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Abstract. Preventing fire from entering wetland areas during seasonal or prolonged drought, or suppressing fire once it has entered a wetland, requires consideration of the consequences of the fire management action on water quality. Two approaches can be taken to suppress such fires: chemical fire retardants, or the flooding of sediments. We determine a lack of information relating to the effects of these approaches for water quality within fire impacted wetlands. The aim of this study was to gain a preliminary understanding of the effects of three treatments: two chemical treatments and saturation. Microcosms were established to test sediments from a wetland on the Swan Coastal Plain, Western Australia, which were exposed to temperatures ranging from 30-800 °C. The results indicate that one of the fire retardant chemicals increased the soluble nutrient load present in the water column, as predicted by the results of other research. However, the same chemical had a minor but important effect as an acidity buffer when the organic, pyritic sediment was heated but not burnt. The second chemical treatment did not increase the nutrient load but nor did it buffer the acidity generated by the heating and burning of the organic sediment. It was virtually indistinguishable from the saturation treatment in this regard.
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Introduction

The subject of wildland fires and water quality has received some attention over the last two decades, where research has focussed on the effects of prescribed burning (Stephens et al. 2004), changed fire regimes (Townsend and Douglas 2004) or extreme fire events (Earl and Blinn 2003). Another important area of focus has been the way water quality in a wetland ecosystem has responded to attempts to either extinguish or suppress fire in the wetland or its catchment. Two approaches are commonly used in these situations: chemical fire suppression and saturation with water.

Fire suppression commonly uses a variety of water-soluble chemicals including long-term fire retardants (to inhibit combustion), short-term retardants (their effectiveness vanishes with the evaporation of water), firefighting foams, and wetting agents (to increase the dispersal and penetration of water) (Kalabokidis 2000). The principal mechanisms by which water quality might be affected by these applications are through surface runoff, leaching into the soil profile and then subsurface drainage, or direct deposition of the chemical into the waterbody (Kalabokidis 2000). Reviews of the literature on the impacts of fire suppression activities on aquatic ecosystems (Backer et al. 2004; Giménez et al. 2004; Little and Calfee 2002) refer to common features: because the chemicals are essentially fertilisers they are likely to result in excess nutrient inputs and potential eutrophication.

The second technique considered for extinguishing wetland fires is saturation with water, either extracted or diverted from a nearby source or from rainwater. Two consequences of this technique arise for the water chemistry of a wetland. Firstly, the effects of saturation will depend on the chemical characteristics of the source water used; salts or pollutants may be introduced which might react with sediment chemistry. The second consequence arises when rehydrating sediments that may have been chemically altered due to the severe oxidation of the fire, potentially resulting in the release of stored acidity and the concomitant mobilisation of contaminants into connected ground- or surface waters.
Indeed one of Little and Calfee’s (2002) conclusions, that the fire-related effects of aqueous leachates of ash and high temperatures may exceed the effects of fire retardant chemicals, implies that saturation of an overheated and burning sediment may not be the best solution for water quality and aquatic ecosystems.

We believe this complication is one that has otherwise not been treated in the literature. Therefore, we conducted a controlled microcosm experiment to answer the following questions: (i) does the application of different chemical fire suppression agents to wetland sediments, which have been mildly to severely oxidised, result in changes in water quality; and (ii) is the response influenced by the organic content of the sediment and/or the temperature of the burn?

**Methods**

Sediments were taken from Lake Nowergup on the Swan Coastal Plain of Western Australia approximately 38 km north of Perth. The lake is bordered by limestone and calciferous sands whilst the interior of the lake is slowly infilling, comprised of sands and unconsolidated organic matter. Unlike other wetlands in this bioregion which have suffered from groundwater drawdown and severe oxidation either as a result of seasonal drying and/or a significant fire event, the sediments of Lake Nowergup generally have been kept saturated and anaerobic (Loomes et al. 2003).

Stratified random sampling was designed to collect sediments with varying degrees of organic matter content within the wetland based upon the hydrological zones of lakes (Semeniuk and Semeniuk 2005a): the permanently inundated zone (consisting of relatively deep accumulations of peat; Sediment Type 1), the seasonally inundated zone (muddy sands; Sediment Type 2) and the seasonal waterlogged zone (predominantly quartz sands with interstitial biogenic particles; Sediment Type 3), where sediments are characteristic of internal biogenic processes superimposed on their internal basin setting in a linear interdunal depression in the Spearwood Dune system (see Semeniuk and Semeniuk 2005a).
Bulk sediment samples were collected from a depth between 50 and 60 cm by digging a deep pit at each of three sampling sites. This depth profile was chosen as the sediments at this depth had been neither burnt, nor impacted by pyrolysis in another way (as evidenced by a lack of macroscopic charcoal after visual inspection of the sediment profile), nor seriously dried in recent history.

Bulk sediment samples were maintained at field moisture, placed in sealable polyethylene bags, air purged and stored at 2 °C in the dark. For each sample site, individual bulk sediment samples were thoroughly homogenised to obtain a composite representative of the depth profile sampled. Sediment subsamples (approximately 500 g wet weight) were then allocated to one of five temperature treatments, field moist (FM), 30 °C (‘air dried’), 300 °C, 550 °C and 800 °C, representing sediment temperatures likely to be experienced during a fire (Usup et al. 2004; Rein et al. 2008). The 30 °C samples were dried at temperature in a drying oven (Memmert UFP 800) until a stable weight was attained. The remaining heat treated sediments were combusted for one hour at each of the three temperatures using a muffle furnace (ModuTemp WW71A). Heat treatments were applied concurrently.

Temperature treated sediments were further sub sampled for each of the thermal increments and three fire suppression methods were applied. Method A is the water that was used to dilute the two products: double de-ionised (Milli-Q) water. The method is therefore both a control for the other treatments and a treatment in its own right since it is applied as if burnt sediments are saturated and rehydrated. Method B is the product marketed as Phos-Chek D-75 (Astaris LLC 2003). The ingredients are recorded as Diammonium Sulfate (>65 %), Monoammonium Phosphate (>15 %), Diammonium Phosphate (>5 %), Guar Gum, Hydroxypropyl (<10 %), and Performance Additives + Trade Secret (<5 %) before mixing with water. Method C is the product marketed as Kilfire™; as a liquid or powder it is diluted by water; the manufacturers regard the product as both suppressant and retardant. It has been classified as a non-hazardous and non-dangerous material according to the
criteria specified by NOHSC; accordingly no disclosure is made concerning the chemical nature of this product (Benign Technologies 2007) presumably to protect their commercial interests.

Fire retardants were prepared as per the manufacturers’ specifications. The recommended mixing ratio for Phos-Chek D-75 is 0.144 kg of retardant powder in one litre of water. Kilfire™ is prepared by mixing 3.3 g of powder in 10 litres of water. Treated sediment was weighed (10 g) directly into a 500 gm black HDPE jar (Cospak 500STB) and 10 mL of either Method A, B or C solution was added to create a slurry. The slurries were then made up to a 1:50 ratio with Milli-Q water to represent a likely dilution in the field (microcosm). The microcosms were capped and mixed thoroughly and then left to stand to allow for hydro-chemical equilibration, in the dark with aeration. After 7 days, pH and electrical conductivity (using an Orion 5-star multiparameter meter) were measured for each microcosm and a 60 ml water sample was removed. Each sample was filtered, collected and stored, frozen or acidified as required and kept dark. Measurement of sediment physico-chemical parameters (pH and conductivity) were performed using 1:5 sediment to water extract (note that this is a different dilution to that used in the experimental procedure above). Additionally, sediment subsamples for each thermal treatment were digested (using USEPA method 3050B) to establish sediment variability.

Analysis of Al, Cu, Fe, Mg and S was carried out using a Varian Vista-Pro inductively coupled plasma (ICP) with optical emission spectroscopy (OES) detection. Ammonia, nitrate (includes any contribution from nitrite) and ortho-phosphate analysis were completed using a Skalar flow injection analyser. Due to low concentrations and a number of results at or below the limit of detection, Al, Cu and Fe concentrations were not included in the statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis was performed in Primer (Version 6). Data were transformed where required and standardised. A multivariate approach was taken to ascertain patterns of discrimination based on changes in water quality parameters between fire suppression methods and between temperature treatments. Significant differences among fire suppression methods temperature treatments were tested
by pair-wise and 2-way permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (McArdle and Anderson 2001). Where significant differences occurred pair-wise analysis of variance was used to establish the source of variability.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to allow groups of variables to be mapped in multi-dimensional space, which may otherwise not be evident in a two-dimensional relationship. Principal component correlations (PCC) were then used to identify variables with the greatest absolute coefficients associated with each principal component.

Results

PERMANOVA results (Table 1) show that significant differences in water quality were seen between suppression methods however, they did not demonstrate a significant difference between heat treatments. No interaction was seen between suppression method and heat treatment.

Table 1 here

The two-dimensional PCA ordination of the standardised data for all 45 microcosms shows that the two axes account for 86.6% of the total variability in the dataset (Figures 1a-c). Most of the variation is accounted for by PC1 that shows a clear separation between the 15 Method B microcosms (application of the aqueous fire retardant Phos-Chek D-75), with elevated electrical conductivity associated with increased concentrations of ammonium, nitrate, phosphate and total S in the water (Figure 1a). Very little difference was evident between Method A (saturation water) and Method C (aqueous Kilfire solution) with the exception of elevated electrical conductivity at 30 °C for Method C. A pair-wise comparison between suppression methods shows that Method B is significantly different from Method A and Method C (PERMANOVA, \( P < 0.001 \)). The differences in water quality between Methods A and C were not significant (PERMANOVA, \( P = 0.94 \)).

Figure 1a-c here
Another separation occurs along axis PC2 between Sediment type 1 (predominantly organic with loss on ignition (LOI) values of around 67%) and Sediment types 2 and 3 (predominantly mineral sediments LOI values of 0.2% - 0.4%). This separation is attributable to a lower pH and a higher level of Mg for the water in the microcosms containing the organic rich sediment (Figure 1b).

Whilst not considered significant, heat treatments were still capable of instigating a response. The variability within Sediment type 1 (Figure 1b) is associated with different temperature regimes. This organic sediment revealed higher levels of acidity at the three lower temperature treatments, and tends to become neutral at temperatures above 550 °C, when the combustion leads to the volatilisation of Total S from the sediment and when buffering is made available in the ash leachate and through the combustion of carbonate minerals (see Figure 1c).

The application of Method B tends to neutralise the acidity at lower temperatures, and dampens the extremes otherwise shown for the organic rich sediment (Figure 2a). However, Method B results in the addition of Total S (likely $SO_4^{2-}$) to the water (Figure 2b).

It is interesting to note that in untreated sediments an increase in Mg levels with combustion temperatures above 550 °C is observed, however there is a general decline in Mg levels of the water in microcosms with increases in combustion temperature (Figure 2c). Also noteworthy is that Total S amounts recorded in the sediments are consistently higher than those for the experimental treatments, and reflects the loss of S on drying and heating due to volatilisation (Figure 2b).

Discussion

We assume that our method is a reasonable representation of a post hoc application of fire-suppression, and that under these circumstances variable responses will be observed for (in this order
of magnitude) the method of suppression, the type of sediment exposed, and the temperature to which sediments have been exposed.

In drawing our conclusions from this study design, we make two further assumptions. The first is that the water quality of the field moist and heat treated sediments rehydrated with Milli-Q water (Method A) would provide a response similar to that experienced in the field whereby soluble and loosely bound ions in the sediment will be leached into solution, representative of the rehydration of dried, oxidised and combusted sediments following fire.

Our second assumption is that the addition of a particular chemical suppressant will produce a water quality response that is indicative of the chemicals added, and it will override any inherent chemical characteristic of the sediment leachate. We controlled for this assumption using Milli-Q water as above, and by testing across a range of field conditions (temperatures). The fact that we derived consistent responses from our treatments, and that the results support those of previous findings (see below) suggests that our assumption has not been violated. Even so we consider that the results warrant further investigation into the interactions between different sediment types and the chemical nature of suppressants.

Our work supports the experimental work of others who found that the application of nitrogen based, phosphate rich retardants can find their way into drainage systems and surface water bodies where they can influence trophic status (see Angeler and Moreno 2006) and enhance pH buffering, both of which are consequences of the fire. For example, Stephens et al. (2004) noted (in the absence of fire retardants) a large increase in ammonium on post-burn soils, and they attributed this to the fire converting the organic N to this reduced form, which can then become nitrate and enter streams. Pappa et al. (2008) examined, in experimental pots, the potential for nitrogen to leach into the groundwater as a result of a retardant application; when added to the soil as ammonium, some was volatilized during
the fire, but the conversion of large amounts of the applied ammonium into nitrate exceeded what
could be taken up by the vegetation and resulted in mobile nitrogen which leached from the pots.

The degree to which bivalent cations can be detected in solution after sediments have been heated
appears to depend on the thermal intensity (i.e. degree of heating); in low heat microcosms much more
Mg$^{2+}$ was detected in the water than in microcosms subjected to elevated temperatures, which may be
associated with the formation of MgSO$_4$ and precipitation from the water column. Sulphur will be lost
from the sediments due to volatilization in a fire, so fire retardants containing sulphur counterbalance
these losses by supplementing the sulphur that remains in the sediment and water column; the
suggested precipitation of sulphate with Mg$^{2+}$ (and presumably Ca$^{2+}$) will contribute to a buffering
effect on pH. Conversely, the supplementation of sulphur in conjunction with iron species (commonly
found in organic rich sediments) under reducing conditions may lead to the formation of stored
potential acidity in the sediments. Couto-Vázquez and González-Prieto (2006) noted soil pH increases
after the application of fire fighting chemicals and attributed this to the accumulation of ashes, the
reduction of organic acids and the cations supplied by the chemicals. These processes will be
important if fires occur in organic rich, pyritic sediments where the severe heating will dry and crack
the sediments, aerating them and allow fire to enter, resulting in the creation of acidity through
oxidation (Horwitz and Sommer 2005; Semeniuk and Semeniuk 2005a).

A further complication in the study of the effects of fire retardants on organic sediments is whether the
organic matter can bind and assimilate some of the excess inorganic chemicals introduced as a
retardant. Little and Calfee (2002) were able to show experimentally that the toxicity of retardants
was dramatically reduced by both the presence of organic soils, and pyrolysis of soils with the
retardant.

Finally the negligible effects of Method A (saturation) and the chemically enigmatic Method C
(Kilfire) deserve mention; they were virtually indistinguishable in that they did not introduce
significant nutrients into the water, and were both unable to buffer the acidity produced at low
temperatures. If pyritic material is present in a wetland sediment, and given that the organic matter in a
wetland will not be completely consumed by the fire, there is the possibility that hydration with either
method will result in an acidification event. Ironically this will depend on the amount of sediment
burnt, the temperature of the burn and the amount of ash available to buffer the sediments.

We note that we have not subjected the fire retardants themselves to very high temperatures, and that
we need to examine organic chemistry responses as well as the inorganic ones shown in this study;
both of which require further testing.
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Table 1: PERMANOVA analysis of the differences in microcosm water quality associated with the method of suppressant and the temperature that sediments were exposed to.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Pseudo-F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suppressant (Method A, B or C)</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat treatment (Field moist, 30 °C, 100 °C, 550 °C or 800 °C)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppressant x heat treatment</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: PCA ordination of the water data showing the same microcosm separations but labelled according to method (a), site (b) or thermal treatment (c). PC1 and PC2 combined account for 86.6% of total variability. The ‘environmental’ variables most influencing the ordination are overlain on the ordinations.
Figure 2: Microcosm water and sediment concentrations for pH (a), Total S (b) and Mg (c) for sediment type 1 (organic) site only. ‘FM’ refers to field moist treatment.
The Figures on the next two pages are Figures 1 and 2 – they are in vertical format, not horizontal format (as suggested by Reviewer 1).