in Gay.com, and the privileges afforded such whiteness.

Although much mainstream media seeks to desexualise the gay market in order for it to be palatable for heterosexual consumers, marketing for gay men within gay media and spaces is often highly sexualised, serving a market outside of the acceptable conservative norm. Zeus is a space for looking, and for selling oneself as a sexuality available and desirable gay male body, as shown in the images below. The screenshot below left is of a shop advertising highly sexual gay male clothing, and the shot taken below right is of an advertising billboard on the inside wall of the Greek monument advertising various services that include posters for explicitly sexual spaces and poses (to seductively animate one’s avatar in intimate encounters).

These depictions are anathema to what Sender describes as the publicly acceptable face of homosexuality, whereby “Anxieties about gay men’s sexuality, embodied in the two stereotypes of the hypersexual, predatory, possibly paedophilic gay man and the promiscuous AIDS victim, have shaped the constitution of the ideal gay consumer” (2003, p. 332). This ‘ideal’ consumer is seen as either non-sexual or barely sexual, where “the ‘charmed’ (or at least less abject) manifestations of homosexuality have become the public face of gayness” (p. 333). SL gay spaces such as Zeus represent the more subversive side of gayness that is often rejected in dominant media representations, whilst still reinforcing many of the dominant ideas of male consumerism, especially relating to the lack of difference in class, race and age depictions. This subversive market has been re-produced and refined in a way that draws upon the dominant discourses of male homosexuality, ultimately reinforcing dominant
representations of male beauty, masculinity and promiscuity that exist as a counter-public to the now acceptable face of homosexuality.

Although the ideal cultivated image of the gay male is of the “affluent, white, male, thirty something, gender-conforming, and sexually discreet” (Sender, 2003, p. 335), spaces in SL are not bounded by the same appeals to heteronormative conformity and conservatism as gay media in the mass public sphere. Spaces like Zeus, which tailor to this counter-public, are at the boundary of the public/private sphere. Although the space is accessible to anyone with the hardware and software capacity to enter the world, it has the veneer of privacy, due mostly to the anonymity of identity. This, coupled with the number of regular users of the site, means that on a global scale spaces such as Zeus remain relatively guarded from the public masses.

Such tolerance of the wider gay market as well as the niche market of gay sex and subversion points to a tolerance aligned with economic determinism whereby such identities are permissible in reference to their usefulness in the marketplace. Rob Cover explains that:

This “repressive tolerance” motif indicates a shift in viewing exploitation, along with many marxian traditions, in the sphere of production and indicates that exploitative repression occurs also in the categorization of a market identity whereby subjects are encouraged to consume in order to “fulfil” their ostensible identity. (2006, p. 296)

However, this usefulness is generally predicated alongside the prevailing norms of the heterosexual matrix so that ideologies and discourses are reaffirmed within the economic structure as well as the cultural. Through the intense commodification of the body, the gay male on Zeus is inscribed as a master consumer. The major departure from mass media representations of gayness is the sexuality inscribed into the space whereby the body is seen as the site of extant desire. Crossing over from the more public face of homosexuality, the shops and representations of Zeus illustrate the marketplace extending into the subversive. As with pornography and sex shops, the non-conformist is allowed to practice deviance dependent on their economic agency.

Although the space of Zeus rejects the conservatism of mainstream media depictions of gayness, it reinscribes many dominant and historical signifiers: the gay male as beautiful, affluent and promiscuous, as well as predominantly white. It can be seen as part of the greater industry of internet communication technologies that market within the industry of social connections for the homosexual subculture, particularly that of the sexually subversive. Parallels can be made to sites such as Gaydar (an internet site utilised by gay men looking to
find other gay men). Research into the site has found that, although it is not a sexually explicit site (not explicitly pornographic) it is used for introductions as well as providing an escort service (however, it is also used by men for various purposes including finding friends and creating social networks) (Light, Fletcher, & Adam, 2008, p. 307). Similarly, the preferred type of gay man is inscribed through its sign-up process which is further reinforced through marketing and branding. Research conducted by Light, Fletcher and Adam discusses the preferred advertisers of Qsoft (owners of Gaydar):

QSoft continuously enrolls advertisers whose specific visual and textual representations of sexuality conform to and confirm specific meanings of attractiveness and affluence. The enrolment necessarily commodifies the sexuality of individual members and in doing so encourages these members to reflect on the extent to which they reveal themselves and their identities. (p. 306)

Like Gaydar, Zeus inscribes the preference for the ideal gay man as a sexually available, masculine, muscular and affluent subject who is discerning of others. There is a reinforcement of masculine power through the representations depicted by advertisers as well as the prevailing norms of users. Through the intense commodification of the body, the gay male on Zeus is inscribed as a master consumer, eliciting homonormativity through consumption and mimicking the practices allowed in the heteronormative conservative marketplace. The major departure from mass media representations of gayness is the hyper-sexuality inscribed into the spaces, images and subject and object positions of the avatars. Whilst avatars have been successfully defined by the prevailing attitudes of masculinity and the beautiful consumer body, subversion comes through the sexual practice of deviance.

**Moral Panics of Subversive Sex**
The night-club space is significant in gay culture, having deep historical and cultural connections with subversive sex practices (as defined by the mass public). With roots in the bath-houses and bars of the 1970s such spaces are often enclaves for alternate sexual proclivities and practice, being ‘safe’ from judgement, harassment and often violence (see Bérubé, 2008). The connections to subversive sex remain even in the development of progressive sexual politics that have seen greater visibility and acceptance of non-heterosexual coupling. Through digital technology the cultures and practices of non-normative sex often replicate the signifying practices of the corporeal, so that chat-rooms (in text-based communication) and 3-D virtual environments resemble the bath-houses, bars, night-clubs, tea-rooms and cottages of the physical world. In researching casual sex in a university environment, premised by activity on the site Uni_cock, Sharif Mowlabocus describes the
connection of technology with illegitimate behaviour and the extension of digital culture from and to the physical (2008, p. 434). Similarly, Zeus is an extension of these cottaging, tea-room or tacit hook-up practices, where liaisons are acted out through the screen, rather than using the screen as a precursor to corporeal sex. Still, such ‘lifestyle choices’ remain outside of the legitimate sphere of sexual practice, particularly given the anonymity and limited temporality of such practices. Furthermore, there are specific connotations of masculinity here, where the anonymity and fleeting nature of sex resonates with an unfettered masculine sexuality that operates outside of ‘gay’ insofar as gay is understood in the mass culture. The anonymity and fleeting-ness of such encounters plays into a fantasy of masculine sexuality, whereby one is not necessarily considered gay but engages in dangerous or deviant sex, such as in the ‘great dark man’, ‘rough trade’ or sex with heterosexual men.

Other practices of deviance are also reified and expanded through the internet, such as pornography and barebacking (sex without a condom). Finlayson (2012) suggests that “For most gay men the politics of sex are subsidiary to their need for emotional and sexual intimacy. A condom coldly reminds them of HIV status, whereas latex-free sex is seen (and felt) as intensely liberating”. Furthermore, in a 2003 study by Halkitis, Parsons and Wilton, who researched the practice of barebacking among gay and bisexual identified men in New York, 30.7% of respondents indicated that they agreed with the statement that “barebacking is popular because of the internet” (45.5% of the 448 men surveyed who were familiar with the term ‘barebacking’ indicated that they had participated in the practice in the three months prior to the survey) (2003, p. 353). The major reason for implicating the internet in the popularity of barebacking was due to the ease of finding others anonymously and in reference to hook-ups on the internet for casual sex. Importantly, such research also acknowledges the issue of risk-taking behaviours that can be associated with bars, clubbing, alcohol and the rise of crystal-meth in the late 1990s (Dean, 2009, p. 102).

Such risk-taking, as well as the gay pornographic culture of barebacking that privileges ‘raw flesh’ over safe sex, reinscribes a type of hyper-masculinity into gay culture, suggesting that “the internet might be productive (not just reflective) of emerging sexual cultures” (Dowsett, Williams, Ventuneac, & Carballo-Diegez, 2008, p. 122). Halkitis et al. suggest that “gay and bisexual men perceived numerous psychological and emotional benefits associated with barebacking, including but not limited to feelings of connectedness, intimacy, and masculinity” (2003, p. 355). Similarly, Dowsett et al. found in their study of barebacking and masculinity on
US internet sites, that masculinity was central to the practice, albeit a different manifestation of the masculine from what is understood through the heteronormative:

We found a kind of masculinity in which that articulation of sexuality and gender was exercised in different and unique ways, neither as simply derivative and as replica of heterosexual men, nor as a superficial text and performance through which the palimpsest of ‘real men’ could be seen. (p. 125)

Dean suggests that barebacking is a subculture unto itself, “with its own distinct identities, rituals, and iconography” (2009, p. 104). Sex-based cultures embody a different sort of masculinity through practices that reify masculinity through sexuality. Whilst signification can simultaneously connote ‘gay’ as well as ‘masculine’ from extraneous observation, the practices performed as inherent to the culture are far more complex in terms of gendered and sexual performance. A specific problem associated with commercialised and subversive spaces and identities is how to position the self and sexuality relative to the normative culture. Zeus displays these tensions with meaning derived from the commercialised body as well as from the subversive body, which work upon each other to inscribe various sign-values of representation generally iterated through desire.

In view of Western conservatism, Zeus can be framed as a seedy underbelly of illegitimate behaviour. With particular reference to phallocentrism, the homosexual male is unchecked in its deviance and unstable as an individual agent of desire, signifying the “masculine libido incarnate, the dangerous antithesis of family and community” (Stacey, 2004, p. 181). The internet, and its associated connotations of deviance, works to produce spaces considered anathema to the ‘normal’ and controlled functioning of society:

Control over the body has long been considered essential to producing an orderly work force, a docile populace, a passive law-abiding citizenry. Just consider how many actual laws are on the books regulating how bodies may
be seen and what parts may not, what you may do with your body in public and in private, and it begins to make more sense that the out-of-control, unmannerly body is precisely what threatens the orderly operation of the status quo. (Sender, 2003, p. 333)

Outside of the mainstream acceptance of hetero- and homo-normativity, spaces like Zeus demonstrate the supposed dangers of hyper-consumerism and the overly narcissistic attention to the body and its pleasures. However, as put forward by Judith Stacey, such spaces can position male homosexual culture as “a potent source of oppositional values and cultural resistance” (p. 182). This subculture may well pose a threat to the established order of domesticity and the politics of coupledom, but should not be viewed as a threat on an individual level as a form of deviance and incivility. What is potentially antagonistic to the social order, below the superficial arguments regarding legitimate sexual behaviour (including promiscuity as an assault on monogamy and coupledom, and rampant hyper-sexuality) is the very notion of masculinity itself.

The undermining of constructed gendered positions may well be the source of fear to critics of non-normative sexualities. Masculine subject positions in particular, due to their privileged place in society, are seen as deviant and dangerous when practiced outside of the accepted societal frames. With access to power within society, there is the potential for subverting the established conditions of heterosexual males. However, by reinforcing the sexual and relationship dyad, such as through the acceptance of homosexual unions, the hegemonic conditions are maintained. Hyper-masculinity, in its display of promiscuity, power, pornography and phallocentrism, can be seen as dangerous to the power of heterosexual males through the dissolution of the feminine (and female) subject position. The problem with hyper-masculine gay sexuality may be the difficulty in assigning a female subject position; if two men are seen as equally masculine, or if masculine men are seeking other masculine men, then there is a problem in delegating a female, or feminine, position. The relative absence of the feminine on Zeus, or a desire for the feminine as object, renders all male and masculine as object positions and therefore desirable and capable of being dominated by the hyper-masculine subject position. The symbolism of masculinity itself becomes a currency for a masculine sexuality that threatens the male (and supposedly masculine) heterosexual as object. Through projections of penis size, masculinity and physical and sexual positioning (who’s on top as dominant), the gay hyper-masculine subject contests the very notions of masculinity as attached, and defined by, heterosexuality. Moreover, the moral panics associated with the sexual subculture as found on Zeus can be seen as fears of the extension of masculinity in the “conviction that audiences respond to pornographic stimuli mimetically, by
enacting in real life what they see on film” reinscribing the fears of heterosexual porn, as applied to the positioning of women (and potential violence to women), onto the practices of (masculine) men (Dean, p. 114). Furthermore, there is the re-positioning of gay sex as dangerous and harmful, reinforcing the attitudes of the 1980s and 1990s in reference to HIV.

In response to these fears, and as a way of legitimising gay culture, there is a strong movement from gay conservatives to homogenise gay culture and in so doing, establish the gay community as conformist. The idea of sexually exclusive partnerships has been sustained in the transformation of sexual liberties within society as applied to marginal sexual communities, to the point where homosexuality has become to some degree legitimised within the boundaries of homonormative behaviour and practice as they mirror heterosexual behaviour and practice. Cover explains that the shift in sexual legitimacy is no longer about the dichotomy of male/female gendered practice or hetero/homo sexual identity, “to those which contrast stable couple-like relationships with ‘promiscuous’ sexual liaisons” (2010, p. 252). This dichotomy produces a dyad of legitimate/illegitimate identity predicated on the policing of desire. This legitimising force is borne out through research which suggests that coupling and monogamy have pervaded gay culture in Western societies, with a reported increase in gay youths desiring long-term monogamous relationships, as well as a down-swing in the reported number of sexual partners outside of established relationships (Gotta et al., 2011, p. 371). This can certainly be seen as the more publicly acceptable face of gay culture in which the heteronormative standard is closely followed, and it is suggested here that such reports closely follow the gay conservative movement in regards to the gay marriage debates.

Concurrently, however, and in the acknowledgement of the populist movement towards gay conservatism, there is a thriving culture of subversive practices and behaviours, such as clubbing, bath-houses, barebacking, circuit and other themed parties. Such subversive events and spaces have persisted historically despite various attempts at policing desire, and have perhaps flourished as agents of antagonism to the endeavours of legitimising the entire category of gay and/or queer. And this is the space which Zeus and its occupants inhabit. It is a space that acknowledges and reaffirms many of the populist tropes of desire but positions them at the edge of their acceptability. There is a play on desire here in terms of projected desire in relation to masculine embodiment, yet a reaffirmation of what is expected of masculinity and male success. This is perhaps typical of the internet whereby corporeal desires are extended through a re-embodied self of potential detachment from the everyday, yet are often reattached in the commonplace signifiers of gender, sexuality, race and class.
Conclusion
The moral panics that surround gay male sexuality and the internet are variously articulated through the site of Zeus. The displays of sexuality offered remain marginal, even as the majority culture becomes tolerant of conservative homosexuality. What participation on Zeus represents is a countervailing narrative anathema to conservative standards in terms of sexual desire. It is illustrative of a queer subculture that continues to exist outside of the tolerance and acceptance given in mass media depictions and political discourse in Western society.

Whilst such acceptance should be applauded in many instances, it must also be scrutinised for its ability to reframe values, mores and ways of being along an arbitrary demarcation of what is acceptable and what is deviant. In the push for equality, especially the recent movement for marriage equality, there is a risk of homogenising queer individuality, lives and partnerships that can produce a new line of discrimination according to coupledom, gender conformity and conservative behaviours. As result, those who prefer to negotiate their lives outside of such normative principles risk being further demonised and their practices delegitimised as deviant, unacceptable and dangerous to greater society. This occurs not only in sexual practice, however, and it is this contradiction that the site of Zeus also highlights through the absence of gender difference. Gender conformity is as much an issue of conservative politics as with sexual choice, and the absence of non-conformist avatars within the space suggests an adherence to a hyper-masculine ideal of sexual prowess. In associating the masculine ideal with sexual promiscuity, the space reaffirms the conditions of gender as established through patriarchal and heterosexist authority, as well as reiterating masculine power and agency.
7. The female body and the implied male gaze: The case of *Eden*

*Introduction*
In contrast to the idea that male-designed and populated spaces reinforce patriarchal conditions, is the essentialist assumption that spaces designed for and by females should have different spatial goals and outcomes, as well as being utilised in a contrasting manner. This is not always the case however, due to the implicit foundations of viewing and participatory practices that are inscribed onto space and representation. Eden: The Seduction as a site explicitly for “lesbian and bi girls”, illustrates the conditioned conformity to standardised depictions of femininity, sexuality and the gaze. Although the space intends to be for lesbian and other non-normative female representation, identity and community (“Greek Gold Lesbian Resort,” 2011), there is in actuality a very different outcome of passive conformity to heteropatriarchal conventions. For this reason, Eden is a space that cannot be categorised as queer, despite its intentions. Because of the continued marginalisation of non-normatively gendered and sexually diverse individuals, the categories ‘queer’ and ‘queerness’ are framed as having a political purpose, and are not simply a description of alternate sexual practice. Despite the supposed absence of males within Eden, the male gaze becomes re-inscribed through the normativity of feminine expression as well as the commodification and sexualisation of the female body. The gaze is reified through the screen, as a pleasure in looking and as part of the narrative gaze as described in the formative work by Laura Mulvey (1975). Mulvey’s focus is on psychoanalysis and cinematic structure but her point that “(i)n their traditional exhibitionist role women are simultaneously looked at and displayed, with their appearance coded for strong visual and erotic impact so that they can be said to connote to-be-looked-at-ness” (p. 62) is highly relevant for the screen space of Eden. This chapter adds upon the previous analyses of representation and space by investigating the dominant tropes of femininity within popular culture. The focus on the screen subject in relation to feminist and post-feminist discourses underpins the politics of gender that are enacted through the screen.

Specific spaces in Eden (the dance-floor, castle and shopping area) produce implied and accepted voyeurism and offer opportunities for exhibitionism. Although some sexualised conduct would be permitted given the mature rating of the space, the congruence with heteronormative, voyeuristic and pornographic representation is problematic for a supposedly queer space. The effect of such representation is to reinforce normative depictions of femininity and sexuality aligned with the male gaze and to further marginalise female expression outside the rigid gender binary. This acts as a conservative force on potential
subversive sexualities whereby non-normative identities are subsumed by the binary gender model.

*Space & Feminism*

Rather than departing from patriarchal constraints, Eden is a space that reinforces many of the normative representations of the female subject. Framed as a female-only space, it could be expected that Eden would depart from patriarchal depictions. However, the way the environment has been created, designed and managed is similar to corporeal adult leisure space. The screenshot below shows the dance-club and beach areas that are typical for showcasing the body.

![Figure 7.1: Overview of the Dance-floor and Castle](image)

According to Pritchard and Morgan, the male gaze is privileged in leisure space. This has an effect on bodies within leisure spaces, because “the ways in which landscapes and destinations are imaged have significant implications for how those places and their peoples are perceived” (2000, p. 886). Extrapolating the male/female binary onto that of leisure/domesticity, it is apparent that CL leisure spaces are historically sites of male privilege. Pritchard and Morgan contend that the lack of research into gender in leisure and tourist space has the effect that the male gaze, and associated privileges, becomes inscribed into leisure space and therefore becomes the norm. The entrenchment of this norm could perhaps explain the adherence of Eden to heteronormative practices: through the absence of an alternative, the ‘norm’ is represented. There are four key areas on Eden that all work together to inscribe this notion: the beach “as a place of adventure and sexual possibility” (Rojek, 1993, p. 189) signals an implicit approval of the naked, or near-naked, form of the body; the shops, where one can purchase various clothing for their avatar epitomises the consumerist ideal, reinforcing gendered bodily representation and an inscribed objectification; the dance-club further reinforces this ideal
through themed nights and events such as ‘Naughty N Nice’, ‘Desperados’ and ‘Vampire Night’ that underpin the site’s hedonistic and sexual appeal; and the castle, which is the only structure on Eden that has secluded, private spaces, giving the assumption of a more intimate and sexual space than the other locations. Inside the castle is a smaller dance floor with dancepoles, as well as private rooms with animations that engage avatars in sexual activity. As discussed in chapter three, the spatial configuration of SL, and therefore the islands and regions it contains, are inherently patriarchal constructs. An extension of techno-domination, their spatialities are assumed male and masculine. They are both techno-spaces and leisure spaces, both which assume the male gaze and where pleasure is derived through mastery of the environment. The spatial constructs of Eden reinforce the foundations of spatial construction of the corporeal; Eden is an extension of the pleasure periphery.

This continuation of normative spatial representation extends to avatar representation where the appearance, and performance, of avatars on Eden also takes a heteronormative function: that of the feminine. The absence of androgyny, or gendered difference, on Eden is a questionable phenomenon in a self-defined non-normative space, particularly when taken alongside the other heteronormative aspects of the environment. If you were unaware upon arrival on Eden that it was a female-only and minority space, you could be forgiven for mistaking it for a heterosexual space. The male gaze is inscribed in the environment and in the appearance and performance of the avatars. Although it is possible, as part of a postmodern and subjective account of the gaze, for a female to embody a masculine subject position in her looking, the saturation and ubiquity of the feminine form detract from any sense of a variety of choice in her own performance, thereby reinscribing gendered positions. This construction of gender is indicative of the heteronormative practice of keeping femininity and masculinity apart, diluting the potential for queer expression as multitudinous. It is ultimately this absence of choice that limits identity expression that is so necessary in spaces designed and utilised by those of subjugated groups. Although Eden is a space restricted to female avatars (the possibility for CL male infiltration of the space is discussed later), the space is populated in the majority by hyper-feminine female avatars.
The customary appearance of avatars on Eden is of a sexualised appearance. Avatars wear few clothes, revealing much of their skin, and emphasise curvaceous and youthful figures. In addition, most avatars have long hair and reflect a CL heteronormativity, as shown in figure 7.2 above.

There is an incongruency between Eden’s self-classification as a space for “lesbian and bi girls” and its usefulness as a space of tolerance and acceptability for a spectrum of female-identifying individuals. Whilst Eden is a space for certain types of females, particularly those identifying with modes of sexuality divergent from the norm, it cannot be categorised as queer. As elaborated by Jodie Taylor, defining queer is important as it identifies the embedded ideological foundations of identity:

Both Jagose (1996) and Phelan (1997) recognise that queer is particularly concerned with interrogating the notions of fixed, coherent, unified and transparent identity categories. Butler (1990) however, reminds us that gender and sexual identities are in no way natural or stable, suggesting instead that gender is constructed by a series of repeated gestures understood as performative. Queer is not lesbian and gay, suggests Butler (2001), but rather is an argument against lesbian and gay specificity and the idea that being lesbian or gay presupposes that we perform our gender and sexuality in a particular, fixed manner. There is, of course, contention over the usefulness of these definitions, because some scholars have argued that such ambiguous theoretical suppositions fail to account for lived experience. (1975, p. 653)

Perhaps in a perfect world identity categories would be made obsolete, but Butler’s contention does lead us to suspend a strict adherence to categories that re-normalise subjugated groups within new boundaries of adherence. In the acknowledgement that such all-encompassing dissolution of gender and sexual categories is not, at least in this moment of time, possible, queer as a descriptor can be used as a destabiliser of categorisation, and so can be understood
as a marker of fluidity in an environment whereby a spectrum of gender and sexual positions are represented. This has practical outcomes for those identities that cannot, and will not, be subsumed into homogeneity, even at a sub-classification level (such as by various identifiers and markers of queer, lesbian, bi- or gay performance).

Spaces such as Eden initially seem a welcome environment as they potentially provide a unique destination for a global queer female community. Lesbian spaces in CL are often less visible and less commercial than gay male spaces and heterosexual social spaces, which can produce a difficulty in accessing community for those not familiar with ‘the scene’ (Valentine & Skelton, 2003). In contrast, spaces such as Eden on the SL platform are relatively easy to access, with the benefit of anonymity. Tom Boellstorff suggests that SL may provide a “virtual closet” where one “could live out same-gender desires they were unwilling or unable to enact” in CL. He further adds that “Second Life could act as a venue to grow comfortable” with an alternative identity “before coming out in the actual world” (1972, p. 165). As a site of initial engagement with the (female-identified) queer community however, spaces such as Eden are unrepresentative due to the feminisation and sexualisation of female representation. This may be counter-productive in the ‘coming out’ process of youth, or even in the continuing engagement with community for those living outside of cities that often foster visible gay/queer communities. The uncertainty of queer youth in ‘coming out’ is explained by Valentine and Skelton:

First, the majority of young people are born into, and grow up within, heterosexual families where the expectation is also that they too will be heterosexual. As such they rarely have any direct contact with lesbian and gay men and therefore have little knowledge or experience of alternative sexualities and what it means to live a lesbian and gay lifestyle. (2003, p. 852)

The problem with Eden as a space of community and ‘coming out’ is that it is replete with heteronormative and hypergendered forms inconsistent with the subversion of gender found in many queer CL spaces. ‘The scene’ as characterised in the queer community in its bars, pubs and social spaces “not only provides a transitional space where young lesbians and gay men can express their self-identities, but also offers a space where others can validate these identities” (Valentine & Skelton, 2003, p. 854). In taking SL queer spaces, such as Eden, as an extension of this scene as a place of social engagement, then it can certainly be problematic for identity formation and internal cohesion, particularly for androgynous individuals who may feel ostracised from the space due to its emphasis on the body in terms of hypergendered forms.
As noted by Valentine (1996) and Casey (2004), there is a need for queer female space as separate from other spaces, even those delineated as gay. Often lesbians and bisexual females utilise mixed gay and queer spaces due to the limited number of women-only queer venues and/or events that exist which, according to Casey (2004), can sometimes create tension between queer males and females. This, alongside the recent phenomena of the de-gaying of gay ghettos by heterosexuals seeking spectacle, has made queer female areas difficult to maintain on any regular basis. For spaces set up for minority cultures, ‘infiltration’ can effectively impact on that culture and, in the case of SL where anonymity prevails, it can have the effect of eroding trust in the space itself and those who use it. It has been proposed, as part of SL’s age verification debate, by an owner of a female-only space that SL should introduce voluntary gender verification to prevent lesbian spaces being infiltrated by CL males. However, this is not current policy in-world, so the possibility of males embodying female avatars is ongoing (Fine, 2010). Although there is anecdotal speculation on SL blog sites that you can tell a male-in-drag by their avatar’s breast size (with the assumption being that large-breasted female avatars are more often men), there is no real means of gender identification. In addition, there is the very real possibility that males-in-drag frequent female-only spaces for sexual purposes which can be very unsettling for queer females using the space for community or interaction. As described by the aforementioned age verification forum, such masquerades can have emotional consequences, such as the feeling of cyber-rape.

There is an assumption that the creation of lesbian spaces in the virtual realm would be outside of the same constraints imposed on communities of difference in CL, where real-world boundaries, judgement and intrusion of heteronormativity impose themselves onto such spaces due to geography, the small amount of gay and queer dedicated spaces (especially female-only spaces) and the entry of heterosexuals into these spaces. Virtual spaces, in contrast, potentially minimise these constraints and intrusions through removing the geographical boundaries of travel and entry into the space. For instance, one does not have to travel through the potentially threatening streets of the city in order to access the queer space, or gay ghettos, of CL – research by Tomsen and Markwell (2009, p. 202)“suggests prejudice-driven violence directed against gay men, lesbians and other non-heteronormative groups is widespread” despite the growth in representations of such identities in the mass media. In addition, due to Eden being a female-only space, there is an initial expectation that only bisexual and lesbian females will use the space. Additionally it is assumed that avatar appearance and performance will reflect queer sensibility in CL. Given that SL allows for the
expression of appearance outside that of CL physicality, there is the potential for displays of androgyny and subversive modes of gender more radical than that seen in the corporeal environment, displays that could be read as even more queer than those found in environments surrounded by conservatism. Valentine and Skelton remark on the importance of queer performance on the scene:

The scene offers young lesbians and gay men an opportunity to step out of the hetero-normative world where they often feel marginalized. Clubs and bars provide spaces where people can lose themselves and their troubles in music, dance and sex. They are expressive, performative spaces where people can enjoy themselves together in ways that can be empowering. (2003, p. 855)

As a site of queer validation, Eden is problematic. Although it is necessary to acknowledge all forms of expression of individual queer (or not queer) expression, the minimal performance of a queer sensibility as often seen through androgyny and subversion, prompts curiosity regarding the usefulness of the space as a site of community, solidarity and transgression.

As a result of this critique, it is perhaps more useful to categorise Eden, less as a lesbian or queer space, and more as a space of spectacle. If queer space is defined as a space where one can feel comfortable in one’s individual expression of sexuality outside of normative identity performance and sexuality, and lesbian space as a site where one can express a female-centred queer identity, then Eden falls outside of these parameters. Instead, it is offered that Eden is a space for heteronormative female to female sexual practices.

**Representation of the (Queer) Female Subject**

Despite being a space only for female avatars, female expression on Eden tends to be hypersexual and feminine. Eden is thus analogous to many mainstream representations of a fetishized female-to-female sexuality, and ultimately undermines the performance of alternate embodiment and ways of being whilst reinforcing prevailing patriarchal assumptions about female appearance, performance and sexuality. This is illuminated in those issues of the space
that can erode the foundations of the site as a useful, safe and tolerant space for all lesbian and bisexual females. Such issues are related to the representation of the feminine norm, and the internalisation of the gaze, which include: the limiting of a female queer identity to a feminised and/or heteronormative expression; the reduction of queer sexuality to that of novelty; tensions between lesbian and bisexual identity; the ‘infiltration’ of queer space by both heterosexual females and CL males embodying female avatars; and the reading of sexuality of the site as heterosexual pornography for the male gaze:

Men look at women. Women watch themselves being looked at. This determines not only most relations between men and women but also the relation of women to themselves. The surveyor of woman in herself is male: the surveyed female. Thus she turns herself into an object- and most particularly and object of vision: a sight. (Berger, 1972, p. 47)

For these reasons Eden may be problematic for queer females in terms of identity and expression, and instead of being a space of sexual freedom may become an extension of their sexual identity as practiced in CL spaces. There are alternate viewing positions, articulated in critiques of Mulvey’s and Berger’s work, that position the female as powerful in a position where she looks upon others and holds power in being looked upon particularly as a non-heterosexual subject (see Evans & Gamman, 1995). However, it is the contention here that such a position is ultimately marginalised by the dominance of the fetishized spectacle of the erotic female.

As described by Barbara Creed, the lesbian body in popular entertainment is “variously depicted as narcissist, sex-fiend, creature, tomboy, vampire, man-eater, child, nun, virgin”. She also asserts that “one does not need a specific kind of body to become – or to be seen as – a lesbian” (1995, p. 87), meaning all females have the potential (or threat) of lesbianism. This pronounces a threat to the social order of heterosexual marriage and male sexual dominance, whilst at the same time presenting a disruptive sexual deviancy that males desire access to. If access is denied, lesbianism fulfils the promise of existing outside of male desire. Feminised depictions of lesbianism, however, are seen as less threatening and symptomatic of what Creed defines as the ‘narcissistic lesbian body’ where “the other woman symbolise(s) her own reflection” (1995, p. 100). The threat of the narcissistic body, unlike the masculine lesbian body, is overturned through depictions of femininity, and instead becomes ambiguous and desirable:

The narcissistic femme lesbian, however, almost always adopts an ambiguous position in relation to the gaze of the camera/spectator. She is on display, her
pose actively designed to lure the gaze; the crucial difference is, however, that the spectator is shut out from her world. He may look but not enter. Images of the lesbian double are designed to appeal to the voyeuristic desires of the male spectator. (Creed, 1995, p. 100)

More recent depictions of the ‘narcissist female lesbian’ in popular culture have become the norm for lesbian representation. Lisa Diamond argues that the pervasiveness of heteroflexibility “can have the effect of trivializing and depoliticizing same sex sexuality by portraying it as a fashionable ‘add on’ to otherwise conventional heterosexuality”, and that “such images implicitly convey that the most desirable and acceptable form of female–female sexuality is that which pleases and plays to the heterosexual male gaze” (2005, p. 105). As made explicit by Diamond, such representations of femininity within queer boundaries can have a positive effect in showing the diversity of the queer female body. However, this becomes problematic when it is the only depiction of female queerness and otherness, as it reinforces heteronormativity and desire that is inextricably linked to the male gaze. Furthermore, “it presents girl-on-girl action as exciting, fun, but, crucially, as entirely unthreatening to heterosexuality” (Evans & Gamman, 1995, p. 153).

For non-heterosexual women, spaces that represent heteronormativity reinforce standards of appearance and behaviour experienced in most facets of their lives. As noted by Valentine (1993) this is dealt with by having multiple sexual identities in order to traverse the complexities and difficulties that can occur due to being ‘out’ in various places. Levels of comfort in performing identity range across the public spaces of work and leisure and the private space of home. Valentine describes the performance of sexuality over both time and space, where the individual monitors their appearance as well as their behaviour according to the suitability and acceptance of queerness and/or otherness of the environment that they are in. In considering the site of Eden as typifying heterosexual gender expression, it may be necessary for some queer females to play up to this representation, and thus extend their CL performance of sexual and gender fluidity. This produces a contradiction of form and function of queerness: avatars are expected to fit the feminised model of appearance but are also expected to perform queer sexuality. Furthermore, there is an alignment with the power relations entrenched in CL public space highlighting “how sexual identity is strongly linked to gender identity and hence heterosexuality to patriarchy” (Valentine, 1993, p. 246). For such a significant number of avatars to assume a normative feminised appearance suggests that the power relations of CL spaces not only superimpose themselves onto virtual sexualised space, but can even be amplified.
One of the key problems with Eden as a queer space is in the normalisation of hyper-feminised avatars and complicity to gender norms, whereby the space-value of queer space can be categorised through the potential for transgression, embodiment, identity and subversion outside of the established norms of patriarchal sexuality. Instead, the site of Eden frames queer sexuality as a novelty, as a supplement to heterosexual desire rather than as a replacement; female to female sexuality is seen as exotic.

The normative gaze of the heterosexual male onlooker is where the performance draws upon notions of desire. Whether a male is present in form is irrelevant; the gaze is omnipresent and normative, allowing for what is acceptable and what is not. The power of avatar performance comes from an adherence to patriarchal desire, in that “the sexualisation of lesbian bodies... seems to be constructed in relation to heterosexuality not as an autonomous or independent sexual identity” (Evans & Gamman, 1995, p. 153). This site of representation reinforces Rosalind Gill’s contention of lesbian images in advertising where the dominant representation is that of the “hot” or “luscious lesbian” (p. 151). This figure “is notable for her extraordinary attractive, conventionally feminine appearance. Women depicted in this way are almost always slim yet curvaceous, flawlessly made up and beautiful” (p. 153). This commodification of female-to-female sexuality is an extension of the framing of heterosexist desire and eroticism that bases the pleasure at the site of the gaze and the objectification of the feminine body. It appears as an extension of the controlling and surveying mechanisms of the visual; a replication in form of the ‘right’ way to display the erotic female body.

Sexualisation, voyeurism and commodification: The implied male gaze
The implied gaze is directly linked to voyeurism. It can be argued that the visual nature of the internet and 3D visual environments implicitly encourage passive viewing behaviours as well as
the ability to explore taboos and fetishes. The relative anonymity of the internet emboldens users to engage in behaviours that they may have previously been reluctant to entertain. Unlike stalking or pathological voyeurism however, SL users participate knowing the potential of the gaze and often display reciprocal voyeuristic behaviour. In Eden, voyeurism is enacted through the patriarchal gaze and female desire as subsumed within the eroticisation of female to female interaction. Voyeurism has become a normative activity in relation to visual culture especially as part of screen culture, however, according to Jonathan Metzl, the gender implications have remained uncontested in view of the Freudian assumption of anxiety and control:

Critiques that presuppose these two categories (normative and pathological) fail to see, for instance, how reality television shows, twenty-four-hour Webcam internet sites, and other examples of the voyeurism popular culture has so effortlessly adopted as an autobiographical adjective often re-enact the very gender anxieties identified, and reproduced, by psychoanalysis, while blindly pathologizing threats to normative heterosexuality and heterosexual marriage. (2004, p. 419)

Taken in the above context, voyeurism on Eden is largely normative through its re-construction of the girl-on-girl scenes reminiscent of mainstream heterosexual pornography. As noted earlier, Laura Mulvey asserts that women are traditionally given the exhibitionist role in viewing pleasure, their bodies coded for “visual and erotic impact” (1975, p. 62). As such, these scenes reinscribe feminine performance to that which is defined through and by male desire.

There are three spaces on Eden that allow and reinforce voyeurism: the dance club, the castle, and the shops. The club has a stage that features the DJ and often has the ‘Eden Sisters’ (the managers of the space) overlooking the dance floor. Dancers utilise animations that enable their avatar to dance erotically so that the club visually represents a space of seduction, akin to the club scenes in mainstream music videos, or their “space of action” as described by Coy in her discussion of the sexualisation of young women:

Girls’ space for action is... shaped by expectations and ideals that limit their life, career and self-aspirations, and the relationship with their body, to those plastered over advertising billboards, music videos and reality television, with few alternatives presented as desirable. (2009, p. 378)

It is not unusual to see avatars in embrace on the middle of the dance floor, drawing attention to their sexual display and exciting similar behaviour in others. The dance club replicates masculinised space for viewing pleasure. Performance is monitored by the ‘Eden Sisters’ who are situated above the dance floor, looking down in tacit approval of the ‘action’ below. In
addition, avatars who are situated on the periphery of the dance floor, encircle the space of performance with their collective gaze.

The castle offers an even more sexualised space. As the only enclosed space on Eden, it signifies a site of seclusion and sexual encounters too risqué for the open environment. Congruent with this suggestion is the interior of the castle itself: darkened rooms, a smaller more intimate dance floor equipped with dance pole, erotic pictures adorning the walls, and couches with animations (pose-balls) for kissing and cuddling make up the decor of the main entrance hall. In addition, the castle has various smaller, private rooms equipped with beds (or a bath such as in the below image) and animations for sexual intimacy.

The castle is a clichéd spatial configuration, signifying commodified sexuality. The possible sexual performances, permitted by avatar animations in the castle’s spaces, reproduce the codes of female-to-female sexual interaction in hetero-normative media. Although the castle has the appearance of seclusion, this is more suggestion than fact; it is possible to view all spaces of the castle at any time. This is possible due to the open access of the spaces, and also due to the capability of the viewing toggle (part of the camera feature) of SL’s interface. As a
result, privacy is not possible, suggesting that voyeurism may not only be tolerated, but implicitly encouraged.

Even in the less sexualised spaces of Eden, there is a voyeuristic element that underscores all appearances and interactions. As if the emphasis on the seductive body was not explicit enough, there is a shopping precinct on Eden where one’s avatar may purchase clothes and get dressed accordingly. All shops feature hyper-feminised bodies, and there is a particular emphasis on clothes and accessories (including avatar skins that give an overall shape colour and texture to the body) that accent taut, youthful bodies. Femininity is stressed through bodily contours, clothing shapes and the prominence given to displaying the of breasts, legs and bottoms that so often feature in music videos, advertising and pornography (and which are often exaggerated past the scope of average female biological capacity).

Such representations of the avatar reinforce the commodity value of the body, not only in CL but also on SL. Spaces like Eden can be seen as an extension of real-world desires for bodily perfection and sexuality as invested in the female body. It is unsurprising, given the foundations of SL community being based on its economy, that bodily representations reinforce those on offer in the highly commoditised industries of raunch culture (Williams, 1999) that permeate mass culture in capitalist societies. The female body is commodity; it is displayed to be looked at, to be desired, and to be accessed for pleasure.

The emphasis on looking and deriving pleasure from the female body on Eden is a relatively short extension, given its interactive potential, from the voyeuristic practices of mass visual culture. Jonathan Metzl contends that such voyeurism is “a practice that is culturally pathological, imbued with power, gender and other types of nonchemical imbalances that let us see the voyeur as an exaggerated extension of society as well as an aberration from it” (2004, p. 428). In a shift from pathologising all forms of voyeurism, it is now culturally, as well as medically, accepted that some types of voyeurism are acceptable, if not inevitable in such a
society. What Metzl highlights, is the ‘pathology’ of our culture in its imbalances and inequality:

Always watching all of the time, popular culture enforces the seeming silence of looking, and the hegemony of a gender ideology that (under the guise of all-access viewing) tirelessly recuperates an often-Oedipal marriage myth and marks other forms of temptation as deviance. (p. 430)

To this point in the discussion, the male gaze and patriarchal power have been assumed invisible in the representations of the avatars as well as the spatial and discursive configuration of Eden. In the shop display below (figure 7.12) there are various sexual displays for female avatars as well as the appearance of male figures, adding to the patriarchal organisation of viewing practices.

Figure 7.12: Shops

Taken as a ubiquitous force in the organising principles of space and identity, hetero-patriarchy is assumed to function even in the absence of male embodiment and manifestation. However, as a central element of voyeurism as well as the fluidity of identity as applied to the virtual realm, the idea of male presence must be considered.

In addition to the implied male gaze in reference to discursive spatial structure and modes of representation, there is the possibility of infiltration of Eden by CL males embodying a female avatar. This can have a profound negative impact on culture in spaces that are set up for the usage by marginalised identities. In the case of SL, where anonymity prevails, it can limit trust in the space and those who use it. The effects of such infiltration and gender-swapping can have real psychological effects on users, such as the case reported by Mandy Dorfler who married an individual in SL that she though was a female:
Too often women are violated by men in SL. Men come to women only areas and use a female avatar to cause trouble (sic) emotionally and in other ways. This is a form of cyber rape... I married what a thought was a German girl. Spent a fortune on the wedding and other things then "she" confessed to being a rl male. (Dorfle, 2010).

Although it was proposed by Dorfler, and others, that SL should introduce voluntary gender verification to limit lesbian spaces being infiltrated by CL males, this is not current policy in-world, so the possibility of males embodying female avatars is an on-going issue. Dorfler states that such deception happens “often” in SL according to anecdotal accounts; however, it is difficult to verify this with any certainty. What remains is a very real potential for CL males to frequent female-only spaces for sexual, or other, purposes which can have very unsettling consequences for lesbian/queer females using such spaces for community or identity expression.

Specific research into SL identities by Ducheneaut, Wen, Yee and Wadley has found that “gender-swapping is particularly prevalent in SL, with a large number of male players favoring female avatars” (2009, p. 1152). In the case of an environment based on sex, however, the reasons for gender swapping may be based on the sexual tastes and preferences of the individual. It may be that heterosexual males wish to use female-only sites as a way of viewing (and participating in) female-to-female sexual interactions. Infiltration may explain the phenomenon of hyper-gendered avatars in an environment set up for queer sexualities. The enduring possibility of such infiltration can create a sense of unease and untrustworthiness in SL environments, with the added presence of heteronormative avatars, increasing the potential sense of un-belonging an individual may feel when visiting a supposedly queer space. In describing straight women in the CL gay space of Manchester’s ‘Village’, Binnie and Skeggs note that although “the village constitutes a comfortable space for some of these (straight) women, their sense of comfort and ease in the village may produce discomfort and disidentifications among other users of this space” (2004, p. 54). If this is the case for a space such as Eden, the result may be that the space is utilised less by queer individuals, including those looking to experiment with their sexuality beyond that bounded by patriarchal determination, and more by CL heterosexual males utilising the space as an extension of mainstream pornographic practice.
**Pornographic Representation**

Due to the (almost entirely) visual nature of sexual interactions, Eden and SL in general, have obvious parallels with pornography, albeit in a more interactive form; it is possible to view and/or participate in sexual activity rather than being just a passive consumer of images. In analysing Eden through the lens of mainstream pornographic discourse the aspects of sexual economy and consumerism alongside sexual agency reveal the complexities of both lesbian and female visual performance in the SL environment. The difficulty in Eden achieving value as a queer space comes into question through the hetero-normative display and performance of avatars that appear contradictory to queer expression: “Ciasullo (2001) and others (e.g. Garrity 2001; Jenkins, 2005) argue that representing lesbian women within heterosexual attractiveness norms constructs them for consumption by the male gaze, in much the same way that heterosexual women may be cast as eye-candy for a male audience” (Jackson, 2009, p. 201). The space replicates the porn industry’s representation of female to female sex, including the coding of lesbianism as an aside to heterosexual sex. Various markers abound on Eden that realise this extension of the mainstream: the club where near-naked avatars dance in a highly sexually suggestive way; the shops that promote femininity and sexual exchange value centred on bodily appearance; and the castle that replicates heterosexual markers of sex, availability and titillation through the intimate spaces, secluded rooms and a performative dance space equipped with twin dance poles.
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**Figure 7.13: Advert**
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**Figure 7.14: Dance-floor**

The entire site reproduces the signifiers of sex and femininity as seen in mainstream pornography and film, with the only difference being that the male position is purely implied (with the exception of CL males embodying SL females).
Expressions of appearance and performance in much lesbian-centred pornography often challenge the depictions seen in mainstream discourse. This is because the material is generally created by queer females for the consumption of queer females so that the subsequent depictions of gender and sexuality lie across a spectrum of practice and are not restricted to portrayals often found in heterosexual porn that privilege the male gaze. Carol Queen and Lyn Comella describe the interest for sex-positive females to not only fight against conservatism, but to re-negotiate the power structures or pornography, suggesting that female-generated porn “was also an attempt, on a really feminist level, to take the means of production and put it into the hands of women” (Queen & Comella, 2008, p. 285). This has the effect of altering gender and sexuality expression by transgressing male to female binaries, and queering it through offering expressions of butch, femme and androgynous representation (as well as BDSM and use of toys). In depictions of sexual identities and practices outside the norm, lesbian pornography inverts many of the symbols used in hetero-normative porn such as the rigid gender binaries that are fused with depictions of power, penetration and the phallus. Although Corsianos (2007, p. 868) argues that lesbian pornography reinforces patriarchy through the replication of certain scenes, she does not consider the transposition of pleasure associated with these scenes. Sites of pleasure are incongruent with normative portrayals of dominance as typically aligned with gender: the butch/femme dynamic can often produce more scenes of pleasure for the femme, whilst her more masculine partner, although in the gendered position of control and power and thus assumed pleasure in the hetero-normative function, is positioned to be the provider of pleasure rather than the recipient. Meanings become ambiguous through the disruption of signs, and although much queer porn replicates scenes from straight porn, this ambiguity unsettles and reforms the contingent messages and agency as attached to the normative patriarchal function of porn in the mainstream.

Where this becomes problematic is through the production and consumption of values associated with the visual representations of the pornographic body, and whether these representations either contest or reinforce contingent power structures. Therefore, the question that is invariably posed is if a site of hetero-normative representation can be a valuable space for the expression of queerness and the inversion of power and patriarchy that queerness can embody. According to Linda Williams, meaning within pornography and the potential for ‘real’ sexual expression can be attributed to the non-commoditisation of the form. In describing video pornography, she writes:

Indeed, all forms of pornography tending toward the amateur end of the spectrum are likely to deliver ‘something’ because of their valuation of
authenticity over glamour (no breast jobs allowed). These videos tend toward the unique and local. (2007, p. 76)

She maintains that non-conformity to established pornographic conventions of hyper-real simulated sex acts, whether straight, gay or any type of subversive sex, hold intrinsic value to culture and representation. Such a contention is problematic to spaces such as SL: representation is entirely hyper-real, and all sex acts are simulated. This can lead to the assumption that all SL sexual activity is inauthentic, as a consumption of ‘nothing’. However, due to the interactive ability of individuals to enact sexually, and in real-time, with their avatars, this is too limited a description. For those who invest temporally, emotionally and financially into their avatars and SL experience, the position is too reductive to explain their sexual experiences. The point of contestation then, rests upon the lack of difference, especially for sites like Eden which are explicitly set up for queer experience. It is not the medium that is problematic, but the message in its standardised representation.

For sex to be truly queer, in the sense that queer is a contestation to hetero-patriarchal conventions, it needs to destabilise the established power relations that are its referent and not simply fetishise them. Carol Queen and Lyn Comella argue that pornography should aim to replicate the values of the community it is produced for. She recounts the process for selecting videos for sex store, Good Vibrations:

The other thing that we really had to grapple with, and this did have some effect on us, was when the porn industry, in either its alternative or its more mainstream guises, creates something around a sexuality that is already a little marginalized, but it doesn’t feel respectful, like tranny porn or grandma porn or fat girl porn being fetishes; almost none of it feels like it carries the values, or the value, of that community into the sex you’re seeing on screen. (2008, p. 289)

The absence of difference, and the ultimate fetishisation of lesbian sex, renders the space of Eden as more akin to mainstream pornography through its normative sexual expression than as a queer space which privileges subversion. The queering of space requires a challenge to compulsory heterosexuality as well as gender normativity and the privilege of the male gaze.

Whilst Eden may initially seem to present a challenge to compulsory heterosexuality, it conforms too easily and readily to normative depictions of both gender and mainstream visual sexual performance. In addition, it does not provide agency for sexual and identity expression outside of the social and cultural models provided in consumerist society. This mainstream aesthetic is described by Marilyn Corsianos in her work questioning women’s sexual agency in reference to pornography:
Most of the scripts are written by straight males catering to a predominantly straight male audience. And, therefore, it is no surprise that a particular female aesthetics is promoted in mainstream pornography. For instance, the female actors often have long hair, are thin, often Caucasian, “young” (usually between teens and 30’s), have breast implants, wear lingerie, high heels and plenty of make-up. Their legs are shaven and their vaginal area is usually partly shaven with the remaining pubic hair trimmed short. (2007, p. 865)

Although describing mainstream heterosexual pornography, this description could well describe the appearance of many avatars on Eden. The effect that this has is to reduce the sexual agency of the individuals who use the space, minimising diversity and difference and producing a conformist conception of gender and identity. This is particularly the case due to the lack, and sometimes altogether absence, of androgynous or masculine female avatars. More than any other gender category the masculine female destabilises gender and sexuality by subverting the power associated with masculinity.

The absence of masculine bodies on Eden can be linked to the greater societal disapproval for females, including lesbians, to assume power and therefore qualities associated with masculinity. This can be attributed to the great social contention that if a female performs masculinity she wishes to, in fact, be a man. The Freudian conceptions of inversion and penis-envy pathologises expressions of androgyny and butch performance to the extent that it is a subject position feared more than effeminate men, as displayed in the great absences of masculine female performance in mass culture. Whilst the uber-gay, effeminate male, although often humourised, has gone mainstream in TV shows like Modern Family (2009-) and Glee (2009-), there are minimal representations of the masculine female. According to Judith Halberstam this is because the masculine “has been reserved for people with male bodies and has been actively denied to people with female bodies” (1998, p. 269) and is attributable to the retention and reservation of power. In returning to pornographic representation, it may be that this phenomenon (a reluctance or aversion to appropriating the powerful position) explains the absence of masculinised avatars on Eden, whereby non-feminised appearances and behaviours are assumed to be the reserve of men, and to hold patriarchal significance — it may be that a more feminised space frames all practices within that space as being outside of the problems of power and the gaze. Paradoxically however, it is the very absence of non-feminised forms and practices that uphold this very patriarchal construction, and in turn reinforce its privilege. Contrary to Costianos’s contention that sex acts between females which visually simulate masculine and feminine roles reinforce patriarchy and therefore limit sexual agency, it is this very transposition of gender performance that makes the pornographic
representations queer and therefore subversive to hegemonic power; the inversion creates a destabilising of the function of gender and sexuality.

Eden however maintains the hetero-normative model of performance for female sexual expression; there is no inversion of gendered agency (in terms of Freud’s pathological inversion) and no destabilisation of the masculine/feminine dichotomy. The space is questionable as queer due to the homogeneity of form. It may even be seen as intimidating for those looking to seek out a space for community of difference that is a departure from their CL experience. What Eden does provide however, is an extension of the commodity-value of sex and sexuality that may be used by either males (in drag) or females, straight or otherwise, who seek an eroticised sexual experience. It is unsurprising that the economic basis of SL produces replicates in form of mass consumerist ideals, because it is essentially an extension onto the screen of the hyperreal portrayals of sex and the body in other media forms and in the pornographic industry:

We do not all share the economic and ideological power needed to promote particular sexual performances, body images and definitions of sexuality, and to profit from them. It is evident that a particular gender and sexuality hierarchy continues to exist on various levels by the mainstream pornography industry estimated to be an 8 billion dollar a year industry (Juffer 1998) to as much as 13 billion (Cornell 2000). For instance, mainstream straight sex and mainstream lesbian sex are created and promoted in very specific ways by the pornography industry, instilling in the minds of many what “women” look like and how they perform sexually. (Corsianos, 2007, p. 864)

As part of the capitalist and commoditised nature of SL, Eden plays into consumerist sex models of mainstream mass representation that can be bought into by any gender or sexuality. Although the acceptance of sexual difference has come a long way, this acceptance has conditions and remains associated with bodily perfections associated with heterosexist ideals of female beauty. The idea of perfection is closely linked with the name of the space, and is something that needs to be acknowledged in the re-inscription of patriarchal fantasy.

*Eden as patriarchal fantasy*

The name of Eden, in association with the dominant modes of representation and spatiality of the site, signify myths of gender and sexuality in Western, Judeo-Christian discourse. These myths are centred on the notions of both pure and deviant sexuality almost exclusively attached to heterosex. Eden most obviously references the Biblical narrative whereby Woman is painted as sexual temptress who leads Man astray. In reference to the *Genesis* tradition,
pure sexuality is made deviant through the enticement of the female. In summary of its ideological imperative, Kevin Harris states:

And it also appears that the generalisation from Adam to all of humanity is quite in order: one does not have to go too far between the lines to pick up the message that taking notice of their wives is not a very smart thing for men to do, and that just as Eve led Adam and the whole of humanity into sin and suffering so too do all women have the propensity to bring about sin and transgression in the world. (1984, p. 96)

Using the creation myth as symbolic, SL’s Eden is a play on deviance, where female avatars represent forbidden sexuality and enticement. In the ‘godless’ world of Western consumerism and hedonism, the female body acts as the ultimate vehicle for participation in bodily pleasures. Much of the signification is reliant on the very signifier ‘Eden’ as it is known through the Western Judeo-Christian culture. Although some of the imagery of SL’s Eden draws upon the Biblical symbolism in Genesis (there is a river running through the site and there are a number of trees beautiful to behold), most of the space is characterised by Western commercialised space similar to a holiday resort: the shops, dance-floor and castle are the major features, much more so than any of the ‘natural’ elements of gardens. This is suggestive of the transference of ‘Eden’ as a signifier of devout purity in the common imagination to that which is pleasurable.

Additionally, the ideas of control of men over women as detailed in Judeo-Christian culture (most of which post-date the initial Eden narrative in Genesis) are subverted through the environment of SL’s Eden. The Biblical narrative suggests that “In the conflict situation arising from the disruption, due to sin (enacted through eating the forbidden fruit), of the relationship between the sexes, the woman will, in general, be dominated” (Evans, 1983, p. 20). Moreover, due to the sin predicated by woman (that of Eve), women in general need to be controlled as a necessary condition of society. Mary Evans explains that the consequences of sin provide “an explanation, although not necessarily a justification, for the male domination in Israelite society”, and that “Where there had been communion there would now be conflict; where there had been equality there would now be domination” (p. 20). It could be taken that the progressive attitudes towards sex and intimacy in the SL environment are closer to the Genesis version of Eden before the Fall. If, as Evans suggests, women could be understood as being equal with men prior to the ‘disruption’ of the forbidden fruit, then SL’s Eden could be considered to be returning to this state. Underlying this assumption however, is the invisible but omniscient gaze of man. Although (self-acknowledged) CL men are not permitted to visit the space, there is an ever-present performativity towards male desire. In the absence of male
representation, there is an implicit maleness assumed in the female avatar performance that is predicated on heteronormative desire of female beauty, sexuality and female-to-female sexual display. So, even if SL’s Eden is a return to the equality of Eden before the Fall, this quality is still premised through male desire and the normalised relations of gender.

There is a more recent take on ‘Eden’ however, that appears to challenge conformist representations of gender and sexuality. Ernest Hemingway’s novel The Garden of Eden, published posthumously in the 1980s, follows the relationship between an American writer and his wife. Honeymooning in France and Spain, their relationship diverts from the ‘normal’ course of marriage, as is expressed in the desire of both newlyweds towards a young French woman, ultimately ending in estrangement for the couple. Unlike the Biblical narrative which denotes strict gender and sexual binaries, Hemingway’s tome reveals a fluidity of gender and sexuality:

he (the American novelist) plays a submissive role in intercourse as his wife Catherine assumes the name "Peter," mounts him, and calls him "my girl Catherine”. He elsewhere calls her "brother" and submits to her scheme of effacing gender differences; the two dress alike and sport identical coiffures of short hair bleached pale blond. Subsequently they take turns betraying each other with Marita, the dark-haired bisexual who loves them both. (Kennedy, 1991, pp. 187-188)

Beginning from a place of happiness, the sexual digressions that are taken by David and Catherine throughout the novel disrupt the ‘paradise’ from which they have come. Although appearing as a place of Eden in the Biblical sense of nature, purity and peace, their journey has led the protagonists into deviance. There are strong similarities here, with SL’s Eden and the Biblical narrative, through the ideas of non-normative sexuality and gendered practice where the “protagonist’s fall from apparent innocence into sexual experimentation and duplicity” (p. 190) evokes the Fall. The very fact that David and Catherine fall from paradise into deviance is a modern reincarnation of the Biblical narrative that illustrates the folly of desire and perversion of humanity.

Furthermore, Hemingway’s depictions of androgyny reinforce normative gendered positions as both good and necessary for society. Focusing on Catherine as the instigator of deviant gender performance, Hemingway describes her descent into madness as an unavoidable consequence of her departure from the norm, implying that “far from restoring an archetypal Edenic wholeness, androgyny carries with it the danger of a split personality” (Kennedy, 1991, p. 204). Pathologising gender and sexual difference is also evident in the novel in the evocation of the
‘inversion’ (Freudian) and the implied narcissism of the androgynous, and therefore lesbian, female (see chapter eight about the space Greek Gold). The early 20th century idea of inversion (premised on the idea that non-feminised females wish to be men) is displayed not only through Catherine’s physical transformation, but also in her sexual assertiveness and practice:

Her desire to get a haircut identical to David’s marks the onset of her compulsion to become a boy, and once she has short hair, she wants to complete the transformation by assuming the male role in intercourse. In a tantalizing passage Hemingway suggests that, with the help of a sexual appliance, Catherine “takes” her passive husband: “He lay there and felt something and then her hand holding him and searching lower and he helped with his hands and then lay back in the dark and did not think at all and only felt the weight and the strangeness inside and she said, ‘Now you can’t tell who is who can you?’” (p. 17). Even stranger than his inner sensation, however, is Catherine’s taking the name “Peter” and then calling her husband "Catherine." (p. 203)

Additionally, female narcissism as applied to the pathologising of lesbianism is evident in Catherine’s actions. According to Kennedy, “she forces David to assume her vacated identity as the ‘girl’ and nominally to become her, so that she can make love to herself. Here we witness the first eruption of the narcissism which will later manifest itself in imagery of the mirror at Aurol’s hotel” (p. 203). Although the story was written in the middle part of last century, many of the connotative aspects of inversion and narcissism attributed to non-normative female performance continue today. SL’s Eden as a space of sexual intent evokes these anxieties in that the performance of the majority of avatars is highly feminised, almost as if averse to any other depiction. Furthermore, in consideration of the potential for male infiltration into the site, Eden can be considered as a space signifying the Fall itself. On the margins of the corporeal world, it is a space that can entice male users in to experiment not only with deviant sexual practices but also with deviant (gender-swapping) performance. By embodying a female avatar (and selecting ‘female’ in the SL set-up phase), males can participate in a feminised performance of themselves. In Hemingway’s novel, this altered state is seen as dangerous and is only rectified through David’s return to heteronormativity, and from sin, by engaging in a full heterosexual relationship with the Spanish Marita, who is freed of her bisexual tendencies.

Conclusion
The sexually deviant woman is represented both through avatar representation as well as through the myths and connotations of Eden. Notions of Eden hold that female sexuality is dangerous, particularly in reference to morality and the potential for power. This power however, can be called into question and reveals itself more as an anxiety of patriarchy than
having any real tangibility. This is because female sexuality is continually reified through the male gaze, even in the performance and practice of alternative sexualities. Even lesbian sexuality is understood through the male gaze; through pornographic signification as well as popular culture the male viewer is inscribed as prominent even in his physical and temporal absence. Female identity then becomes subsumed into hetero-patriarchal discourse so that images and representations conform to an acceptable standard. This is particularly limiting for individuals who do not conform, making it necessary for there to be spaces of safety and tolerance. The case of Eden highlights the contradictions within the contemporary time period in which alternate sexualities have become more acceptable; however this acceptance has come at a cost of disavowing certain ways of being. Rather than being a fluid and open space of identity expression, Eden proves to be a space of heteronormative re-inscription of the female body.
Introduction
The following is an exploration of the female-only lesbian space of Greek Gold. It provides a contrast with the space of Eden, as well as many other sites on SL, due to the diverse representations of female avatars within the space which go against the prevailing gender normativity and hyper-gendered embodiment seen elsewhere on SL. Due to the varied expressions of femaleness shown within Greek Gold, it is an excellent site for the exploration of the ideas of gender fluidity and lesbian identities, particularly that of masculinised female performativity. Drawing heavily on Judith Halberstam’s work on the masculine female subject, the analysis of Greek Gold illuminates the fluidity of gender and sexual identity expression, underscoring the premise that gender is not static within the binary of male/female, masculinity/femininity as often accepted within mainstream culture. The virtual environment of Greek Gold is a superb illustration of the performativity of gender as seen in the corporeal and ultimately subverts the idea that masculine, and/or androgynous, females want in some ways to be men, thus overturning the notion pathologising gender difference. Within the possibilities of embodiment for a desired, or preferred self, the prevailing representation of subversive corporeal gender identities reveals both an acceptance of, and pleasure in, embodying a masculine self as female, therefore removing the default presumption of masculinity to biological maleness.

This chapter discusses the masculine female subject within Western discourse including: the positioning of female masculinity as pathological and threatening; notions of lesbian identity and desire in particular reference to the butch/femme relations; and the subversive displays of gender and sexuality as seen on Greek Gold as queer political practice. The body as subversive is seen through the representational aspects of identity as well as desire in framing identity as not normative. The discussion of avatar representation on Greek Gold borrows, and expands upon, Halberstam’s conception of the masculine female subject as principally a gendered identity before a sexual identity. Separating gender from sexuality removes the popular premise that masculinised females ‘perform’ a male identity for sexual and relationship purposes, and removes the assumption of mimicry as often associated with butch, androgynous and masculine identities. By separating masculinity from the biologically male, androgynous, butch and non-feminine identities can be viewed as having a desire to perform, embody and identify with gender outside of the rigid binary relating to biological sex. The
desired subject position of the corporeal masculine female is not a male self, but an idealised representation of her-self as a re-inscribed female.

The sexual female: from Ancient Greece to modern society
As noted in the analysis of The Lost Gardens of Apollo, Zeus and Eden, the historical imagination is hailed to make meaning of the virtual spaces. In imagining a nostalgic and mythic past, the spaces are framed of fantasy, desire and escape from the rigidity of the present. Unlike Eden, which arguably draws upon the heteronormative form of woman and female sexuality, Greek Gold selectively remembers elements of Ancient Greece, particularly that of the Amazons, Spartans and Sappho. Whilst much has been made of the Ancient Athenian attitude to women as inferior and unable to participate as full citizens, and so being effectively marginalised to the domestic space, these cultures present important exceptions.

The traditional role of women in Ancient Athenian culture and mainstream Greek myth was generally that of a passive bystander, and occasional helper, to the male hero or dominant figure (Lefkowitz, 1986). For imperial women, as well as goddesses, power was seen as an extension of the male, whereby “the patronal acts of these women were seen as being a part of the extended activities of their male family members, and thus did not violate gender roles or social institutions” (1998, p. 170). It can be argued then, that invoking Ancient Greece and its myths in SL could have the effect of reinscribing this patriarchal function. Whilst this is arguably the case in certain instances, there are also those myths and cultural re-imaginations that resignify female agency and power. One only has to turn to the TV production Xena: Warrior Princess (1995-2001) to find an example of a powerful and independent woman re-inscribed into ancient narratives. Although arguably perpetuating some stereotypes in the form of female attractiveness, “Xena breaks many of the rules of feminine decorum” (Inness, 1999, p. 161). Similarities with Wonder Woman are also hard to overlook. Although commonly associated with the TV series starring Lynda Carter, which saw a heteronormative portrayal of the lead character, Wonder Woman also has connotations with Wonder Woman Island (otherwise known as Paradise Island) and the Greek myth of the Amazons: “Wonder Woman’s all-women birthplace provides a haven from patriarchy, as well as a response to it. For Themyscira, the more contemporary name for Paradise Island, is governed by women for women” (Peters, 2003, p. 3). Similar to Greek Gold, Paradise Island is a fantasy space that provides a safe place for women within a representative spatiality of a mythologised past.
The historical narratives of the Amazons, and Spartans, resonate strongly with the SL island of *Greek Gold*. Outside of Greek society itself, the Amazons are alluded to in Greek myth as a female-only society who fought wars like men and sometimes aided the Greeks in their battles.

Figure 8.1: Entrance

Ancient Sparta is notable in illustrating different gendered norms and behaviours from those of Ancient Athens, with many accounts describing the equality of the society in comparison with most other regions of the ancient world. For the SL space of *Greek Gold* to draw upon the ideas of Sparta, with particular reference to the roles and behaviour of women is unsurprising. In addition to the role of women in civic, domestic and military life, there were the values of democracy and equality which were central to the Spartan ethos, as well as strength and courage. Paul Cartledge describes the battle of Thermopylae which saw a Spartan-led Greek force see off a large invading army of Persians as a key milestone in the preservation of the Spartan (and Greek) way of life, thereby enabling “the development of the civilization that we (as Westerners) have chosen in crucial ways to inherit and learn from” (2004, p. 167). Furthermore, Cartledge describes the importance of the cultural imaginary in view of ancient Western history:

> Another answer to the question why the ancient Spartans matter to us today concerns the impact of what has been variously labelled the Spartan myth, mirage, or tradition. That is to say: the variety of ways in which Sparta and Spartans have been represented in mainly non-Spartan discourses, both written and visual, since the late fifth century BC has left a deep mark on the Western tradition, on the understanding of what it is to belong to a Western culture. (p. 168)

It is this ‘tradition’ that is hailed in response to virtual analogies to history, where the idea of Sparta, its customs and way of life, is revered as a special time and place in our cultural
development. It can be viewed as a place of near perfection, replete with freedoms and liberties (to full citizens of Sparta, of course) that have been seemingly eroded by the restrictions and humdrum of contemporary existence.

Social freedoms and liberties rouse a particular response in reference to our contemporary model of normal and deviant conduct. Although many Westerners are afforded a high standard of life that allows for various consumer freedoms, as well as a good standard of health and freedom from risk, disease and harm, there is an argument that such general well-being is at the price of over-regulation of our private and social lives. In contrast, the sexual freedom of Ancient Spartan society, particularly for women, can be imagined as particularly attractive. Some of the better known (as well as imagined) Spartan social practices and customs are those that would today be deemed inappropriate, and even categorised as criminal in some instances:

institutionalized pederasty between a young adult citizen warrior and a teenage youth within the framework of the compulsory state-managed educational cycle; athletic sports including wrestling practised officially - and allegedly in the nude - by the teenage girls; the public insulting and humiliation of bachelors by married women at an annual religious festival; polyandry (wives with more than one husband each); and wife-sharing without either party's incurring the opprobrium or legal guilt of adultery. (Cartledge, 2004, pp. 174-175)

What stands out distinctively in the above examples is an idea of women that is far removed from Athenian discourse, as well as the construction of women through the ancient world to that of modernist discourses. Indeed, such behaviours as ascribed to women, would even seem outlandish and ultra-progressive today, placing Spartan practices perhaps at a point in the future of our current conservative norms.

In addition to the Spartan tradition of female agency and empowerment is the prominence attributed to the Athenian island of Lesbos, the birthplace of the poet Sappho. The late seventh/early sixth century BC poet was renowned as one of the first female writers to include elements of female homosexuality (homophilia) in her work.
Although there are questions regarding the autobiographical accuracy of accounts of the poet herself, her poetry is revealing of female conduct and attitudes in the outer regions of the Ancient Athenian Empire. Judith Hallett explains that, for later ancient Greek scholars, any homosexual liaisons that may have initially been ascribed to Sappho herself were ‘suspended’ and mostly overlooked. That she wasn’t forgotten altogether was due to her esteemed place as a revered poet in the Greek Athenian tradition. As Hallett explains, such an aversion to female homosexuality can be viewed as hypocritical in view of male homosexual and pederastal conduct:

By comparison, the homosexual liaisons attributed to the male poets of Sappho’s time do not meet with similar disbelief or disapproval. A number of these same authorities refer to the homosexual involvements of Greek male lyric poets as established facts; like virtually all ancient testimony on the lives of Greek poets, they do not give the impression that male pederasty, at least for the “active” partner, was thought cause for shame. (1979, p. 449)

Such aversion to female homosexuality has persisted into recent history, with scholars divided over the moral and aesthetic properties of Sappho’s writing, in view of a fascination with its subject matter. Hallett notes a passage by a 1970s scholar suggesting that one of Sappho’s passages could be read as a: “clinical record of acute symptoms suffered by a "masculine lesbian" during an anxiety attack” (p. 450), showing the tendency of patriarchal discourse to pathologise gender and sexuality difference. That Sappho’s poetry has survived as having historical and cultural merit despite its supposedly unsavoury subject matter is testament to Sappho’s position as an esteemed writer from Ancient times through to today. Furthermore, she now has an enduring position in the lesbian community whereby her name, as well as the descriptor ‘Sapphic’, is now attributed to female homosexuality. Despite the debatable accuracy of Sappho’s own sexuality, this attachment has persisted:
Yet the view that Sappho not merely indulged in, but exhibited an exclusive preference for, homosexual acts has only gained widespread currency in the past few years. Indeed, the modern sense of the words "Sapphic" and "Lesbian" is largely responsible for popularizing the view of Sappho as an exclusive, and physically practicing, homosexual. (p. 451)

Of course, we cannot remove the persistence in reading such texts from our own position in history, and therefore Sappho’s poetry is difficult, if not impossible, to scrutinize outside the lens of modern patriarchy and contemporary culture, specifically in relation to its homosexual connotations. From a lesbian-feminist viewpoint, Sappho appears to be a lone voice speaking out against the prejudices of an ancient and persistent patriarchy, where female eros flourished but was forbidden to be spoken in the historic record.

It is unsurprising that lesbian spaces re-imagine Sappho and Lesbos as idealised places of female sexuality. On Greek Gold, the Mediterranean island environment is evoked in its spatial and representational characteristics: idyllic beaches, gardens and waterfalls exist in a climate that is eternally summer. Similarities with island tourism are extensive in the idyllic island landscape that symbolises freedoms not normally associated with the everyday.

Moreover, there is a freedom of expression and desire on the island, reminiscent of that suggested in Sappho’s poetry, where women are able to not only possess sexual feelings, but also act upon them. Hallett explains that the desire expressed by Sappho contradicts the position of women as passive, being “conditioned to view as unfeminine any woman who openly expresses sensual attraction for another human being; she is taking the ‘sexual initiative’ and behaving as only men are supposed to” (1979, p. 455). Furthermore “when that
object of allure is a woman, as in Sappho’s poems, the aggressive female is considered doubly masculine” (1979, p. 455). Greek Gold both challenges assumptions regarding ‘proper’ female sexual behaviour and embraces the masculine qualities of sexual assertiveness. In doing so, it adds to the reworking of masculinity as performed within the space, as a welcome and positive attribute for females to both possess and express.

The masculine female subject
In the modern era, gender was strictly controlled to produce a strict binary between the male/masculine and female/feminine. This was policed through religious dogma and further reinforced through the concept of a naturalised biology as determined at birth. Modernist discourse disregards the Spartan and Sapphic views of gender and sexuality as both heretic and irrational in consideration of the broader modernist aim of rational categorisation and hierarchy. Such rigid gender characterisations have been upheld through continual cultural reinforcement that ascribes deviancy to gender fluidity. Although sparse, there is some scholarship regarding the non-feminised subject in recent history that emerges in different guises. Judith Halberstam, in her work regarding masculinity in women, argues that the non-feminised female subject is not a product of recent politics of feminism and queer rights movements, but instead has existed “for at least two centuries” (1998, p. 45). Importantly, she distinguishes various forms of female masculinity from the overarching term lesbian to describe non-feminised subjects, revealing the complexities of both gender and sexuality to identity, and emphasising the role that female masculinity has had on the development of masculinity throughout history:

[The momentous negotiations about gender that took place at and around the turn of the [twentieth] century, which were created by earlier developments, produced particular forms of femininity and masculinity and clearly showed that femininity was not wed to femaleness and masculinity was certainly not bound to maleness. The transition from affiliation marriages to romantic marriages, the development of the women’s right movement, the trials of Oscar Wilde, the social upheaval caused by World War I, and the development of sexological models of sexual definition all played a part in untangling once and for all the knots that appeared to bind gender to sex and sexuality in some mysterious and organic way. (1998, p. 48)

This work is instructive in providing a historical foundation for the multiplicities of gendered forms of contemporary identity, illustrating that recent forms of gender are not ahistorical and that gender fluidity is something that has always been present in society, albeit in different guises than we recognise today.
Halberstam makes the important distinction in separating gender from sexuality which is a crucial step in the historical account of the construction of masculinity and femininity, and is illustrated in the conception of the contemporary androgynous and masculine subject. She views historical gender as a distinct set of power relations, justified by biological sex characteristics cemented in the binary of masculinity/femininity, through which all individuals are characterised, even in their deviancy. It is through this strict categorisation that deviant gender (and sexual) deviances were made to operate, producing such subjects as the “Tribade” and the “Female Husband” as well as in female romantic friendships, that may suggest more than the asexual relationships that some historians have assigned to them. The absence of a historical sexual record of female gender and sexual deviance is part of the greater denial in society of female sexuality as a whole. Marcius Vicinus, a lesbian historian, suggests that “lesbian history will remain a history of discontinuities”, explaining that:

we rarely know precisely what women in the past did with each other in bed or out, and we are not able to reconstruct fully how and under what circumstances lesbian communities evolved. Our history includes teenage crushes, romantic friendships, Boston marriages, theatrical cross-dressing, passing women, bulldykes and prostitutes, butches and femmes, and numerous other identifications which may-and may not-include genital sex. When we can't even claim a specific sexual expression as a key to our past, we must accept a fragmentary and confusing history. (1992, p. 470)

Halberstam however, sees this as less problematic, arguing that there can be an implicit assumption of sexual deviance given the historical presence of the masculine female subject. Furthermore, she contends that the category ‘lesbian’ may be itself problematic because “sexual identities, when and where they emerge as identities, tend to be exceedingly specific and often refer to a limited range of pleasures”. Therefore, androgyny and the masculinised female subject may be explained more accurately in the terms ascribed to a historicity of gender than to the sexual category of lesbian that “has conventionally come to be associated with the asexual, the hidden, the ‘apparitional’ and the invisible” (Halberstam, 1998, p. 56).

Halberstam traces the masculine female in Western society from the pre-twentieth century and pre-medicalised sexual discourse through to contemporary representations. Although she describes the masculine females as having same-sex desires, she importantly differentiates same-sex practices from identity politics, arguing that pre-twentieth century manifestations of gender were subsumed within the masculine-feminine binary which lay outside of the markers of sexual identity that we have today. This has the effect of placing gendered practices outside of our contemporary culture and importantly allows for a re-construction of gender and
sexuality, illuminating the development of current representations of non-feminised females in society and culture as being part of an on-going development rather than a recent phenomenon dependent on the social conditions of the last thirty years. In the pre-twentieth century literature there is the appearance of the tribade and the female husband that are both incorporated into the category of normative binary gender that assumed an incomprehension of female same-sex desire. By the turn of the century, and in a large part due the medicalisation of sex and sexuality, such practices became acknowledged allowing for the development of sexual identities:

When the idea of sexual identities did come to dominate people’s thinking about sex and gender, it was not some idea of an autonomous lesbian desire between women or a notion of outward hermaphroditism that provided the basis of those notions of identity; it was gender inversion. (Halberstam, 1998, p. 76)

Halberstam argues that the motivation of sexological study and categorisation was “the desire to reduce sexuality to binary systems of gender difference” (p. 76) that understood sexuality as an adherence to, or refutation of, normative modes of masculine and feminine behaviours. Female inversion, then, was assumed to be a desire for some women to achieve masculinity in a society ruled by men (p. 78), rather than an active desire by women for women and the display of behaviours which may have been masculine, but which could also be defined outside of the realm of maleness. In addition, the inversion model did not allow for a range of gender or sexuality identification, in an effort “to force multiple expressions of sexual and gender difference that they (the medical profession) were absolutely committed to bolstering and preserving” (p. 83). This binary model of identification largely remains in place today, as can be noted in the strict policing of gender performance enforced through societal apparatuses (such as public toilets and restrooms) and through the gaze, and active judgement, of the majority.

The binary model still permeates contemporary notions of acceptable queer identity where often it is assumed, by the majority as well as in some sections of the queer community, that a highly masculine female wants to be a man and may be in some sort of pre-transsexual gender crisis. In addition, it is often assumed that any female with masculine behaviours is either a lesbian, wanting to be a man, or both. Halberstam illuminates the complexities of identity for masculinised females in the last fifty years, where lesbian sexual identity became privileged over an ambiguous gender identity to the point where gender fluidity and notions of inversion became demonised:
As the notion of lesbianism gathered strength, so the masculine woman became a paradoxical figure within lesbian communities; she was representative of those communities as the ‘butch’, but she was also ultimately rejected as an anachronistic reminder of the rejected discourse of inversion. Indeed, to this day, many contemporary lesbian communities signal their modernity by denying the stereotype of the mannish lesbian. (1998, p. 96)

It is possible that this disdain for female masculinity has roots in the gender wars as prompted by the initial movements of feminism in the 1970s where men, and associated masculine behaviour, were heavily criticised (particularly in the radical feminism of Andrea Dworkin and Catharine MacKinnon) and seen as detrimental to the female gender as a whole. In more recent history, and as a response to the feminist movement’s rejection of men and male behaviour, the non-feminised female was made an object of ridicule by both men and those who did not wish to be aligned with the more radicalised and ultra-conservative positions of the feminist project. Indeed, in an arguably post-feminist world that supposedly privileges subjective identities that the masculine female represents, the subject remains problematic, marginalised and delegitimised as an actual and proper identity.

Whereas representations of feminised males have become more acceptable in Western society, as can be seen through various television shows of the last ten years (such as Glee (2009-), Modern Family (2009-), and even Australia’s I Will Survive (2012-)), the masculine female remains marginalised through both under-representation and/or ridicule in the same media forms. Sue Jackson describes these media representations as an extension of the commodification of the female for the male gaze:

As commodity, she mirrors her heterosexual sister, designed within cultural norms of heterosexual attractiveness and stamped with sex appeal, commonly referred to as the ‘luscious’, ‘hot’ or ‘lipstick’ lesbian. (2009, p. 201)

For instance, the lesbian drama The L Word (2004-2009) features an almost entirely feminine cast. The two masculinised characters of the show (Shane and Moira) both problematise depictions of their masculinity. Moira is represented as so masculine that she ventures on the obvious path of trans-gendering (becoming Max Sweeney). Conversely Shane, who is androgynous with a highly stylish appearance, which tempers any mannishness, appears as a playful and non-threatening boyishness. Claudia Card remarks of the show that “despite its obviously good-willed attempts at representing diversity, the show constructs lesbians in ways that mimic other media constructions of the ideal American woman”, and that “nobody looks like a dyke (despite Jenny’s haircut), not even Shane (anymore)” (2006, p. 227). Within
heterosexual depictions of masculinised females, often described as ‘tough girls’, a similar process is undertaken that essentialises the female gender. In looking at ‘tough girls’ on screen, Sherrie Inness describes the way that women are presented as tough in such films as *The Silence of the Lambs* (1991), *The X-Files* (1998) and *Alien* (1979), but that this toughness is ultimately devalued by a repeated emphasis on the main characters’ femininity (Starling, Scully and Ripley) in relation to the male characters on screen. She states that “the same shows that depict positive messages about the ability of women to be tough and capable also suggest that women cannot be as tough as men” (1999, p. 101), reinforcing the gender binary and ensuring that the privileged masculine position remains the property of men.

It is the very threat that the masculine female possesses in reference to male masculinity, particularly regarding the privileges of male sexuality and the phallus, which renders the identity as so problematic. This is applicable both within the lesbian community and outside of it. Within the boundaries of lesbian feminism, masculinity is too readily attributed to a female subjugation based on violence and control, in everyday social life as well as sexual encounters. Therefore, an anxiety occurs around the expression of masculinity, whereby the masculine is indeterminable from an often oppressive maleness as experienced historically and culturally. Although, as Halberstam notes, “in contemporary lesbian culture, there are still many women whose desire works through masculinity and through phallic fantasy and through sexual practices that phantasmically transform their female bodies into penetrating male bodies” (1998, p. 72), there are often anxieties within lesbian communities that see symbolically masculine sex practices, as well as appearances, as highly problematic due to the associations with male control and violence. The heterosexual culture conversely equates the threat with undermining male power, particularly at the site of sex, rendering maleness itself obsolete. However, by reinforcing the necessity of the phallus (with the assumption that masculinised lesbians are males without penises), the patriarchal primacy of phallic power can be maintained, rendering the position of the masculinised female as undesirable and prone to ridicule as an inappropriate, and threatening, other.

*The island of Greek Gold*

In opposition to mainstream culture, there is both an explicit acceptance, and implicit approval, of masculine and androgynous avatars on Greek Gold (masculine female avatars are often referred to as butches or bois on the island). Alongside the welcome notes and advertising that emphasize acceptance of butches and bois, such representation is easy to find with many avatars appearing androgynous (having both feminine and masculine qualities).
There is a fluidity of gender throughout the space from the very feminine to the very masculine, with some avatars even having the appearance of trans-genderedness (although, as noted by Halberstam, one shouldn’t assume trans-gender aspiration for females who appear highly masculinised). As shown in the below image (figure 8.4), highly masculinised representation is welcome on Greek Gold, including an almost entirely male appearance. However, the avatar is wearing ‘pasties’ (plasters over the nipples) that conform to the “no nudity” regulation of the space. This example is representative of the complexities of the masculine female residing outside of female norms, including that relating to the modest portrayal of the body.

Avatars such as this illuminate the paradox of masculinity in a queer, especially female-only, environment. Although maleness itself is disallowed in the form of CL males, the appropriation of masculine qualities is seen as virtuous, subverting the patriarch by queering it. Also, many instances of highly masculinised avatars do not have the appearance of heterosexual males; they seem to lie on a continuum of androgyny through a queer idiosyncratic appearance. For instance, the avatar shown above left (figure 8.4), who has an immediate masculine appearance, embodies markers of queerness, particularly that of the gay male. The lifted singlet, muscular but slim bodily frame, ripped jeans, lithe jaw-line and fashionable quiff work together to inscribe a queerness upon the body, and which may be readily deciphered as boi/butch by those in and of the LGBTI community. Whereas such representation may cause confusion in a heterosexual environment, there is little ambiguity in a queer space whereby such markers are easily understood and interpreted. It is perhaps for this reason that the Greek Gold space appears less prone to the infiltration by males into a female-only environment (although it is impossible to tell for sure). Due to the practices of signification and marking of the body in queer communities, it is likely that avatars not displaying queer markers may be identified as imposters.
The two screen shots below (figures 8.6 and 8.7) show the diversity of gendered representation on the dance floor of Greek Gold’s club. It is common to see highly feminised avatars alongside androgynous and masculine females, and within the research timeframe there was always a near equal representation of gendered avatars on the continuum of highly feminine to highly masculine.

Figure 8.6: Dance-floor  Figure 8.7: Dance-floor

Unlike the site of Eden, what was not present when visiting Greek Gold were hyper-gendered and hyper-sexualised avatars in large numbers. Hyper-gendered avatars were often seen in close proximity with androgynous, butches and bois, therefore queering the depiction of hyper-genderedness as understood in mainstream culture. In addition, many feminised avatars often had markers of queerness displayed to further contextualise their identity within the space (for instance, the feminine avatar in figure 8.7 is wearing a suit and bow-tie). Alison Eves in her research into butch-femme identities, describes the subject position of the femme in queer spaces and the phenomenon of ‘femming-up’ in queer space:

Butch/femme can be seen as shared subcultural resources which are used to construct alternative lesbian subject positions. There can be no ‘outside’ of gender so some femmes chose ‘femininity with a twist’. However many lesbians, and even butch and femme women who are more familiar with the subcultural styles, have difficulty distinguishing between femme and heterosexual performances of femininity, particularly away from lesbian spaces. This is in spite of the hyperfemininity in much femme style and femmes’ insistence on the knowing and parodic intention behind this. The drag-like quality of femme gender performance was confined to gay space for some femmes. On a day to day basis...femininity was played down and was described as being much closer to and probably indistinguishable from the femininity of heterosexual women. Gender performances are read in particular contexts, which have a bearing on their meanings regardless of intention. (2004, p. 494)

The phenomena of ‘femming-up’ can be read as a contextual play on sexual power as experienced within queer space, with the threat of male sexual violence removed. The femme is able to experiment with various aspects of femininity that may attract unwanted attention in
heterosexual space, with the connotations that she somehow wants male attention in that environment. Through the performance of femininity in queer spaces, hyper-gendered and hyper-sexualised femaleness becomes a subject position of power; she is free to perform gender and sexuality without fear of sexual violence that are prevalent in heteropatriarchal environments.

Economy and Power
It is necessary to make explicit the role of economics to representation and gender identity on SL in general, and specifically within spaces such as Greek Gold. SL is highly reflective of corporeal Western society due to its economic system, and although there is a far greater acceptance of difference on the platform, much of it has referents to the mainstream capitalist society from which it is derived. For this reason, there are important consequences for membership within the wider SL community such as the ability to perform a self, or selves, in the environment. Much of an individual’s ability to represent an idealised self stems from their ability at mastery in-world (the amount of time they are able to allow themselves to interact and explore SL spaces), as well as their financial capability (the amount of Linden dollars they either transfer from CL money, or conversely make in-world through market transactions).

Agency is directly related to an individual’s ability to embody, present and act within the SL environment. For instance, an individual new to SL who has no Linden dollars would have to use a default avatar as provided in the signing-up process. Given the limited options of
identity, and the adherence of the given avatars to CL heterormativity, there is little scope for gender subversion - as of March 2012, there were seven ‘boi’ and one androgynous options for complete avatars in the SL marketplace as opposed to 1582 male and 3700 female ("Second Life marketplace," 2012). The economic resources required for gender deviance resonates with the late nineteenth century conditions for the aristocratic subversion of gender (Halberstam, 1998), as well as some more contemporary allowances afforded to those who subvert gender norms but are permitted this deviance due to economic power.

Historically, masculine females had some ability to perform identity outside that of ‘passing’ as men if they were from the aristocracy or gentrified classes. This ability to live outside of the conventional marriage arrangement was only tolerated when, particularly as women, they had the ability to support themselves: “Masculine identification with social impunity required money and social status” (Halberstam, 1998, p. 87). In contemporary Western culture, this economic imperative is repeated, in that those who have money also have access to identity outside of the norm. In a study analysing attitudes to homosexuality in 35 countries, Robert Andersen and Tina Fetner found that tolerance, whilst somewhat dependent on the overall affluence of a nation, is more directly related to an individual’s social class within that nation:

> It was found that tolerance for homosexuality is much more likely among professionals and managers than among the working class... The results further demonstrated that class and national prosperity interact in their effects on attitudes. In other words, how national economic factors influence an individual’s attitudes depends, at least in part, on the individual’s position in the economy. (2008, p. 955)

So, tolerance is afforded to homosexual individuals who are part of the more privileged classes in society due to their participation within that social group. Similarly, for those who are not in affluent social positions, representation as an ‘other’ or suspected deviant is limited:

> “Although the middle classes become more tolerant of homosexuality as economic development rises, the working classes have similarly less tolerant attitudes regardless of the level of economic development” (p. 956). This can have the effect of normalising representation for those who have limited economic resources in order to reduce harassment, violence and discrimination.

For lesbians and females with a non-normative identity, this effect can be more pronounced due to their position as an already economically marginalised group. The reduced economic capacity of women as a whole can further impact on the ability of females to represent an identity outside of the conventional. This can manifest itself both corporeally and within the
virtual environment. For instance, it is noted by Dotson, Hyatt and Thompson that lesbians generally make less money than gay men, reducing their agency in Western capitalist societies, and ultimately reducing representation in the mass culture. In their study looking at marketing to homosexuals they outline the economic disparity between lesbians and gay males: “Their median household income is also lower than that of the gay male population. This is true even though 77 percent have college degrees and 54 percent have managerial occupations” (2009, p. 433). Accordingly, female access to technology can also be limited on a global scale, with far less women than men having access to the internet and therefore limiting their access to participate on platforms such as SL. Nancy Hafkin and Sophia Huyer assert that:

**Figure 8.9: Free clothes, Help Island**

> Despite the lack of gender-specific quantitative data, project-level qualitative data have established that ICTs are not gender neutral. ICTs impact men and women differentially, and in almost all cases, women have many disadvantages that result in their having less access to the technology and therefore less use of it. (2007, p. 26)

Additionally, within the economy of SL itself, lesbians are also marginalised economically, with non-feminised avatars, clothing and accessories being difficult to find, therefore making non-normative representation difficult.

There is a reliance on perseverance and community to overcome this problem, which is related to the overarching factors of in-world mastery and financial ability. Simply searching for ‘androgynous avatars’ in the SL Marketplace for instance, produces scarce results – it is easier to embody a dinosaur or other creature than an androgynous avatar. It is only with a degree of familiarity with the in-world environment and GLBTI community, that an individual can find stores that facilitate non-normative gender representation. *Oh Boi Magazine*, an e-publication for lesbians, highlights the problem of finding non-feminised clothing in SL. This problem in market identification could be due to the absence of CL marketing to lesbians and females who wish to display a non-feminised appearance. The fact that there is little to model on in terms of CL clothing limits the creativity of the marketplace vendors, which is probably in turn
reinforced by a reduced market in terms of lesbian and further, masculine female, identification.

*Lesbianism, the masculine female and butch/femme desire*

Many corporeal Westernised inner cities allow for fluid gender expression as well as diverse sexualities, such as the gay ghettos described by Valentine and Skelton ("Second Life marketplace," 2012), and the ‘queer-friendly neighbourhoods’ as defined by Gorman-Murray and Waitt (1998). Greek Gold presents multifaceted lesbian representations, and so can be seen as an extension of this urbanised queer identity particularly in relation to the expression of fashion and bodily adornment. Representations include highly feminised avatars (like those seen on Eden), as well as very masculine avatars that are defined in the space as female even in the absence of ‘proof’ of some essential femaleness often signified by female genitalia (figures 8.10 & 8.11 below). The very absence of such proof on Greek Gold, as is assumed within the physical environment, acts to destabilise the conditions of gender as associated with sex and sexuality, as well as the very foundations of binary gender and sex performance.

![Figure 8.10: Masculine avatar](image1)
![Figure 8.11: Two masculine avatars](image2)

The fluidity of masculine and feminine performance on Greek Gold illustrates a detachment of an assumed naturalised gender, from biological sex characteristics and the very conditions of biology and nature. Consequentially, sexual preference is also removed from any type of natural identification and causation; attraction and intimate interaction on Greek Gold occurs under the presumption of femaleness; however the gender category of female is destabilised through various performances of androgyny and masculinity undermining the notion of femininity as ‘naturally’ belonging to the female. Both gender and sexuality then, are
reconstructed as separate, albeit related, modalities of performance under the prevailing assumption of fluidity that dissolves the binaries associated with biology and nature.

If the biological sex of individuals behind the avatars is assumed to be female, then the variety of gender performance and sexuality as seen on Greek Gold can be assumed to belong to various categories of femaleness. Interaction and attraction are presumed entirely female regardless of the appearance or visual modality of the embodied avatar represented on the screen. The diversity that is present is evidence of the need to view gender as a fluid state, far more complex in scope than is accepted in mainstream understanding. The very ideas that are perpetuated around masculinised females wanting to be men are entirely subverted through the functionality and capabilities of screen embodiment; there would be no point in embodying an androgynous or highly masculinised female in an environment where one is free to embody a physique of their own desire, such as on SL. If one wished to be male or to delineate oneself by the binary structure of essentialised gender, the various regions of SL would provide enough scope to do so. Instead, within the space of Greek Gold, there are many individuals who embody female avatars who are either androgynous or masculine, which actively subverts the gender binary of mainstream culture. Females within this space do not wish to be men; rather it can be assumed that they acknowledge their femaleness (in terms of a gendered identification) in addition to destabilising the very conditions that this gender identification is founded upon, namely the historical, cultural and political categorisations of behaviour and performance.

![Figure 8.12: Butch-femme avatars](image)

What further undermines the gender binary, as well as many of the assumptions about female inversion and the presumed transgendered identity of masculinised females, is the sexual
dynamics of butch and femme subjects. Butch/femme desire reveals the complexities of identification within the category female and, rather than reinforcing a performance of heterosexual desire as argued by many feminists in the 1970s, it in fact subverts the foundations of normative desire and sexual practice.

Judith Roof contends that by rejecting “the oppressive patterns of heterosexual relations”, where heterosexual relations are assumed to be the basis of butch/femme desire, “it overvalued a gender essentialism that in the end only reified the very system it wished to critique” (1998, p. 27). In addition to the various movements that have led to a reformulation of sexual and gender identity categorisation, such as trans, intersex, and SM identities, the butch/femme identification has, since its rejection by 1970s feminists, achieved recognition as a destabiliser of normative gender and sexual roles. However, and as acknowledged by Roof (1998), Halberstam (1998) and Alison Eves (2004), the butch-femme is still considered transgressive in mainstream society as both threatening to the established patriarchy and as politically regressive by some feminists and conservatives. For instance Eves, in discussing lesbian presentation and aesthetics summarises the issue of appearing non-heteronormative:

Negative stereotypes about lesbians in contemporary popular culture have included assumptions about the way that lesbians look and why. The stereotypical image has been butch, and the assumptions have been shaped by discourses of inversion, so that an active sexual desire for women has been seen as necessarily masculine. (2004, p. 493)

There still remains a prevailing attitude that androgynous through to masculine females, particularly lesbians who do not identify as femme, are deviant to the point of inviting violence or threatening behaviour, and an exclusion from participation in mainstream society.

Inversion, in both gender and sexuality as defined by the early twentieth century sexologists, is not a satisfactory explanation for the embodiment of a female avatar with masculinised appearance, behaviours and sexual desire to participate in a relationship that can be defined as butch-femme. If butches wished to reject their base femaleness in favour of maleness then the virtual environment provides a perfect platform for a complete transgendered embodiment; for this to be true there would ultimately be no representations of butches, bois or masculinised females within SL’s spaces such as Greek Gold. As evidenced through the virtual embodiment of a masculine, but female, form, masculinity is removed from its referent to maleness and a reduction to biological sex as a determination of gender performativity. In her analysis of the corporeal stone butch identity, Halberstam critiques the suggestion that
butch-femme desire is a role-play of heterosexual relationships, where “role players were seen as insecure, immature or unevolved” (1998, p. 131). Instead, the butch and femme represent an attachment to their preferred gender performativity as experienced in behaviour, appearance and a preferred desire towards an opposing gender performativity. It is required, as argued through Halberstam’s work, that gender is seen as separate from sexuality, which in turn is necessarily separate from biological sex. In fact, in determining an appropriate language for discussing sex, sexuality and gender, the vocabulary seems lacking (Eves, 2004, p. 483). This appears to be an issue that Eves encounters herself when trying to explain the basis of desire within butch-femme partnerships, reducing it to the discourse of heteronormative binary:

Femmes linked sex and gender in a conventional way but challenged the connection between gender and sexual orientation by being attracted to women, although where this is or is understood to be for masculinity it can also be recuperated by the heterosexual imaginary. Butch accounts disrupted the link between sex and gender, but as masculine their attraction to women can be seen as conventional, particularly when it is for feminine women. (2004, p. 487)

As shown in the above passage, there is a problem in attaching identity within queer communities to aspects of biological sex and the associated assumptions of sex categorisation (or innate sex characteristics), and the relationship of this ‘base’ gender to performative gender, sexuality and desire. Halberstam elaborates on this point in her assertion that “Female masculinity within queer sexual discourse allows for the disruption of even flows between gender and anatomy, sexuality and identity, sexual practice and performativity”, revealing “a variety of queer genders, such as stone butchness, that challenge once and for all the stability and accuracy of binary sex-gender systems” (1998, p. 139). This inadequacy of the dominant cultural language is further illustrated in conceptions of desire within butch-femme relationships, that have often been essentialised in view of the prevailing discourse of gender and sex binaries and the presumption of inversion.

Joan Nestle, a self-identifying femme, explains that the butch-femme partnership draws on the political subject position of the lesbian in the 1950’s and rejects the notion of heterosexual coupling mimicry:

Butch-fem relationships, as I experienced them, were complex erotic and social statements, not phony heterosexual replicas. They were filled with a deeply lesbian language of stance, dress, gesture, love, courage, and autonomy. In the 1950s particularly, butch-fem couples were the front-line warriors against sexual bigotry. Because they were so visibly obvious, they suffered the brunt of street violence. The irony of social change has made a
radical, sexual, political statement of the 1950s appear today as a reactionary, non-feminist experience. (1992, p. 542)

Writing in the 1980s, Nestle often felt defensive because of her femme appearance which was read by many feminists at the time as a victimised embodiment of the conditions of patriarchy rather than a reification of those conditions. Clare Hemmings suggests that butch-femme desire can be read by the way that it offers a repudiation of heterosexuality: that it is a conscious choice of cultural repudiation in conjunction with an unconscious sexual-object choice, and that this desire necessarily operates on the conscious level for both lesbian, as well as bisexual, femmes: “What I want to suggest is that a bisexual femme’s unconscious repudiation constitutes her as a sexual subject, and that her conscious repudiation constitutes her as a cultural subject” (1998, p. 100). So, within the butch-femme identification, there is a desire and sexual attraction that can be categorised along the feminine-masculine continuum which is below the level of conscious choice, and although that desire may be gendered it should not be reduced simply to a biological sex categorisation. In conjunction with unconscious desire, there is a conscious level of attraction that works at the site of culture. The cultural site of desire is where the butch-femme comes into its own, and is where performativity and attraction work at a level of complexity so as to queer the established conditions of heterosexuality. In describing her own experience as a femme, Nestle argues for an acknowledgement of context within the interplay of butch-femme:

Make-up, high heels, skirts, revealing clothes, even certain ways of holding the body are read as capitulation to patriarchal control of women’s bodies. An accurate critique, if a woman feels uncomfortable or forced to present herself this way, but this is not what I am doing when I feel sexually powerful and want to share it with other women. Fems are women who have made choices, but we need to be able to read between the cultural lines to appreciate their strength. Lesbians should be mistresses of discrepancies, knowing that resistance lies in the change of context. (1992, p. 545)

It can be said that the very subversiveness of the desire between both the subject and object positions is what makes the butch-femme such an enduring site of pleasure for both the butch and the femme. Pleasure is at the site of subversion, or the queering of subject/object interaction and sexual practice, so that gender and sexuality are reified contextually within the interplay of both positions.

It is important when studying gender representation in lesbian environments to note that gender identity within social relationships, intimate relationships and internally in the individual are not static (although it can be assumed that varying degrees of gender play occur
in other sexualities). In addition to a gender fluidity that can be seen on Greek Gold as well as other queer spaces, there is a gender identity that is relational and dependent on the environment, including the space itself and its explicit or implicit queerness, and the various individuals that inhabit the space. As noted by Valentine in her discussion of lesbian time and space, lesbians often have to assume a normative gendered and sexual positioning in relation to the heteronormative world they must participate in (Valentine, 1993). However, there is also a relational genderedness that is performed between females within lesbian spaces, and between prospective and actual partners, that is continually negotiated. Eves, in her discussion of butch and femme lesbian identities, describes that, when in queer spaces, some butches and femmes play with their gender, such as femmes ‘femming-up’ to perform a hyper-gendered subject. The performance of gendered identity is dependent on the space that an individual is in, so femmes would almost certainly feel more comfortable performing a hyper-gendered and hyper-sexualised identity in a queer space that minimises the potential for violence. Similarly, within Greek Gold, it is possible for individuals to ‘test’ their masculinity or femininity, and even play with certain aspects of their sexuality in relation to alternate forms of genderedness from their corporeal selves. For example, they may feel more authentic as an individual embodying a masculine avatar on Greek Gold in opposition to a corporeal self where they may feel obliged to adopt a feminine appearance.

Greek Gold as a Political (Queer) Space
The queer body in its representation of difference and otherness is a necessary political project. Although it may be argued in a post-modern, highly commodified and globalised world, that society has become used to subversion as a form of entertainment through the screen, it remains that the very lived conditions of individuals continue to be subsumed within normative categorisations of who belongs and who doesn’t, within the binary of normal and deviant. Gender deviance is policed within corporeal society through continual reinforcement of gender separation and supposed gender difference as seen in many pseudo-scientific studies, books and papers on biological sex difference (Fine, 2010). Additionally, individuals who digress from gender norms can experience harassment, violence and discrimination in many aspects of their lives. These cultural regulations of gender normativity have a similar effect in virtual communication environments. As evidenced in their study of androgynous avatars, Kristine Nowak and Christian Rauh found that androgynous avatars are received with less certainty and trust online than are those whose appearance is clearly masculine or feminine (2008, p. 18). The authors make a link between anthropomorphism (being more human-like) with a level of gendered-ness in terms of credibility:
These results reinforce the conclusion that perception of the avatar has influence on perceptions of the partner. Users should choose their avatars as carefully as they monitor their behavior. Simply put, these results suggest that wrong avatar can make you, literally, look bad, while using a more credible, more anthropomorphic, less androgynous avatar (whether very masculine or very feminine), will make you appear more credible. (p. 23)

This link between androgyny and the ‘less human’ reinforces the conception that unequivocally gendered forms, even in virtual environments, are seen as more worthy within society and therefore will be rewarded as a result. The alternate side of this equation sees androgyny as a sub-human attribute that should not be afforded the same levels of worth, agency and acceptance as those who conform to binary gender representation.

This supposed threat of androgynous and masculine femaleness can be read as the anxiety about a masculine essence as a male-only attribute. If “(m)asculinity in this society inevitably conjures up notions of power and legitimacy and privilege” (Halberstam, 1998, p. 2), then the destabilisation of the discrete attachment of masculinity to male biology undermines the power, legitimacy and privilege afforded to men by virtue of their biological sex. Instances where masculinity is not seen as a discrete and necessary attachment to maleness, such as in the case of androgyny or female masculinity, are ultimately threatening to the established order of society. More so than feminised depictions of lesbianism, which can be seen as only mildly threatening to gender assumptions and even included within the boundaries of male fantasy, the masculine lesbian body is highly deviant and dangerous. Barbara Creed suggests that:

Although... deviant tendencies are present in the female body, it is the ideological function of the lesbian body to warn the ‘normal’ woman about the dangers of undoing or rejecting her own bodily socialisation. This is why the culture points with most hypocritical concern at the mannish lesbian, the butch lesbian, while deliberately ignoring the femme lesbian, the woman whose body in no way presents itself to the straight world as different or deviant. (1995, p. 101)

So often pathologised as a pseudo-male, the masculine lesbian subject is continually subsumed within the boundaries of the binary sex system of culture in that the masculine subject is interpreted as a male mimicry. It is this assumption that makes a site such as Greek Gold so powerful in the refutation of the system. Representations of maleness on SL, as it can be argued with many virtual representations of gender, are all pseudo-male. When one embodies a male avatar, that avatar has no biological sex. It is gender performed as an extension of the culture and performatve assumptions of the individual behind the representation.
Accordingly, representations of masculinity on Greek Gold are performed gender as, it can be argued, is gender within the corporeal environment. For there to be a binding of biological sex to gendered representation, if there was a desire to ‘fit’ into the ‘normal’ classification of what is right in terms of normative sex and gender, virtual gender representations would reflect the binary assumed to be natural and self-evident within the individual.

It is also not sufficient to label a site such as Greek Gold as a space for sexual transgression and deviance set aside from mainstream culture, as some sort of playground for the perverse where desire is assumed as abject in reference to ‘normal’ desire and relational heteronormative fulfilment. That desire is often understood through heterosexual partnerships is described by David Buchbinder:

> Female desire in a patriarchal culture is constructed as dependent on male desire, much as a satellite is dependent for its orbit upon the gravitational force of a larger planetary body. The possibility that female desire may be independent of male desire is threatening for men to contemplate in such a culture, for if female desire resists the dominance of male desire, it also resists both implicitly and explicitly, the patriarchal structure and the way in which this locates woman as subordinate to man. (1998, p. 22)

Greek Gold is not a pornographic environment which refutes the positioning of it as a sexual space based on abject desire, and so is quite dissimilar to Eden. As a lesbian space of diversity that is not based on sex, Greek Gold rejects the assumptions that lesbians (and other females) use the site for sexual purposes only and so refutes the idea of lesbian sex as animalistic (Creed, 1995, pp. 96-99), as well as the notion that the space is an extension of heteropatriarchal fantasy (which could be assumed due to the diversity present). Instead it offers a space of community and identity actualisation that is both safe and non-threatening. Practices of looking are reconfigured in the absence of the male gaze. Power relations are overturned in the interplay of feminine and masculine-female subject positions. Without the threat of harassment or violence, sexual gendered positions are reified so that sexual, cultural and political power can be both feminised and masculinised, and the positions often passed between.

I consider Greek Gold a political space, not because it demarks itself as such, but because it both recognises and promotes queerness which undermines the conventions of heteropatriarchy. As a leisure space isolated from normative environments and closed to biological males (although there is always the potential for this to be circumvented in a platform that does not verify gender), it could be assumed that Greek Gold would have little
impact in terms of viewing itself as a political project. However, it is perhaps because of its unassuming acceptance of all female avatars and its tolerance for gender subversion in an environment that is itself unthreatening and not sexualised within the context of SL platforms, that it achieves a goal of great importance to the queer community. There is a need for the lesbian and queer female body to be a political project. It should not be homogenised to fit within the established conventions of what is normal to the mainstream. Such a project is essential not only for this community, but also for females as a universal gendered category that is still devalued and marginalised within all societies. Greek Gold is an extension of the desire to fulfil multifaceted gender identities that are not limited to biological sex; the referent of sex to gender is abolished through the representations of androgyny and masculinity performed by femaleness. Masculinity to femaleness is not the same as masculinity to maleness. The notion of inversion is reductive and regressive and devalues the complexities of gendered experience.
Conclusion: Screen culture as an extension of the corporeal

Overview of the Research
This thesis has focused on the visual culture of four sexually diverse spaces of the virtual platform of Second Life. It has principally analysed the spaces of The Lost Gardens of Apollo, Zeus Gay Club, Eden: The Seduction and Greek Gold Lesbian Resort as textual spaces. This has allowed for a close inspection of the discourses of tourism, historical narratives and the normative conditions of gender and sexuality. As a predominant media of our time, screen technologies have had, and are having, a profound effect on how we communicate, interact and view ourselves. Our representations through the screen are, for many, a large part of our identity(ies), with the phenomena that we are able to play and manipulate these identities very easily through the representative power of the text. It is through the representational aspects of identity, in analysing the body as object, body as conformative, body as performative, and body as subversive, that enables a reading of our identities through the disjunctures and similarities of the corporeal and the virtual.

The differences between our virtual, screen selves and those of our physical, corporeal existence initially seem obvious. The corporeally embodied self is often viewed as a natural state of being, with our perspective, our consciousness, encapsulated with the shell of a physical body. On the other hand, virtual personas appear to be something that we build and craft, something that we create ‘out there’ in the world. However, these distinctions are not as definite as they first appear. This is because, as this thesis has asserted, we perform our corporeal selves and our virtual selves are not disembodied but rather are extensions of this performance onto the screen. Ultimately what is valued in the corporeal world is reflected onto the virtual, so that the search for difference and the potential for re-organised conditions of existence becomes moot. So, even in the most utopian, or subversive, or transgressive, spaces within platforms such as SL, there is a reaffirmation of the dominant paradigms of power and agency. Difference, and the performance of an other more desired self, remains within the sphere of the established conditions of existence, most often reaffirming those of the mass, dominant culture which, in consideration of SL, is that of capitalist Western society. What has been so purposeful for this study, and in the wider conception of culture itself, is that the virtual can be so revealing of the performative aspects of being. In highlighting our ‘real’ existence as much a performance as our representations through the screen, there is an ability to reflect on nature and essentialism as phenomena that are created and informed by history and culture.
The initial chapters of this work categorised all four of the SL spaces as framed by tourist discourse as well as by the vision of an idealised mythological past. In both tourism and myth, the participant is seeking escape to an idealised destination where they can be free to embody a desired self in spaces of exploration. The concept of desire is central to tourism and the tourist gaze, whereby the Foucauldian notion of looking as a function of power is enacted through the social interaction of seeing and being seen. This looking works to regulate bodies and bodily representation as the avatars replicate the desired bodies of corporeal Western culture. Similarly, all four regions hail the Classical world through what can be defined as a collective imaginary of media images and a created history. This imagined space is like a soul-space, or a transcendent or metaphysical space, envisioned as a more perfect space, or at the very least a space where an individual may be more of themselves than in the physical. The importance of the Ancient world is particularly significant through the meaning that is ascribed to sexuality as well as other freedoms associated with Ancient Mediterranean cultures. Both Ancient Athens, for men, and Ancient Sparta, for women, are historically positioned as privileging their respective genders in permitting behaviours that would, even in progressive Western societies, appear as liberal. Here, it is suggested that by looking to the past such spaces simultaneously frame imagining of a future society and culture that is less restricted.

Representations of male masculinity are conceived within the spaces of Apollo and Zeus which have connections with the Classical world, particularly in representations of the male as beautiful. Expressions of power and agency are symbolised through muscularity and phallocentric symbolism. The spatialisation of the regions, as well as the built environment and aesthetic features, contrive to invoke an ancient masculine ideal. The major difference between Apollo, a space that welcomes all, and Zeus, a space categorised specifically as gay, is not in the appreciation of the male form but in the overttness of the male as sexual. Apollo signifies a phallocentrism based on structures, monuments and sculptures that reproduce a normative representation of masculinity and maleness. It is more conservative in its assertions of gendered space and embodiment, where there is an intrinsic acknowledgement of the natural order of gendered attributes, as often championed in modernist versions of ancient history. Oppositionally, Zeus represents the contemporary capitalist notion of masculinity as spectacle. Not only is the subject on Zeus positioned as a master consumer, but is also seen as sexual spectacle. In representing a version of the contemporary gay male, Zeus reveals some of the tensions between the widely accepted face of conservative gay culture and that of subversive and openly sexual identities.
Highly gendered and highly sexualised representations were found not only on the male space of Zeus but also the female-only region of Eden. Both spaces are ideologically consistent with pornographic representation in the normative expression of avatars’ gender, as well as the spatial features that adorn the regions, and the advertising and shopping devoted to sexual services. The homogeneity of these spaces reinforces gender norms even at a time that is arguably more progressive in terms of sexual diversity and tolerance. Avatars on Zeus, display a hyper-masculine form with extreme musculature that reinforces the dominant positioning of these features as a symbols of masculine sexual competition. Similarly, avatars on Eden display a hyper-femininity, representing the body at the edge of biological possibility. Masculinity and femininity are reproduced in the respectively gendered subjects of male and female bodies. This is an extension of corporeal practice whereby the female subject, and more recently the male subject (particularly the gay male subject) are understood through the consumption practices of late capitalism that seek to emphasise the, particularly gendered, features of the commodified body. The desire to represent oneself as sexually desirable, on the edge of physical possibility, shows the subject’s agency and mastery of their physical, and especially, their economic environment. In SL, as in Zeus and Eden, such physical mastery is redundant, allowing for the desired body to be projected through the screen in the absence of a corporeal physicality, but rather in reference to the mastery of economic agency in-world. As the Western world moves towards paid-for bodily improvements, both spaces provide a glimpse of a potential future where anybody is accessible at a price.

In spaces that are categorised as LGBTI, it is perhaps surprising that this research into the regions of Zeus and Eden found such homogeneity of gendered form. Whilst corporeal LGBTI representations generally show diversity in gendered performance, these virtual spaces are far more reflective of the desired, sexualised body of mass-produced pornography. Sexuality however, operates quite differently between the male and female delineated environments due to both the gendered positioning of the spaces as well as the meanings generated through non-normative sexualities. Although both regions signify tourist spaces, particularly the nightclub spaces of hedonism and experimentation, the dominant meanings that are ascribed to Zeus are very different to those of Eden. Gay male culture as a sexually practicing culture has been most often feared, and even in the more recent movements of progressive politics, movements for gay rights have regularly distanced themselves from sexual symbolism, preferring a face of conservative gayness. So, spaces that feature sex and overt sexual practices remain subversive, seemingly anathema to the greater project of a publicly
acceptable LGBTI culture. This is perhaps the greatest regression in progressive politics, in that the diversity of gender and sexuality is tending to become more subsumed with mass culture; where the difference that was once championed within the LGBTI community is being positioned as more subversive and even by those community members which it includes. Gay male sexuality on Zeus is understood through deviance, as part of this subversive positioning, framing non-normative sexual practice (that which exists outside coupling and monogamy) as dangerous to society.

The space of Eden also represents deviance, yet through the sexualised female subject. It is this gendered positioning that significantly changes the meaning ascribed to the reading of non-normative sexuality. Because of the historical and cultural positioning of the female subject as weak, sexually provocative and dangerous, the feminised sexuality on Eden is not yet liberated as a subject position. Even in what can be argued a post-structuralist space, it is the uniformity and ubiquity of the female representations that reinforces rather than challenges gendered polarity including facets of power and agency. Principally, and in viewing the space through the lens of popular culture, there is a limiting of a female queer identity to a feminised and/or heteronormative expression; the reduction of queer sexuality to that of novelty; tensions between lesbian and bisexual identity; the ‘infiltration’ of queer space by both heterosexual females and CL males embodying female avatars; and the representation of sexuality using the tropes of heterosexual pornography that elicits the male gaze. The patriarchal fantasy of Eden itself as a concept, in all of its connotations from Biblical narrative to contemporary fantasy, reaffirms the feminine as a subject of sexual desire, potential deviance and in need of containment.

This positioning of the female subject is reworked within the space of Greek Gold, which illustrates the oppositional power of the fluidly gendered subject. This reification occurs through the interplay of object-subject positions which both interrupt and counteract the polemical understanding of gendered positions, sexual conformity and their associated normative behaviours. Unlike Eden which re-presents standardised representations of the female body, Greek Gold shows a diversity of the female form. Historically conceived as a pathological form of inversion, the masculine female subject is often feared due to its disruption of normative binary gender and simultaneous disruption of the power positions afforded to the male and female subject. In a similar way to Zeus’s positioning as subversive, so too are spaces like Greek Gold framed as all-too-different and divergent from mass culture and acceptance.
Research Questions
As part of the methodology for the investigation into the four SL regions, there were some key research questions that principally addressed representation, spatiality, tourist discourse, and the Classical world in relation to the normativity and subversive aspects of gender and sexuality. In response to the over-riding question of whether screen culture replicates corporeal practice, this work has shown a high degree of congruence between the two. In support of much of the previous research in the field of embodiment and screen practice, the analysis of SL has shown the replication of spatial conditions from the corporeal onto the virtual environment. Despite the ability to conceive space unbound by physicality, there is a very limited display of difference in the spaces. Moreover, the cultural practices of the corporeal have very definitely been reinscribed onto the spaces, with the majority of behavioural and representative practices very much like those of the contemporary Western world. This is particularly evident in the gendered and sexual practices of the avatars that visit the spaces, with the exception that nearly all avatars mirror the most desired bodies, creating an environment of bodily perfection. It is unsurprising, however, that spaces with SL conform to those of the corporeal, as the environment it highly predicated on CL, both in terms of the economic environment (being based on the late-capitalist model), as well as in the ingrained cultural practices of social and cultural ideologies that form the basis of envisioning worlds.

Whilst there are similarities between the corporeal and virtual, there are also distinct differences in the way that the SL spaces are conceived, perceived and lived. This is principally because the environment is used mainly for pleasure. Although there are a number of SL residents who utilise the platform for work and business enterprises, the environment is primarily based on the notion of escapism. This is particularly true of Apollo, Zeus, Eden and Greek Gold, which are framed as regions of fantasy and escape. Therefore, and in response to the second research question, the regions are suggestive of corporeal tourist spaces because the discursive elements of tourism apply in the virtual context. The pleasure periphery of the virtual space is signified by the visual representation of the Mediterranean island and is extended to the spaces’ shopping precincts and night-clubs, which work through the bodily beautiful avatars to represent idyllic, and highly sexualised, zones of pleasure and hedonism. It is for this reason that the gaze is evoked as a mechanism of defining the self and others. Power operates through the gaze, and ultimately operates very differently within the four spaces due to the respective differences seen in gender performance.
The medium of the screen also has a large effect on the way the environment is experienced. Representation, signification and the primacy of the gaze are of particular importance within the world of SL, so that the spatial characteristics of a space can be read as having specific meanings that are, in turn, specific to visual culture. Connotations of the Classical world are written through each region and, as part of the analysis into SL, there was an attempt to understand the application of this signification within the spaces investigated. The meanings that can be understood as a result of this signification, whilst not exact, can be read as a part of the greater cultural imaginary that pervades mass culture. The multifarious nature of signification lends visual representation various point of reference and departure, yet there are often major ideological narratives that are written into, and derived, from popular texts. SL regions can be read as evoking popular tropes of signification as well as the iteration of Western symbolism, meaning the Classical world can be read as having a specific ideological meaning associated with popular history and culture. Meaning in this context is bounded by the gendered body in reference to the muscular beauty of the male form, and in the specific meanings of tolerance associated specifically with male same-sex sexual practice (as characterised by ancient Athens), and to a minor extent the meanings attached to female space, physicality and sexuality (as associated with Ancient Sparta and Biblical narratives).

Associated with the fantasy of the Classical world and tourism, is that of the potentially subversive. As spaces that are utilised for escape and desire, there is an assumption that many SL regions will be open and tolerant to diversity and difference. In response to the question regarding the degree of subversion found within the spaces, there are regions that are indexical of subversion; however, the extent of this subversion does not necessarily extend to the representation of radical subjectivities. Subversion however, is signified throughout those spaces that provide areas representative of hedonism, such as nightclubs and areas adorned with sexual imagery (Zeus), as well as spaces that provide alternative expression as commonplace. Such radical subjectivities were found primarily on Greek Gold, with the exception of some ‘furries’ on Apollo, and it can also be argued that the subjectivities of Zeus were engaging in practices that defined their sexuality and their interactions/relationships as outside of the normative. The signifying practices of these subjectivities, and what produces the meaning of the avatars and spaces, is through the representational practices, particularly in reference to normativity or conformity. The representational practices on Zeus, whilst often very normative in terms of masculinity, also signify subversion through a very overt sexuality. Within Greek Gold the avatars themselves signify subversion by their reluctance to fall easily
within the corporeal identification of binary gender. Both Eden and Apollo however, maintain normativity throughout spatial practice as well as avatar signification.

This performance of gender informs the understanding of the final research question regarding the assumption of gender and sexuality as necessary for the performance of identity. Ultimately, this question is a critique of the assertion that in virtual spaces, and as part of a utopian technological future, gender will be made redundant as fluidity of identity becomes the norm. This possibility would see the dissolution of gendered categories and the undermining of essentialist positioning of gender and sexuality. As shown on the island of Greek Gold, there remains a desire to enact gender as a sexual performance and as a performance of identity. Gender performance within the space, rather than seeing dissolution of gender positions, is demonstrated as fluidity across gender performance. The spectrum of femininity to masculinity is displayed that reifies the reductive appropriation of norms and behaviours as untenable. By removing masculinity from the male, androgynous, butch and non-feminine identities can be viewed as having an enduring desire to perform, embody and identify with gender outside of the rigid binary relating to biological sex. The desired subject position of the corporeal masculine female is not a male self, but an idealised representation of her-self as a re-inscribed female. Gender and sexuality then, are not essential in terms of biological categories, but are necessary for the appropriation of identity and desire.

Limitations and Future Considerations
The major focus of this work has been to explore some of the suppositions about gender and sexuality within virtual environments, specifically that of SL. The use of technology, and specifically the SL platform, comes with a certain set of assumptions about the users and about culture in general. The viewpoint of this work is based in contemporary Western culture, specifically that of late-capitalist culture and more specifically the North Atlantic, in that North Americans and Europeans dominate usage of the SL platform. Politically and culturally, the US context dominates because SL is based on the free-market, de-regulated economic system. It is also highly neo-liberal in terms of the allowance of behaviours and practices in view of economic agency. This Euro-centricism omits the experiences of a large portion of the world, and so this work deductively can only be seen to apply to the Western context. Furthermore, there is the high probability that platforms such as SL are utilised by those who are computer-literate and have the capacity to purchase hardware that can run the SL software and have access to a high—speed internet connection. These factors position this study as a specifically middle-class project, and so needs to be understood in the context of individuals who already
possess a certain degree of education and agency in terms of identity expression. It would be a very interesting prospect to position this study outside of the Western, middle-class context and to investigate non-Western regions in terms of gender and sexuality.

This project has also predominantly been an analysis of white culture. During the research, there were very few avatars in the studied regions that displayed ethnic characteristics. There is a very obvious racial framing of the internet and cyber-culture that privileges whiteness (Nakamura, 2008). In terms of visual culture, there are still advantages to being seen as white within virtual space as whiteness dominates as both a default avatar selection, as well as in the selections available to purchase in the SL marketplace. Whilst outside the scope of this study, it would be of great benefit to analyse how non-white avatars are received within virtual spaces, and to even extend this investigation into regions of non-white gender and sexual diversity. It is often the case that non-white individuals feel doubly persecuted as non-heterosexual identities, both in the wider community and then again within LGBTI regions. It would be interesting to know the embodiment patterns of non-white individuals wanting to explore diverse regions in terms of maintaining or forgoing their racial identity.

The implications of this work are best applied in the context of lives lived through the screen. As we move towards an even greater degree of technological convergence and so spend more time using visual interfaces, chosen embodiment and representation become even more pervasive as aspects of our own identities. This representational choice is not something that has been readily acknowledged in terms of our corporeal lives, so the ability to actively choose visual characteristics as a modality of performance is relatively novel. It both opens up a whole new world of fluidity that allows for exploration and play, but is also reflective of our corporeal identities in that we can reflect on the performativity of gender usually conceived as natural. It is perhaps this dislodging of naturally ascribed characteristics that is the most important aspect of this work, especially in terms of the performance of gendered identity.
References


Second Life marketplace. (2012) Retrieved 19 March 2012, from https://marketplace.secondlife.com/products/search?search%5Bcategory_id%5D=4&search%5Bmaturity%5D%5B0%5D=General&search%5Bmaturity%5D%5B1%5D=Moderate&search%5Bmaturity%5D%5B2%5D=Adult&search%5Bpage%5D=1&search%5Bper_page%5D=12


Sender, K. (2003). Sex sells: Sex, class, and taste in commercial gay and lesbian media. Journal of Lesbian and Gay Studies, 9(3), 331-365. From: http://repository.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1114&context=asc_papers


